



Lancaster A at SemEval-2017 Task 5: Evaluation metrics matter: predicting sentiment from financial news headlines

Andrew Moore and Paul Rayson

February 27, 2018

School of Computing and Communications, Lancaster University.

Task

The task

Example sentence

'Why AstraZeneca plc & Dixons Carphone PLC Are Red-Hot Growth Stars!'

Sentiment scale



Data

Training data: 1142 samples, 960 headlines/sentences. Testing data: 491 samples, 461 headlines/sentences.

Approach

- 1. Support Vector Regression (SVR) [1]
- 2. Bi-directional Long Short-Term Memory BLSTM [2][3]

Pre-Processing

- 1. Lower cased.
- 2. Tokenised.

Word2Vec model

Used 189, 206 financial articles (e.g. Financial Times) that were manually downloaded from Factiva¹ to create a Word2Vec model $[5]^2$.

These were created using Gensim³.

²https://github.com/apmoore1/semeval/tree/master/models/word2vec_models

¹https://global.factiva.com/factivalogin/login.asp?productname=global

³https://radimrehurek.com/gensim/models/word2vec.html

Features and settings that we changed

- 1. Tokenisation Whitespace or Unitok⁴
- 2. N-grams uni-grams, bi-grams and both.
- 3. SVR settings penalty parameter C and epsilon parameter.
- 4. Target aspect.
- 5. Word Replacements.

⁴http://corpus.tools/wiki/Unitok

Example Sentence

'AstraZeneca PLC had an improved performance where as Dixons performed poorly'

'companyname had an posword performance where as companyname performed negword'

Two BLSTM models



Standard Model (SLSTM)

- Drop out between layers and connections.
- 25 times trained over the data (epoch of 25).

Early stopping model (ELSTM)

- Drop out between layers only.
- Early stopping used to determine the epoch.

BLSTM loss function



Loss function Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$\frac{1}{Y} \sum_{i=1}^{Y} (\hat{y}_i - y)^2 \qquad (1)$$

Findings and Results

Features

- Using uni-grams and bi-grams to be the best. 2.4% improvement over uni-grams.
- Using a tokeniser always better. Affects bi-gram results the most.
 1% improvement using Unitok⁵ over whitespace.
- SVR parameter settings important 8% difference between using C=0.1 and C=0.01.
- Incorporating the target aspect increased performance. 0.3% improvement.
- Using all word replacements. N=10 for POS and NEG words and N=0 for company. 0.8% improvement using company and 0.2% for POS and NEG.

⁵ http://corpus.tools/wiki/Unitok

ĸ

Cosine Similarity (CS) Metric 2 Metric 1

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} CS(\hat{y}_n, y_n)}{N}$$
(3)

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i \hat{y}_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{K} y_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \hat{y}_i^2}} \quad (2) \text{ Metric 3} \\ \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \begin{cases} len(\hat{y}_n) * CS(\hat{y}_n, y_n), & \text{if } len(\hat{y}_n) > 1\\ 1 - |y - \hat{y}_n|, & \text{if } \frac{\hat{y}_n}{y} \ge 0 \\ K \end{cases}}$$
(4)

K = Total number of samples. N = Total number of sentences.

		Metric	
Model	1	2	3
SVR	62.14	54.59	62.34
SLSTM	72.89	61.55	68.64
ELSTM	73.20	61.98	69.24
Fortia-FBK[4]	74.50	-	-

Metric 1 was the final metric used.

'uk stocks little changed as ashtead gains, housing shares drop' Predicted: -0.43, Real: 0.23
'standard life chief agrees 600000 bonus cut' Predicted: -0.54, Real: 0.08
'why i would put j sainsbury plc in my trolley before wm morrison supermarkets ...' Predicted: 0.11, Real: 0.76

Future Work



- 1. Incorporate aspects into the BLSTM's shown to be useful by Wang et al. [7].
- 2. Improve BLSTM's by using an attention model Wang et al. [7].
- 3. Add known financial sentiment lexicon into the LSTM model [6].

- 1. BLSTM outperform SVRs with minimal feature engineering.
- 2. The future is to incorporate more financial information into the LSTM's.

Questions?

a.moore@lancaster.ac.uk p.rayson@lancaster.ac.uk @apmoore94 @perayson

All the code can be found here⁶

Presentation can be found here $^{\rm 7}$

⁶ https://github.com/apmoore1/semeval

⁷https://github.com/apmoore1/semeval/blob/master/presentation/semeval.pdf

H. Drucker, C. J. Burges, L. Kaufman, A. Smola, V. Vapnik, et al. **Support vector regression machines.**

Advances in neural information processing systems, 9:155–161, 1997.

A. Graves and J. Schmidhuber.

Framewise phoneme classification with bidirectional lstm and other neural network architectures.

Neural Networks, 18(5):602–610, 2005.

S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. Neural computation, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997.

References II

Y. Mansar, L. Gatti, S. Ferradans, M. Guerini, and J. Staiano. Fortia-fbk at semeval-2017 task 5: Bullish or bearish? inferring sentiment towards brands from financial news headlines.

In Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval-2017), pages 817–822. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2017.

T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean. **Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space.** *arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781*, 2013.

Q. Qian, M. Huang, J. Lei, and X. Zhu.
Linguistically regularized lstm for sentiment classification.
In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 1679–1689. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2017.



Y. Wang, M. Huang, x. zhu, and L. Zhao. Attention-based lstm for aspect-level sentiment classification. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 606-615. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016.