### Learning Cross-lingual Distributed Logical Representations for Semantic Parsing

Yanyan Zou and Wei Lu StatNLP Group Singapore University of Technology and Design July 18, 2018



- Background & Motivation
- ✓ Method
- Experiments & Analysis
- ✓ Conclusion



#### Goal: Map natural languages into semantic representations.



#### Goal: Map natural languages into semantic representations.



English: what states have no bordering state ?



#### Goal: Map natural languages into semantic representations.



answer(exclude(state(all), next\_to(state(all))))





#### Goal: Map natural languages into semantic representations.





# Joint Representations

Proposed in previous works:

- ✓ Synchronous CFG derivation trees Wong and Mooney (2006, 2007)
- CCG derivation trees

Zettlemoyer and Collins (2005, 2007)

Bayesian tree transducers

Jones, Goldwater and Johnson (2012)

✓ Hybrid Trees

Lu, Ng, Lee, Zettlemoyer (2008)







Input: what states have no bordering states?









Output: answer(exclude(state(all), next\_to(state(all))))



# Generative Hybrid Tree

Input: what states have no bordering states?





## Discriminative Hybrid Tree

Input: what states have no bordering states?





• Neural hybrid tree is an extension of discriminative hybrid tree.



### Neural Hybrid Tree

Input: what states have no bordering states?



















### SINGAPORE UNIVERSITY OF What do we have?





Semantic Parser For English

### MARCHNOLOGY AND DESIGN What do we have?

#### English Sentences

Semantic Trees





#### Semantic Parser For English

Can we leverage multi-lingual resources to improve the performance of a monolingual semantic parser?

### What do we have?

#### English Sentences

Semantic Trees





#### Semantic Parser For English

Can we leverage multi-lingual resources to improve the performance of a monolingual semantic parser?

The answer is Yes!!!









Semantic Parser For English

Auxiliary Languages German Indonesian Chinese





















We construct a semantics-word co-occurrence matrix  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  based on auxiliary languages and semantic trees.







The singular value decomposition (SVD) is then applied to the cooccurrence matrix, leading to

$$C = U\Sigma V^*$$

We truncate the diagonal matrix  $\Sigma$  and left multiply it with U :

 $R = U\tilde{\Sigma}$ 



The singular value decomposition (SVD) is then applied to the cooccurrence matrix, leading to

$$C = U\Sigma V^*$$

We truncate the diagonal matrix  $\Sigma$  and left multiply it with U :

$$R = U\tilde{\Sigma}$$

Each row in **R** is a d-dimensional vector, giving a low-dimensional representation for one semantic unit.



The singular value decomposition (SVD) is then applied to the cooccurrence matrix, leading to

$$C = U\Sigma V^*$$

We truncate the diagonal matrix  $\Sigma$  and left multiply it with U :

$$R = U\tilde{\Sigma}$$

Each row in **R** is a d-dimensional vector, giving a low-dimensional representation for one semantic unit.

The learned representations are considered as features for discriminative and neural hybrid tree models.





#### Data: Multilingual Geoquery



### Results without Neural Features

#### Data: Multilingual Geoquery Baselines: (Lu et al., 2008) (Lu, 2015)

	English	Thai	German	Greek	Chinese	Indonesian	Swedish	Farsi
	Acc. F.	Acc. F.	Acc. F.					
HT-G	76.8 81.0	73.6 76.7	62.1 68.5	69.3 74.6	56.1 58.4	66.4 72.8	61.4 70.5	51.8 58.6
HT-D	86.8 86.8	80.7 80.7	75.7 75.7	79.3 79.3	76.1 76.1	75.0 75.0	79.3 79.3	73.9 73.9



### Results without Neural Features

#### Data: Multilingual Geoquery

#### Baselines: (Lu et al., 2008) (Lu, 2015)

	English	Thai	German	Greek	Chinese	Indonesian	Swedish	Farsi
	Acc. F.	Acc. F.	Acc. F.					
HT-G	76.8 81.0	73.6 76.7	62.1 68.5	69.3 74.6	56.1 58.4	66.4 72.8	61.4 70.5	51.8 58.6
HT-D	86.8 86.8	80.7 80.7	75.7 75.7	79.3 79.3	76.1 76.1	75.0 75.0	79.3 79.3	73.9 73.9
HT-D (+O)	86.1 86.1	81.1 81.1	73.6 73.6	81.4 81.4	77.9 77.9	79.6 79.6	79.3 79.3	75.7 75.7



# Results with Neural Features

#### Data: Multilingual Geoquery

Baselines: (Lu et al., 2008) (Lu, 2015) (Susanto and Lu, 2017)

	English	Thai	German	Greek	Chinese	Indonesian	Swedish	Farsi
	Acc. F.	Acc. F.	Acc. F.					
HT-G	76.8 81.0	73.6 76.7	62.1 68.5	69.3 74.6	56.1 58.4	66.4 72.8	61.4 70.5	51.8 58.6
HT-D	86.8 86.8	80.7 80.7	75.7 75.7	79.3 79.3	76.1 76.1	75.0 75.0	79.3 79.3	73.9 73.9
HT-D (+O)	86.1 86.1	81.1 81.1	73.6 73.6	81.4 81.4	77.9 77.9	79.6 79.6	79.3 79.3	75.7 75.7
HT-D (NN) J=0	87.9 87.9	82.1 82.1	75.7 75.7	81.1 81.1	76.8 76.8	76.1 76.1	81.1 81.1	75.0 75.0
HT-D (NN) J=1	88.6 88.6	84.6 84.6	76.8 76.8	79.6 79.6	75.4 75.4	78.6 78.6	82.9 82.9	76.1 76.1
HT-D (NN) J=2	90.0 90.0	82.1 82.1	73.9 73.9	80.7 80.7	81.1 81.1	81.8 81.8	83.9 83.9	74.6 74.6



