

A Portuguese Native Language Identification Dataset

Iria del Río¹, Marcos Zampieri², Shervin Malmasi^{3,4}

¹University of Lisbon, Center of Linguistics-CLUL, Portugal

²University of Wolverhampton, United Kingdom

³Harvard Medical School, United States

⁴Macquarie University, Australia

igayo@letras.ulisboa.pt

Abstract

In this paper we present NLI-PT, the first Portuguese dataset compiled for Native Language Identification (NLI), the task of identifying an author's first language based on their second language writing. The dataset includes 1,868 student essays written by learners of European Portuguese, native speakers of the following L1s: Chinese, English, Spanish, German, Russian, French, Japanese, Italian, Dutch, Tetum, Arabic, Polish, Korean, Romanian, and Swedish. NLI-PT includes the original student text and four different types of annotation: POS, fine-grained POS, constituency parses, and dependency parses. NLI-PT can be used not only in NLI but also in research on several topics in the field of Second Language Acquisition and educational NLP. We discuss possible applications of this dataset and present the results obtained for the first lexical baseline system for Portuguese NLI.

1 Introduction

Several learner corpora have been compiled for English, such as the International Corpus of Learner English (Granger, 2003). The importance of such resources has been increasingly recognized across a variety of research areas, from Second Language Acquisition to Natural Language Processing. Recently, we have seen substantial growth in this area and new corpora for languages other than English have appeared. For Romance languages, there are a several corpora and resources for French¹, Spanish (Lozano, 2010), and Italian (Boyd et al., 2014).

Portuguese has also received attention in the compilation of learner corpora. There are two corpora compiled at the School of Arts and Humanities of the University of Lisbon: the cor-

pus *Recolha de dados de Aprendizagem do Português Língua Estrangeira*² (hereafter, Leiria corpus), with 470 texts and 70,500 tokens, and the Learner Corpus of Portuguese as Second/Foreign Language, COPLE2³ (del Río et al., 2016), with 1,058 texts and 201,921 tokens. The *Corpus de Produções Escritas de Aprendentes de PL2*, PEAPL2⁴ compiled at the University of Coimbra, contains 516 texts and 119,381 tokens. Finally, the *Corpus de Aquisição de L2*, CAL2⁵, compiled at the New University of Lisbon, contains 1,380 texts and 281,301 words, and it includes texts produced by adults and children, as well as a spoken subset.

The aforementioned Portuguese learner corpora contain very useful data for research, particularly for Native Language Identification (NLI), a task that has received much attention in recent years. NLI is the task of determining the native language (L1) of an author based on their second language (L2) linguistic productions (Malmasi and Dras, 2017). NLI works by identifying language use patterns that are common to groups of speakers of the same native language. This process is underpinned by the presupposition that an author's L1 disposes them towards certain language production patterns in their L2, as influenced by their mother tongue. A major motivation for NLI is studying second language acquisition. NLI models can enable analysis of inter-L1 linguistic differences, allowing us to study the language learning process and develop L1-specific pedagogical methods and materials.

However, there are limitations to using existing Portuguese data for NLI. An important issue is that the different corpora each contain data col-

¹<https://uclouvain.be/en/research-institutes/ilc/cecl/frida.html>

²<http://www.clul.ulisboa.pt/pt/24-recursos/350-recolha-de-dados-de-ple>

³<http://alfclul.clul.ul.pt/teitok/learnercorpus>

⁴<http://teitok.iltec.pt/peapl2/>

⁵<http://cal2.clunl.edu.pt/>

lected from different L1 backgrounds in varying amounts; they would need to be combined to have sufficient data for an NLI study. Another challenge concerns the annotations as only two of the corpora (PEAPL2 and COPLE2) are linguistically annotated, and this is limited to POS tags. The different data formats used by each corpus presents yet another challenge to their usage.

