News clustering approach based on discourse text structure

Tatyana Makhalova, Dmitry Ilvovsky, Boris Galitsky

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia Knowledge Trail Incorporated, San Jose, USA t.makhalova@gmail.com, dilvovsky@hse.ru, bgalitsky@hotmail.com

Table of contents

- 1 Text clustering problem
- 2 Parse thickets as a text representation model
- 3 The clustering approach
- 4 Experiments
- 5 Conclusion

Main Clustering Aspects

- Text preprocessing and representation
- Clustering methods
- Similarity measures

Model	Authors	Data structure	Words order preserving	Embedded semantics
VSM	Salton et al, 1975	matrix	-	-
GVSM	Wong et al,1985	matrix	-	+
TVSM	Becker and Kuropka, 2003	matrix	-	+
eTVSM	Polyvyanyy and Kuropka, 2007	matrix	-	+
DIG	Hammouda and Kamel, 2004	graph	+	-
"Suffix Tree"	Zamir and Etzioni, 1998	tree	+	-
N-Grams	Schenker et al, 2007	graph	+	-
Parse Thickets	Galitsky, 2013	trees (forest)	+	+

- Preserving a linguistic structure of a text paragraph
- Constructing of parse trees for each sentence within a paragraph
- Adding inter-sentence relations between parse tree nodes

- Coreferences (Lee et al., 2012)
 - Anaphora
 - Same entity
 - Hyponym/hyperonym
- Rhetoric structure theory (RST) (Mann et al., 1992)
- Communicative Actions (Searle, 1969)

Coreferences: example



Relations based on Rhetoric Structure Theory

- RST characterizes structure of text in terms of relations that hold between parts of text
- RST describes relations between clauses in text which might not be syntactically linked
- RST helps to discover text patterns such as nucleus/satellite structure with relation such as *evidence*, *justify*, *antithesis*, *concession* and so on.

"Iran refuses to accept the UN proposal to end the dispute over work on nuclear weapons" $% \left({{{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{n}}}^{2}} \right)$

"UN nuclear watchdog passes a resolution condemning Iran for developing a second uranium enrichment site in secret",

"A recent IAEA report presented diagrams that suggested Iran was secretly working on nuclear weapons",

"Iran envoy says its nuclear development is for peaceful purpose, and the material evidence against it has been fabricated by the US"

"UN passes a resolution condemning the work of Iran on nuclear weapons, in spite of Iran claims that its nuclear research is for peaceful purpose",

"Envoy of Iran to IAEA proceeds with the dispute over its nuclear program and develops an enrichment site in secret",

"Iran confirms that the evidence of its nuclear weapons program is fabricated by the US and proceeds with the second uranium enrichment site"

Parse Thickets: discourse relations



"Iran confirms that the evidence of its nuclear weapons program is fabricated by the US and proceeds with the second uranium enrichment site"

"Iran envoy says its nuclear development is for peaceful purpose, and the material evidence against it has been fabricated by the US"

Parse Thickets: discourse relations



"UN nuclear watchdog passes a resolution condemning Iran for developing a second Uranium enrichment site in secret", "A recent IAEA report presented diagrams that suggested Iran was secretly working on nuclear weapons",

"UN passes a resolution condemning the work of Iran on nuclear weapons, in spite of Iran claims that its nuclear research is for peaceful purpose", "Envoy of Iran to IAEA proceeds with the dispute over its nuclear program and develops an enrichment site in secret"

Parse Thickets: an example



Similarity of parse thickets based on sub-trees matching

labeled discourse arcs

- unlabeled syntactic arcs
- nodes with part of speech and stem of a word

[NN-work IN-* IN-on JJ-nuclear NNS-weapons],
[DT-the NN-dispute IN-over JJ-nuclear NNS-*],
[VBZ-passes DT-a - NN-resolution],
[VBG-condemning NNP-iran IN-*],
[VBG-developing DT-* NN-enrichment NN-site IN-in NNsecret],
[DT-* JJ-second NN-uranium NN-enrichment NN-site],
[VBZ-is IN-for JJ-peaceful NN-purpose],
[DT-the NN-evidence IN-* PRP-it],
[VBN-* VBN-fabricated - IN-by DT-the NNP-us]

