# 5q032e@SMM4H'22: Transformer-based classification of premise in tweets related to COVID-19

Vadim Porvatov Sberbank / Moscow 117997, Russia eighonet@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Automation of social network data assessment is one of the classic challenges of natural language processing. During the COVID-19 pandemic, mining people's stances from public messages have become crucial regarding understanding attitudes towards health orders. In this paper, the authors propose the predictive model based on transformer architecture to classify the presence of premise in Twitter texts. This work is completed as part of the Social Media Mining for Health (SMM4H) Workshop 2022. We explored modern transformer-based classifiers in order to construct the pipeline efficiently capturing tweets semantics. Our experiments on a Twitter dataset showed that RoBERTalarge is superior to the other transformer models in the case of the premise prediction task. The model achieved competitive performance with respect to ROC AUC value 0.807, and 0.7648 for the F1 score.

### 1 Introduction

Modern natural language processing methods emerged as tools of outstanding performance in many classic machine learning tasks. Along with their other achievements, the introduction of transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017) allowed to develop of domain-specific models in the interlingual transfer of social media texts (Miftahutdinov et al., 2020), identification of drug similarity (Tutubalina et al., 2017), and detection of adverse drug effects (Sakhovskiy et al., 2021).

One of the prospective domains of language model development is an automatic extraction and further assessment of insights gained from Twitter texts (Pamungkas et al., 2019). In addition, stance and premise classification tasks are frequently interpreted as critical challenges in social media text analysis (Bar-Haim et al., 2017; Go et al., 2009).

**Contribution**. In this paper, we extensively evaluate premise classification approaches and partially

Natalia Semenova AIRI / Moscow 105064, Russia Sberbank / Moscow 117997, Russia semenova.bnl@gmail.com



Figure 1: Top-10 frequent words in the dataset.

explore dependencies between configurations of the considered models and their performance.

## 2 Data

Available labeled data (Davydova and Tutubalina, 2022) includes 4155 tweets divided into train and test samples in a ratio of 17:3. Regarding the premise classification, the dataset contains a subset of 2445 tweets with a positive label and 1710 tweets with a negative label. As an additional metadata, there are 1402 tweets tagged as *stay at home orders*, 1526 related to the *face masks*, and 1227 tweets marked as opinions about *school closures*. Established text data could be assessed from the perspective of trending word frequencies, Figure 1.

#### 3 Method

In order to reach the best score, we performed analysis of the state-of-the-art architectures for text classification and selected the following models: BERT (Kenton and Toutanova, 2019), RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019), AIBERT (Lan et al., 2019), DeBERTa (He et al., 2020), Rem-BERT (Chung et al., 2020), and Longformer (Beltagy et al., 2020). Generally, these models encode each tweet to the fixed-size vector and further apply the classifier layers in an end-to-end manner. The output activation function (softmax) converts the hidden representation of the text to the desired class probabilities which are further used during the

Split	Train		Test	Test		
	Accuracy	F1-score	ROC AUC   Accurat	cy F1-score	ROC AUC	
Random	0.4986	0.5592	0.5014 0.4959	0.4302	0.5016	
BERT (base, uncased) BERT (base, uncased, ml)	0.9446 0.913	0.9268 0.889	0.93920.79470.90050.7813	0.7185 0.7385	0.7793 0.7742	
AlBERTv2 (base) AlBERTv2 (xlarge)	0.9781 0.9215	0.9708 0.8992	0.9758 0.7746 0.9126 0.7496	0.6853 0.6681	0.7581 0.7314	
DeBERTa (base)	0.9194	0.8995	0.9095 0.813	0.7607	0.799	
Longformer (large)	0.9758	0.9681	0.9721 0.8097	0.7522	0.7956	
RemBERT	0.9885	0.9846	<b>0.9871</b> 0.7997	0.7309	0.7842	
RoBERTa (base) RoBERTa (large)	0.9213 0.9837	0.9024 0.9761	0.9118 0.7997 0.9795 <b>0.8214</b>	0.7521 <b>0.7648</b>	0.7882 <b>0.807</b>	

Table 1: Evaluation of methods for a premise classification task.



Figure 2: Confusion matrices of RoBERTa-large regarding the different tweets categories of test data.

computation of binary cross entropy loss function:

$$-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i} \cdot \log \hat{y}_{i} + (1-y_{i}) \cdot \log (1-\hat{y}_{i}), \quad (1)$$

where n is the number of samples,  $y_i$  is the true label of a tweet, and  $\hat{y}_i$  is the predicted one.

