

Measuring the Public Accountability of New Modes of Governance

Bruno Wueest

Institute of Political Science
University of Zurich
wueest@ipz.uzh.ch

Gerold Schneider

Institute of Computational Linguistics
University of Zurich
gschneid@ifi.uzh.ch

Michael Amsler

Institute of Computational Linguistics
University of Zurich
mamsler@ifi.uzh.ch

Abstract

We present an encompassing research endeavour on the public accountability of new modes of governance in Europe. The aim of this project is to measure the salience, tonality and framing of regulatory bodies and public interest organisations in newspaper coverage and parliamentary debates over the last 15 years. In order to achieve this, we use language technology which is still underused in political science text analyses. Institutionally, the project has emerged from a collaboration between a computational linguistics and a political science department.

1 Introduction

The institutionalization of the regulatory state in Europe entailed new modes of governance such as transgovernmental networks between officials and non-state authorities or the involvement of private corporations (e.g. rating agencies) in the policy processes (Gilardi, 2005; Abbott and Snidal, 2008). At the subnational level, the emergence of regulatory agencies and public-private partnerships spreading across metropolitan regions have come to challenge traditional state institutions (Kelleher and Lowery, 2009). Since these new modes of governance organize political authority along functional rather than territorial lines, many observers are worried about their potential “democratic deficit” (Dahl, 1994; Follesdal and Hix, 2006; Keohane et al., 2009). In response to these considerations, scholars usually point to the administrative and professional accountability mechanisms of governmental and parliamentary oversight as well as judicial review (Majone, 2000;

Lodge, 2002; Busuioc, 2009). Other, more informal accountability mechanisms such as media coverage and public involvement, in contrast, have been either neglected, dismissed as scarcely relevant or dealt with only in comparative case studies (Maggetti, 2012). This is surprising, given that public communication plays an ever more decisive role for setting the political agenda and establishing transparency of policy making in modern democratic societies (Walgrave et al., 2008; Koopmans and Statham, 2010; Müller, forthcoming). With respect to the public accountability of new modes of governance, the media can thus be expected to constitute a key intermediary variable for the progressive formalization and institutionalization of voluntary private rules through reputational mechanisms (Gentzkow and Shapiro, 2006).

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we present our core research question, in section 3 we summarize our research methods, and in section 4 we briefly present a pilot study.

2 Research Question

It is important to ask whether and to what extent public communication systematically exposes new modes of governance to public accountability. More precisely, the project’s ambition is to determine how much attention the media and parliamentary debates dedicate to survey the regulatory bodies and public interest organizations under scrutiny, whether they watch these actors critically, and whether they report on these actors in terms of frames which are conducive to their public accountability, e.g. norm and rule compliance, transparency, efficiency or responsiveness to public demands.

3 Methodology

To answer these questions, the project implements approaches developed in computational linguistics and web automation in order to collect and classify big text data at the European level (European and internationally relevant newspapers), the domestic level in four countries (newspapers in the U.K., France, Germany and Switzerland), and the sub-national level in eight metropolitan areas (parliamentary debates and newspapers relevant for London, Birmingham, Paris, Lyon, Berlin, Stuttgart, Berne and Zurich). The project (1) starts from an encompassing gazetteer of actors involved in the new modes of governance in the areas and countries mentioned above, (2) uses application programming interfaces (API) and webscraping techniques to establish a large representative text corpus in English, French and German, (3) calculates the salience of the actors of interest by means of named entity recognition, coreference resolution and keyword detection, (4) applies sentiment detection and opinion mining to estimate the tonality of these actors, (5) uses relation mining methods (Schneider et al., 2009) to detect interactions and types of interactions between the entities of interest, and (6) intends to automate the recognition of media frames used in the context of these actors by identifying hidden topics via latent semantic analysis (LSA) (McFarlane, 2011; Odijk et al., 2014).

As points 3-6 provide key research challenges, we will discuss them in more detail in the following subsections. Before that, we present an overview of our current pipeline.

3.1 Pipeline

The pipeline consists of several components chained together in a modular way (see Figure 1). This provides us with the possibility to exchange components on demand. First, data acquisition is done via the use of an API to the media content database (e.g. LexisNexis). This allows us to fully automate the retrieval and storage of the media documents.

At a second stage, we employ a full natural language processing chain which includes morphological analysis, tagging, lemmatizing, and dependency parsing. On this basis, we then conduct several more layers of analysis. On the one hand, we use the result of the preprocessing chain for coreference resolution and sentiment analysis as well as relation mining. On the other hand, we also

integrate further tools such as named entity recognition and LSA which can be applied on the full text or corpus level. The thus enriched data is then aggregated and stored in a database.