In this paper we present NLI-PT, a dataset collected for Portuguese NLI. The dataset is made freely available for research purposes.⁶ With the goal of unifying learner data collected from various sources, listed in Section 3.1, we applied a methodology which has been previously used for the compilation of language variety corpora (Tan et al., 2014). The data was converted to a unified data format and uniformly annotated at different linguistic levels as described in Section 3.2. To the best of our knowledge, NLI-PT is the only Portuguese dataset developed specifically for NLI, this will open avenues for research in this area.

2 Related Work

NLI has attracted a lot of attention in recent years. Due to the availability of suitable data, as discussed earlier, this attention has been particularly focused on English. The most notable examples are the two editions of the NLI shared task organized in 2013 (Tetreault et al., 2013) and 2017 (Malmasi et al., 2017).

Even though most NLI research has been carried out on English data, an important research trend in recent years has been the application of NLI methods to other languages, as discussed in Malmasi and Dras (2015). Recent NLI studies on languages other than English include Arabic (Malmasi and Dras, 2014a) and Chinese (Malmasi and Dras, 2014b; Wang et al., 2015). To the best of our knowledge, no study has been published on Portuguese and the NLI-PT dataset opens new possibilities of research for Portuguese. In Section 4.1 we present the first simple baseline results for this task.

Finally, as NLI-PT can be used in other applications besides NLI, it is important to point out that a number of studies have been published on educational NLP applications for Portuguese and on the

⁶NLI-PT is available at: <http://www.clul.ulisboa.pt/en/resources-en/11-resources/894-nli-pt-a-portuguese-native-language-identification-dataset>

compilation of learner language resources for Portuguese. Examples of such studies include grammatical error correction (Martins et al., 1998), automated essay scoring (Elliot, 2003), academic word lists (Baptista et al., 2010), and the learner corpora presented in the previous section.

3 Corpus Description

3.1 Collection methodology

The data was collected from three different learner corpora of Portuguese: (i) COPLE2; (ii) Leiria corpus, and (iii) PEAPL2⁷ as presented in Table 3.

	COPLE2	LEIRIA	PEAPL2	TOTAL
Texts	1,058	330	480	1,868
Tokens	201,921	57,358	121,138	380,417
Types	9,373	4,504	6,808	20,685
TTR	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.05

Table 1: Distribution of the dataset: Number of texts, tokens, types, and type/token ratio (TTR) per source corpus.

The three corpora contain written productions from learners of Portuguese with different proficiency levels and native languages (L1s). In the dataset we included all the data in COPLE2 and sections of PEAPL2 and Leiria corpus.

The main variable we used for text selection was the presence of specific L1s. Since the three corpora consider different L1s, we decided to use the L1s present in the largest corpus, COPLE2, as the reference. Therefore, we included in the dataset texts corresponding to the following 15 L1s: Chinese, English, Spanish, German, Russian, French, Japanese, Italian, Dutch, Tetum, Arabic, Polish, Korean, Romanian, and Swedish. It was the case that some of the L1s present in COPLE2 were not documented in the other corpora. The number of texts from each L1 is presented in Table 2.

Concerning the corpus design, there is some variability among the sources we used. Leiria corpus and PEAPL2 followed a similar approach for data collection and show a close design. They consider a close list of topics, called “stimulus”, which belong to three general areas: (i) the individual; (ii) the society; (iii) the environment.

⁷In the near future we want to incorporate also data from the CAL2 corpus.




Figure 1: Topic distribution by number of texts. Each bar represents one of the 148 topics.

	COPLE2	PEAPL2	LEIRIA	TOTAL
Arabic	13	1	0	14
Chinese	323	32	0	355
Dutch	17	26	0	43
English	142	62	31	235
French	59	38	7	104
German	86	88	40	214
Italian	49	83	83	215
Japanese	52	15	0	67
Korean	9	9	48	66
Polish	31	28	12	71
Romanian	12	16	51	79
Russian	80	11	1	92
Spanish	147	68	56	271
Swedish	16	2	1	19
Tetum	22	1	0	23
Total	1,058	480	330	1,868

Table 2: Distribution by L1s and source corpora.

