

# African WordNet: A Viable Tool for Sense Discrimination in the Indigenous African Languages of South Africa

**Stanley Madonsela**  
Department of African Languages  
University of South Africa  
madonfs@unisa.ac.za

**Munzhedzi James Mafela**  
Department of African Languages  
University of South Africa  
mafelmj@unisa.ac.za

**Mampaka Lydia Mojapelo**  
Department of African Languages  
University of South Africa  
mojapml@unisa.ac.za

**Rose Masubelele**  
Department of African Languages  
University of South Africa  
masubmr@unisa.ac.za

## Abstract

In promoting a multilingual South Africa, the government is encouraging people to speak more than one language. In order to comply with this initiative, people choose to learn the languages which they do not speak as home language. The African languages are mostly chosen because they are spoken by the majority of the country's population. Most words in these languages have many possible senses. This phenomenon tends to pose problems to people who want to learn these languages. This article argues that the African WordNet may be the best tool to address the problem of sense discrimination. The focus of the argument will be on the primary sense of the word 'hand', which is part of the body, as lexicalized in three indigenous languages spoken in South Africa, namely, Tshivenda, Sesotho sa Leboa and isiZulu. A brief historical background of the African WordNet will be provided, followed by the definition of the word 'hand' in the three languages and the analysis of the word in context. Lastly, the primary sense of the word 'hand' across the three languages will be discussed.

## 1 Introduction

Thoughtful lexicography work for indigenous African languages of South Africa commenced just after the introduction of democratic elections in 1994. With the establishment of the Pan South African Language Board, national lexicography units were constituted in all the official languages of South Africa. The

lexicography units were tasked with the duty of establishing dictionaries in the different official languages of South Africa. Although many of the dictionaries are bilingual, they give very little information regarding sense discrimination, especially for non-mother tongue speakers who are interested in learning indigenous African languages. The South African government encourages people to learn one indigenous African language in addition to their first language. Lexicography work in African languages produced so far does not address the needs of indigenous African language learners because the equivalents provided do not address the problem of sense discrimination. Similarly, indigenous African language learners take it for granted that a lexical item has the same sense across these languages, whereas sometimes the sense of a word is different in these languages even if languages are related.

This paper argues that African WordNet could be a viable tool to address problems such as those mentioned above. The equivalents of 'hand' in Tshivenda (Venda), Sesotho sa Leboa (Northern Sotho) and isiZulu (Zulu) are *tshanda*, *seatla (letsogo)* and *isandla*, respectively. Indigenous official languages of South Africa belong to the same family of languages; they are Bantu languages belonging to the Niger-Congo family. They are further divided into groups that are, to a certain extent, mutually intelligible. The Nguni language group and the Sotho language group, for example, are not mutually intelligible whereas languages within any of the two groups are. A

majority of the people in the country is multilingual but they may nevertheless not be competent in all the languages. Being a rainbow nation with a myriad of people and languages, everyday life dictates that one has some understanding or awareness, however limited, of other languages. The fact that official African languages in the country belong to the same family often tempts people, knowingly or unknowingly, to clamp them together with the saying ‘if you know one you know them all’ – and this is far from the truth. The lexicons and the senses reflect some similarities, overlaps and unrelatedness to an extent that they may result in miscommunication unless sense discrimination is taken care of.

We have used the English word ‘hand’ to demonstrate lexicalisation and sense discrimination in the languages, Sesotho sa Leboa (Northern Sotho), Tshivenda (Venda) and isiZulu (Zulu). Whilst there are other examples that could be used in the African WordNet to indicate sense discrimination across the indigenous African languages of South Africa, the choice of the word ‘hand’ stems from its cultural significance in the African value system. The word ‘hand’ has as its underpinning in the ‘Ubuntu’ (a value system that promotes humanity to others) element which regards humanity as a fundamental part of the eco-systems that lead to a communal responsibility to sustain life.

