Piano Label Annotation

1. ppdUqBQPBeo.mp3	Tagging: 8ufle2PEr4g.mp3		Max Audio Length: 30s
2. 8ufle2PEr4g.mp3			inaxi i taaro zongin ooo
3. D9omvlHXJIs.mp3	▶ 0:00/0:30 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ohim Tanainan
4. gFjP2hxxa0M.mp3	 0:00 / 0:30 — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Skip Tagging
5. hxSbDliHwSY.mp3	Bright (밝은) Happy (행복한)	1	1) If mood changes
6. y8zgDqOcXd0.mp3			2) If not piano solo
7. Fl8cVa32mjl.mp3	Cute (귀여운) Playful (장난스러운)		
8. z0nd5Qn4VzU.mp3			
9. xiZJaDRNSXk.mp3	Upbeat/Energetic (신나는, 험찬)		
10. fEdHWeDX0zA.mp3	Dreamy (풍환적인) Mysterious (신비로운)		
11. tsaoRZkvuxo.mp3			
12. SrPM5wlG27c.mp3	Emotional (김성적인) Relaxing/Calm (편안한, 자본한)	Tag List	
13. zX-5g2rB5O4.mp3			
14. PkNLTXMFgY8.mp3	Sad (슬픈) Dark (어두운) Tense (긴장감 있는) Scary (무서운)		
15. 6ePT9qmSESA.mp3	Epic (응장환) Intense/Grand (최려한, 장업환)		
16. hrZiZoov06k.mp3			
17. rkuheKLyiBI.mp3	Passionate (열정적인) Powerful (강력한)		
18. kEthz_6tCTA.mp3			x 5 groups
19. TXQaecnjWuQ.mp3	Easy (쉬운) Difficult/Advanced (어려운)		15 Annotators
20. PDQRxgLgF_k.mp3	Speedy (배론) Laid-back (느굿함)		Total 230 data
21. zxA-hA9ZJ1A.mp3			
22. o7940lwef9M.mp3	Jazz (재즈)		per person,
23. YVoEUgTTUKM.mp3			
24. XPMvVF58kcE.mp3	New-age (뉴에이지) Pop-Piano Cover(팝피아노커버) Classical(클래식) ————	1	
25. njQrVn5QV0s.mp3			
26 x8Pibsv0E9o.mp3	Submit		

Figure 1: Annotation interface used in the PIAST-AT dataset.



Figure 2: Co-occurrence between tags in the PIAST-AT dataset.



Figure 3: ROC-AUC and PR-AUC scores for each tag in tag-to-music retrieval performance. The darker bars represent audio performance, while the lighter bars represent MIDI performance.

Tag-wise Result Analysis

Figure 3 shows the ROC-AUC and PR-AUC scores for both audio and MIDI models across the tags. As shown in Figure 3, both models exhibited relatively low PR-AUC scores for genre tags. This low performance is likely due to data imbalance, as some genre tags are underrepresented in the PIAST-AT. Despite this imbalance, the MIDI model still performed significantly better than the audio model in most genre tags, suggesting that the MIDI model is more effective in capturing rhythmic characteristics in the music.

For emotion/mood tags and style tags, the performance difference between audio and MIDI was not as pronounced as for genre tags. However, for the "Cute" and "Easy", the MIDI model is slightly more distinct. This indicates that MIDI data is particularly adept at capturing the nuances associated with those characteristics.