Template-free Data-to-Text Generation of Finnish Sports News

Jenna Kanerva, Samuel Rönnqvist, Riina Kekki, Tapio Salakoski and Filip Ginter

TurkuNLP

Department of Future Technologies University of Turku, Finland {jmnybl, saanro, rieeke, sala, figint}@utu.fi

Abstract

News articles such as sports game reports are often thought to closely follow the underlying game statistics, but in practice they contain a notable amount of background knowledge, interpretation, insight into the game, and quotes that are not present in the official statistics. This poses a challenge for automated data-totext news generation with real-world news corpora as training data. We report on the development of a corpus of Finnish ice hockey news, edited to be suitable for training of end-to-end news generation methods, as well as demonstrate generation of text, which was judged by journalists to be relatively close to a viable product. The new dataset and system source code are available for research purposes.¹

1 Introduction

Automated, or robotic, journalism aims at news generation from structured data sources, either as the final product or as a draft for subsequent postediting. At present, automated journalism typically focuses on domains such as sports, finance and similar statistics-based reporting, where there is a commercial product potential due to the high volume of news, combined with the expectation of a relatively straightforward task.

News generation systems—especially those deployed in practice—tend to be based on intricate template filling, aiming to give the users the full control of the generated facts, while maintaining a reasonable variability of the resulting text. This comes at the price of having to develop the templates and specify their control logic, neither of which are tasks naturally fitting journalists' work. Further, this development needs to be repeated for every domain, as the templates are not easily transferred across domains. Examples of the templatebased news generation systems for Finnish are Voitto² by the Finnish Public Service Broadcasting Company (YLE) used for sports news generation, as well as Vaalibotti (Leppänen et al., 2017), a hybrid machine learning and template-based system used for election news.

Wiseman et al. (2018) suggested a neural template generation, which jointly models latent templates and text generation. Such a system increases interpretability and controllability of the generation, however, recent sequence-to-sequence systems represent the state-of-the-art in data-totext generation. (Dušek et al., 2018)

In this paper, we report on the development of a news generation system for the Finnish ice hockey news domain, based on sequence-tosequence methods. In order to train such a system, we compile a corpus of news based on over 2000 game reports from the Finnish News Agency STT. While developing this corpus into a form suitable for training of end-to-end systems naturally requires manual effort, we argue that compiling and refining a set of text examples is a more natural way for journalists to interact with the system, in order for them to codify their knowledge and to adapt it for new domains.

Our aim is to generate reports that give an overview of a game based on information inferrable from the statistics. Such reports can be used either as a basis for further post-editing by a journalist imprinting own insights and background information, or even used directly as a news stream labelled as machine-generated.

In the following, we will introduce the news dataset and the process of its creation, introduce an end-to-end model for news generation, and eval-

¹https://github.com/scoopmatic/ finnish-hockey-news-generation-paper

²https://github.com/Yleisradio/ avoin-voitto

uate its output respective to the abovementioned objectives.

2 Ice Hockey News Dataset

An ice hockey game is recorded into statistics in terms of different events occurring during play, such as goals and penalties. In order to train a model to generate game reports, we need access to these events, as well as example news articles about the game. Only recently have game statistics become available to the public through a web interface or API, whereas the information has traditionally been recorded as structured text files.

The news corpus from the Finnish News Agency STT^3 includes, among all other news, articles covering ice hockey games in the Finnish leagues during the years 1994–2018. In addition to news articles, the corpus also includes the original game statistics text files. This creates an opportunity to align the game statistics with the corresponding news articles, producing a dataset of over 20 years of ice hockey data with reference news articles for the games. When automatically pairing the game statistics with news articles using date and team names as a heuristic, we obtain a total of 3,454 games with existing statistics and at least one corresponding news article.

Utilizing real journalistic material poses a challenge in that the articles mix information that can be found directly in the game statistics (e.g., scores and names) with information inferable from the statistics (e.g., statements such as *shortly after*), information based on background knowledge (e.g., a team's home city or player's position), game insight and judgement based on viewing the game (e.g., expressions such as *slapshot* or *tipping the puck* describing the character of a shot), and even player interviews.

Therefore, directly using the limited amount of actual news articles for end-to-end system training becomes problematic. In our initial experiments the generation model learns to "hallucinate" facts, as easily occurs when the target text is too loosely related to the conditioning input.⁴ In order to ensure that the generation model is able to learn to generate accurate descriptions from game statistics, we clean the news corpus by manually align-

ing corresponding text spans with game events detailed in the statistics.

For the sake of comparison, let us consider the Rotowire corpus (Wiseman et al., 2017) containing basketball game summaries and statistics, which was recently released and has become a popular data set for training data-to-text generation systems (cf., e.g., Nie et al. (2018); Wiseman et al. (2018); Puduppully et al. (2019)). The Rotowire game summaries are straightforward in their style of reporting, focusing on the game at hand and tend for the most part to reference facts in the statistics. By contrast, our news corpus is more heterogeneous, including both articles focusing on the particular game and articles that take a broader perspective (e.g., describing a player's career). The STT news articles tend to read in the journalist's voice, putting substantial emphasis on the character of the game, often in colorful language, as well as quoting players and coaches.

