Word Order Does NOT Differ Significantly Between Chinese and Japanese

Chenchen Ding^{1,2} Masao Utiyama¹ Eiichiro Sumita¹ Mikio Yamamoto²

¹NICT, ²Univ. of Tsukuba

Pre-reordering

• For SVO-to-SOV

- Dependency-based
 - English-to-SOV [Xu+ 2009]
- HPSG-based head finalization
 - English-to-Japanese [Isozaki+ 2012]
 - Chinese-to-Japanese [Han+ 2012]
- For SOV-to-SVO
 - Japanese-to-English
 - [Komachi+ 2006]
 - [Katz-Brown & Collins 2008]
 - [Sudoh+ 2011]
 - [Hoshino+ 2013]
 - [Ding+ 2014]

Chinese & Japanese

- Sharing more similar features
 - Than English-Japanese
- Conversely, Chinese ...
 - Avoids long attributes before nouns
 - Common in Japanese



Different case frame of Japanese



Proposed Approach

- Three inter-chunk rules
 - Formal-noun rule
 - For "こと"
 - Stative-verb rule
 - For "いる", "ある", "できる"
 - Dynamic-verb rule
 - For the other verbs
- Intra-chunk rule
 - For auxiliary verbs, copula
- Extra-chunk rule
 - For noun suffixes (case marker, etc.)

Pre-reordering Rules



Experiment

- ASPEC-JC [Nakazawa+ 2014]
- Japanese
 - MeCab + CaboCha (IPA)
- Chinese
 - Stanford segmenter (CTB)
- SMT system
 - PB SMT of Moses
- Results
 - Slight gain on BLEU (approx. +0.2)
 - Mediocre on RIBES (approx. +0.015)
 - +6.5 in human evaluation

Discussion

Chinese and Japanese

Relatively similar word order



- Proposed approach
 - Rigidity \rightarrow more flexibility needed

Conclusion & Future Work

- Chinese and Japanese, actually...
 - Have fairly similar word order
- → Reordering problem
 - Not so serious as English-Japanese
 - Difficult to handle by simple rules
- Future work
 - Investigate the case frame
 - More effective rules
 - Alignment of function work
 - Not high quality in baseline