## 2 African WordNet defined

African WordNet is based on the Princeton WordNet. It is a multilingual WordNet of official indigenous languages of South Africa. WordNets for African languages were introduced with a training workshop for linguists, lexicographers and computer scientists facilitated by international experts in 2007. The development of WordNet prototypes for four official African languages started in 2008 as the African WordNet Project. This project was based on collaboration between the Department of African Languages at the University of South Africa (UNISA) and the Centre for Text Technology (CTexT) at the North-West University (NWU), as well as support from the developers of the DEBVisDic tools at the Masaryk University. The initiative

resulted in first versions of WordNets for isiZulu [zul], isiXhosa [xho], Setswana [tsn] and Sesotho sa Leboa [nso], all members of the Bantu language family (Griesel and Bosch, 2014). Currently Tshivenda is the fifth of the nine official African languages of the country that are part of the project.

## 3 Word sense

Sense is defined as one of a set of meanings a word or phrase may bear especially as segregated in a dictionary entry (Miriam Webster Online). Frege (1892) argues that sense is the mode of presentation of the referent. There are multiple ways of describing and conveying information about one and the same referent; and to each of these ways correspond a distinct sense. Every word is associated with a sense, and the sense specifies the condition for being the word’s referent.

According to Fellbaum (1998) in WordNet, each occurrence of a word form indicates a different sense of the word, which provides for its unique identification. A word in a synset is represented by its orthographic word form, syntactic category, semantic field and identification number. Together these items make a “sense key” that uniquely identifies each word/sense pair in the database. The sense of a word can be derived from the semantic relations that it has with other words. The manner in which word sense is viewed has a great appeal for the discussion of the word ‘hand’ in this article.

The underlying hypothesis of this paper relies on previous studies that used multiplicative models of composition by exploring methods to extend the models to exploit richer contexts. Studies by Gale *et al.*, (1993), Dagan *et al.*, (1991), Dagan and Itai, (1994) have used parallel texts for sense discrimination to identify semantic properties of and relations among lexemes (Dyvik, 1998). Whilst there are different approaches to sense discrimination, this paper adopts an approach by Akkaya, Wiebe and Mihalcea (2012) which is to cluster target word instances, so that the induced clusters contain instances used with the same sense

## 4 The primary sense of 'hand' in the three African languages

The primary meaning of a word is its literal meaning. This section looks into the dictionary equivalents of the primary meaning of the English word 'hand' in the three languages Tshivenda, Sesotho sa Leboa and isiZulu. The concept under discussion in this paper is defined in WordNet as "the (prehensile) extremity of the superior limb". It is sense 1 of the domain Anatomy and SUMO Bodypart [POS: n ID: ENG 20-05246212-n BCS: 3].

### 4.1 Tshivenda

The equivalent of *hand* in Tshivenda is *tshanda*. Whereas *hand* in English refers to the part at the end of a person's arm, including the fingers and thumb (Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English, 1995), *tshanda* in Tshivenda refers to both arm and hand taken as one. Tshivenda does not separate between arm and hand as languages such as English do, both are taken as one.

There is a slight difference among the Tshivenda lexicographers in defining the lexical entry *tshanda*. Wentzel and Muloiwa (1982:65 and 173) define *tshanda* and 'hand' differently. They define *tshanda* (pl. *zwanḁa*) as *arm, hand*; whereas *hand* is defined as *tshanda* (pl. *zwanḁa*). According to these lexicographers, *tshanda* has got two senses, that of the whole arm, and the part at the end of a person's arm.