An example of the events available in the game statistics, the actual news article on the game, and how these align, is shown in Figure 1. Text spans highlighted with blue color are based on information available in the statistics, all other being external information. It illustrates the typical portion of a raw article that is not inferrable from the data. English translations are available for a comparable example in Figure 4.

2.1 Extraction of Game Events

For each event occurring in a game and recorded in statistics, we identify its type and associated features. There are four event types: end result, goal, penalty and save. As a general rule, for each game the end result entry specifies total scores, participating teams and additional circumstances of the game such as overtime or shootout. The goal event is the most frequent and includes features such as goal scorer, assists, team, resulting score, time and the current state of the game (e.g., power play, penalty shot). We also derive special features that in many cases require consideration of other events in the context, but pertain to one particular event, e.g., is the goal deciding or final. The penalty event specifies player, team, time in the game and penalty time. The save event summarises the number of saves of a goaltender/team.

We perform information extraction with regular expressions on the statistics in order to structure the game into a chronological sequence of events,

³A version of the corpus is available at http://urn. fi/urn:nbn:fi:lb-2019041501 for academic use.

⁴This observation is also supported by Wiseman et al. (2017) mentioning that their generation model occasionally "hallucinates factual statements" that are plausible but false.

Game events:

E1 Lopputulos Blues-HPK 4-0 (1-0, 2-0, 1-0)
E2 Jäähy Jaakko Turtiainen, HPK 2min 11.57
E3 Maali 1–0 Arttu Luttinen, Blues (Jari Sailio) 14.56
E4 Jäähy Petri Lammassaari, Blues 2min 16.31
E5 Jäähy Mathias Porseland, HPK 2min 20.47
E6 Jäähy Janne Kolehmainen, HPK 2min 21.20
E7 Maali 2–0 yv Toni Kähkönen, Blues (Camilo Miettinen) 22.26
E8 Maali 3–0 yv Jere Karalahti, Blues (Stephane Veilleux, Stefan
Öhman) 23.01
E9 Jäähy Roope Ranta, Blues 2min 25.57
E10 Jäähy Jere Sallinen, Blues 2min 29.04
E11 Jäähy Oskari Korpikari, Blues 2min 32.41
E12 Maali 4–0 J Sallinen, Blues (Valtteri Virkkunen) 42.11
E13 Jäähy Turtiainen, HPK 2min 44.58
E14 Jäähy Teemu Lassila, HPK 2min 48.47
E15 Jäähy Virkkunen, Blues 2+2min 50.29
E16 Jäähy Jukka Laamanen, HPK 2min 51.30
E17 Jäähy Laamanen, HPK 2min 57.46
E18 Torjunnat Iiro Tarkki, Blues 30 torjuntaa
E19 Torjunnat Teemu Lassila, HPK 34 torjuntaa

Original game report (with alignments):

Espoon Blues kukisti HPK:n jääkiekon SM-liigassa 4–0-numeroin.[E1] Kotijoukkue Blues hankkiutui avauserässä 1–0-johtoon Arttu Luttisen maalilla [E3], mutta ottelun ratkaisut nähtiin toisessa erässä. Blues iski erän alussa vajaassa minuutissa kaksi osumaa [E7,8], ja HPK:n pelihalut karisivat. - Oikea joukkue voitti. Monessa pelissä olemme onnistuneet kirimään, tänään emme. Ekassa erässä olimme hyvin mukana, mutta selkeät ratkaisut tulivat meidän alivoimissa, joissa emme onnistuneet, HPKkäskijä Harri Rindell harmitteli. Ensin osui Toni Kähkönen ajassa 22.26. kahden miehen ylivoimalla.[E7] Alle minuutin päästä Jere Karalahti lisäsi kotijoukkueen johdon jo 3 0:aan.[E8] Kokenut puolustaja nousi kulmalta maalille, laukoi ja iski oman paluukiekon ohi HPK-vahti Teemu Lassilan. Osumaan nappasi syöttöpisteen pirteästi pelannut Stephane Veilleux[E8], jolle peli oli ensimmäinen SM-liigassa. Jere Sallinen kaunisteli vielä päätöserässä lukemat 4–0:aan.[E12] - Pelimme oli kurinalaista, ei kauhean nättiä, mutta pisteet ratkaisevat.

– Pelimme oli kurinalaista, ei kaunean nattia, mutta pisteet ratkaisevat. Oltiin, hyviä, tehokkaita ja suht tylyjä, Blues-valmentaja Petri Matikainen ynnäsi.

Figure 1: A representative example of events extracted from game statistics and the corresponding report in the news corpus. Events that are mentioned in the article are boldfaced (left) and the aligned text spans are highlighted in blue (right). Event references are indicated at the end of each alignment (e.g., [E1]).

which can then be aligned with the corresponding text spans from the news article, as well as used as input in the text generation. An initial sequence of events after information extraction is shown on the left side in Figure 1. Before text generation, these events are yet enhanced with additional information derived from the event itself, or the game.

