

EMNLP 2023

**The 10th Workshop on Argument Mining
(ArgMining 2023)**

Proceedings of the Workshop

December 7, 2023
Online and in Singapore

©2023 The Association for Computational Linguistics

Order copies of this and other ACL proceedings from:

Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)
209 N. Eighth Street
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
USA
Tel: +1-570-476-8006
Fax: +1-570-476-0860
acl@aclweb.org

ISBN 979-8-89176-050-9

Introduction

Argument mining (also known as “argumentation mining”) is a gradually maturing research area within computational linguistics. At its heart, argument mining involves the automatic identification of argumentative structures in free text, such as the conclusions, premises, and inference schemes of arguments as well as their interrelations and counter-considerations. To date, researchers have investigated argument mining on genres such as legal documents, product reviews, news articles, online debates, user-generated web discourse, Wikipedia articles, scholarly data, persuasive essays, tweets, and dialogues. Aside from mining argumentative components, the field focuses on studying argument quality assessment, argument persuasiveness, and the synthesis of argumentative texts.

Argument mining gives rise to various practical applications of great importance. In particular, it provides methods that can find and visualize the main pro and con arguments in a text corpus — or even in an argument search on the web — towards a topic or query of interest. In instructional contexts, written and diagrammed arguments represent educational data that can be mined for conveying and assessing students’ command of course material. Moreover, debate technologies like IBM Project Debater that drew a lot of attention recently rely heavily on argument mining tasks.

While solutions to basic tasks such as component segmentation and classification slowly become mature, many tasks remain largely unsolved, particularly in more open genres and topical domains. Success in argument mining requires interdisciplinary approaches informed by NLP technology, theories of semantics, pragmatics and discourse, knowledge of discourse in application domains, artificial intelligence, information retrieval, argumentation theory, and computational models of argumentation.

The ArgMining community is constantly growing, as demonstrated by the increasing number of submissions on argument mining being accepted at top level international conferences in the fields of NLP and AI. This year’s 10th edition of the workshop had 40 valid submissions (30 in 2020, 39 in 2021, and 37 in 2022). Among the submitted papers, there were 13 long papers, 13 short papers, and 1 demo paper. We accepted 8 long papers and 3 short papers (41% acceptance rate). In addition, the workshop features 13 shared-task papers. The submissions came from institutions in 17 countries. All accepted papers are included in the proceedings at hand. We would like to thank our Program Committee members as well as the members of our Best Paper Selection Committee: Claire Cardie (Cornell University), Naoya Inoue (JAIST) and Benno Stein (Bauhaus-Universität Weimar).

The one-day workshop was conducted in hybrid format. We were delighted to have Noam Slonim from IBM Research AI as the keynote speaker, on the topic of “Project Debater and argument mining - a historical and somewhat personal perspective”. In celebration of the 10th anniversary of the workshop series, a panel of distinguished researchers in the field, including Khalid Al Khatib (University of Groningen), Yufang Hou (IBM Research AI), Diane Litman (University of Pittsburgh), Chris Reed (University of Dundee), and Henning Wachsmuth (Leibniz Universität Hannover), reflected on the past and the future of argument mining. ArgMining 2023 also hosted two shared tasks, namely the First Shared Task in Multimodal Argument Mining (ImageArg 2023) and the First Shared Task on Pragmatic Tagging of Peer Reviews (PragTag 2023).

We would like to express our gratitude to our sponsors, IBM and Naver. Their support allowed the workshop program to feature a best paper award, chosen by an independent committee. Awards are announced on the official workshop website: <https://argmining-org.github.io/2023/index.html>.

Thanks to everyone who supported and made this workshop possible!