The same applies to *Tshivenda – English Ṭhalusamaipfi Dictionary* (2006); the equivalent of *hand* is *tshanda* and the equivalents of *tshanda* are *hand* and *arm*. It would seem *Tshivenda – English Ṭhalusamaipfi Dictionary* (2006) adopted the definitions of the two lexical entries direct from Wentzel and Muloiwa (1982). To them both *hand* and *arm* are called *tshanda*. Van Warmelo (1989:388) on the other hand provides the equivalent of *tshanda* as *hand*. He does not differentiate between *arm* and *hand*; according to him the whole limb is *tshanda*. However, he also refers to the upper arm as *tshishasha*. Tshikota (2012a) and Tshikota (2012b) in his two monolingual dictionaries, *Ṭhalusamaidioma ya luamboluthihi ya Tshivenda* (Tshivenda monolingual dictionary

of idioms) and *Ṭhalusamaipfi ya luamboluthihi ya Tshivenda* (Tshivenda monolingual dictionary) define *tshanda* as follows:

**tshanda** *dzin* tshipiḁa tsha muvhili tshi re na minwe miḁanu tshine tsha shumiswa u fara ngatsho (Tshikota, 2012a:57)

'part of the body with five fingers, which is used to hold'

**tshanda** (*zwanḁa*) *dzin* *l* tshipiḁa tsha muvhili tshi re na minwe miḁanu tshine tsha shumiswa u fara ngatsho (Tshikota, 2012b:258)

'part of the body with five fingers, which is used to hold'

The definitions of the lexical entry *tshanda* in the two dictionaries are similar, and they refer to the English word *hand*. Lexicographers in these dictionaries were influenced by the English definition of *hand*. They do not reflect what the word *tshanda* refers in the spoken language. The word *tshanda* in spoken Tshivenda refers to English *arm* plus *hand*. This is attested by Wentzel and Muloiwa (1982), Van Warmelo (1989) and *Tshivenda – English Ṭhalusamaipfi Dictionary* (2006). The word *tshanda* also refers to the *palm*.

### 4.2 Sesotho sa Leboa

The word for 'hand' in Northern Sotho is *seatla* (plural: *diatla*). Ziervogel and Mokgokong's (1975) trilingual dictionary gives entries in Northern Sotho and equivalents in Afrikaans and English. The English equivalents of the word *seatla* in the dictionary are 'hand', 'palm of hand', 'handwriting'. The dictionary then continues to use the word in various linguistic contexts in order to lay bare different senses. Of the three English equivalents mentioned above, only 'handwriting' seems to be non-literal, not representing the sense under the domain - Anatomy. The first two equivalents refer to the physical part of the body. Only the first equivalent has a conceptual one-to-one with the concept defined in WordNet as "the (prehensile) extremity of the superior limb". The other equivalent 'palm of hand' is part of the whole concept defined above. Another trilingual

dictionary (Northern Sotho Language Board, 1988) gives entries in English and equivalents in Afrikaans and Northern Sotho. The latter is not only a dictionary, but a terminology and orthography standardizing document as well. The entry 'hand' has a Northern Sotho equivalent *seatla*. Following this entry is a number of English compound nouns and two-word entries which include 'hand'. Of these entries seven are clearly built on the primary meaning of 'hand'. The seven entries reflect that 'hand' is also referred to as *letsogo* in Northern Sotho. For example, the Northern Sotho equivalent of 'handwork' is *modiro wa diatla*, 'hand muscle' is *mošifa wa seatla*, 'hand movement' is *tshepedišo ya letsogo*, 'hand drill' is *borotsogo*, and 'handbag' is *sekhwamatsogo*.

### 4.3 IsiZulu

Mbatha (2006: 9) in his isiZulu monolingual dictionary defines 'hand' as *isitho somuntu okuyisona abamba ngaso* 'a body part which a human uses to hold'. Mbatha's definition shows dearth of the lexicographic feature in providing the quality of definition required to give clarity. However, Doke and Vilakazi (1972: 9) in their Zulu-English dictionary define 'hand' as *forearm (including the hand)*. From the definitions of these lexicographers, it is apparent that they define the concept not exactly the same. Mbatha seems to be focusing mostly on the functional aspect of the word 'hand' than striving to describe its meaning. Mbatha's definition shows dearth of the lexicographic feature in providing the quality of definition required to give clarity. The definition by Doke and Vilakazi on the other hand, is not detailed enough. When considering Doke and Vilakazi's definition, it lacks the defining criteria and the characteristics that are necessary to understand what the word means.. What makes Doke and Vilakazi's definition incomplete is that it does not give enough information about the word. In Collins English Dictionary (1991:704) the word hand is defined as 'the prehensile part of the body at the end of the arm, consisting of a thumb, four fingers and a palm'. Considering the definitions given by Mbatha, and Doke &

