Learning bilingual word embeddings with (almost) no bilingual data

Mikel Artetxe, Gorka Labaka, Eneko Agirre

IXA NLP group – University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU)

word embeddings are useful!



word embeddings are useful!



word embeddings are useful!



bilingual word embeddings are useful!



bilingual word embeddings are useful!

- inherently crosslingual tasks



bilingual word embeddings are useful!

- inherently crosslingual tasks



bilingual word embeddings are useful!

- inherently crosslingual tasks

- crosslingual transfer learning

bilingual signal for training



bilingual word embeddings are useful!

- inherently crosslingual tasks



bilingual word embeddings are useful!

- inherently crosslingual tasks

- crosslingual transfer learning

Previous work



bilingual word embeddings are useful!

- inherently crosslingual tasks



ingual word embeddings are useful!

- inherently crosslingual tasks



ingual word embeddings are useful!

- inherently crosslingual tasks



even more































Monolingual embeddings

Dictionary


































proposed self-learning method



proposed self-learning method

formalization and implementation details in the paper based on the mapping method of Artetxe et al. (2016)



proposed self-learning method

formalization and implementation details in the paper based on the mapping method of Artetxe et al. (2016)

Too good to be true?



proposed self-learning method

formalization and implementation details in the paper based on the mapping method of Artetxe et al. (2016)

Too good to be true?

Nope, it works!

54

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015)

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015)

English-Italian

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

English-Italian

English-Italian	English-German	English-Finnish
-----------------	----------------	-----------------

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

 \Rightarrow Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)

English-Italian	English-German	English-Finnish
-----------------	----------------	-----------------

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)

 \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs

E	nglish-Italian	En	glish-German	En	glish-Finnish
5,000		5,000		5,000	

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs

Er	English-Italian		En	English-German English-Finni				ish
5,000	25		5,000	25		5,000	25	

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

Er	nglish-Italian 25 num.		En	glish-Germ	nan	English-Finnish			
5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	

- ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
- ⇒ Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals
- \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

Er	English-Italian 0 25 num.		En	glish-Germ	nan	English-Finnish				
5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.		

- ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
- ⇒ Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals
- \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

E	English-Italian 00 25 num.		En	glish-Germ	nan	English-Finnish			
5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	

- ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
- \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals
- \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

	English-Italian			Eng	glish-Germ	nan	English-Finnish		
	5,000 25 num.		5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	
Mikolov et al. (2013a)									
Xing et al. (2015)									
Zhang et al. (2016)									
Artetxe et al. (2016)									

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

- ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
- \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals
- \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

	English-Italian			En	glish-Germ	nan	English-Finnish		
	5,000 25 num.		5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	
Mikolov et al. (2013a)									
Xing et al. (2015)									
Zhang et al. (2016)									
Artetxe et al. (2016)									
Our method									

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)

 \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

 \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

	Er	nglish-Italia	an	En	glish-Germ	nan	English-Finnish		
	5,000 25 num.		5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	34.93%	0.00%	0.00%	35.00%	0.00%	0.07%	25.91%	0.00%	0.00%
Xing et al. (2015)	36.87%	0.00%	0.13%	41.27%	0.07%	0.53%	28.23%	0.07%	0.56%
Zhang et al. (2016)	36.73%	0.07%	0.27%	40.80%	0.13%	0.87%	28.16%	0.14%	0.42%
Artetxe et al. (2016)	39.27%	0.07%	0.40%	41.87%	0.13%	0.73%	30.62%	0.21%	0.77%
Our method	39.67%	37.27%	39.40%	40.87%	39.60%	40.27%	28.72%	28.16%	26.47%

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)