Clustering of paragraphs: generalisation of parse thickets

[NN-Iran VBG-developing DT-* NN-**enrichment** NN-site IN-in NN-secret]

[NN-*generalization*-<UN/nuclear watchdog> * VB-pass NN-resolution VBG-condemning NN- Iran]

[NN-generalization- <Iran/envoy of Iran> Communicative_action DT-the NN-dispute IN-over JJ-nuclear NNS-*]

[**Communicative_action** NN-work IN-of NN-Iran IN-on JJ-nuclear NNS-weapons]

[NN-generalization <Iran/envoy to UN> Communicative_action NN-Iran NN-nuclear NN-* VBZ-is IN-for JJ-peaceful NN-purpose]

[**Communicative_action** NN-generalization <work/develop> IN-of NN-Iran IN-on JJ-nuclear NNS-weapons]

[NN-generalization <Iran/envoy to UN> Communicative_action NN-evidence IN-against NN-Iran NN-nuclear VBN-fabricated IN-by DT-the NNP-us]

[NN-Iran JJ-nuclear NN-weapon NN-* RST-evidence VBN-fabricated IN-by DT-the NNP-US **condemnproceed** [enrichment site] <leads to> **suggestcondemn** [work Iran nuclear weapon]

- Adequately represent groups of texts with overlapping content
- Get text clusters with different refinement

Goal: (multi-level) hierarchical structure **Solution**: Construction of pattern structures on parse thickets

Pattern Structure

A triple $(G, (D, \sqcap), \delta)$, where G is a set of objects, (D, \sqcap) is a complete meet-semilattice of descriptions and $\delta : G \to D$ is a mapping an object to a description.

Pattern concept

A pair (A, d) for which $A^{\Box} = d$ and $d^{\Box} = A$, where A^{\Box} and d^{\Box} are the Galois connections, defined as follows:

$$A^{\Box} := \sqcap_{g \in A} \delta(g) ext{ for } A \subseteq G$$

 $d^{\Box} := \{g \in G | d \sqsubseteq \delta(g)\} ext{ for } d \in A$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \text{an original paragraph of text} & \to & \text{an object } a \in A \\ \\ \text{parse thickets constructed} & & \text{a set of its maximal} \\ \\ \text{from paragraphs} & & \text{generalized sub-trees } d \\ \\ \text{a pattern concept} & \to & \text{a cluster} \end{array}$

Drawback: the exponential growth of the number of clusters by increasing the number of texts (objects)

Average and Maximal Pattern Score

Maximum score among all sub-trees in the cluster

$$Score^{max} \langle A, d \rangle := \max_{chunk \in d} Score(chunk)$$

Average score of sub-trees in the cluster

$$Score^{avg} \langle A, d \rangle := rac{1}{|d|} \sum_{chunk \in d} Score(chunk)$$

where *Score* (*chunk*) = $\sum_{node \in chunk} w_{node}$

Average and Minimal Pattern Loss Score

Estimates minimal lost meaning of cluster content w.r.t. original texts in the cluster

$$ScoreLoss^{min} \langle A, d \rangle := 1 - rac{Score^{max} \langle A, d \rangle}{\min_{g \in A} Score^{max} \langle g, d_g \rangle}$$

Estimates lost meaning of cluster content on average

$$\textit{ScoreLoss}^{\textit{avg}}\left< \textit{A}, \textit{d} \right> := 1 - rac{\textit{Score}^{\textit{avg}}\left< \textit{A}, \textit{d} \right>}{rac{1}{|\textit{d}|}\sum_{\textit{g} \in \textit{A}}\textit{Score}^{\textit{max}}\left< \textit{g}, \textit{d}_{\textit{g}} \right>}$$

Controlling the loss of meaning w.r.t. the original texts

 $\mathit{ScoreLoss}^* \langle \mathsf{A}_1 \cup \mathsf{A}_2 \;, \mathsf{d}_1 \cap \mathsf{d}_2 \rangle \leq heta$



