### A Systems and Setup: Details

For extractive systems, K-Means rank sentences clusters by descending order of cluster sizes, and then using a greedy algorithm (Lin and Bilmes, 2010) to select the nearest sentences to the centroid. Maximal Marginal Relevance (MMR) finds sentences which are highly relevant to the document but less redundant with sentences already selected for a summary. cILP (Gillick and Favre, 2009; Boudin et al., 2015) weights subsentences and maximizes their coverage by minimizing redundancy globally using Integer Linear Program (ILP). TexRank (Mihalcea and Tarau, 2004) automatically extracts keywords using Levenshtein distance between the text keywords. LexRank (Erkan and Radev, 2004) uses module centrality for ranking the keywords. In addition, we also use the recent three neural extractive systems: CL (Cheng and Lapata, 2016), SumRun (Nallapati et al., 2017), and S2SExt (Kedzie et al., 2018), where each has a little variation in their extraction architecture<sup>13</sup>.

In training *CL*, *SumRun*, and *S2SExt*, we use upweight positive labels to make them proportional to the negative labels. We use 200 embedding size of GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014) pre-trained embeddings with 0.25 dropout on embeddings, fixing it not to be trained during training. We use CNN encoder with 6 window size as [25, 25, 50, 50, 50, 50] feature maps. We use 1-layer of sequence-to-sequence model with 300 size of LSTM and 100 size of MLP with 0.25 dropout. *SumRun* uses 16 size of segment and 16 size of position embeddings.

For abstractive systems, we use *WordILP* (Banerjee et al., 2015) that produces a word graph of important sentences and then choose sentences from the word graph employing a ILP solver. We also use incremental sequence-to-sequence models: a basic *S2SAbs* (Rush et al., 2015) with *Pointer* network (See et al., 2017), with teacher forcing *Teacher* (Bengio et al., 2015), and with reinforcement learning on the evaluation metrics, and *RL* (Paulus et al., 2017).

In training S2SAbs, Pointer, Pointer, and RL, we use 150 hidden size of GRU with 300 size of GloVe embeddings. *Pointer* uses maximum coverage function using NLL loss. *Teacher* uses 0.75 ratio of teach forcing with exponential decaying function. and RL uses 0.1 ratio of RL optimiza-

tion after the first epoch of *S2SAbs* training. We use 4 size of beam searching at decoding. We use 32 batch size with adam optimizer of 0.001 learning rate.

For MScript, the original dataset has no data split, so we randomly split it by 0.9, 0.05, 0.05 for train, valid, test set, respectively.

### **B** Venn Diagram for All Datasets

Sentence Venn diagrams among three aspects and oracle for all datasets are shown in Figure 6. Newsroom has an analogous pattern to XSum. Compared to PeerRead, , PubMed has relatively less sentence overlap between FIRST-K and the other two aspects. MScript has extremely small oracle sentence overlaps to all three aspects. However, it is mainly because of the characteristics of the dataset: it has long source documents (1k sentences on average) with short (5 sentences on average) summary.

## C Full ROUGE F Scores for Corpus Bias Analysis

In Table 5, we provide a full list of ROUGE F scores for all datasets w.r.t three sub-aspects. We find that in MScript, the best algorithms for each of ROUGE-1/2/L are different.

## D Documents in an Embedding Space: for All Datasets

In Figure (7,8), we have more two-dimensional PCA projection examples for source documents from all datasets. We find a weak pattern about where target sentences lie on according to the number of them. For example, from XSum and Reddit which have a single target sentence, we investigate that some target sentences are located in the middle of ConvexHull, which are far from any source sentences.

# E System Biases per each corpus with the Three Sub-aspects

In Figure 9, we have more diagrams showing system biases toward each of three sub aspects. We find that there exists a bias according to the corpus: for example in Reddit, many systems have a importance bias in common. On the other hand, systems are biased toward a diversity aspect in AMI. Also, some systems tend to be biased in certain aspect across the different corpus: systems such as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>See (Kedzie et al., 2018) for a detailed comparison.

*KMeans* and *MMR*, many corpora are biased toward a importance aspect.

