A Text-driven Rule-based System for Emotion Cause Detection

Sophia Yat Mei Lee[†]

^TDepartment of Chinese and Bilingual Studies The Hong Kong Polytechnic University {sophiaym, chenying3176, churenhuang}@gmail.com

Ying Chen^{†*} ^{*}Department of Computer Engineering

China Agriculture University

Chu-Ren Huang^{†‡} ^{*}Institute of Linguistics Academia Sinica

Abstract

Emotion cause detection is a new research area in emotion processing even though most theories of emotion treat recognition of a triggering cause event as an integral part of emotion. As a first step towards fully automatic inference of cause-emotion correlation, we propose a textdriven, rule-based approach to emotion cause detection in this paper. First of all, a Chinese emotion cause annotated corpus is constructed based on our proposed annotation scheme. By analyzing the corpus data, we identify seven groups of linguistic cues and generalize two sets of linguistic rules for detection of emotion causes. With the linguistic rules, we then develop a rule-based system for emotion cause detection. In addition, we propose an evaluation scheme with two phases for performance assessment. Experiments show that our system achieves a promising performance for cause occurrence detection as well as cause event detection. The current study should lay the ground for future research on the inferences of implicit information and the discovery of new information based on cause-event relation.

1 Introduction

Text-based emotion processing has attracted plenty of attention in NLP. Most research has focused on the emotion detection and classification by identifying the emotion types, for instances happiness and sadness, for a given sentence or document (Alm 2005, Mihalcea and Liu 2006, Tokuhisa et al. 2008). However, on top of this surface level information, deeper level information regarding emotions, such as the experiencer, cause, and result of an emotion, needs to be extracted and analyzed for real world applications (Alm 2009). In this paper, we aim at mining one of the crucial deep level types of information, i.e. emotion cause, which provides useful information for applications ranging from economic forecasting, public opinion mining, to product design. Emotion cause detection is a new research area in emotion processing. In emotion processing, the cause event and emotion correlation is a fertile ground for extraction and entailment of new information. As a first step towards fully automatic inference of causeemotion correlation, we propose a text-driven, rule-based approach to emotion cause detection in this paper.

Most theories of emotion treat recognition of a triggering cause event as an integral part of emotional experience (Descartes 1649, James 1884, Plutchik 1962, Wierzbicka 1999). In this study, cause events refer to the explicitly expressed arguments or events that evoke the presence of the corresponding emotions. They are usually expressed by of propositions, means nominalizations, and nominals. For example, "they like it" is the cause event of the emotion happiness in the sentence "I was very happy that they like it". Note that we only take into account emotions that are explicitly expressed, which are usually presented by emotion keywords, e.g. "This surprises me". Implicit emotions that require inference or connotation are not processed in this first study. In this study, we first build a Chinese emotion cause annotated corpus with five primary emotions, i.e. happiness, sadness, anger, fear, and surprise. We then examine various linguistic cues which help detect emotion cause events: the position of cause event and experiencer relative to the emotion keyword, causative verbs (e.g. rang4 "to cause"), action verbs (e.g. xiang3dao4 "to think about"), epistemic markers (e.g. kan4jian4 "to see"), conjunctions (e.g. yinlwei4 "because"), and prepositions (e.g. dui4yu2 "for"). With the help of

45

Proceedings of the NAACL HLT 2010 Workshop on Computational Approaches to Analysis and Generation of Emotion in Text, pages 45–53, Los Angeles, California, June 2010. © 2010 Association for Computational Linguistics

these cues, a list of linguistic rules is generalized. Based on the linguistic rules, we develop a rulebased system for emotion cause detection. Experiments show that such a rule-based system performs promisingly well. We believe that the current study should lay the ground for future research on inferences and discovery of new information based on cause-event relation, such as detection of implicit emotion or cause, as well as prediction of public opinion based on cause events, etc.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the related work on various aspects of emotion analysis. Section 3 describes the construction of the emotion cause corpus. Section 4 presents our rule-based system for emotion cause detection. Section 5 describes its evaluation and performance. Section 6 highlights our main contributions.

2 Previous Work

We discuss previous studies on emotion analysis in this section, and underline fundamental yet unresolved issues. We survey the previous attempts on textual emotion processing and how the present study differs.

2.1 Emotion Classes

Various approaches to emotion classification were proposed in different fields, such as philosophy (Spinoza 1675, James 1884), biology (Darwin 1859, linguistics (Wierzbicka 1999, Kövecses 2000), neuropsychology (Plutchik 1962, Turner 1996), and computer science (Ortony et al. 1988, Picard 1995), as well as varying from language to language. Although there is lack of agreement among different theories on emotion classification, a small number of primary emotions are commonly assumed. Other emotions are secondary emotions which are the mixtures of the primary emotions.