Milad Alshomary, Chung-Chi Chen, Smaranda Muresan, Joonsuk Park, and Julia Romberg
(ArgMining 2023 co-chairs)

Organizing Committee

Milad Alshomary, Leibniz Universität Hannover
Chung-Chi Chen, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology
Smaranda Muresan, Columbia University & AWS AI Labs
Joonsuk Park, University of Richmond
Julia Romberg, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf

Program Committee

Rodrigo Agerri, University of the Basque Country
Yamen Ajjour, Leibniz Universität Hannover
Khalid Al Khatib, University of Groningen
Tariq Alhindi, King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology (KACST)
Emily Allaway, Columbia University
Safi Eldeen Alzi'abi, Isra University
Ozkan Aslan, Afyon Kocatepe University
Roy Bar-Haim, IBM Research AI
Miriam Butt, University of Konstanz
Elena Cabrio, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Inria, I3S
Claire Cardie, Cornell University
Jonathan Clayton, University of Sheffield
Johannes Daxenberger, summetix
Lorik Dumani, Trier University
Roxanne El Baff, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
Ivan Habernal, Paderborn University
Shohreh Haddadan, University of Luxembourg
Yufang Hou, IBM Research AI
Xinyu Hua, Bloomberg AI
Lea Kawaletz, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf
Christopher Klamm, University of Mannheim
Gabriella Lapesa, University of Stuttgart
John Lawrence, University of Dundee
Beishui Liao, Zhejiang University
Simon Parsons, University of Lincoln
Georgios Petasis, NCSR Demokritos, Athens
Olesya Razuvayevskaya, University of Sheffield
Chris Reed, University of Dundee
Patrick Saint-Dizier, IRIT, CNRS
Robin Schaefer, University of Potsdam
Jodi Schneider, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign
Gabriella Skitalinskaya, Leibniz Universität Hannover
Manfred Stede, University of Potsdam
Benno Stein, Bauhaus-Universität Weimar
Simone Teufel, University of Cambridge
Serena Villata, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Inria, I3S
Henning Wachsmuth, Leibniz Universität Hannover
Vern R. Walker, Hofstra University
Timon Ziegenbein, Leibniz Universität Hannover

Shared Task Organizers

Zhexiong Liu, University of Pittsburgh
Mohamed Elaraby, University of Pittsburgh

Yang Zhong, University of Pittsburgh
Diane Litman, University of Pittsburgh
Nils Dycke, Technical University of Darmstadt
Ilia Kuznetsov, Technical University of Darmstadt

Best Paper Selection Committee

Claire Cardie, Cornell University
Naoya Inoue, JAIST
Benno Stein, Bauhaus-Universität Weimar