⇒ Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

 \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

	Er	nglish-Italia	an	En	glish-Germ	nan	English-Finnish			
	5,000 25 num.			5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	34.93%	0.00%	0.00%	35.00%	0.00%	0.07%	25.91%	0.00%	0.00%	
Xing et al. (2015)	36.87%	0.00%	0.13%	41.27%	0.07%	0.53%	28.23%	0.07%	0.56%	
Zhang et al. (2016)	36.73%	0.07%	0.27%	40.80%	0.13%	0.87%	28.16%	0.14%	0.42%	
Artetxe et al. (2016)	39.27%	0.07%	0.40%	41.87%	0.13%	0.73%	30.62%	0.21%	0.77%	
Our method	39.67%	37.27%	39.40%	40.87%	39.60%	40.27%	28.72%	28.16%	26.47%	

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)

 \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

 \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

	Er	nglish-Italia	an	En	glish-Germ	nan	English-Finnish		
	5,000 25 num.		5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	34.93%	0.00%	0.00%	35.00%	0.00%	0.07%	25.91%	0.00%	0.00%
Xing et al. (2015)	36.87%	0.00%	0.13%	41.27%	0.07%	0.53%	28.23%	0.07%	0.56%
Zhang et al. (2016)	36.73%	0.07%	0.27%	40.80%	0.13%	0.87%	28.16%	0.14%	0.42%
Artetxe et al. (2016)	39.27%	0.07%	0.40%	41.87%	0.13%	0.73%	30.62%	0.21%	0.77%
Our method	39.67%	37.27%	39.40%	40.87%	39.60%	40.27%	28.72%	28.16%	26.47%

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)

 \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

 \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

	Er	nglish-Italia	an	En	glish-Germ	nan	En	glish-Finn	ish
	5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	34.93%	0.00%	0.00%	35.00%	0.00%	0.07%	25.91%	0.00%	0.00%
Xing et al. (2015)	36.87%	0.00%	0.13%	41.27%	0.07%	0.53%	28.23%	0.07%	0.56%
Zhang et al. (2016)	36.73%	0.07%	0.27%	40.80%	0.13%	0.87%	28.16%	0.14%	0.42%
Artetxe et al. (2016)	39.27%	0.07%	0.40%	41.87%	0.13%	0.73%	30.62%	0.21%	0.77%
Our method	39.67%	37.27%	39.40%	40.87%	39.60%	40.27%	28.72%	28.16%	26.47%

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)

 \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

 \Rightarrow Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

	Er	nglish-Italia	an	En	glish-Germ	nan	En	glish-Finn	ish
	5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.	5,000	25	num.
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	34.93%	0.00%	0.00%	35.00%	0.00%	0.07%	25.91%	0.00%	0.00%
Xing et al. (2015)	36.87%	0.00%	0.13%	41.27%	0.07%	0.53%	28.23%	0.07%	0.56%
Zhang et al. (2016)	36.73%	0.07%	0.27%	40.80%	0.13%	0.87%	28.16%	0.14%	0.42%
Artetxe et al. (2016)	39.27%	0.07%	0.40%	41.87%	0.13%	0.73%	30.62%	0.21%	0.77%
Our method	39.67%	37.27%	39.40%	40.87%	39.60%	40.27%	28.72%	28.16%	26.47%

• Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish

40 -

⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)

 \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

⇒ Test dictionary: 1,500 word pairs

English-Italian 5,000 25 num. Mikolov et al. (2013a) 34.93% 0.00% 0.00% Xing et al. (2015) 36.87% 0.00% 0.13% Zhang et al. (2016) 36.73% 0.07% 0.27% Artetxe et al. (2016) 39.27% 0.07% 0.40%				
Mikolov et al. (2013a)34.93%0.00%0.00%Xing et al. (2015)36.87%0.00%0.13%Zhang et al. (2016)36.73%0.07%0.27%Artetxe et al. (2016)39.27%0.07%0.40%		Er	nglish-Italia	an
Xing et al. (2015)36.87%0.00%0.13%Zhang et al. (2016)36.73%0.07%0.27%Artetxe et al. (2016)39.27%0.07%0.40%		5,000	25	num.
Zhang et al. (2016) 36.73% 0.07% 0.27% Artetxe et al. (2016) 39.27% 0.07% 0.40%	Mikolov et al. (2013a)	34.93%	0.00%	0.00%
Artetxe et al. (2016) 39.27% 0.07% 0.40%	Xing et al. (2015)	36.87%	0.00%	0.13%
	Zhang et al. (2016)	36.73%	0.07%	0.27%
Our method 39.67% 37.27% 39.40%	Artetxe et al. (2016)	39.27%	0.07%	0.40%
	Our method	39.67%	37.27%	39.40%
- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - ⇒ Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - ⇒ Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