Researchers have attempted to propose the list of primary emotions, varying from two to ten basic emotions (Ekman 1984, Plutchik 1980, Turner 2000). *Fear* and *anger* appear on every list, whereas *happiness* and *sadness* appear on most of the lists. These four emotions, i.e. *fear*, *anger*, *happiness*, and *sadness*, are the most common primary emotions. Other less common primary emotions are surprise, disgust, shame, distress, guilt, interest, pain, and acceptance.

In this study, we adopt Turner's emotion classification (2000), which identifies five primary emotions, namely *happiness*, *sadness*, *fear*, *anger*, and *surprise*. Turner's list consists of primary emotions agreed upon by most previous work.

2.2 Emotion Processing in Text

Textual emotion processing is still in its early stages in NLP. Most of the previous works focus on emotion classification given a known emotion context such as a sentence or a document using either rule-based (Masum et al. 2007, Chaumartin 2007) or statistical approaches (Mihalcea and Liu 2005, Kozareva et al. 2007). However, the performance is far from satisfactory. What is more, many basic issues remain unresolved, for instances, the relationships among emotions, emotion type selection, etc. Tokuhisa et al. (2008) was the first to explore both the issues of emotion detection and classification. It created a Japanese emotion-provoking event corpus for an emotion classification task using an unsupervised approach. However, only 49.4% of cases were correctly labeled. Chen et al. (2009) developed two cognitive-based Chinese emotion corpora using a semi-unsupervised approach, i.e. an emotion-sentence (sentences containing emotions) corpus and a neutral-sentence (sentences containing no emotion) corpus. They showed that studies based on the emotion-sentence corpus (~70%) outperform previous corpora.

Little research, if not none, has been done to examine the interactions between emotions and the corresponding cause events, which may make a great step towards an effective emotion classification model. The lack of research on cause events restricted current emotion analysis to simple classificatory work without exploring the potentials of the rich applications of putting emotion 'in context'. In fact, emotions can be invoked by perceptions of external events and in turn trigger reactions. The ability to detect implicit invoking causes as well as predict actual reactions will add rich dimensions to emotion analysis and lead to further research on event computing.

3 Emotion Cause Corpus

This section briefly describes how the emotion cause corpus is constructed. We first explain what

an emotion cause is and discuss how emotion cause is linguistically expressed in Chinese. We then describe the corpus data and the annotation scheme. For more detailed discussion on the construction of the emotion cause corpus, please refer to Lee et al. (2010).

3.1 Cause Events

Following Talmy (2000), the cause of an emotion should be an event itself. In this work, it is called a cause event. By cause event, we do not necessarily mean the actual trigger of the emotion or what leads to the emotion. Rather, it refers to the immediate cause of the emotion, which can be the actual trigger event or the perception of the trigger event. Adapting TimeML annotation scheme (Saurí et al. 2004), events refer to situations that happen or occur. In this study, cause events specifically refer to the explicitly expressed arguments or events that are highly linked with the presence of the corresponding emotions. In Lee et al.'s (2010) corpus, cause events are categorized into two types: verbal events and nominal events. Verbal events refer to events that involve verbs (i.e. propositions and nominalizations), whereas nominal events are simply nouns (i.e. nominals). Some examples of cause event types are given in bold face in (1)-(6).

- (1) Zhe4-DET tou2-CL niu2-cattle de-POSS zhu3ren2-owner, yan3kan4-see zi4ji3-oneself de-POSS niu2-cattle re3chu1-cause huo4-trouble lai2-come le-ASP, fei1chang2-very hai4pa4-frighten, jiu4-then ba3-PREP zhe4-DET tou2-CL niu2-cattle di1jia4-low price mai4chu1-sell.
 "The owner was frightened to see that his cattle caused troubles, so he sold it at a low price."
- (2) Mei2-not xiang3dao4-think ta1-3.SG.F shuo1-say de-POSS dou1-all shi4-is zhen1-true hua4-word, rang4lead ta1-3.SG.M zhen4jing1-shocked bu4yi3-very.
 "He was shocked that what she said was the truth."
- (3) Ta1-3.SG.M dui4-for zhe4-DET ge4-CL chong1man3full of nong2hou4-dense ai4yi4-love de-DE xiang3fa3idea gao1xing4-happy de-DE shou3wu3zu2dao3-flourish. "He was very happy about this loving idea."
- (4) Zhe4-DET ci4-CL yan3chu1-performance de-POSS jing1zhi4-exquisite dao4shi4-is ling4-cause wo3-1.SG shi2fen1-very jing1ya4-surprise.
 "I was very surprised by this exquisite performance."

