

A Implementation details of alternative solutions

Following (Tan et al., 2016), we use the same bidirectional LSTM for both questions and textual evidences. For the attentive model, we apply the attention mechanism on the question side because our objective is to match textual evidences to the question context unlike the original model. We use average pooling for both models and compute the *general* attention via a bilinear term that has been shown effective in (Luong et al., 2015).

For the model and training parameters, we follow the strategy described in Section 5.1 with a difference that λ is tuned to be 0.2 in this setting. This intuitively makes sense because the score $\text{sim}(q, r)$ is in $[-1, 1]$.

To clarify the question and answer sides for the alternative models, we provide concrete examples in Table 1 for the running example.

Question Side	Answer Side	Model Name
what did #entity# fight for	activist	ALT.-(equiv EC)
what did #entity# fight for	activism issue	ALT.-(equiv AC)
what did #entity# fight for	area of activism	ALT.-(equiv RC)

Table 1: Question (q) and answer (a) sides used for alternative (e.g., ALT.) solutions QA-LSTM and ATTENTIVE-LSTM.

B Combining multiple question revision strategies

We also performed experiments combining multiple question revisions that may potentially capture complementary signals. To this end, let s_1, \dots, s_k be the trained scoring functions with question revisions constructed by m_1, \dots, m_k , we define $s(q, r) = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i s_i(q, r)$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a weight vector that is trained using the same objective defined in Equation 5. This strategy is used to obtain **AC+RC** model reported in experimental results by combining **AC** and **RC** for $k = 2$.

References

- Minh-Thang Luong, Hieu Pham, and Christopher D. Manning. 2015. Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation. In *Empirical Methods on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*.
- Ming Tan, Cicero dos Santos, Bing Xiang, and Bowen Zhou. 2016. Improved representation learning for question answer matching. In *Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*.