Relatively small train data encouraged us to use pre-trained variations of each considered model. As long as tweets comprise of divergent content, it is required to inspect the dataset at the preprocessing stage carefully. To move further with model training, we need to handle the presence of nametags, hashtags, emoticons, and other additional symbols. We perform processing procedures with the help of *tweet-preprocessor*<sup>1</sup> package. First, we remove abundant text pieces (e. g., user mentions) as well as replace web pages links and hashtags with placeholders. Before tokenization, we convert cased words to uncased ones.

#### 4 **Experiments**

We measure the performance of the models on the main task via three commonly used metrics for the binary classification: ROC AUC, Accuracy, and F1-score.

As the optimizer for the selected models, we used AdamW (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2019). The models were trained along the 20 epochs with learning rates varying from 0.001 to 0.00001 and batch sizes from the range [4, 8, 16, 32, 48]. Experiments were done with 3 Tesla V100 GPUs and 512 Gb of RAM. The training time of the models lies in the interval from 3.5 hours up to 5 hours.

For the premise classification, the best performance was achieved by the large RoBERTa model, Table 1. Obtained metrics are tangibly dissimilar from the other architectures despite RemBERT which suffers from overfitting and thus converges to the better values in the training sample. Detailed analysis of RoBERTa-large performance on different tweets categories is given in Figure 2.

To ensure the statistical significance of applied preprocessing procedures, we leverage the Mann–Whitney U test regarding the null hypothesis that the F1 scores obtained on the initial and preprocessed tweets belong to the same distribution. We rejected the null hypothesis with a p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

#### 5 Conclusion

In this work, we have explored the application of different transformer models to the task of premise classification. We extensively evaluated BERT variants and obtained the best architecture during the computational experiments. In future work, we intend to focus on the ensembling methods applied to the presented task.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://pypi.org/project/tweet-preprocessor/

#### References

- Roy Bar-Haim, Indrajit Bhattacharya, Francesco Dinuzzo, Amrita Saha, and Noam Slonim. 2017. Stance classification of context-dependent claims. In Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Volume 1, Long Papers, pages 251–261, Valencia, Spain. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Iz Beltagy, Matthew E. Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020. Longformer: The long-document transformer. *arXiv:2004.05150*.
- Hyung Won Chung, Thibault Fevry, Henry Tsai, Melvin Johnson, and Sebastian Ruder. 2020. Rethinking embedding coupling in pre-trained language models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Vera Davydova and Elena Tutubalina. 2022. Smm4h 2022 task 2: Dataset for stance and premise detection in tweets about health mandates related to covid-19. In Proceedings of the Seventh Social Media Mining for Health Applications (SMM4H) Workshop & Shared Task, pages –.
- Alec Go, Richa Bhayani, and Lei Huang. 2009. Twitter sentiment classification using distant supervision. *Processing*, 150.
- Pengcheng He, Xiaodong Liu, Jianfeng Gao, and Weizhu Chen. 2020. Deberta: Decoding-enhanced bert with disentangled attention. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Jacob Devlin Ming-Wei Chang Kenton and Lee Kristina Toutanova. 2019. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT*, pages 4171–4186.
- Zhenzhong Lan, Mingda Chen, Sebastian Goodman, Kevin Gimpel, Piyush Sharma, and Radu Soricut. 2019. Albert: A lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach.
- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2019. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Zulfat Miftahutdinov, Ilseyar Alimova, and Elena Tutubalina. 2020. On biomedical named entity recognition: experiments in interlingual transfer for clinical and social media texts. In *European Conference on Information Retrieval*, pages 281–288. Springer.

- EW Pamungkas, V Basile, and V Patti. 2019. Stance classification for rumour analysis in twitter: Exploiting affective information and conversation structure. In 2nd International Workshop on Rumours and Deception in Social Media (RDSM 2018), volume 2482, pages 1–7. CEUR-WS.
- Andrey Sakhovskiy, Zulfat Miftahutdinov, and Elena Tutubalina. 2021. Kfu nlp team at smm4h 2021 tasks: Cross-lingual and cross-modal bert-based models for adverse drug effects. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Social Media Mining for Health (SMM4H) Workshop and Shared Task*, pages 39–43.
- EV Tutubalina, Z Sh Miftahutdinov, RI Nugmanov, TI Madzhidov, SI Nikolenko, IS Alimova, and AE Tropsha. 2017. Using semantic analysis of texts for the identification of drugs with similar therapeutic effects. *Russian Chemical Bulletin*, 66(11):2180– 2189.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.