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| <i>Detecting Argumentative Fallacies in the Wild: Problems and Limitations of Large Language Models</i> Ramon Ruiz-Dolz and John Lawrence | 1 |
| <i>Using Masked Language Model Probabilities of Connectives for Stance Detection in English Discourse</i> Regina Stodden, Laura Kallmeyer, Lea Kawaletz and Heidrun Dorgeloh | 11 |
| <i>Teach Me How to Argue: A Survey on NLP Feedback Systems in Argumentation</i> Camelia Guerraoui, Paul Reisert, Naoya Inoue, Farjana Sultana Mim, Keshav Singh, Jungmin Choi, Irfan Robbani, Shoichi Naito, Wenzhi Wang and Kentaro Inui | 19 |
| <i>Constituency Tree Representation for Argument Unit Recognition</i> Samuel Guilluy, Florian Mehats and Billal Chouli | 35 |
| <i>Stance-Aware Re-Ranking for Non-factual Comparative Queries</i> Jan Heinrich Reimer, Alexander Bondarenko, Maik Fröbe and Matthias Hagen | 45 |
| <i>Legal Argument Extraction from Court Judgements using Integer Linear Programming</i> Basit Ali, Sachin Pawar, Girish Palshikar, Anindita Sinha Banerjee and Dharendra Singh | 52 |
| <i>Argument Detection in Student Essays under Resource Constraints</i> Omid Kashefi, Sophia Chan and Swapna Somasundaran | 64 |
| <i>Towards Fine-Grained Argumentation Strategy Analysis in Persuasive Essays</i> Robin Schaefer, René Knaebel and Manfred Stede | 76 |
| <i>Dimensionality Reduction for Machine Learning-based Argument Mining</i> Andrés Segura-Tinoco and Iván Cantador | 89 |
| <i>On the Impact of Reconstruction and Context for Argument Prediction in Natural Debate</i> Zlata Kikteva, Alexander Trautsch, Patrick Katzer, Mirko Oest, Steffen Herbold and Annette Hautli- Janisz | 100 |
| <i>Unsupervised argument reframing with a counterfactual-based approach</i> Philipp Heinisch, Dimitry Mindlin and Philipp Cimiano | 107 |
| <i>Overview of ImageArg-2023: The First Shared Task in Multimodal Argument Mining</i> Zhexiong Liu, Mohamed Elaraby, Yang Zhong and Diane Litman | 120 |
| <i>IUST at ImageArg: The First Shared Task in Multimodal Argument Mining</i> Melika Nobakhtian, Ghazal Zamaninejad, Erfan Moosavi Monazzah and Sauleh Eetemadi | 133 |
| <i>TILFA: A Unified Framework for Text, Image, and Layout Fusion in Argument Mining</i> Qing Zong, Zhaowei Wang, Baixuan Xu, Tianshi Zheng, Haochen Shi, Weiqi Wang, Yangqiu Song, Ginny Wong and Simon See | 139 |
| <i>A General Framework for Multimodal Argument Persuasiveness Classification of Tweets</i> Mohammad Soltani and Julia Romberg | 148 |
| <i>Webis @ ImageArg 2023: Embedding-based Stance and Persuasiveness Classification</i> Islam Torky, Simon Ruth, Shashi Sharma, Mohamed Salama, Krishna Chaitanya, Tim Gollub, Johannes Kiesel and Benno Stein | 157 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>GC-Hunter at ImageArg Shared Task: Multi-Modal Stance and Persuasiveness Learning</i> Mohammad Shokri and Sarah Ita Levitan | 162 |
| <i>Argumentative Stance Prediction: An Exploratory Study on Multimodality and Few-Shot Learning</i> Arushi Sharma, Abhibha Gupta and Maneesh Bilalpur | 167 |
| <i>SPLIT: Stance and Persuasion Prediction with Multi-modal on Image and Textual Information</i> Jing Zhang, Shaojun Yu, Xuan Li, Jia Geng, Zhiyuan Zheng and Joyce Ho | 175 |
| <i>Semantists at ImageArg-2023: Exploring Cross-modal Contrastive and Ensemble Models for Multi-modal Stance and Persuasiveness Classification</i> Kanagasabai Rajaraman, Hariram Veeramani, Saravanan Rajamanickam, Adam Maciej Westerski and Jung-Jae Kim | 181 |
| <i>Overview of PragTag-2023: Low-Resource Multi-Domain Pragmatic Tagging of Peer Reviews</i> Nils Dycke, Ilia Kuznetsov and Iryna Gurevych | 187 |
| <i>CATALPA_EduNLP at PragTag-2023</i> Yuning Ding, Marie Bexte and Andrea Horbach | 197 |
| <i>DeepBlueAI at PragTag-2023: Ensemble-based Text Classification Approaches under Limited Data Resources</i> Zhipeng Luo, Jiahui Wang and Yihao Guo | 202 |
| <i>MILAB at PragTag-2023: Enhancing Cross-Domain Generalization through Data Augmentation with Reduced Uncertainty</i> Yoonsang Lee, Dongryeol Lee and Kyomin Jung | 207 |
| <i>NUS-IDS at PragTag-2023: Improving Pragmatic Tagging of Peer Reviews through Unlabeled Data</i> Sujatha Das Gollapalli, Yixin Huang and See-Kiong Ng | 212 |
| <i>SuryaKiran at PragTag 2023 - Benchmarking Domain Adaptation using Masked Language Modeling in Natural Language Processing For Specialized Data</i> Kunal Suri, Prakhar Mishra and Albert Nanda | 218 |

