Multiple Word Alignment with Profile Hidden Markov Models

Aditya Bhargava and Grzegorz Kondrak Department of Computing Science University of Alberta {abhargava,kondrak}@cs.ualberta.ca

Multiple word alignment

- Given multiple words, align them all to each other
- Our approach: Profile HMMs, used in biological sequence analysis
- Use match, insert, and delete states to model changes
- Evaluate on cognate set matching
 - Beat baselines of average and minimum edit distance

What you can expect

- Introduction: word alignment
- Profile hidden Markov models
 - For bioinformatics
 - For words?
- Experiments
- Conclusions & future work

Introduction

• Multiple word alignment:

- Take a set of words
- Generate some alignment of these words
- Similar and equivalent characters should be aligned together
- Pairwise alignment gets us:
 - String similarity and word distances
 - Cognate identification
 - Comparative reconstruction

Introduction

- Extending to multiple words gets us:
 - String similarity with multiple words
 - Better-informed cognate identification
 - Better-informed comparative reconstruction
- We propose Profile HMMs for multiple alignment
 - Test on cognate set matching



- Match states are "defaults"
- Insert states are used to represent insert symbols
- Delete states are used to represent the absence of symbols



MMIIIM AG...C A-AG.C AG.AA---AAAC

• In this sample DNA alignment, dashes represent deletes and periods represent skipped inserts

- AG...C
- A-AG.C
- AG.AA-
- --AAAC
- AG...C



- AG...C
- A-AG.C
- AG.AA-
- --AAAC
- AG...C



- AG...C
- A-AG.C
- AG.AA-
- --AAAC
- AG...C



- AG...C
- A-AG.C
- AG.AA-
- --AAAC
- AG...C



- AG...C
- A-AG.C
- AG.AA-
- --AAAC
- AG...C



- AG...C
- A-AG.C
- AG.AA-
- --AAAC
- AG...C



- AG...C
- A-AG.C
- AG.AA-
- --AAAC
- AG...C



- To construct a Profile HMM from aligned sequences:
 - Determine which columns are match columns and which are insert columns, then estimate transition and emission probabilities directly from counts
- To construct a Profile HMM from unaligned sequences:
 - Choose a model length, initialize the model, then train it to the sequences using Baum-Welch

- Evaluating a sequence for membership in a family
 - Use the forward algorithm to get the probability
 Use Viterbi to align the sequence
- Multiple alignment of unaligned sequences
 Construct & train a Profile HMM
 - Use Viterbi to align the sequences

- Profile HMMs are generalizations of Pair HMMs
 Word similarity and cognate identification
- Unlike Pair HMMs, Profile HMMs are positionspecific
 - Each model is constructed from a specific family of sequences
 - Pair HMMs are trained over many pairs of words

Profile HMMs for words

- Words are also sequences!
- Similar to their use for biological sequences, we apply Profile HMMs to multiple word alignment
- We also test Profile HMMs on matching words to cognate sets
- We made our own implementation and investigated several parameters

Profile HMMs: parameters

- Favour match states?
- Pseudocount methods
 - Constant-value, background frequency, substitution matrix
- Pseudocount weight
- Pseudocounts added during Baum-Welch

Experiments: Data

- Comparative Indoeuropean Data Corpus
 - Cognation data for words in 95 languages corresponding to 200 meanings
- Each meaning reorganized into disjoint cognate sets

Experiments: Multiple cognate alignment

- MIIMIIMI
- D--E--N-D--E--NY Z--E--N-
- DZ-E--N-
- DZIE--N-
- D--A--N-
- DI-E--NA
- D--E--IZ D--E----
- D Y DD
- D--I--A-
- D--I-E-D----T-
- D----I-Z----T-
- Z--U--E-
- Z---E-Z----U-
- J--0--UR
- DJ-O--U-
- J--O--UR G--IORNO

- Parameters determined from cognate set matching experiments (later)
- Pseudocount weight set to 100 to bias the model using a substitution matrix
- Highly-conserved columns are aligned correctly
- Similar-sounding characters are aligned also correctly, thanks to the substitution matrix method
- Insert columns should not be considered aligned
- Problems with multi-character phonemes
 - An expected problem when using the English alphabet instead of e.g. IPA

- How can we evaluate the alignments in a principled way? There is no gold standard!
- We emulate the biological sequence analysis task of matching a sequence to a family; we match a word to a cognate set
- The task is to correctly identify the cognate set to which a word belongs given a number of cognate sets having the same meaning as the word; we choose the model yielding the highest score

- Development set of 10 meanings (~5% of the data)
- Substitution matrix derived from Pair HMM method
- Best parameters:
 - Favour match states
 - Use substitution matrix pseudocount
 - Use 0.5 for pseudocount weight
 - Add pseudocounts during Baum-Welch



Accuracy

25

- Accuracy better than both average and minimum edit distance
- Why so close to MED?
 - Many sets had duplicate words (same orthographic representation for different languages)

Conclusions

- Profile HMMs can work for word-related tasks
- Multiple alignments are reasonable
- Cognate set matching performance exceeds minimum and average edit distance
- If multiple words need to be considered, Profile HMMs present a viable method

Future work

- Better model construction from aligned sequences
- Better initial models for unaligned sequences
- Better pseudocount methods
- N-gram output symbols