Using word alignments to assist computer-aided translation users by marking which target-side words to change or keep unedited

Miquel Esplà-Gomis Felipe Sánchez-Martínez Mikel L. Forcada {mespla,fsanchez,mlf}@dlsi.ua.es

> Departament de Llenguatges i Sistemes Informàtics Universitat d'Alacant, E-03071 Alacant, Spain

> 15th Annual Conference of the EAMT Leuven, May 30, 2011

> > (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Outline



- 2 Related Work
- 3 Methodology
- Experiments and Results

#### **5** Conclusion





- 2 Related Work
- 3 Methodology
- Experiments and Results
- 6 Conclusion
- 6 Current and future Work

English	Catalan
s <sub>1</sub> : European Association for	t <sub>1</sub> : Associació Europea per a la
Machine Translation	Traducció Automàtica
<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub> : The EAMT is a member of the IAMT	<i>t</i> <sub>2</sub> : L'EAMT és membre de l'IAMT
<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub> : current year's conference is held in Leuven	<i>t</i> <sub>3</sub> : el congrés d'enguany se cel- ebra a Lovaina

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

English	Catalan
s <sub>1</sub> : European Association for	t <sub>1</sub> : Associació Europea per a la
Machine Translation	Traducció Automàtica
<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub> : The EAMT is a member of the IAMT	t <sub>2</sub> : L'EAMT és membre de l'IAMT
<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub> : current year's conference is held in Leuven	$t_3$ : el congrés d'enguany se cel- ebra a Lovaina

**New sentence** *s'*: The AMTA is a member of the IAMT

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

English	Catalan
s <sub>1</sub> : European Association for	t <sub>1</sub> : Associació Europea per a la
Machine Translation	Traducció Automàtica
<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub> : The EAMT is a member of the IAMT	<i>t</i> <sub>2</sub> : L'EAMT és membre de l'IAMT
<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub> : current year's conference is held in Leuven	<i>t</i> <sub>3</sub> : el congrés d'enguany se cel- ebra a Lovaina

New sentences':The AMTA is a member of the IAMTBest match $s_2$ :The EAMT is a member of the IAMT

English	Catalan
s <sub>1</sub> : European Association for	t <sub>1</sub> : Associació Europea per a la
Machine Translation	Traducció Automàtica
<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub> : The EAMT is a member of the IAMT	<i>t</i> <sub>2</sub> : L'EAMT és membre de l'IAMT
<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub> : current year's conference is held in Leuven	<i>t</i> <sub>3</sub> : el congrés d'enguany se cel- ebra a Lovaina

New sentences':The AMTA is a member of the IAMTBest match $s_2$ :The EAMT is a member of the IAMTProposal $t_2$ :L'EAMT és membre de l'IAMT

## **Fuzzy Matching Scores**

Fuzzy matching scores measure the similarity between segments s' (segment to be translated) and  $s_i$  (matching segment in the Translation memory)

$$\operatorname{score}(s', s_i) = 1 - \frac{\operatorname{EditDistance}(s', s_i)}{\max(|s'|, |s_i|)}$$

### **Fuzzy Matching Scores**

Fuzzy matching scores measure the similarity between segments s' (segment to be translated) and  $s_i$  (matching segment in the Translation memory)

$$\operatorname{score}(s', s_i) = 1 - \frac{\operatorname{EditDistance}(s', s_i)}{\max(|s'|, |s_i|)}$$

#### Example

 $s^\prime\colon$  The Association for Machine Translation in the Americas is the American branch of the IAMT

 $s_i$ : The European Association for Machine Translation is a member of the IAMT

$$\operatorname{score}(s', s_i) = 1 - \frac{7}{15} \simeq 0,53$$

#### **Translation-Memory Based CAT Tools**

<u>P</u> roject <u>E</u> dit <u>G</u> o To <u>V</u> iew <u>T</u> ools <u>O</u> ptions <u>H</u> elp	
Editor - eamt-wikipedia.txt	Fuzzy Matches –
The European Association for Machine Translation is the European Association for Machine Translation European branch of the International Association for Machine Translation Stropean branch of the International Association for Machine Translation of del segment> It is a non-profit organisation and organises conferences and workshops on the subject of machine translation. It was registered in 1991 in Switzerland and is the only organisation of its type in Europe.	1) The European Association for Machine Translation is one of the three members of the International Association for Machine Translation I Associatio Europea per a la Traduccio Automatica és una de les tres membres de l'Associació Internacional per a la Traducció Automàtica <78/78/81% tml.tmx > tj

0/3 (0/3, 3) 129/129

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Fuzzy Match Scores + Alignment

Edit distance provides information about the matching words between s' and  $s_i$ :



### Fuzzy Match Scores + Alignment

Word alignment may be used to "project" source-side matching information onto  $t_i$  to suggest which words to change and which to keep unedited:

Example





- 1 Introduction
- 2 Related Work
- 3 Methodology
- Experiments and Results
- 5 Conclusion
- 6 Current and future Work

#### **Related Work**

- **Simard (2003)**: Statistical MT techniques allows exploiting TMs at sub-segment (sub-sentential) level: *translation spotting*
- **Bourdaillet et al. (2009)**: Similar approach for a bilingual concordancer, *TransSearch*
- Kranias and Samiotou (2004): Sub-segment level alignments using a bilingual dictionary to (i) detect words to be changed and (ii) propose translations for them



- 1 Introduction
- 2 Related Work
- 3 Methodology
- Experiments and Results
- 6 Conclusion
- 6 Current and future Work





- $w_{ij}$  and  $v_{ik}$  aligned and  $v_{ik}$  matched  $\implies$  keep  $w_{ij}$
- $w_{ij}$  and  $v_{ik}$  aligned and  $v_{ik}$  not matched  $\implies$  change  $w_{ij}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

•  $w_{ij}$  not aligned  $\implies$  ???