Those topics are presented to the students in order to produce a written text. As a whole, texts from PEAPL2 and Leiria represent 36 different stimuli or topics in the dataset. In COPLE2 corpus the written texts correspond to written exer-

cises done during Portuguese lessons, or to official Portuguese proficiency tests. For this reason, the topics considered in COPLE2 corpus are different from the topics in Leiria and PEAPL2. The number of topics is also larger in COPLE2 corpus: 149 different topics. There is some overlap between the different topics considered in COPLE2, that is, some topics deal with the same subject. This overlap allowed us to reorganize COPLE2 topics in our dataset, reducing them to 112.

	Number of topics
COPLE2	112
PEAPL2+Leiria	36
Total	148

Table 3: Number of different topics by source.

Due to the different distribution of topics in the source corpora, the 148 topics in the dataset are not represented uniformly. Three topics account for a 48.7% of the total texts and, on the other hand, a 72% of the topics are represented by 1-10 texts (Figure 1). This variability affects also text length. The longest text has 787 tokens and




Figure 2: Histogram of document lengths, as measured by the number of tokens. The mean value is 204 with standard deviation of 103.

the shortest has only 16 tokens. Most texts, however, range roughly from 150 to 250 tokens. To better understand the distribution of texts in terms of word length we plot a histogram of all texts with their word length in bins of 10 (1-10 tokens, 11-20 tokens, 21-30 tokens and so on) (Figure 2).

The three corpora use the proficiency levels defined in the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR), but they show differences in the number of levels they consider. There are five proficiency levels in COPLE2 and PEAPL2: A1, A2, B1, B2, and C1. But there are 3 levels in Leiria corpus: A, B, and C. The number of texts included from each proficiency level is presented in Table 4.

3.2 Preprocessing and annotation of texts

As demonstrated earlier, these learner corpora use different formats. COPLE2 is mainly codified in XML, although it gives the possibility of getting the student version of the essay in TXT format. PEAPL2 and Leiria corpus are compiled in TXT format.⁸ In both corpora, the TXT files contain the student version with special annotations from the

⁸Currently there is a XML version of PEAPL2, but this version was not available when we compiled the dataset.

	COPLE2	LEIRIA	PEAPL2	TOTAL
A1	91	n/a	78	169
A2	414	n/a	89	503
A	505	203	167	875
B1	312	n/a	203	515
B2	202	n/a	70	272
B	514	89	273	876
C1	39	n/a	40	79
C	39	38	40	117

Table 4: Distribution by proficiency levels and by source corpus.

transcription. For the NLI experiments we were interested in a clean txt version of the students' text, together with versions annotated at different linguistics levels. Therefore, as a first step, we removed all the annotations corresponding to the transcription process in PEAPL2 and Leiria files. As a second step, we proceeded to the linguistic annotation of the texts using different NLP tools.

We annotated the dataset at two levels: Part of Speech (POS) and syntax. We performed the annotation with freely available tools for the Portuguese language. For POS we added a simple POS, that is, only type of word, and a fine-

grained POS, which is the type of word plus its morphological features. We used the LX Parser (Silva et al., 2010), for the simple POS and the Portuguese morphological module of Freeling (Padró and Stanilovsky, 2012), for detailed POS. Concerning syntactic annotations, we included constituency and dependency annotations. For constituency parsing, we used the LX Parser, and for dependency, the DepPattern toolkit (Otero and González, 2012).