- (5) Ni2ao4-Leo de-POSS hua4-word hen3-very ling4-make kai3luo4lin2-Caroline shang1xin1-sad.
 "Caroline was very saddened by Leo's words."
- (6) Dui4yu2-for wei4lai2-future, lao3shi2shuo1-frankly wo3-1.SG hen3-very hai4pa4-scared.
 "Frankly, I am very scared about the future."

The causes in (1) and (2) are propositional causes, which indicate the actual events involved in causing the emotions. The ones in (3) and (4) are nominalized causes, whereas (5) and (6) involve nominal causes

3.2 Corpus Data and Annotation Scheme

Based on the list of 91 Chinese primary emotion keywords identified in Chen et al. (2009), we extract 6,058 instances of sentences by keyword matching from the Sinica Corpus¹, which is a tagged balanced corpus of Mandarin Chinese containing a total of ten million words. Each instance contains the focus sentence with the emotion keyword "<FocusSentence>" plus the sentence before "<PrefixSentence>" and after "<SuffixSentence>" it. The extracted instances include all primary emotion keywords occurring in the Sinica Corpus except for the emotion class happiness, as the keywords of happiness exceptionally outnumber other emotion classes. In order to balance the number of each emotion class, we set the upper limit at about 1,600 instances for each primary emotion.

Note that the presence of emotion keywords does not necessarily convey emotional information due to different possible reasons such as negative polarity and sense ambiguity. Hence, by manual inspection, we remove instances that 1) are non-emotional; 2) contain highly ambiguous emotion keywords, such as *ru2yi4* "wish-fulfilled", *hai4xiu1* "to be shy", *wei2nan2* "to feel awkward", from the corpus. After the removal, the remaining instances in the emotion cause corpus is 5,629. Among the remaining instances, we also remove the emotion keywords in which the instances do not express that particular emotion and yet are emotional. The total emotion keywords in the corpus is 5,958.

For each emotional instance, two annotators manually annotate cause events of each keyword. Since more than one emotion can be present in an

¹ http://dbo.sinica.edu.tw/SinicaCorpus/

instance, the emotion keywords are tagged as <emotionword id=0>, <emotionword id=1>, and so on.

573 Y 0/shang1 xin1/Sadness

<PrefixSentence> ma1ma ye3 wen4 le ling2 ju1, dan4 shi4 mei2 you3 ren4 jian4 dao4 xiao3 bai2. </PrefixSentence> <FocusSentence>wei4 le [*01n] zhe4 jian4 shi4 [*02n] , wo3 ceng2 <emotionword id=0>shang1 xin1</emotionword> le hou2 jiu3,dan4 ye3 wu2 ji3 yu4 shi4. </FocusSentence> <SuffixSentence>mei3 dang1 zai4 kan4 dao4 bai2 se4 de qi4 gou3, bu4 jin4 hui4 xiang3 qi3 xiao3 bai2 </SuffixSentence>

573 Y 0/to be sad/Sadness

<PrefixSentence> Mom also asked the neighbors, but no one ever saw Little White. </PrefixSentence> <FocusSentence> Because of [*01n] this [*02n] , I have been feeling very <emotionword id=0> sad </emotionword> for a long time, but this did not help. </FocusSentence> <SuffixSentence> Whenever [I] see a white stray dog, [I] cannot help thinking of Little White. </SuffixSentence>

Figure 1: An Example of Cause Event Annotation

Figure 1 shows an example of annotated emotional sentences in corpus, presented as pinyin with tones, followed by an English translation. For an emotion keyword tagged as <id=0>, [*01n] marks the beginning of its cause event while [*02n] marks the end. The "0" shows which index of emotion keyword it refers to, "1" marks the beginning of the cause event, "2" marks the end, and "n" indicates that the cause is a nominal event. For an emotion keyword tagged as <id=1>, [*11e] marks the beginning of the cause event while [*12e] marks the end, in which "e" refers to a verbal event, i.e. either a proposition or a nominalization. An emotion keyword can sometimes be associated with more than one cause, in which case both causes are marked. The emotional sentences containing no explicitly expressed cause event remain as they are.

The actual number of extracted instances of each emotion class to be analyzed, the positive emotional instances, and the instances with cause events are presented in Table 1.

