

EMNLP 2017

**Proceedings of the  
4th Workshop on Argument Mining**

September 8, 2017  
Copenhagen, Denmark

©2017 The Association for Computational Linguistics

Order copies of this and other ACL proceedings from:

Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)  
209 N. Eighth Street  
Stroudsburg, PA 18360  
USA  
Tel: +1-570-476-8006  
Fax: +1-570-476-0860  
[acl@aclweb.org](mailto:acl@aclweb.org)

ISBN 978-1-945626-84-5

## Introduction

The goal of this workshop is to provide a follow-on forum to the last three years' Argumentation Mining workshops at ACL and NAACL, the first research forum devoted to argumentation mining in all domains of discourse.

Argument mining (also, "argumentation mining", referred to as "computational argumentation" in some recent works) is a relatively new challenge in corpus-based discourse analysis that involves automatically identifying argumentative structures within discourse, e.g., the premises, conclusion, and argumentation scheme of each argument, as well as argument-subargument and argument-counterargument relationships between pairs of arguments in the document. To date, researchers have investigated methods for argument mining in areas such as legal documents, on-line debates, product reviews, academic literature, user comments on proposed regulations, newspaper articles and court cases, as well as in dialogical domains. To date there are few corpora with annotations for argumentation mining research although corpora with annotations for argument sub-components have recently become available.

Proposed applications of argumentation mining include improving information retrieval and information extraction as well as end-user visualization and summarization of arguments. Textual sources of interest include not only the formal writing of legal text, scientific writing and parliamentary records, but also a variety of informal genres such as microtext, spoken meeting transcripts, product reviews and user comments. In instructional contexts where argumentation is a pedagogically important tool for conveying and assessing students' command of course material, the written and diagrammed arguments of students (and the mappings between them) are educational data that can be mined for purposes of assessment and instruction. This is especially important given the wide-spread adoption of computer-supported peer review, computerized essay grading, and large-scale online courses and MOOCs.

Success in argument mining will require interdisciplinary approaches informed by natural language processing technology, theories of semantics, pragmatics and discourse, knowledge of discourse of domains such as law and science, artificial intelligence, argumentation theory, and computational models of argumentation. In addition, it will require the creation and annotation of high-quality corpora of argumentation from different types of sources in different domains.

We are looking forward to a full day workshop to exchange ideas and present ongoing research on all of the above - see you all in Copenhagen, Denmark at EMNLP 2017!



**Organizers:**

Ivan Habernal, Technische Universität Darmstadt (chair)  
Iryna Gurevych, Technische Universität Darmstadt (chair)  
Kevin Ashley, University of Pittsburgh  
Claire Cardie, Cornell University  
Nancy Green, University of North Carolina Greensboro  
Diane Litman, University of Pittsburgh  
Georgios Petasis, NCSR Demokritos, Athens  
Chris Reed, University of Dundee  
Noam Slonim, IBM Research  
Vern R. Walker, Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University, New York

**Program Committee:**

Stergos Afantenos, University of Toulouse  
Ahmet Aker, University of Duisburg-Essen  
Carlos Alzate, IBM Research - Ireland  
Katarzyna Budzynska, Polish National Academy of Sciences and University of Dundee  
Elena Cabrio, Universite Cote d'Azur, CNRS, Inria, I3S, France  
Matthias Grabmair, Carnegie Mellon University  
Graeme Hirst, University of Toronto  
Jonas Kuhn, University of Stuttgart  
Ran Levy, IBM Research  
Maria Liakata, University of Warwick  
Beishui Liao, Zhejiang University  
Marie-Francine Moens, KU Leuven  
Smaranda Muresan, Columbia University  
Alexis Palmer, University of North Texas  
Joonsuk Park, Williams College  
Simon Parsons, King's College London  
Mercer Robert, University of Western Ontario  
Ariel Rosenfeld, Bar-Ilan University, Israel  
Patrick Saint-Dizier, IRIT-CNRS  
Jodi Schneider, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Christian Stab, Technische Universität Darmstadt  
Benno Stein, Bauhaus-Universität Weimar  
Karkaletsis Vangelis, NCSR Demokritos, Athens  
Serena Villata, CNRS, France  
Henning Wachsmuth, Bauhaus-Universität Weimar  
Lu Wang, Northeastern University  
Zhongyu Wei, Fudan University  
Janyce Wiebe, University of Pittsburgh  
Adam Wyner, University of Aberdeen