4 Applications

NLI-PT was developed primarily for NLI, but it can be used for other research purposes ranging from second language acquisition to educational NLP applications. Here are a few examples of applications in which the dataset can be used:

- Computer-aided Language Learning (CALL): CALL software has been developed for Portuguese (Marujo et al., 2009). Further improvements in these tools can take advantage of the training material available in NLI-PT for a number of purposes such as L1-tailored exercise design.
- Grammatical error detection and correction: as discussed in Zampieri and Tan (2014), a known challenge in this task is acquiring suitable training data to account for the variation of errors present in non-native texts. One of the strategies developed to cope with this problem is to generate artificial training data (Felice and Yuan, 2014). Augmenting training data using a suitable annotated dataset such as NLI-PT can improve the quality of existing grammatical error correction systems for Portuguese.
- Spellchecking: Studies have shown that general-purpose spell checkers target performance errors but fail to address many competence errors committed by language learners (Rimrott and Heift, 2005). To address this shortcoming a number of spell checking tools have been developed for language learners (Ndiaye and Faltin, 2003). Suitable training data is required to develop these tools. NLI-PT is a suitable resource to train learner spell checkers for Portuguese.
- L1 interference: one of the aspects of non-native language production that can be stud-

ied using data-driven methods is the influence of L1 in non-native speakers production. Its annotation and the number of second languages included in the dataset make NLI-PT a perfect fit for such studies.

4.1 A Baseline for Portuguese NLI

To demonstrate the usefulness of the dataset we present the first lexical baseline for Portuguese NLI using a sub-set of NLI-PT. To the best of our knowledge, no study has been published on Portuguese NLI and our work fills this gap.

In this experiment we included the five L1s in NLI-PT which contain the largest number of texts in this sub-set and run a simple linear SVM (Fan et al., 2008) classifier using a bag of words model to identify the L1 of each text. The languages included in this experiment were Chinese (355 texts), English (236 texts), German (214 texts), Italian (216 texts), and Spanish (271 texts).

We evaluated the model using stratified 10-fold cross-validation, achieving 70% accuracy. An important limitation of this experiment is that it does not account for topic bias, an important issue in NLI (Malmasi, 2016). This is due to the fact that NLI-PT is not balanced by topic and the model could be learning topic associations instead.⁹ In future work we would like to carry out using syntactic features such as function words, syntactic relations and POS annotation.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

This paper presented NLI-PT, the first Portuguese dataset compiled for NLI. NLI-PT contains 1,868 texts written by speakers of 15 L1s amounting to over 380,000 tokens.

As discussed in Section 4, NLI-PT opens several avenues for future research. It can be used for different research purposes beyond NLI such as grammatical error correction and CALL. An experiment with the texts written by the speakers of five L1s: Chinese, English, German, Italian, and Spanish using a bag of words model achieved 70% accuracy. We are currently experimenting with different features taking advantage of the annotation available in NLI-PT thus reducing topic bias in classification.

In future work we would like to include more texts in the dataset following the same methodology and annotation.

⁹See Malmasi (2016, p. 23) for a detailed discussion.

Acknowledgement

We want to thank the research teams that have made available the data we used in this work: Centro de Estudos de Linguística Geral e Aplicada at Universidade de Coimbra (specially Cristina Martins) and Centro de Linguística da Universidade de Lisboa (particularly Amália Mendes).

This work was partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (postdoctoral research grant SFRH/BPD/109914/2015).