_				
		CNNDM	NewsRoom	XSum
_		R-1/2/L	R-1/2/L	R-1/2/L
Position	Random Oracle	26.6/6.7/23.9 51.5/28.5/48.6	15.2/2.8/12.2 53.4/40.2/50.7	14.9/1.8/11.2 27.9/7.5/23.2
	First-k Last-k Middle-k	<b>39.1/17.1/35.8</b> 23.5/4.7/21.1 29.4/8.6/26.4	<b>36.9/25.9/33.9</b> 11.5/2.0/9.5 17.4/5.3/14.4	14.8/1.4/11.1 13.2/1.5/10.1 14.7/1.7/11.0
IMPORT.  DIVERS.	ConvexFall Heuristic	29.5/8.6/26.6 29.2/8.7/26.3	15.0/4.0/12.7 14.9/4.1/12.7	13.6/1.3/10.5 13.6/1.3/10.5
	N-Nearest K-Nearest	29.7/9.3/26.9 30.6/10.5/27.8	18.9/6.1/15.7 19.1/6.8/16.0	<b>15.7/2.0/11.7</b> 15.0/1.8/11

		PeerRead	PubMed	Reddit
		R-1/2/L	R-1/2/L	R-1/2/L
	Random	38.2/11.1/34.3	41.3/11.3/37.6	17.6/3.7/14.2
	Oracle	56.6/29.5/52.7	58.2/27.9/54.8	38.5/17.8/33.8
POSITION	First-k	<b>41.4/16.8/37.9</b>	37.8/10.2/34.7	<b>21.8/6.2/17.8</b>
	Last-k	39.1/12.4/35.1	39.1/11.8/35.9	116.4/3.7/13.4
	Middle-k	40.4/12.5/36.3	39.5/10.8/36.3	17.4/3.2/13.8
DIVERS.	ConvexFall	40.4/12.8/36.3	39.0/10.3/35.3	17.3/3.2/14.2
	Heuristic	39.7/12.4/35.6	38.1/9.8/34.5	17.2/3.2/14.2
IMPORT.	N-Nearest	<b>41.4</b> /13.2/37.3	<b>43.1/12.7/39.5</b>	20.6/4.4/16.5
	K-Nearest	41.0/14.0/36.9	40.0/12.3/36.6	15.1/3.6/12.3

		AMI	BookSum	MScript
		R-1/2/L	R-1/2/L	R-1/2/L
Position	Random Oracle	17.4/2.2/16.3 42.8/12.3/40.9	41.6/7.0/39.6 52.0/14.7/50.2	12.2/0.7/11.3 33.5/7.3/31.7
	First-k Last-k Middle-k	16.4/2.3/15.5 11.1/1.7/10.5 16.1/1.9/15.2	<b>40.8/7.6/38.9</b> 37.6/5.8/36.1 39.4/6.6/37.7	10.3/ <b>1.1</b> /9.4 <b>13.4</b> /0.9/12.1 12.1/0.6/11.2
IMPORT. DIVERS.	ConvexFall Heuristic	<b>20.4/2.5/19.1</b> 15.7/1.5/15.0	24.3/3.9/22.6 38.2/6.2/36.4	12.8/0.7/11.9 9.7/0.5/9.1
	N-Nearest K-Nearest	1.9/0.1/1.8 0.0/0.0/0.0	39.3/6.9/37.4 30.9/5.0/29.5	13.1/0.8/ <b>12.2</b> 1.0/0.0/1.0

Table 5: Full ROUGE-1/2/L F-Scores for different corpora w.r.t three sub-aspects algorithms.



Figure 6: Venndiagram of averaged summary sentence overlaps across the the sub-aspects for all datasets. We use First-k for POSITION (P), CONVEXFall for DIVERSITY (D), and N-Nearest for IMPORTANCE (I). The number called *Oracle Recall* in the parenthesis is the averaged ratio of how many the oracle sentences are NOT chosen by union set of the three sub-aspect algorithms.



(d) PeerRead

Figure 7: PCA projection of extractive summaries chosen by multiple aspects of algorithms (CNNDM, NewsRoom, XSum, PeerRead, and PubMed). Source and target sentences are black circles (•) and purple stars, respectively. The blue, green, red circles are summary sentences chosen by First, ConvexFall, KN, respectively. The yellow stars are the oracle sentences. Best viewed in color.



(a) PubMed





(b) Reddit





(c) AMI





Figure 8: PCA projection of extractive summaries chosen by multiple aspects of algorithms (Reddit, AMI, Booksum, and MScript). Source and target sentences are black circles (●) and purple stars, respectively. The blue, green, red circles are summary sentences chosen by First, ConvexFall, KN, respectively. The yellow stars are the oracle sentences. Best viewed in color.



Figure 9: System biases with the three sub-aspects per each corpus, showing what portion of aspect is used for each system.