2.2 Manual Alignment

The manual alignment of game events and the article text is carried out by a single annotator in approximately 6 weeks as an integral part of the system development work. The annotator receives a sequence of extracted events and aligns these with the corresponding news article, typically but not necessarily a single sentence for every expressed event. All ungrounded parts within the text are removed and if necessary, the text is modified for fluency. We cannot align full sentences with events as, for instance, Barzilay and Lapata (2005) do, as often information not grounded in the statistics is expressed together with statisticsbased facts within the same sentences and clauses.

The alignment process therefore frequently requires rephrasing the text, for instance, in order to make it more neutral and avoid generating arbitrary subjective judgements. We find that the news article commonly includes description that is not evident from the data (e.g., subjective characteristics of the player or the shot), and often may reflect the reporter's viewpoint. For instance, the reporter may evaluate an event negatively by writing *Player A did not manage to score more than one goal*, reflecting expectation of the player's performance, which we would change into a more objective form such as *Player A scored one goal*. Similarly, word choices based on viewing the game (e.g., *slapshot*) are changed to more neutral counterparts.

After removing the uninferable parts of a sentence, the remainder is often relatively short, in which case we sometimes opt to replace the information that was removed with references to other appropriate information from the game statistics, such as the time of the event or the state of the game. This serves to maintain a similar sentence and clause structure as that of the original corpus.

In the majority of our aligned data one text span refers to one game event. However, in some cases the same indivisible span can describe multiple events, most commonly of the same type (e.g., *Player A scored two goals*, or *Team A received a total of eight penalties*). In such cases, we produce multi-event alignments, where all related events are aligned with a single text span.⁵ Multi-event alignments support the development of more advanced generation, which stands to produce more natural-sounding reports in terms of less repetition and more flexible structuring.

⁵Cf. Figure 1, alignments of E7 and E8: The events are expressed differently depending on type of alignment, where the 2-to-1 aligned text says that the team scored two goals.

Games	2,134
Events	36,097
Aligned events	12,251
End result	2,092
Goal	6,651
Penalty	2,163
Save	1,345
Aligned spans	8,831
Aligned sentences	9,266
Aligned tokens	84,997

Table 1: Event alignment statistics.

	Original Corpus	Aligned
Sentences	49,496	9,266
Tokens	601,990	84,997
Unique tokens	54,624	7,393
Unique lemmas	24,105	4,724
STTR (words)	0.641	0.525
STTR (lemmas)	0.501	0.424

Table 2: Comparison of the original hockey news and the aligned sentences, including analysis of lexical diversity.

2.3 Corpus Statistics

In Table 1, we summarize the overall size statistics of the final ice hockey corpus after the statistics and news articles have been automatically paired, and events have been manually aligned with the text. In total, 2,307 games were manually checked (66.8% of the paired corpus), of which 2,134 games were correctly paired with the article describing the game. In these games, 12,251 out of 36,097 events (33.9%) were referenced in the text and successfully aligned. End result occurs in nearly all news articles as the first event, whereas the *goal* event is by far the most frequent one, each game mentioning on average 3.1 goals. The number of aligned events is greater than the number of aligned text spans due to multi-event alignments. While 82.1% of text spans align with a single event, there is a long tail of multi-event alignments, with 11.2% aligning to two events, 3.4% to three, 1.4% to four, etc.

In Table 2 we measure the lexical diversity of original ice hockey news articles, as well as the resulting dataset after manual alignment, by computing the Standardized Type–Token Ratio (STTR). The measure is defined as the number of unique tokens or lemmas divided by the total number of tokens, calculated on every segment of 1,000 tokens separately and averaged across the corpus. STTR is more meaningful than the standard type-token ratio when comparing corpora of substantially different sizes. Both corpora are tokenized and lemmatized using the Turku Neural Parser pipeline (Kanerva et al., 2018, 2019). STTR of the aligned corpus is lower than in the original hockey news on both word and lemma level, indicating a somewhat—but not substantially so—more restricted vocabulary use in our aligned subset.

3 Event Selection Model

As illustrated previously, any given news article describes only a subset of most noteworthy events of a game. We observe that most reports are concise, referencing on average 5.7 events. The distribution of events/report is: 1^{st} quantile at 3 events (20.9% of events in game), 2^{nd} at 5 (22.2%), 3^{rd} at 7 (38.5%), 4^{th} at 36 (100%).

Our alignment serves as a gold standard reflecting which events the journalists have chosen to mention for each game. In our generation task, we are presented with the problem of selecting appropriate events from the full game statistics. We use the gold standard selection during training and validation of the text generation model, as well as the automatic evaluation. As we deploy our text generation model for manual evaluation, we use a Conditional Random Field (CRF) model to predict which events to mention.

Casting the event selection problem as a sequence labeling task, the CRF model takes as input the full sequence of events in one game together with associated features for each event, and predicts a binary label for each event.⁶ We achieve an overall F-score of 67.1% on the test set, which broken down by event type is: end result (98.0%), goal (70.2%), penalty (20.1%), save (47.7%). Penalties are the most difficult to predict, being reported only 7.8% of the time in reality, e.g., compared to 54.1% for goals.

