## A Newsroom human evaluation prompt questions

Dim.	Question
IN	How well does the summary capture
	the key points of the article?
$\mathbf{RL}$	Are the details provided by the summary
	consistent with details in the article?
VE	How efficient do you think the summary
	conveys the main point of the article?
UC	How much unnecessary content
	do you think the summary contains?
SR	To what degree do you think the summary
	is a perfect surrogate of the article?
CN	How much additional informative
	information can a reader find from the
	article after reading the summary?

Table 1: Prompts presented to Amazon Mechanical Turk workers

## **B** Newsroom Pearson correlation results

ROUGE	CN	IN	RL	SR	UC	VE
R1-R	0.477	0.957	0.912	0.946	0.846	0.793
R1-P	0.570	0.770	0.841	0.836	0.886	0.971
R1-F	0.662	0.928	0.963	0.964	0.967	0.964
R2-R	0.301	0.876	0.823	0.891	0.787	0.790
R2-P	0.416	0.788	0.813	0.843	0.848	0.919
R2-F	0.444	0.848	0.859	0.898	0.881	0.923
Enc-ref	CN	IN	RL	SR	UC	VE
enc-2	0.688	0.987	0.990	0.998	0.974	0.889
enc-3	0.714	0.983	0.994	0.995	0.981	0.896
max	0.714	0.992	0.992	0.989	0.965	0.855
avg	0.697	0.994	0.991	0.994	0.965	0.860
InferSent	0.714	0.993	0.992	0.992	0.967	0.855
ELMo-m	0.702	0.993	0.990	0.991	0.965	0.852
ELMo-a	0.681	0.994	0.989	0.991	0.957	0.861
enc-avg	0.701	0.991	0.993	0.995	0.971	0.875
Enc-doc	CN	IN	RL	SR	UC	VE
enc-2	0.514	0.984	0.940	0.974	0.895	0.811
enc-3	0.556	0.990	0.956	0.982	0.914	0.829
max	0.677	0.997	0.973	0.980	0.934	0.788
avg	0.734	0.990	0.985	0.979	0.953	0.813
InferSent	0.679	0.996	0.971	0.977	0.927	0.781
ELMo-m	0.682	0.998	0.981	0.984	0.941	0.813
ELMo-a	0.687	0.993	0.976	0.972	0.923	0.795
enc-avg	0.624	0.999	0.972	0.986	0.929	0.817

Table 2: Pearson correlation on Newsroom human evaluation data. Enc-ref/doc refers to embedding similarity with reference/full document.