## Table of Contents

<i>200K+ Crowdsourced Political Arguments for a New Chilean Constitution</i> Constanza Fierro, Claudio Fuentes, Jorge Pérez and Mauricio Quezada . . . . .	1
<i>Analyzing the Semantic Types of Claims and Premises in an Online Persuasive Forum</i> Christopher Hidey, Elena Musi, Alyssa Hwang, Smaranda Muresan and Kathy McKeown . . . . .	11
<i>Annotation of argument structure in Japanese legal documents</i> Hiroaki Yamada, Simone Teufel and Takenobu Tokunaga . . . . .	22
<i>Improving Claim Stance Classification with Lexical Knowledge Expansion and Context Utilization</i> Roy Bar-Haim, Lilach Edelstein, Charles Jochim and Noam Slonim . . . . .	32
<i>Mining Argumentative Structure from Natural Language text using Automatically Generated Premise-Conclusion Topic Models</i> John Lawrence and Chris Reed . . . . .	39
<i>Building an Argument Search Engine for the Web</i> Henning Wachsmuth, Martin Potthast, Khalid Al Khatib, Yamen Ajjour, Jana Puschmann, Jiani Qu, Jonas Dorsch, Viorel Morari, Janek Bevendorff and Benno Stein . . . . .	49
<i>Argument Relation Classification Using a Joint Inference Model</i> Yufang Hou and Charles Jochim . . . . .	60
<i>Projection of Argumentative Corpora from Source to Target Languages</i> Ahmet Aker and Huangpan Zhang . . . . .	67
<i>Manual Identification of Arguments with Implicit Conclusions Using Semantic Rules for Argument Mining</i> Nancy Green . . . . .	73
<i>Unsupervised corpus-wide claim detection</i> Ran Levy, Shai Gretz, Benjamin Sznajder, Shay Hummel, Ranit Aharonov and Noam Slonim . . . . .	79
<i>Using Question-Answering Techniques to Implement a Knowledge-Driven Argument Mining Approach</i> Patrick Saint-Dizier . . . . .	85
<i>What works and what does not: Classifier and feature analysis for argument mining</i> Ahmet Aker, Alfred Sliwa, Yuan Ma, Ruishen Lui, Niravkumar Borad, Seyedeh Ziyaei and Mina Ghobadi . . . . .	91
<i>Unsupervised Detection of Argumentative Units through Topic Modeling Techniques</i> Alfio Ferrara, Stefano Montanelli and Georgios Petasis . . . . .	97
<i>Using Complex Argumentative Interactions to Reconstruct the Argumentative Structure of Large-Scale Debates</i> John Lawrence and Chris Reed . . . . .	108
<i>Unit Segmentation of Argumentative Texts</i> Yamen Ajjour, Wei-Fan Chen, Johannes Kiesel, Henning Wachsmuth and Benno Stein . . . . .	118



# Conference Program

**Friday, September 8, 2017**

**8:50–9:50**      **Welcome session**

8:50–9:00      *Welcome*  
Workshop Chairs

9:00–9:50      *Invited talk*  
Christian Kock, Dept. of Media, Cognition and Communication, University of Copenhagen

**9:50–10:30**    **Paper session I**

9:50–10:10    *200K+ Crowdsourced Political Arguments for a New Chilean Constitution*  
Constanza Fierro, Claudio Fuentes, Jorge Pérez and Mauricio Quezada

10:10–10:30   *Analyzing the Semantic Types of Claims and Premises in an Online Persuasive Forum*  
Christopher Hidey, Elena Musi, Alyssa Hwang, Smaranda Muresan and Kathy McKeown

**10:30–11:00**   **Coffee break**

**11:00–12:30**   **Paper session II**

11:00–11:20   *Annotation of argument structure in Japanese legal documents*  
Hiroaki Yamada, Simone Teufel and Takenobu Tokunaga

11:20–11:40   *Improving Claim Stance Classification with Lexical Knowledge Expansion and Context Utilization*  
Roy Bar-Haim, Lilach Edelstein, Charles Jochim and Noam Slonim

11:40–12:00   *Mining Argumentative Structure from Natural Language text using Automatically Generated Premise-Conclusion Topic Models*  
John Lawrence and Chris Reed

12:00–12:20   *Building an Argument Search Engine for the Web*  
Henning Wachsmuth, Martin Potthast, Khalid Al Khatib, Yamen Ajjour, Jana Puschmann, Jiani Qu, Jonas Dorsch, Viorel Morari, Janek Bevendorff and Benno Stein

**Friday, September 8, 2017 (continued)**

**12:30–14:30 Lunch break**

**14:30–15:30 Poster session**

14:30–15:30 *Argument Relation Classification Using a Joint Inference Model*

Yufang Hou and Charles Jochim

14:30–15:30 *Projection of Argumentative Corpora from Source to Target Languages*

Ahmet Aker and Huangpan Zhang

14:30–15:30 *Manual Identification of Arguments with Implicit Conclusions Using Semantic Rules for Argument Mining*

Nancy Green

14:30–15:30 *Unsupervised corpus-wide claim detection*

Ran Levy, Shai Gretz, Benjamin Sznajder, Shay Hummel, Ranit Aharonov and Noam Slonim

14:30–15:30 *Using Question-Answering Techniques to Implement a Knowledge-Driven Argument Mining Approach*

Patrick Saint-Dizier

14:30–15:30 *What works and what does not: Classifier and feature analysis for argument mining*

Ahmet Aker, Alfred Sliwa, Yuan Ma, Ruishen Lui, Niravkumar Borad, Seyedeh Ziyaei and Mina Ghobadi

**Friday, September 8, 2017 (continued)**

**15:30–16:00 Coffee break**

**16:00–17:00 Paper session III**

16:00–16:20 *Unsupervised Detection of Argumentative Units through Topic Modeling Techniques*  
Alfio Ferrara, Stefano Montanelli and Georgios Petasis

16:20–16:40 *Using Complex Argumentative Interactions to Reconstruct the Argumentative Structure of Large-Scale Debates*  
John Lawrence and Chris Reed

16:40–17:00 *Unit Segmentation of Argumentative Texts*  
Yamen Ajjour, Wei-Fan Chen, Johannes Kiesel, Henning Wachsmuth and Benno Stein

**17:00–17:30 Wrap-up discussion**