Controlling the loss of meaning w.r.t. the nearest more meaningfulness neighbors in the cluster hierarchy

 $\textit{Score}^* \left< \textit{A}_1 \cup \textit{A}_2 \right., \textit{d}_1 \cap \textit{d}_2 \right> \geq \mu_1 \textit{min} \left\{\textit{Score}^* \left< \textit{A}_1 \right., \textit{d}_1 \right>, \textit{Score}^* \left< \textit{A}_2 \right., \textit{d}_2 \right> \right\}$

Controlling the distinguishability w.r.t. the nearest neighbors in the hierarchy of clusters

 $\textit{Score}^* \left< \textit{A}_1 \cup \textit{A}_2 \right., \textit{d}_1 \cap \textit{d}_2 \right> \leq \mu_2 \textit{max} \left\{\textit{Score}^* \left< \textit{A}_1 \right., \textit{d}_1 \right>, \textit{Score}^* \left< \textit{A}_2 \right., \textit{d}_2 \right> \right\}$



$$\begin{aligned} & ScoreLoss^* \langle A_1 \cup A_2 \ , d_1 \cap d_2 \rangle \leq \theta \\ & Score^* \langle A_1 \cup A_2 \ , d_1 \cap d_2 \rangle \geq \mu_1 \min \left\{ Score^* \langle A_1 \ , d_1 \rangle, Score^* \langle A_2 \ , d_2 \rangle \right\} \\ & Score^* \langle A_1 \cup A_2 \ , d_1 \cap d_2 \rangle \leq \mu_2 \max \left\{ Score^* \langle A_1 \ , d_1 \rangle, Score^* \langle A_2 \ , d_2 \rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$



pattern structure without reduction



reduced pattern structure with $\theta=0,75,~\mu_1=0,1$ and $\mu_2=0,9$

- The Apache OpenNLP library (the most common NLP tasks)
- Bing search API (to obtain news snippets)
- Pattern structure builder: modified by authors version of AddIntent algorithm (van der Merwe et al., 2004)

News Clustering: motivation

- A long list of search results
- Many groups of pages with a similar content
- An overlapping content

User Study: non-overlapping partition

- web snippets on world's most pressing news: "F1 winners", "fighting Ebola with nanoparticles", "2015 ACM awards winners", "read facial expressions through webcam", "turning brown eyes blue"
- inconsistency of human-labeled partitions: low values of a pairwise Adjusted Mutual Information score of human-labeled partitions $0,03 \le Ml_{adj} \le 0,51$

Example: The Ebola News Set

Text ID	# words	# symbols	# sentences	quoted speech	reported speech
1	42	210	3		
2	42	253	3	+	
3	54	287	3	+	
4	75	399	3	+	+
5	31	167	2	+	
6	44	209	2	+	+
7	49	247	2		+
8	61	340	3		+
9	50	242	2	+	
10	62	295	4		+
11	90	526	4	+	+
12	75	370	4		

Accuracy of conventional clustering methods in the case of overlapping texts groups

- low (in most cases)
- greatly depends on taken as ground truth a human-labeled partition

Method	Linkage		A human-labeled partition			
			1	2	3	4
НАС	average	cityblock cityblock	0,42	0,42	0,33	0,08
	complete	cityblock	0,42	0,33	0,17	0,17
	average	euclidean cosine	0,58		0,33	
	complete	euclidean cosine	0,33	0,92	0,42	0,17
k-means		euclidean	0,08	0,08	0,17	0,25



Accuracy of non-overlapping clustering methods



Accuracy of conventional clustering methods for 4 human-labeled partitions

An example of pattern structures clustering: clusters with maximal score



An example of pattern structures clustering: clusters with maximal score

Ebola summary



Conclusion

- Short text clustering problem
- A failure of the traditional clustering methods
- Parse Thickets as a text model
- Texts similarity based on pattern structures
- Reduced pattern structures with constraints
- Score and ScoreLoss to improve efficiency and to remove redundant clusters
- Improvement of browsing and navigation through texts set for users