4 Text Generation

Next, we present the model architecture used in text generation, and evaluate the model on a pop-

⁶We use label weighting to account for the imbalanced distribution, which we optimize against the validation set to 0.85:1 for the positive class (other optimal hyperparameters are C1=35.0, C2=0.5, as well as defaults). We use CRFsuite (Okazaki, 2007) with label weighting by Sampo Pyysalo: https://github.com/spyysalo/crfsuite

ular baseline dataset. After that, we describe the training of the generation model on our ice hockey corpus and use automatic evaluation metrics to compare against existing references.

4.1 Model Architecture

We use a pointer-generation network (Vinyals et al., 2015; Gu et al., 2016; See et al., 2017), where the neural attention mechanism in the encoder-decoder model is adapted to jointly model a probability distribution over words from the known vocabulary, a distribution over words from the input sequence to copy and a probability that controls the copying mechanism. A separate coverage attention vector, a sum of past attention distributions, is maintained to inform the model of its past attention decisions. Such a coverage model is shown to prevent text repetition in generated output (Tu et al., 2016; See et al., 2017).

The model is implemented using the OpenNMT-py library (Klein et al., 2017). The encoder has two bidirectional LSTM layers with 500 hidden units, together with 500-dimensional word embeddings. The decoder has two unidirectional LSTM layers with 500 hidden units. Both encoder and decoder apply a dropout of 0.3 between LSTM layers.

4.2 Baseline Experiments on the E2E Dataset

To demonstrate the performance of our generation model architecture, we report results on a known dataset with published baselines, namely the E2E NLG Challenge (Dušek et al., 2018) on end-to-end natural language generation in spoken dialogue systems. The task is to produce a natural language description of a restaurant based on a given meaning representation (MR)-an unordered set of attributes and their values. The attributes included, among others, the restaurant name, area, food type and rating. We represent the given MR as a sequence of tokens where each attribute value is embedded into XML-style beginning and end attribute markers, and the order of attributes is kept fixed across the whole dataset. The target output is a sequence of tokens. We do not apply any explicit delexicalization steps.

In Table 3 we measure BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002), NIST (Doddington, 2002), METEOR (Lavie and Agarwal, 2007), ROUGE-L (Lin, 2004) and CIDEr (Vedantam et al., 2015) metrics on the 2018 E2E NLG Challenge test data using the evaluation script provided by the orga-

nizers⁷. Our generation system is compared to the official shared task baseline system, TGen (Dušek and Jurčíček, 2016), as well as to the top performing participant system on each score (ST top). Our system outperforms the TGen baseline on 3 out of 5 metrics (BLEU, METEOR and ROUGE-L), which is on par with the official shared task results, where not a single one participant system was able to surpass the baseline on all five metrics. On two metrics, BLEU and METEOR, our system outperforms the best shared task participants.

E2E NLG Challenge evaluation is based on having multiple references for each MR, on average each unique MR in the corpus having 8 reference descriptions. In the evaluation, the output for each unique MR is compared against all references and the maximum score is used, naturally leading to higher scores. To have more comparable numbers to our ice hockey corpus, where we have only one reference for each input event, we also include scores obtained by comparing each MR to each of its reference descriptions separately as if they were individual data points (Ours single ref.).

4.3 Hockey Data Representation

Currently, we concentrate on training the model only with text spans aligning with single events, excluding the less frequent multi-event alignments. Furthermore, we are considering each event as a separate training example, independent of other events in the game.

Given a single event described as a sequence of features and their values, our text generation model is trained to produce the text span aligned with it. Following the data representation used in E2E NLG Challenge experiments, the input events are represented as a linearized sequence of tokens, where XML-style beginning and end tags are used to separate the different features (see Figure 2). This allows the model to directly copy some of the input tokens to the output when necessary. The ability to copy tokens is especially important with player names and exact times, where the vocabulary is sparse, and many of these can even be previously unseen, unknown tokens. In addition, we also include features that are meant to inform generation without being copied themselves, for example, the type of the event. The target of generation is a tokenized sequence of words, where

⁷https://github.com/tuetschek/ e2e-metrics

	BLEU	NIST	METEOR	ROUGE-L	CIDEr
Ours	0.6758	8.5588	0.4536	0.6990	2.2007
TGen	0.6593	8.6094	0.4483	0.6850	2.2338
ST top	0.6619*	8.6130*	0.4529**	0.7083†	2.2721‡
Ours single ref.	0.3190	5.1995	0.3574	0.4969	1.7922

Table 3: Performance of our generation model on the E2E test set compared to the shared task baseline (TGen) and winners on each metric (*Juraska et al. (2018), **Puzikov and Gurevych (2018), †Zhang et al. (2018), ‡Gong (2018)), as well as our model in an adapted evaluation setup (Ours single ref.).

also dashes inside scores are separated, allowing the model to swap scores when necessary. The reference text sometimes flips the order of the teams, requiring the score to be inverted as well (*Team* A-*Team* B 0-1 into *Team* B won *Team* A 1-0).