EN-IT	EN-DE		
WS	RG	WS	

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - ⇒ Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

	EN-IT	EN-DE		
Bi. data	WS	RG	WS	

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - ⇒ Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

		EN-IT	EN-DE	
	Bi. data	WS	RG	WS
Luong et al. (2015)	Europarl			

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

		EN-IT	EN-DE	
	Bi. data	WS	RG	WS
Luong et al. (2015)	Europarl			
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	5k dict			
Xing et al. (2015)	5k dict			
Zhang et al. (2016)	5k dict			
Artetxe et al. (2016)	5k dict			

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - ⇒ Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

		EN-IT	EN-DE	
	Bi. data	WS	RG	WS
Luong et al. (2015)	Europarl			
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	5k dict			
Xing et al. (2015)	5k dict			
Zhang et al. (2016)	5k dict			
Artetxe et al. (2016)	5k dict			
	5k dict			
Our method	25 dict			
	num.			

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

		EN-IT	EN-DE	
	Bi. data	WS	RG	WS
Luong et al. (2015)	Europarl	33.1%	33.5%	35.6%
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	5k dict	62.7%	64.3%	52.8%
Xing et al. (2015)	5k dict	61.4%	70.0%	59.5%
Zhang et al. (2016)	5k dict	61.6%	70.4%	59.6%
Artetxe et al. (2016)	5k dict	61.7%	71.6%	59.7%
	5k dict	62.4%	74.2%	61.6%
Our method	25 dict	62.6%	74.9%	61.2%
	num.	62.8%	73.9%	60.4%

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

		EN-IT	EN-DE	
	Bi. data	WS	RG	WS
Luong et al. (2015)	Europarl	33.1%	33.5%	35.6%
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	5k dict	62.7%	64.3%	52.8%
Xing et al. (2015)	5k dict	61.4%	70.0%	59.5%
Zhang et al. (2016)	5k dict	61.6%	70.4%	59.6%
Artetxe et al. (2016)	5k dict	61.7%	71.6%	59.7%
	5k dict	62.4%	74.2%	61.6%
Our method	25 dict	62.6%	74.9%	61.2%
	num.	62.8%	73.9%	60.4%

- Dataset by Dinu et al. (2015) extended to German and Finnish
 - ⇒ Monolingual embeddings (CBOW + negative sampling)
 - \Rightarrow Seed dictionary: 5,000 word pairs / 25 word pairs / numerals

		EN-IT	EN-DE	
	Bi. data	WS	RG	WS
Luong et al. (2015)	Europarl	33.1%	33.5%	35.6%
Mikolov et al. (2013a)	5k dict	62.7%	64.3%	52.8%
Xing et al. (2015)	5k dict	61.4%	70.0%	59.5%
Zhang et al. (2016)	5k dict	61.6%	70.4%	59.6%
Artetxe et al. (2016)	5k dict	61.7%	71.6%	59.7%
	5k dict	62.4%	74.2%	61.6%
Our method	25 dict	62.6%	74.9%	61.2%
	num.	62.8%	73.9%	60.4%























Implicit objective:
$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \max_{j} (X_{i*}W) \cdot Z_{j*}$$
 s.t. $WW^T = W^TW = I$

Implicit objective:
$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \max_{j} (X_{i*}W) \cdot Z_{j*}$$
 s.t. $WW^T = W^TW = I$

Independent from seed dictionary!


















































Implicit objective:
$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \max_{j} (X_{i*}W) \cdot Z_{j*}$$
 s.t. $WW^T = W^TW = I$

Independent from seed dictionary!

Implicit objective:
$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \max_{j} (X_{i*}W) \cdot Z_{j*}$$
 s.t. $WW^T = W^TW = I$

Independent from seed dictionary!