Figure 1: Scheme of pipeline

Finally, the actual data analysis can be conducted by querying the database, based on the already available information or an adapted setting suitable to the requirements of the media content analysis.

3.2 Salience, Named Entities and Coreferences

One of the main metrics of interest is the salience of the entities. Therefore, a reliable detection of the entities in the articles is a pivotal task. Furthermore it is crucial to find those occurrences of entities in the text which are not directly detectable by using a gazetteer, since journalists often use references to the entities in the same article. Hence, we will integrate coreference resolution (Klenner and Tuggener, 2011) into our pipeline. In addition, we will also create a resource which will allow us to integrate external information on the entities, thus increasing the performance of the coreference resolution. For example, politicians are often mentioned with their name, their function (e.g. National Council), their party affiliation, their age, or a combination of such attributes. Together with the metadata of the media documents (media source, and time of publication) it is then possible to calculate these attributes and possible combinations and include them in the coreference resolution module in order to increase both precision and recall.

3.3 From Sentiment Detection to Opinion Mining

Sentiment analysis and opinion mining are research areas in computational linguistics which have received growing attention in the last decade (Pang and Lee, 2008; Liu and Zhang, 2012). In order to detect the tonality in the media coverage to-

wards the actors under scrutiny, we use a lexicon-based compositional sentiment analysis system component similar to Taboada et al. (2011). However, our approach is additionally based on the output of the full dependency parse and the results of the named entity recognition and coreference resolution. This will provide us with the ability to perform target-specific tonality calculation.

In addition to the mere calculation of sentiment or tonality over a whole article, our task includes the detection of sentiment on the sentence level and in respect to certain targets (i.e. entities). An additional challenge is to detect quotations including their sources and targets, since they may reveal the actors' most opinionated stances towards each other (Balahur et al., 2009). From this perspective, opinion mining can be seen as a sister discipline to sentiment analysis, which we can employ to map utterances of actors towards other actors, or towards specific political topics, stepping from classical sentiment detection to relation and opinion mining. We will focus on high precision assignment of the source of the statement.

It is important to note that the detection and determination of sentiment and opinion in media documents is a challenging endeavour since it differs in many ways from the task of previous research which has mostly considered reviews and other clearly opinionated text (Balahur et al., 2010). It will therefore also be necessary to adapt the sentiment analysis system to the domain of (political) news text and to use advanced techniques to match fine-grained targets and the entity to which they belong. For example, it should be possible to assign statements of a spokesperson to the institution he or she represents. However, we can build on existing research, since such a mapping can be considered similar to aspect-based opinion mining (Zhang and Liu, 2014).

3.4 Relation Mining

In well-resourced areas such as biomedical relation mining, the detection of interactions between entities such as genes and proteins or drugs and diseases is an established research focus. Training resources are abundant, and several systems have been evaluated in competitive challenges. Political science texts are typically less richly annotated. However, it is also possible to learn patterns expressing interactions from lean document-level annotation, by using distance-learning meth-

ods. If a document is annotated as containing the key actors A and B, then all syntactic connections found in that document between A and B can be assumed to provide patterns typically expressing interactions. Such approaches have been used in biomedicine (Rinaldi et al., 2012) and can be ported to the political domain.

3.5 Media Frames

Associative Framing (van Atteveldt et al., 2008) is based on measuring co-occurrence in large context windows. His suggested association measure is also different, he uses the conditional probability of seeing concept 1 (c_1) in the context of concept 2 (c_2), $p(c_1|c_2)$. Sahlgren (2006) describes how short context windows tend to detect syntagmatic relations like collocations, while large context windows detect paradigmatic relations. In van Atteveldt et al. (2008), concepts are basically keywords, while we will use vector space models, which allow one to automatically detect concepts. In vector space model approaches, each word is defined by the sum of its contexts, and words which have very similar contexts are clustered into a concept. There are many variants of this approach: in singular-value decomposition (SVD) or latent semantic analysis (LSA) approaches (Deerwester et al., 1990), the original very high dimensional space is reduced to fewer dimensions. In Word Space (Schütze, 1998) each word is defined recursively, by the contexts of its contexts, using an observation window of up to 100 words before and after the target word. Rothenhäusler and Schütze (2009) have shown that approaches using syntactic relations instead of large context windows can even perform better.

In the political communication literature, the definition of frames is contested. Matthes and Kohring (2008) thus suggest a bottom-up, data-driven and interactive method which on the one hand offers the possibility to correct and guide automatic approaches as has been exemplified by Hu et al. (2011), on the other hand the rigid consistency of automatic approaches can also add new insights for data interpretation.