One particular challenge in our corpus is that the decision of which aspects to focus on, i.e., which particular features from the source event to verbalize, is relatively arbitrary. For example, sometimes a journalist mentions the player or players assisting a goal, but in many cases it is left out. Both options are equally correct, but overlapbased metrics such as BLEU penalize such creative variation. By contrast, in other text generation datasets such as the E2E NLG Challenge, the output text in general describes all input features. To account for this variation, we include a length feature to act as a minimal supervision signal for the model to rely on. We divide output text lengths into three evenly sized categories (short, *medium* and *long*) to provide a hint to the model during training of how long and detailed output it is expected to generate for each training example. At test time, we then have the possibility to control the desired approximate length of the generated text. In the experiments throughout this paper, we generate all three length variants for each event and pick the one with the highest average confidence of the generation model.

4.4 Training and Optimization

The model is trained using the Adam optimizer with learning rate of 0.0005 and batch size of 32. The model is trained for a maximum of 8000 steps (ca. 40 epochs), and the final model is chosen based on validation set performance. We use 80% of the aligned games for training, 10% for validation and 10% for testing.

In our initial experiments, we used the RBFOpt library (Costa and Nannicini, 2014) for hyperparameter tuning, maximizing validation set BLEU score. However, when manually inspecting generation results on the validation set, we noticed that models with a higher BLEU score result in more fluent text but generate more factual mistakes. This observation is supported by Wiseman et al. (2017), who note that BLEU score tends to reward fluent text rather than other aspects desirable in generation of sports summaries from data. For this reason, we ultimately decided to use hyperparameters manually tuned on the validation set to give a good perceived balance between fluent and factually correct text.

4.5 Automatic Evaluation of Hockey Generation

In Table 4, we provide evaluation results using the five aforementioned metrics. We evaluate on event level using gold standard event selection, where each generated event description is compared to its existing reference text. As the model is trained to produce a tokenized sequence, we apply a detokenizer to be able to compare against the original untokenized reference text. On the test set, the model achieves a BLEU score of 19.67. To the extent that different datasets allow comparison, the best reported score on the Rotowire basketball news corpus is 16.50 (Puduppully et al., 2019). Compared to our earlier E2E baseline experiment, we score lower than our closest comparable reference of 31.90 (with single references), which is understandable due to the much smaller train set size for the hockey corpus (about 13% in size).

In Figure 3, we plot the learning curve with increasing sizes of training data in order to illustrate how generation performance benefits from more data. The learning curve is still steadily increasing when using 100% of the training data currently available, which indicates that more data would most likely further improve the performance.

Figure 2: An example input–output pair for the text generation model, derived from manual alignment. The original, untokenized output sentence is *Blues vei voiton* $\ddot{A}ssist\ddot{a}$ maalein 4–0 (3–0, 0–0, 1–0). (Literal English translation: *Blues took a win from* $\ddot{A}ss\ddot{a}t$ with goals 4–0 (3–0, 0–0, 1–0).)

BLEU	0.1967
NIST	4.4144
METEOR	0.2297
ROUGE-L	0.4159
CIDEr	1.8658

 Table 4: Automatic evaluation metrics on hockey corpus test set.



Figure 3: Learning curve demonstrating the effect of training data size on generation performance.

5 Human evaluation

As our objective is practically usable news generation, we carry out a manual evaluation of its output on 59 randomly selected games from the test set, focusing in particular on the corrections that would be necessary to obtain acceptable output. Example corrections are shown in Figure 4. These full game reports are generated by first applying the selection model described in Section 3 to select the events to be included in the report, and then using the text generation model to verbalize each selected event. The generated texts of the events are then detokenized and concatenated in chronological order into a full game report.

5.1 Minimum Edit Evaluation

In the *minimum edit* evaluation, carried out by the annotator who created the news corpus, only factual mistakes and grammatical errors are corrected, resulting in text which may remain awkward or unfluent. The word error rate (WER)

Error type	Count
Team or player name	25
Type or score of goal	24
Time reference	14
Total score	6
Penalty	5
Assist	2
Power play	2

Table 5: Types of factual errors in the generated output for 59 games.

of the generated text compared to its corrected variant as a reference is 5.6% (6.2% disregarding punctuation). The WER measure is defined as the number of insertions, substitutions, and deletions divided by the total length of the reference, in terms of tokens. The measure is the edit distance of the generated text and its corrected variant, directly reflecting the amount of effort needed to correct the generated output.

5.1.1 Factual Correctness

The factual errors and their types are summarized in Table 5. From the total of 510 game events generated by the system, 78 of these contained a factual error, i.e. 84.7% were generated without factual errors.

The most notable errors involved player or team names. The input for a single game event may contain more than one name (e.g. the goal scorer and up to two assisting players). In these cases, the model occasionally paired first name and surname incorrectly. Less frequent errors include wrong team pairings when referring to the end result of the game, e.g., *Team A lost to Team A*.

In sentences where the exact time of a game event is generated as a number (*at time 39.54*) the model copied the time reliably, but when the approximate time of an event is verbalized (*at the end of the second period*) there were occasional errors. Another notable error category is types of goals or their scores (e.g. 3–0, deciding goal, tying goal). In this category, the error similarly occurred in cases when the reference is verbal (*third goal*),

but occasionally also in numerical representation (3-0 instead of 0-3). The other, less common categories relate to game total scores, power play, assists and penalties.