Conference Program

Thursday, December 7, 2023

09:00–09:10 Opening Remarks

09:10–10:10 Panel Session

10:10–10:34 Paper Session I

Dimensionality Reduction for Machine Learning-based Argument Mining
Andrés Segura-Tinoco and Iván Cantador

Argument Detection in Student Essays under Resource Constraints
Omid Kashefi, Sophia Chan and Swapna Somasundaran

10:34–11:00 Break

11:00–12:30 Paper Session II

On the Impact of Reconstruction and Context for Argument Prediction in Natural Debate
Zlata Kikteva, Alexander Trautsch, Patrick Katzer, Mirko Oest, Steffen Herbold and Annette Hautli-Janisz

Unsupervised argument reframing with a counterfactual-based approach
Philipp Heinisch, Dmitry Mindlin and Philipp Cimiano

Legal Argument Extraction from Court Judgements using Integer Linear Programming
Basit Ali, Sachin Pawar, Girish Palshikar, Anindita Sinha Banerjee and Dharendra Singh

Teach Me How to Argue: A Survey on NLP Feedback Systems in Argumentation
Camelia Guerraoui, Paul Reisert, Naoya Inoue, Farjana Sultana Mim, Keshav Singh, Jungmin Choi, Irfan Robbani, Shoichi Naito, Wenzhi Wang and Kentaro Inui

Detecting Argumentative Fallacies in the Wild: Problems and Limitations of Large Language Models
Ramon Ruiz-Dolz and John Lawrence

Thursday, December 7, 2023 (continued)

Constituency Tree Representation for Argument Unit Recognition

Samuel Guilluy, Florian Mehats and Billal Chouli

Mind the Gap: Automated Corpus Creation for Enthymeme Detection and Reconstruction in Learner Arguments (Findings Paper)

Maja Stahl, Nick Düsterhus, Mei-Hua Chen and Henning Wachsmuth

Automatic Analysis of Substantiation in Scientific Peer Reviews (Findings Paper)

Yanzhu Guo, Guokan Shang, Virgile Rennard, Michalis Vazirgiannis and Chloé Clavel

12:30–14:00 Lunch

14:00–15:00 Shared Task Session

Overview of ImageArg-2023: The First Shared Task in Multimodal Argument Mining

Zhexiong Liu, Mohamed Elaraby, Yang Zhong and Diane Litman

Overview of PragTag-2023: Low-Resource Multi-Domain Pragmatic Tagging of Peer Reviews

Nils Dycke, Ilia Kuznetsov and Iryna Gurevych

15:00–16:00 Poster Session (Shared Task Papers + Main Workshop Papers)

15:30–16:00 Break

16:00–17:00 Keynote Speech

17:00–17:52 Paper Session III

Towards Fine-Grained Argumentation Strategy Analysis in Persuasive Essays

Robin Schaefer, René Knaebel and Manfred Stede

Using Masked Language Model Probabilities of Connectives for Stance Detection in English Discourse

Regina Stodden, Laura Kallmeyer, Lea Kawaletz and Heidrun Dorgeloh

Thursday, December 7, 2023 (continued)

Stance-Aware Re-Ranking for Non-factual Comparative Queries

Jan Heinrich Reimer, Alexander Bondarenko, Maik Fröbe and Matthias Hagen

*Unveiling the Power of Argument Arrangement in Online Persuasive Discussions
(Findings Paper)*

Nailia Mirzakhmedova, Johannes Kiesel, Khalid Al Khatib and Benno Stein

*High-quality argumentative information in low resources approaches improves
counter-narrative generation (Findings Paper)*

Damián Furman, Pablo Torres, José Rodríguez, Diego Letzen, Maria Vanina Martínez and Laura Alonso Alemany

18:00–18:15 Closing Remarks + Best Paper Award