Vilakazi, it becomes clear the information that they have provided has a tentative validity.

## 5 Discussion

Across the three languages, the primary sense of 'hand' is a physical part of the human body. Lexicographers have to constantly strive to enhance the quality of definitions in monolingual dictionaries to best suit the needs and level of their target users (Gouws 2001:143). Landau (2001:162) also maintains that the definition must define and not just talk about the word or its usage. It is clear from the argument given above that they do not provide the answer to the question 'what it is' that is being defined as Gouws (Ibid) suggests. Lombard (1991:166) pinpoints defining criteria that would result in good definitions namely *completeness, clarity, accuracy, consistency, independency, objectivity* and *neutrality*. Although words for 'hand' in the three languages may refer to the different parts of the limb, starting at the end of the shoulder and ending at the fingers, the parts constitute the same limb. Whereas in Tshivenda and isiZulu, 'hand' is referred to as *tshanda* and *isandla* respectively, in Sesotho sa Leboa it is referred to as *seatla* or *letsogo*. In Tshivenda, *tshanda* is that part of the human body starting from the shoulder to the fingers. This means that the whole limb is referred to as *tshanda*. The sense in isiZulu is slightly different from that in Tshivenda because *isandla* refers to the forearm including the wrist, fingers. Whereas Tshivenda *tshanda* refers to the whole limb, isiZulu *isandla* refers part of the limb, i.e. forearm. Sesotho sa Leboa refers to the whole limb as *letsogo* 'arm', to the 'hand' as *seatla*; additionally 'hand' is referred to as *letsogo*. *Seatla* is part of the whole limb, a meronym of *letsogo* 'arm', but also used synonymously with *letsogo*. Unlike Tshivenda and Sesotho sa Leboa, isiZulu recognises the forearm as part of the hand, which is referred to as *isandla*. In Tshivenda and Sesotho sa Leboa, the palm of the hand is referred to as *tshanda* and *seatla*, respectively.

It emerges from the Northern Sotho dictionary definitions and equivalents that the concept is lexicalized as *seatla* and/or *letsogo*. The English dictionary equivalent of Northern Sotho *letsogo* is 'arm'. *letsogo* refers to the whole superior limb, which includes *seatla* 'hand'. The two are understood to be in a

holonym-meronym relationship, while being used as synonyms as well.

## 6 Conclusion

The empirical conclusion in this paper provides a new understanding of words with different senses which pose a challenge to the different speakers of the indigenous South African languages, particularly the three languages mentioned. Considering the hypothesis posed at the beginning of this paper, it can be concluded that the primary sense of *hand* in the three languages, although related, is different. People learning these languages should not conclude that because they are grouped as African languages the senses of their lexicons are similar throughout. It is also noted that the sense of *hand* in English is different from that in the African languages. WordNet is a good tool to investigate the sense of African languages' lexicons, in that the word 'arm' has a comparable sense and an ID, namely, arm: 1 [POS: n ID: ENG 20-05245410-n BCS: 3] and belongs to a specific domain: Anatomy.

The discussion in this paper has gone some way towards enhancing our understanding of the degree to which African WordNet can be a tool that can be used to differentiate word sense. This research has thrown up many questions in need of further investigation regarding the other sense such as the metaphoric use and the idiomatic expression of the word in discussion. It became evident from the discussion that the same word can have different senses in the different.