4 Pilot Study

As a short glimpse at the potential of our research we present first data from a small pilot study. The depth of the analysis is still limited due to the not yet fully functional pipeline. In a first step, we col-

lected 4445 articles from the last ten years in three large German print and online news sources. The institutions under scrutiny are (private) associations for technical inspection in Germany. In this area, the TÜV (Technischer Überwachungsverein, i.e., Technical Inspection Association) and its subcompanies almost exert a regulatory monopoly. As a first goal, we want to investigate the difference in the tonality in the media coverage towards the institutions in this area. We therefore chose to investigate a public scandal revolving on defective breast implants that have been tested and certified by a TÜV subcompany. Table 1 reports the results.

Institution	Articles	Tonality			
Name	n	negative	ambivalent	neutral	positive
TÜV	57	47	5	3	2
TÜV subcompanies	45	39	3	2	1
Other institutions	10	6	2	0	2

Table 1: Absolute counts of articles about breast implants and tonality per institution

A first interesting finding is that we only found articles about breast implants in the last 3 years. Considering the sentiment analysis results for these articles, we see a clearly negative aggregated result. 82.1% of the articles were of negative tonality, compared to only 4.5% positive tonality. The remaining articles were of neutral (4.5%) or ambivalent (8.9%) tonality. The percentage of negative articles is even larger if only articles containing mentions of TÜV and its subcompanies are considered (84.3%), while the percentage of positive articles drops to 2.9%.

Furthermore, these findings are in line with the increase in negative articles on TÜV subcompanies during these years (see Figure 2). In fact, from all negative articles about the TÜV subcompanies, 28.8% in 2012 and even 38.2% in 2013 contained mentions of breast implants. The scandal itself was therefore responsible for the increase in negative articles in this period.

This development can be interpreted as an indication for the accountability of such institutions in the public media, although it remains an open question which aspects were dominant in the public discourse considering the scandal about the breast implants.

In sum, this pilot study increases our confidence to be able to successfully collect the necessary data for our main purpose, i.e. to answer the question whether new forms of governance are held accountable in the media. In the near future, we




Figure 2: Percentage and raw counts of negative (breast implant) articles for TÜV subcompanies

plan to implement approaches that allow us to inductively detect the issues brought forward in the context of an actor in a selection of texts. More precisely, we are planning to describe and detect the dynamics of the debate in articles as well as the tonality inside them.

5 Conclusions

We have introduced a project measuring media coverage and applying opinion and relation mining to the question of accountability of new modes of governance in Europe. To answer how public communication exposes them to public accountability, we apply computational linguistics methods ranging from named entity recognition, dependency parsing and coreference resolution to opinion and relation mining and ultimately framing.

We have given a pilot study on a public scandal involving defective breast implants that have been tested and certified by a TÜV subcompany in Germany. We find, on the one hand, that most of the articles on breast implants during the period are of negative tonality, and on the other hand, that a corresponding proportion of negative articles on TÜV mentions breast implants, explaining the spike in negativity. In future research, we will detect such spikes in a data-driven fashion and with the help of targeted opinion and relation mining approaches.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation project NCCR democracy¹.