What to do if there is more than one alignment with contradictory evidence?



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### We define the likelihood of keeping the word $w_{ii}$ unedited as:

$$f_{\mathcal{K}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{s}', \boldsymbol{s}_i, t_i) = \frac{\sum_{\boldsymbol{v}_{ik} \in \text{aligned}(\boldsymbol{w}_{ij})} \text{matched}(\boldsymbol{v}_{ik})}{|\text{aligned}(\boldsymbol{w}_{ij})|}$$

aligned(*w<sub>ij</sub>*): set of source-side words aligned with *w<sub>ij</sub>* in *s<sub>i</sub>*matched(*v<sub>ik</sub>*): 1 if *v<sub>ik</sub>* is matched in *s'* and 0 otherwise

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## Interpretation of $f_{\mathcal{K}}(w_{ij}, s', s_i, t_i)$

Two ways to interpret  $f_{\mathcal{K}}(w_{ij}, s', s_i, t_i)$ :

- Unanimity:
  - if  $f_{\mathcal{K}}(w_{ij}, s', s_i, t_i) = 1$ :  $w_{ij} \rightarrow \text{keep unedited}$
  - if  $f_{\mathcal{K}}(w_{ij}, s', s_i, t_i) = 0$ :  $w_{ij} \rightarrow \text{change}$
  - otherwise  $\rightarrow$  not marked
- Majority:
  - if  $f_{\mathcal{K}}(w_{ij}, s', s_i, t_i) > \frac{1}{2}$ :  $w_{ij} \rightarrow \text{keep unedited}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- if  $f_{\mathcal{K}}(w_{ij}, s', s_i, t_i) < \frac{1}{2}$ :  $w_{ij} \rightarrow$  change
- otherwise → not marked

## Example of Unanimity Criterion



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

## **Example of Majority Criterion**





- Experiments and Results
- 5 Conclusion
- 6 Current and future Work

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Corpora



#### **Evaluation Metrics**

# $Accuracy = \frac{correctly \ marked \ words}{marked \ words}$

# $Coverage = \frac{marked words}{total words}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

## **Statistical Word Alignment**

We use the GIZA++ (Och and Ney, 2003) free/open-source tool

- we obtain SL to TL alignment and a TL to SL alignment on the TM
- we experiment with three ways to combine the alignments:
  - union
  - intersection
  - grow-diag-final-and

We tried our approach comparing:

 the use of three different methods to combine the alignments generated with GIZA++

We tried our approach comparing:

- the use of three different methods to combine the alignments generated with GIZA++
- the use of both criteria defined to use the likelihood f<sub>K</sub> (unanimity or majority)

We tried our approach comparing:

- the use of three different methods to combine the alignments generated with GIZA++
- the use of both criteria defined to use the likelihood f<sub>K</sub> (unanimity or majority)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

• the use of alignment models trained on:

We tried our approach comparing:

- the use of three different methods to combine the alignments generated with GIZA++
- the use of both criteria defined to use the likelihood f<sub>K</sub> (unanimity or majority)

- the use of alignment models trained on:
  - the corpus to be aligned itself

We tried our approach comparing:

- the use of three different methods to combine the alignments generated with GIZA++
- the use of both criteria defined to use the likelihood f<sub>K</sub> (unanimity or majority)

- the use of alignment models trained on:
  - the corpus to be aligned itself
  - a separate in-domain corpus

We tried our approach comparing:

- the use of three different methods to combine the alignments generated with GIZA++
- the use of both criteria defined to use the likelihood f<sub>K</sub> (unanimity or majority)

- the use of alignment models trained on:
  - the corpus to be aligned itself
  - a separate in-domain corpus
  - a separate out-of-domain corpus

#### Corpora



#### Corpora



**◆ロ** ★ 個 ★ そ = ★ = ★ = ● の < ○</p>

#### Corpora



#### **Results for the Majority/Unanimity Criteria**



#### **Results for the Different Alignment Models**



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

#### Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Related Work
- 3 Methodology
- Experiments and Results
- 5 Conclusion
- Current and future Work

### **Concluding Remarks**

- new method to improve TM-based CAT tools
- predictability and high confidence of translators on fuzzy-match scores is kept
- accuracy over 94% for fuzzy match thresholds between 60% and 90%
- it is possible to reuse statistical alignment models from different corpora with a small loss in accuracy (but a larger loss in coverage)

#### Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Related Work
- 3 Methodology
- Experiments and Results
- 5 Conclusion
- **6** Current and future Work

## **Current and future Work**

#### Current:

- surveying translators about the usefulness of target-side colouring (visit survey at http://transducens.dlsi.ua.es/people/fsanchez/survey.html)
- using MT to inform aligners and classifiers to colour target words in proposals on the fly (no need to train the aligner on a corpus)

Future:

• integration in the OmegaT free/open-source CAT system

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### License

# HEEL ERG BEDANKT! MOLTES GRÀCIES!

#### Acknowledgements:

- Partially funded by the Spanish government through project TIN2009-14009-C02-01.
- Good ideas from Yanjun Ma, Andy Way and Harold Somers: thanks!

License: This work may be distributed under the terms of

• the Creative Commons Attribution–Share Alike license:

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/

• the GNU GPL v. 3.0 License:

http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl.html

Dual license! E-mail me to get the sources: mespla@dlsi.ua.es