# Results with Neural Features

#### Data: Multilingual Geoquery

Baselines: (Lu et al., 2008) (Lu, 2015) (Susanto and Lu, 2017)

	English	Thai	German	Greek	Chinese	Indonesian	Swedish	Farsi
	Acc. F.	Acc. F.	Acc. F.					
HT-G	76.8 81.0	73.6 76.7	62.1 68.5	69.3 74.6	56.1 58.4	66.4 72.8	61.4 70.5	51.8 58.6
HT-D	86.8 86.8	80.7 80.7	75.7 75.7	79.3 79.3	76.1 76.1	75.0 75.0	79.3 79.3	73.9 73.9
HT-D (+O)	86.1 86.1	81.1 81.1	73.6 73.6	81.4 81.4	77.9 77.9	79.6 79.6	79.3 79.3	75.7 75.7
HT-D (NN) J=0	87.9 87.9	82.1 82.1	75.7 75.7	81.1 81.1	76.8 76.8	76.1 76.1	81.1 81.1	75.0 75.0
HT-D (NN) J=1	88.6 88.6	84.6 84.6	76.8 76.8	79.6 79.6	75.4 75.4	78.6 78.6	82.9 82.9	76.1 76.1
HT-D (NN) J=2	90.0 90.0	82.1 82.1	73.9 73.9	80.7 80.7	81.1 81.1	81.8 81.8	83.9 83.9	74.6 74.6
HT-D (NN+O) J=0	86.1 86.1	83.6 83.6	73.9 73.9	82.1 82.1	77.9 77.9	81.1 81.1	82.1 82.1	74.6 74.6
HT-D (NN+O) J=1	86.1 86.1	86.1 86.1	72.5 72.5	80.4 80.4	81.4 81.4	82.5 82.5	82.5 82.5	75.7 75.7
HT-D (NN+O) J=2	89.6 86.1	84.6 84.6	72.1 72.1	83.2 83.2	82.1 82.1	83.9 83.9	83.6 83.6	76.8 76.8



# Results with Neural Features

#### Data: Multilingual Geoquery

Baselines: (Lu et al., 2008) (Lu, 2015) (Susanto and Lu, 2017)

	English	Thai	German	Greek	Chinese	Indonesian	Swedish	Farsi
	Acc. F.	Acc. F.	Acc. F.					
HT-G	76.8 81.0	73.6 76.7	62.1 68.5	69.3 74.6	56.1 58.4	66.4 72.8	61.4 70.5	51.8 58.6
HT-D	86.8 86.8	80.7 80.7	75.7 75.7	79.3 79.3	76.1 76.1	75.0 75.0	79.3 79.3	73.9 73.9
HT-D (+O)	86.1 86.1	81.1 81.1	73.6 73.6	81.4 81.4	77.9 77.9	79.6 79.6	79.3 79.3	75.7 75.7
	87.9 87.9	001 001	75.7 75.7	011 011	76.0 76.0	76 1 76 1	011 011	75.0 75.0
HT-D (NN) J=0		82.1 82.1		81.1 81.1	76.8 76.8	76.1 76.1	81.1 81.1	75.0 75.0
HT-D (NN) J=1	88.6 88.6	84.6 84.6	76.8 76.8	79.6 79.6	75.4 75.4	78.6 78.6	82.9 82.9	76.1 76.1
HT-D (NN) J=2	90.0 90.0	82.1 82.1	73.9 73.9	80.7 80.7	81.1 81.1	81.8 81.8	83.9 83.9	74.6 74.6
HT-D (NN+O) J=0	86.1 86.1	83.6 83.6	73.9 73.9	82.1 82.1	77.9 77.9	81.1 81.1	82.1 82.1	74.6 74.6
HT-D (NN+O) J=1	86.1 86.1	86.1 86.1	72.5 72.5	80.4 80.4	81.4 81.4	82.5 82.5	82.5 82.5	75.7 75.7
HT-D (NN+O) J=2	89.6 86.1	84.6 84.6	72.1 72.1	83.2 83.2	82.1 82.1	83.9 83.9	83.6 83.6	76.8 76.8







- Semantic units with similar meanings gather together.
- Occasionally, semantic units conveying opposite meanings are grouped together.



### Conclusions

#### ✓ Summary

 Presented a novel method to learning distributed representations of semantic units containing cross-lingual information.



### Conclusions

#### ✓ Summary

 Presented a novel method to learning distributed representations of semantic units containing cross-lingual information.

#### Future work

Learn representations and semantic parsers in a joint manner.



### Conclusions

#### ✓ Summary

 Presented a novel method to learning distributed representations of semantic units containing cross-lingual information.

#### Future work

Learn representations and semantic parsers in a joint manner.

 Investigate which languages from auxiliary corpus are the leading sources of performance gains. Code available at: <u>http://statnlp.org/research/sp/</u>



**Questions?**