¹<http://www.nccr-democracy.uzh.ch>

References

- Kenneth W. Abbott and Duncan Snidal. 2008. The governance triangle: regulatory standards institutions and the shadow of the state. In Walter Mattli and Ngaire Woods, editors, *The Politics of Global Regulation*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Alexandra Balahur, Ralf Steinberger, Erik van der Goot, Bruno Pouliquen, and Mijail Kabadjov. 2009. Opinion mining on newspaper quotations. In *Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Joint Conference on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology-Volume 03*, pages 523–526. IEEE Computer Society.
- Alexandra Balahur, Ralf Steinberger, Mijail Kabadjov, Vanni Zavarella, Erik van der Goot, Matina Halkia, Bruno Pouliquen, and Jenya Belyaeva. 2010. Sentiment analysis in the news. In *Proceedings of the Seventh conference on International Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'10)*, Valletta, Malta, may.
- Madalina Busuioc. 2009. Accountability, control and independence: the case of European agencies. *European Law Journal*, 15:599–615.
- Robert A. Dahl. 1994. A democratic dilemma: System effectiveness versus citizen participation. *Political Science Quarterly*, 109(1):23–34.
- Scott Deerwester, Susan T. Dumais, George W. Furnas, Thomas K. Landauer, and Richard Harshman. 1990. Indexing by latent semantic analysis. *Journal of the American Society of Information Science*, 41(6):391–407.
- Andreas Follesdal and Simon Hix. 2006. Why there is a democratic deficit in the EU: A response to Majone and Moravcsik. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 44:533–562.
- Matthew Gentzkow and Jesse M. Shapiro. 2006. Media bias and reputation. *Journal of Political Economy*, 114(2):280–316.
- Fabrizio Gilardi. 2005. The institutional foundations of regulatory capitalism: The diffusion of independent regulatory agencies in Western Europe. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 598:84–101.
- Yuening Hu, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Brianna Sattinoff. 2011. Interactive topic modeling. In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 248–257, Portland, Oregon, USA, June. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Christine A. Kelleher and David Lowery. 2009. Central city size, metropolitan institutions and political participation. *British Journal of Political Science*, 39(1):59–92.
- Robert O. Keohane, Stephen Macedo, and Andrew Moravcsik. 2009. Democracy-enhancing multilateralism. *International Organization*, 63(1):1–31.
- Manfred Klenner and Don Tuggener. 2011. An incremental entity-mention model for coreference resolution with restrictive antecedent accessibility. In G Angelova, K Bontcheva, R Mitkov, and N Nikolov, editors, *Recent Advances in Natural Language Processing (RANLP 2011)*, Proceedings of Recent Advances in Natural Language Processing, pages 178–185, September.
- Ruud Koopmans and Paul Statham. 2010. *The Making of a European Public Sphere. Media Discourse and Political Contention*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Bing Liu and Lei Zhang. 2012. A survey of opinion mining and sentiment analysis. In *Mining Text Data*, pages 415–463. Springer.
- Martin Lodge. 2002. The wrong type of regulation? regulatory failure and the railways in Britain and Germany. *Journal of Public Policy*, 22:271–297.
- Martino Maggetti. 2012. The media accountability of independent regulatory agencies. *European Political Science Review*, 4(3):385–408.
- Giandomenico Majone. 2000. The credibility crisis of community regulation. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 38:273–302.
- Jörg Matthes and Matthias Kohring. 2008. The content analysis of media frames: toward improving reliability and validity. *Journal of Communication*, 58:258–279.
- Delano J. McFarlane, 2011. *Computational Methods for Analyzing Health News Coverage*. PhD dissertation, Columbia University.
- Lisa Müller. forthcoming. *Patterns of Media Performance: Comparing the Contribution of Mass Media to Democratic Quality Worldwide*. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, UK.
- Daan Odijk, Bjorn Burscher, Rens Vliegthart, and Maarten de Rijke, 2014. *Automatic Thematic Content Analysis: Finding Frames in News*. unpub. Ms., Amsterdam, NL.
- Bo Pang and Lillian Lee. 2008. Opinion mining and sentiment analysis. *Foundations and trends in information retrieval*, 2(1-2):1–135.
- Fabio Rinaldi, Gerold Schneider, and Simon Clematide. 2012. Relation mining experiments in the pharmacogenomics domain. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*.
- Klaus Rothenhäusler and Hinrich Schütze. 2009. Unsupervised classification with dependency based word spaces. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Geometrical Models of Natural Language Semantics*, pages 17–24, Athens, Greece, March. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Magnus Sahlgren. 2006. *The Word-Space Model: Using distributional Analysis to represent syntagmatic and paradigmatic relations between words in high-dimensional vector spaces*. Ph.D. thesis, Stockholm University.
- Gerold Schneider, Kaarel Kaljurand, and Fabio Rinaldi. 2009. Detecting protein-protein interactions in biomedical texts using a parser and linguistic resources. In *Computational Linguistics and Intelligent Text Processing*, volume 5449, pages 406–417, Berlin, DE. CICLing, Springer.
- Hinrich Schütze. 1998. Automatic word sense discrimination. *Computational Linguistics*, 24(1):97–124.
- Maite Taboada, Julian Brooke, Milan Tofiloski, Kimberly Voll, and Manfred Stede. 2011. Lexicon-based methods for sentiment analysis. *Computational linguistics*, 37(2):267–307.
- Wouter van Atteveldt, Jan Kleinnijenhuis, and Nel Ruigrok. 2008. Parsing, semantic networks, and political authority: Using syntactic analysis to extract semantic relations from dutch newspaper articles. *Political Analysis*, 16(4):428–446.
- Stefaan Walgrave, Stuart Soroka, and Michiel Nuytemans. 2008. The mass media’s political agenda-setting power: A longitudinal analysis of media, parliament, and government in Belgium (1993 to 2000). *Comparative Political Studies*, 41:814–836.
- Lei Zhang and Bing Liu. 2014. Aspect and entity extraction for opinion mining. In *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery for Big Data*, pages 1–40. Springer.