5.1.2 Fluency

Overall, the generated text is highly grammatical. The most frequent grammatical error in the output is unconjugated player names; commonly names in their Finnish nominative case that should be in genitive. In a few cases, the model makes grammatical errors when it copies an incompatible word from the input, e.g., a name instead of a time reference.

Both error types commonly occur when the model copies from the input. As it operates on the token rather than sub-word level, it is challenging to map between word forms and inflect infrequent words such as player names. The latter error likely occurs when the copy attention is indecisive and fails to recognize the type for infrequent tokens.

Most fluency issues relate to the overall flow and structure of the report. Addressing these issues would require the model to take into account multiple events in a game, and combine the information more flexibly to avoid repetition. For instance, the output may repeatedly mention the period number for all goals in the same period. Likewise, this setup sometimes results in unnatural, yet grammatical, repetition of words across consecutive sentences. Even though the model has learned a selection of verbs meaning *to score a goal*, it is unable to ensure their varied use. While not successful in our initial experiments, generating text based on the multi-event alignments or at document level may eventually overcome these issues.

5.2 Product-Readiness Evaluation

The second human evaluation aimed at judging the acceptability of the output for production use in a news agency. The output is evaluated in terms of its usability for a news channel labelled as being machine-generated, i.e. not aiming at the level of a human journalist equipped with substantial background information. The evaluation was carried out by two journalists from the STT agency, who split the 59 games among themselves approximately evenly. The first journalist edited the games to a form corresponding to a draft for subsequent minor post-editing by a human, simulating the use of the generated output as a product where the final customer is expected to do own post-editing before publication. The second journalist directly edited the news to a state ready for direct publication in a news stream labeled as machine-generated news. In addition to correcting factual errors, the journalists removed excessive repetition, improved text fluency, as well as occasionally included important facts which the system left ungenerated. The WER measured against the output considered ready for post-editing, is 9.9% (11.2% disregarding punctuation), only slightly worse than the evaluation with only the factual and grammatical errors corrected. The WER measured against the output considered ready for direct release, was 22.0% (24.4% disregarding punctuation). In other words, 75-90% of the generated text can be directly used, depending on the expected post-editing effort.

Figure 4 shows two example games along with the generated reports and manual corrections made by the journalist in order to prepare it for publication. Literal translations from the generated, uncorrected Finnish into English are provided for reference.

6 Conclusions and Future Work

We developed and evaluated an end-to-end system for news generation from structured data, using a corpus of news and game statistics in the ice hockey domain. In terms of the data, our primary finding was the level to which professionally produced news contain information that cannot be inferred from the game statistics. This leads to the model learning to "hallucinate" facts and necessitates a manual alignment and editing of the training data. Once we created a suitable training dataset, we were able to generate highly grammatical text which, in terms of word error rate (edit distance), was relatively close to what was judged as a viable product by domain journalists. We found that most factual errors in the generated output fall into a small number of categories, mostly related to copying names from the input, types of events, and time references. Addressing these errors is a matter of future work and can be approached using data augmentation techniques as well as introducing sub-word units which would allow the model to deal with inflections.

Currently, we only generate the news as independent events, with roughly one sentence corresponding to one event. As this leads to a somewhat unnatural text, we have attempted in prelim-

Events (game 1):