References

- Jorge Baptista, Neuza Costa, Joaquim Guerra, Marcos Zampieri, Maria Cabral, and Nuno Mamede. 2010. P-AWL: academic word list for Portuguese. In *Proceedings of PROPOR*.
- Adriane Boyd, Jirka Hana, Lionel Nicolas, Detmar Meurers, Katrin Wisniewski, andrea Abel, Karin Schöne, Barbora Štindlová, and Chiara Vettori. 2014. The MERLIN corpus: Learner Language and the CEFR. In *Proceedings of LREC*.
- Scott Elliot. 2003. IntelliMetric: From here to validity. *Automated essay scoring: A cross-disciplinary perspective*, pages 71–86.
- Rong-En Fan, Kai-Wei Chang, Cho-Jui Hsieh, Xiang-Rui Wang, and Chih-Jen Lin. 2008. LIBLINEAR: A Library for Large Linear Classification. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 9(Aug):1871–1874.
- Mariano Felice and Zheng Yuan. 2014. Generating Artificial Errors for Grammatical Error Correction. In *Proceedings of the EACL Student Research Workshop*.
- Sylviane Granger. 2003. The international corpus of learner english: A new resource for foreign language learning and teaching and second language acquisition research. *TESOL Quarterly*, 37(3):538–546.
- Cristóbal Lozano. 2010. *CEDEL2, Corpus Escrito del Español L2*. Department of English, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid.
- Shervin Malmasi. 2016. *Native Language Identification: Explorations and Applications*. Ph.D. thesis.
- Shervin Malmasi and Mark Dras. 2014a. Arabic Native Language Identification. In *Proceedings of the Arabic Natural Language Processing Workshop*.
- Shervin Malmasi and Mark Dras. 2014b. Chinese Native Language Identification. In *Proceedings of EACL*.
- Shervin Malmasi and Mark Dras. 2015. Multilingual Native Language Identification. In *Natural Language Engineering*.
- Shervin Malmasi and Mark Dras. 2017. Native Language Identification using Stacked Generalization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.06541*.
- Shervin Malmasi, Keelan Evanini, Aoife Cahill, Joel Tetreault, Robert Pugh, Christopher Hamill, Diane Napolitano, and Yao Qian. 2017. A Report on the 2017 Native Language Identification Shared Task. In *Proceedings of BEA*.
- Ronaldo Teixeira Martins, Ricardo Hasegawa, Maria das Graças Volpe Nunes, Gisele Montilha, and Osvaldo Novais De Oliveira. 1998. Linguistic issues in the development of ReGra: A grammar checker for Brazilian Portuguese. *Natural Language Engineering*, 4(4):287–307.
- Luís Marujo, José Lopes, Nuno Mamede, Isabel Trancoso, Juan Pino, Maxine Eskenazi, Jorge Baptista, and Céu Viana. 2009. Porting REAP to European Portuguese. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Speech and Language Technology in Education*.
- Mar Ndiaye and Anne Vandeventer Faltin. 2003. A Spell Checker Tailored to Language Learners. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 16(2-3):213–232.
- Pablo Gamallo Otero and Isaac González. 2012. DepPattern: a Multilingual Dependency Parser. In *Proceedings of PROPOR*.
- Lluís Padró and Evgeny Stanilovsky. 2012. Freeling 3.0: Towards wider multilinguality. In *Proceedings LREC*.
- Anne Rimrott and Trude Heift. 2005. Language Learners and Generic Spell Checkers in CALL. *CALICO journal*, pages 17–48.
- Iria del Río, Sandra Antunes, Amália Mendes, and Maarten Janssen. 2016. Towards error annotation in a learner corpus of portuguese. In *Proceedings of the NLP4CALL workshop at SLTC*, pages 8–17.
- João Ricardo Silva, António Branco, Sérgio Castro, and Ruben Reis. 2010. Out-of-the-Box Robust Parsing of Portuguese. In *Proceedings of PROPOR*, pages 75–85.
- Liling Tan, Marcos Zampieri, Nikola Ljubešić, and Jörg Tiedemann. 2014. Merging Comparable Data Sources for the Discrimination of Similar Languages: The DSL Corpus Collection. In *Proceedings of the BUCC Workshop*.
- Joel Tetreault, Daniel Blanchard, and Aoife Cahill. 2013. A report on the first native language identification shared task. In *Proceedings of BEA*.
- Maolin Wang, Shervin Malmasi, and Mingxuan Huang. 2015. The Jinan Chinese Learner Corpus. In *Proceedings of BEA*.
- Marcos Zampieri and Liling Tan. 2014. Grammatical Error Detection with Limited Training Data: The Case of Chinese. In *Proceedings of ICCE*.