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## Abstract Meaning Representation (AMR)



He ate the pizza with his fingers.

## AMR-to-text generation (English)



## AMR-to-text generation (English)



He ate the pizza with his fingers.

# Previous work 



- Konstas et al. (2017): sequential encoder;
- Song et al. (2018), Beck et al. (2018): graph encoder;

He ate the pizza with his fingers.


- Are improvements in graph encoders due to reentrancies?
- To answer, compare:
(1) Sequence: BiLSTM;
(2) Tree: TreeLSTM (Tai et al., 2015);
(3) Graph: Graph Convolutional Network (GCN; Kipf and Welling, 2017).


## Sequential input (Konstas et al., 2017)


eat-01 :arg0 he :arg1 pizza :instrument finger :part-of he

## Sequential input (Konstas et al., 2017)



Sequential input (Konstas et al., 2017)

eat-01 :arg0 he :arg1 pizza :instrument finger :part-of he

## Tree-structured input



## Tree-structured input



## Tree-structured input



## Tree-structured input



## Graph-structured input



Graph-structured input


## Data

- AMR R2: 39260 sentences
- AMR R1: 19572 sentences (subset of R1)

Comparison between models (dev set R1)


## Comparison with previous work (test set R1)



Konstas: sequential baseline, Konstas et al. (2017)
Song: graph encoder (GRN), Song et al. (2018)

Comparison with previous work (test set R2)


Beck: graph encoder (GGNN), Beck et al. (2018)

## Reentrancies

He ate the pizza with his fingers.


| Model | Number of reentrancies |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $1-5$ | $6-20$ |
|  | $(619)$ | $(679)$ | $(70)$ |
| Seq | 42.94 | 31.64 | 23.33 |
| Tree | +0.63 | +1.41 | +0.76 |
| Graph | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 0 8}$ |

## Long-range dependencies

He ate the pizza with a fork.


Model Max dependency length

|  | $0-10$ <br> $(307)$ | $11-50$ <br> $(297)$ | $51-200$ <br> $(18)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seq | 50.49 | 36.28 | 24.14 |
| Tree | -0.48 | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 6 6}$ | +2.37 |
| Graph | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 0 4}$ |

## Generation example



REF tell your ex that all communication needs to go through the lawyer

Seq tell that all the communication go through lawyer
Tree tell your ex, tell your ex, the need for all the communication

Graph tell your ex the need to go through a lawyer

## Conclusions

- Graph encoders based on GCN and BiLSTM gives best results for AMR-to-text generation;
- Reentrancies and long-range dependencies contribute to the improvements of graph encoders;
- Demo and source code: http://cohort.inf.ed.ac.uk/amrgen.html


## Do reentrancies help with generating pronouns?

He ate the pizza with his fingers.


Contrastive pair analysis (Sennrich, 2017):

- Compute probability of a reference output sentence and the probability of a sentence containing a mistake;
- Compute accuracy of model in assigning a higher probability to the reference sentence.


## Do reentrancies help with generating pronouns?

He ate the pizza with his fingers $\rightarrow$ He ate the pizza with he fingers
$\rightarrow$ He ate the pizza with him fingers
$\rightarrow$ He ate the pizza with their fingers
$\rightarrow$ He ate the pizza with her fingers

| Model | Antecedent <br> $(251)$ | Type <br> $(912)$ | Num. <br> $(1840)$ | Gender <br> $(95)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seq | 96.02 | 97.70 | 94.89 | 94.74 |
| Tree | 96.02 | 96.38 | 93.70 | 92.63 |
| Graph | 96.02 | 96.49 | $\mathbf{9 5 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 7 9}$ |


| Input | Model | BLEU | Meteor |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Seq | Seq | 21.40 | 22.00 |
| Tree | SeqTreeLSTM | 21.84 | 22.34 |
|  | TreeLSTMSeq | 22.26 | 22.87 |
|  | TreeLSTM | 22.07 | 22.57 |
|  | SeqGCN | 21.84 | 22.21 |
|  | GCNSeq | 23.62 | 23.77 |
|  | GCN | 15.83 | 17.76 |
| Graph | SeqGCN | 22.06 | 22.18 |
|  | GCNSeq | 23.95 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 0 0}$ |
|  | GCN | 15.94 | 17.76 |

More examples

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}^{(k+1)}=\sigma\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} W_{\mathrm{dir}(j, i)}^{(k)} h_{j}^{(k)}+b^{(k)}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## More examples

REF i dont tell him but he finds out.
Seq i didn't tell him but he was out.
Tree i don't tell him but found out.
Graph i don't tell him but he found out.

## More examples

REF if you tell people they can help you , Seq if you tell him, you can help you!
Tree if you tell person_name you, you can help you . Graph if you tell them, you can help you .

## More examples

REF i 'd recommend you go and see your doctor too.
Seq i recommend you go to see your doctor who is going to see your doctor.
Tree you recommend going to see your doctor too.
Graph i recommend you going to see your doctor too.