Generated game report with manual corrections: E1 Lopputulos Blues-Ilves 3-2 ja (0-1, 1-0, 1-1, 1-0) Ilves voitti <mark>Hves</mark>Bluesin jatkoajalla 3–2 (0–1, 1–0, 1–1<mark>, 1-0</mark>). E2 Maali 0–1 Linda Välimäki, Ilves (None) 2.07 Linda Välimäki vei Ilveksen johtoon 1-0 av E3 Jäähy Salla Korhonen, Blues 2min 3.22 Bluesin ainokaisen tasoituksen teki Essi Hallvar toisessa eräss E4 Jäähy Annina Rajahuhta, Ilves 2min 6.08 Kolmannessa erässä Marjo Voutilainen vei <mark>Bluesin</mark> 2–1 -johtoon. E5 Jäähy Johanna Koivula, Ilves 2min 15.37 Ilveksen Linda Rajahuhta viimeisteli 2–2 -tasoituksen E6 Maali 1–1 Essi Hallvar, Blues (None) 27.03 ona Ottelun ratkaisun teki Oona Parviainen jatkoajalla E7 Jäähy Ninni Taskinen, Blues 2min 27.13 Bluesin maalivahti Anna Vanhatalo torjui 45 laukausta. E8 Jäähy Anna Kinnunen, Blues 2min 32.43 Ilveksen maalivahti Linda Selkee torjui 30 laukausta E9 Jäähy Jenni Hiirikoski, Ilves 2min 37.53 E10 Maali 2–1 Marjo Voutilainen, Blues (Oona Parviainen, Terhi Mertanen) 43.55 Literal English translation of generated report: E11 Maali 2–2 Annina Rajahuhta, Ilves (Linda Välimäki, Satu Niinimäki) 47.49 Ilves won Ilves on overtime 3-2 (0-1, 1-0, 1-E12 Jäähv Ninni Taskinen, Blues 2min 54.03 Linda Välimäki took Ilves to a 1-0 lead E13 Jäähy Satu Niinimäki, Ilves 2min 56.04 Blues' only goal was made by Essi Hallvar E14 Jäähy Tea Villilä, Blues 2min 66.55 In the third period Marjo Voutilainen took to a 2-1 lead. E15 Jäähy Tiia Reima, Blues 2min 69.07 Linda Rajahuhta finished off with a 2–2 tying goal. Oona the deciding goal was made by Oona Parviainen. E16 Jäähy Annina Rajahuhta, Ilves 2min 70.55 E17 Jäähy Linda Välimäki, Ilves 2min 71.16 Blues' goalkeeper Anna Vanhatalo saved 45 shots E18 Maali 3–2 yv Oona Parviainen, Blues (Emma Laaksonen, Terhi Mertanen) Ilves' goalkeeper Linda Selkee saved 30 shots. 72.34 E19 Torjunnat Anna Vanhatalo, Blues 45 torjuntaa E20 Torjunnat Linda Selkee, Ilves 30 torjuntaa Events (game 2): Generated game report with manual corrections: E1 Lopputulos HIFK-Jokerit 2-4 (1-1, 1-2, 0-1) Jokerit löi HIFK:n 4-2 (1-1, 2-1, 1-0). E2 Jäähy Jere Karalahti, Jokerit 2min 3.20 Jokerit meni avauserässä 1–0 -johtoon Antti Tyrväinen osumalla. E3 Maali 0–1 Antti Tvrväinen, Jokerit (Nichlas Hardt, Teuvo Teräväinen) 6.12 HIFK:n Juuso Puustinen iski 1-1 -tasoituksen ajassa 7.12. E4 Maali 1-1 Juuso Puustinen, HIFK (Ville Peltonen, Corey Elkins) 7.12 Toisessa erässä Jokerien Steve Moses iski 2-1 -johdon. E5 Jäähy Hardt, Jokerit 2min 17.47 Toisessa erässä Jokerit meni 3-1 -johtoon. E6 Maali 1-2 Steve Moses, Jokerit (Ossi Väänänen, Teräväinen) 23.36 HIFK:n Trevor Gillies joutui suihkuun E7 Maali 1–3 Mikko Kousa, Jokerit (Teräväinen, Hardt) 26.28 HIFK:n Iiro Pakarinen kavensi 2–3:een ajassa 34.11 alivoimalla E8 Jäähy Braden Birch, HIFK 2min 28.56 2-4 -osuman iski Jokerien <mark>Jeremy De</mark> r Dehner. E9 Jäähy Trevor Gillies, HIFK 5+20min 32.16 HIFK:n maalivahti Brad Thiessen torjui 27 kiekkoa E10 Maali 2–3 av Iiro Pakarinen, HIFK (Eero Somervuori, Peltonen) 34.11 Jokerien maalivahti Leland Irving torjui 22 kiekkoa E11 Jäähy Elkins, HIFK 2min 36.02 E12 Jäähy Kousa, Jokerit 2min 37.58 Literal English translation of generated report: E13 Jäähv Janos Hari, HIFK 2min 39.22 Jokerit beat HIFK 4-2 (1-1, 2-1, 1-0). E14 Jäähy Dehner, Jokerit 2min 46.24 Jokerit went in the opening period to a 1-0 lead due to Antti Tyväinen goal score. E15 Jäähy Toni Söderholm, HIFK 2min 47.21 HIFK's Juuso Puustinen scored a 1-1 tie at 7.12 E16 Jäähy Karalahti, Jokerit 2min 51.53 E17 Jäähy Birch, HIFK 2min 57.40 In the second period Jokerit's Steve Moses scored a 2-1 lead. In the second period Jokerit went to a 3–1 lead. E18 Jäähy jr, Jokerit 2min 59.21 HIFK's Trevor Gillies was sent to the shower E19 Jäähy Tomi Mäki, Jokerit 2min 59.33 Iiro Pakarinen narrowed to 2-3 at 34.11. E20 Maali 2-4 av. tm Jeremy Dehner, Jokerit (None) 59.55 The 2-4 goal was scored by Jokerit's Dehner Dehner. E21 Torjunnat Brad Thiessen, HIFK 27 torjuntaa HIFK's goalkeeper Brad Thiessen saved 27 pucks. E22 Torjunnat Leland Irving, Jokerit 22 torjuntaa Jokerit's goalkeeper Leland Irving saved 22 pucks.

Figure 4: Generated reports with manual corrections for two example games. Insertions in manual corrections are marked in green and deletions in red and struck through. English translations are based on original, uncorrected generation output. English translations for input events: Lopputulos (End result), Maali (Goal), Jäähy (Penalty), Torjunnat (Saves), ja (overtime), yv (power play), av (short-handed), tm (empty net).

inary experiments to generate whole news texts at once, as well as sentences combining several events, nevertheless with results far from useful. This is likely due to the relatively small number of training examples where a single sentence accounts for several distinct events. We will focus on this problem in our future work, investigating methods which would allow pre-training the generation model so as to be able to successfully accept several events on its input.