So why do we need a seed dictionary?

Implicit objective:
$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \max_{j} (X_{i*}W) \cdot Z_{j*}$$
 s.t. $WW^T = W^TW = I$

Independent from seed dictionary!

So why do we need a seed dictionary?

Avoid poor local optima!

Implicit objective:
$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \max_{j} (X_{i*}W) \cdot Z_{j*}$$
 s.t. $WW^T = W^TW = I$



119

• Simple self-learning method to train bilingual embedding mappings

- Simple self-learning method to train bilingual embedding mappings
- High quality results with almost no supervision (25 words, numerals)

- Simple self-learning method to train bilingual embedding mappings
- High quality results with almost no supervision (25 words, numerals)
- Implicit optimization objective independent from seed dictionary

- Simple self-learning method to train bilingual embedding mappings
- High quality results with almost no supervision (25 words, numerals)
- Implicit optimization objective independent from seed dictionary
- Seed dictionary necessary to avoid poor local optima

- Simple self-learning method to train bilingual embedding mappings
- High quality results with almost no supervision (25 words, numerals)
- Implicit optimization objective independent from seed dictionary
- Seed dictionary necessary to avoid poor local optima
- Future work: fully unsupervised training

>

125

> git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git

> git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git

>

- > git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git
- > python3 vecmap/map_embeddings.py

- > git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git
- > python3 vecmap/map_embeddings.py --self_learning --numerals

> git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git

> python3 vecmap/map_embeddings.py --self_learning --numerals SRC_INPUT.EMB TRG_INPUT.EMB

> git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git

> python3 vecmap/map_embeddings.py --self_learning --numerals SRC_INPUT.EMB TRG_INPUT.EMB SRC_OUTPUT.EMB TRG_OUTPUT.EMB

> git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git

> python3 vecmap/map_embeddings.py --self_learning --numerals SRC_INPUT.EMB TRG_INPUT.EMB SRC_OUTPUT.EMB TRG_OUTPUT.EMB

>

> git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git

> python3 vecmap/map_embeddings.py --self_learning --numerals SRC_INPUT.EMB TRG_INPUT.EMB SRC_OUTPUT.EMB TRG_OUTPUT.EMB

> vecmap/reproduce_acl2017.sh

> git clone https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap.git

> python3 vecmap/map_embeddings.py --self_learning --numerals SRC_INPUT.EMB TRG_INPUT.EMB SRC_OUTPUT.EMB TRG_OUTPUT.EMB

> vecmap/reproduce_acl2017.sh

ENGLISH-ITALIAN

5,000 WORD DICTIONARY

Sjooo none ererronaan				
- Mikolov et al. (2013a)	Translation:	34.93%	MWS353:	62.66%
- Xing et al. (2015)	Translation:	36.87%	MWS353:	61.41%
- Zhang et al. (2016)	Translation:	36.73%	MWS353:	61.62%
- Artetxe et al. (2016)	Translation:	39.27%	MWS353:	61.74%
- Proposed method	Translation:	39.67%	MWS353:	62.35%
25 WORD DICTIONARY				
- Mikolov et al. (2013a)	Translation:	0.00%	MWS353:	-6.42%
- Xing et al. (2015)	Translation:	0.00%	MWS353:	19.49%
- Zhang et al. (2016)	Translation:	0.07%	MWS353:	15.52%
- Artetxe et al. (2016)	Translation:	0.07%	MWS353:	17.45%
- Proposed method	Translation:	37.27%	MWS353:	62.64%
NUMERAL DICTIONARY				
- Mikolov et al. (2013a)	Translation:	0.00%	MWS353:	28.75%
- Xing et al. (2015)	Translation:	0.13%	MWS353:	27.75%
- Zhang et al. (2016)	Translation:	0.27%	MWS353:	27.38%
- Artetxe et al. (2016)	Translation:	0.40%	MWS353:	24.85%
- Proposed method	Translation:	39.40%	MWS353:	62.82%



https://github.com/artetxem/vecmap