## References

- Akkaya, C., Wiebe, J. and Mihalcea, R. 2012. Utilizing Semantic Composition in Distributional Semantic Models for Word Sense Discrimination and Word Sense Disambiguation. Sixth IEEE International Conference on Semantic Computing (IEEE ICSC2012). Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins and *Cognitive Processes*, 6 (1), 1–28.
- Christiane Fellbaum 1998. Ed. WordNet: An Electronic Lexical Database. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Dagan, I. and Itai, A. 1994. Word sense disambiguation using a second language
- Dagan, I., Itai, A., and Schwall, U. 1991. Two languages are more informative than one.
- Dirk Ziervogel, Daniel P. Lombard and Pothinus C. Mokgokong. 1969. *A Handbook of the Northern Sotho Language*, Van Schaik, Pretoria.
- Doke, C.M. and Vilakazi, B.W. 1972. Zulu-English Dictionary. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press.
- Dyvik, H. 1998. Translations as Semantic Mirrors. *Proceedings of Workshop Multilinguality in the Lexicon II, ECAI 98*, Brighton, UK, 24-44.
- Frege, G. 1892 "On Sense and Reference" Translated by M. Black in Geach, P. and Black, M. (eds.) (1970) *Translations from the Philosophical Writings of Gottlob Frege*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Gale, W. A., Church, K. W. and Yarowsky, D. 1993. A method for disambiguating word senses in a large corpus. *Computers and the Humanities*, 26, 415-439.
- Gouws, R.H. and Prinsloo, D.J. 2005. Principles and Practices of South African Lexicography. Stellenbosch: Sun Press
- Landau, S.I. 2001. Dictionaries: The Art and Craft of Lexicography. Second Edition. Cambridge University Press.
- Lombard, F. 1991. Die aard en aanbieding van die leksikografiese definisie. In: *Lexikos 1*: 158-180.
- Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English, 1995. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Harlow: Longman Group.
- M.A.K Halliday, Wolfgang Teubert, Colin Yallop and Anna Čermáková. 2004. *Lexicology and Corpus Linguistics: an introduction*. London: Continuum.
- Marissa Griesel and Sonja Bosch. 2014. Taking stock of the African Wordnets project: 5 years of development. In *Proceedings of the Seventh Global WordNet Conference*, January 2014. University of Tartu, Estonia.
- Mbatha, M.O. 2006. *Isichazamazwi SesiZulu*. Pietermaritzburg: New Dawn Publishers.

- Northern Sotho Language Board. 1988. *Sesotho sa Leboa Mareo le Mongwalo No. 4/ Northern Sotho Terminology and Orthography No. 4/ Noord-Sotho Terminologie en Spelreëls No. 4*. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- Proceedings of the 29th Annual Meeting of the ACL*, 18-21 Berkeley, California, 130-137.
- Resnik, Philip and Yarowsky, David 1997. A perspective on word sense disambiguation methods and their evaluation. *ACL-SIGLEX Workshop Tagging Text with Lexical Semantics: Why, What, and How?* Washington, D.C., 79-86.
- Tshikota, SL. 2012. *Thalusamaipfi ya Luamboluthihi ya Tshivenda*. Tshohoyandou: Tshivenda National Lexicography Unit.
- Tshikota, SL. 2012. *Thalusamaidioma ya Luamboluthihi ya Tshivenda*. Tshohoyandou: Tshivenda National Lexicography Unit.
- Tshivenda NLU. 2006. *Tshivenda/English Thalusamaipfi Dictionary*. Cape Town: Phumelela Publishers.
- Van Warmelo, N.J. 1989. *Venda Dictionary: Tshivenda – English*. Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Wentzel, P.J. 1982. *Improved Trilingual Dictionary: Venda – Afrikaans – English*. Pretoria: University of South Africa.
- Yong, H & Peng, J 2007. *Bilingual lexicography from a communicative perspective*.