The new dataset, the original news corpus and the source code of the model are available for research use. 8

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the collaboration of Maija Paikkala, Salla Salmela and Pihla Lehmusjoki of the Finnish News Agency STT, as well as the support of the Google Digital News Innovation Fund, Academy of Finland, CSC – IT Center for Science, and the NVIDIA Corporation GPU Grant Program.

References

Regina Barzilay and Mirella Lapata. 2005. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/H05-1042 Collective content selection for concept-to-text generation. In *Proceedings of Human Language Technology Conference and Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages

⁸https://github.com/scoopmatic/ finnish-hockey-news-generation-paper

331–338, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Alberto Costa and Giacomo Nannicini. 2014. RBFOpt: an open-source library for black-box optimization with costly function evaluations. *Optimization Online*, 4538.
- George Doddington. 2002. http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1289189.1289273 Automatic evaluation of machine translation quality using n-gram co-occurrence statistics. In Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Human Language Technology Research, pages 138–145. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Ondřej Dušek and Filip Jurčíček. 2016. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P16-2008 Sequenceto-sequence generation for spoken dialogue via deep syntax trees and strings. In *Proceedings* of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), pages 45–51, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ondřej Dušek, Jekaterina Novikova, and Verena Rieser. 2018. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W18-6539 Findings of the E2E NLG challenge. In Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Natural Language Generation, pages 322–328. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Heng Gong. 2018. Technical report for e2e nlg challenge. In *Proceedings of the E2E NLG Challenge System Descriptions*.
- Jiatao Gu, Zhengdong Lu, Hang Li, and Victor OK Li. 2016. Incorporating copying mechanism in sequence-to-sequence learning. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2016).*
- Juraj Juraska, Panagiotis Karagiannis, Kevin Bowden, and Marilyn Walker. 2018. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N18-1014 А deep ensemble model with slot alignment for sequenceto-sequence natural language generation. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers), pages 152-162. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jenna Kanerva, Filip Ginter, Niko Miekka, Akseli Leino, and Tapio Salakoski. 2018. http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/K18-2013 Turku neural parser pipeline: An end-to-end system for the conll 2018 shared task. In *Proceedings* of the CoNLL 2018 Shared Task: Multilingual Parsing from Raw Text to Universal Dependencies. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jenna Kanerva, Filip Ginter, and Tapio Salakoski. 2019. Universal lemmatizer: A sequence to sequence model for lemmatizing universal dependencies treebanks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.00972*.

- Guillaume Klein, Yoon Kim, Yuntian Deng, Jean Senellart, and Alexander M. Rush. 2017. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P17-4012 OpenNMT: Open-source toolkit for neural machine translation. In *Proc. ACL*.
- Alon Lavie and Abhaya Agarwal. 2007. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W07-0734 METEOR: An automatic metric for MT evaluation with high levels of correlation with human judgments. In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation*, pages 228–231. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Leo Leppänen, Myriam Munezero, Mark Granroth-Wilding, and Hannu Toivonen. 2017. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/W17-3528 Data-driven news generation for automated journalism. In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Natural Language Generation, pages 188–197. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W04-1013 ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out: Proceedings of the ACL-04 Workshop*, pages 74–81. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jinpeng Nie, Wang, Jin-Ge Feng Yao. Rong Pan, and Chin-Yew Lin. 2018. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D18-1422 Operation-guided neural networks for high fidelity data-to-text generation. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 3879-3889, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Naoaki Okazaki. 2007. http://www.chokkan.org/software/crfsuite/ Crfsuite: a fast implementation of conditional random fields (crfs).
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos. Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. https://doi.org/10.3115/1073083.1073135 Bleu: A method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 311-318. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ratish Puduppully, Li Dong, and Mirella Lapata. 2019. Data-to-text generation with content selection and planning. In *Proceedings of the of the 33rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Honolulu, Hawaii. AAAI.
- Yevgeniy Puzikov and Iryna Gurevych. 2018. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W18-6557 E2E NLG challenge: Neural models vs. templates. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Natural Language Generation*, pages 463–471, Tilburg University, The Netherlands. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Abigail See, Peter J. Liu, and Christopher D. Manning. 2017. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P17-1099 Get to the point: Summarization with pointer-generator networks. In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2017), pages 1073–1083. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhaopeng Tu, Zhengdong Lu, Yang Liu, Xiaohua Liu, and Hang Li. 2016. Modeling coverage for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2016).*
- Ramakrishna Vedantam, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. 2015. Cider: Consensus-based image description evaluation. In *Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 4566–4575.
- Oriol Vinyals, Meire Fortunato, and Navdeep Jaitly. 2015. Pointer networks. In *Advances in Neural In-formation Processing Systems*, pages 2692–2700.
- Sam Wiseman, Stuart Shieber, and Alexander Rush. 2017. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D17-1239 Challenges in data-to-document generation. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 2253–2263, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sam Wiseman, Stuart Shieber, and Alexander Rush. 2018. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D18-1356 Learning neural templates for text generation. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 3174–3187, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Biao Zhang, Jing Yang, Qian Lin, and Jinsong Su. 2018. Attention regularized sequence-to-sequence learning for e2e nlg challenge. In *Proceedings of the E2E NLG Challenge System Descriptions*.