Table 1:	Summary	of Cor	pus Data
----------	---------	--------	----------

Emotions	No. of Instances				
Emotions	Extracted	Emotional	With Causes		
Happiness	1,644	1,327	1,132 (85%)		
Sadness	901	616	468 (76%)		
Fear	897	689	567 (82%)		
Anger	1,175	847	629 (74%)		
Surprise	1,341	781	664 (85%)		
Total	5,958	4,260 (72%)	3,460 (81%)		

We can see that 72% of the extracted instances express emotions, and 81% of the emotional instances have a cause. The corpus contains *happiness* (1,327) instances the most and *sadness* (616) the least. For each emotion type, about 81% of the emotional sentences, on average, are considered as containing a cause event, with *surprise* the highest (85%) and *anger* the lowest (73%). This indicates that an emotion mostly occurs with the cause event explicitly expressed in the text, which confirms the prominent role of cause events in expressing an emotion.

4 A Rule-based System for Cause Detection

4.1 Linguistic Analysis of Emotion Causes

By analyzing the corpus data, we examine the correlations between emotions and cause events in terms of various linguistic cues: the position of cause event, verbs, epistemic markers, conjunctions, and prepositions. We hypothesize that these cues will facilitate the detection of emotion cause events.

First, we calculate the distribution of cause event types of each emotion as well as the position of cause events relative to emotion keywords and experiencers. The total number of emotional instances regarding each emotion is given in Table 2.

Emotions	Cause Type (%)		Cause Position (%)	
	Event	Nominal	Left	Right
Happiness	76	6	74	29
Sadness	67	8	80	20
Fear	68	13	52	48
Anger	55	18	71	26
Surprise	73	12	59	41

Table 2: Cause Event Position of Each Emotion

Table 2 suggests that emotion cause events tend to be expressed by verbal events than nominal events and that cause events tend to occur at the position to the left of the emotion keyword, with *fear* (52%) being no preference. This may be attributed to the fact that *fear* can be triggered by either factive or potential causes, which is rare for other primary emotions. For *fear*, factive causes tend to take the left position whereas potential causes tend to take the right position. Second, we identify seven groups of linguistic cues that are highly collocated with cause events (Lee et al. 2010), as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Seven Groups of Linguistic Cues

	$\partial \partial $
Group	Cue Words
Ι	'to cause': rang4, ling4, shi3
II	'to think about': e.g. xiang3 dao4, xiang3 qi3, yi1
	xiang3
	'to talk about': e.g. shuo1dao4, jiang3dao4, tan2dao4
III	'to say': e.g. shuo1, dao4
IV	'to see': e.g. kan4dao4, kan4jian4, jian4dao4
	'to hear': e.g. ting1dao4, ting1 shuo1
	'to know': e.g. zhi1dao4, de2zhi1, fa1xian4
	'to exist': <i>you3</i>
V	'for' as in 'I will do this for you': wei4, wei4le
	'for' as in 'He is too old for the job': dui4, dui4yu2
VI	'because': yin1, yin1wei4, you2yu2
VII	'is': deshi4
	'at': <i>yu2</i>
	'can': <i>neng2</i>

Group I includes three common causative verbs, and Group II a list of verbs of thinking and talking. Group III is a list of say verbs. Group IV includes four types of epistemic markers which are usually verbs marking the cognitive awareness of emotion in the complement position (Lee and Huang 2009). The epistemic markers include verbs of seeing, hearing, knowing, and existing. Group V covers some prepositions which all roughly mean 'for'. Group VI contains the conjunctions that explicitly mark the emotion cause. Group VII includes other linguistic cues that do not fall into any of the six groups. Each group of linguistic cues serves as an indicator marking the cause events in different structures of emotional constructions, in which Group I specifically marks the end of the cause events while the other six groups marks the beginning of the cause events.

4.2 Linguistic Rules for Cause Detection

We examine 100 emotional sentences of each emotion keyword randomly extracted from the development data, and generalize some rules for identifying the cause of the corresponding emotion verb (Lee 2010). The cause is considered as a proposition. It is generally assumed that a proposition has a verb which optionally takes a noun occurring before it as the subject and a noun after it as the object. However, a cause can also be expressed as a nominal. In other words, both the predicate and the two arguments are optional provided that at least one of them is present.

We also manually identify the position of the experiencer as well as the linguistic cues discussed in Section 4.1. All these components may occur in the clause containing the emotion verb (focus clause), the clause before the focus clause, or the clause after the focus clause. The abbreviations used in the rules are given as follows:

C = Cause event

E = Experiencer

K = Keyword/emotion verb

B = Clause before the focus clause

F = Focus clause/the clause containing the emotion verb

A = Clause after the focus clause

For illustration, an example of the rule description is given in Rule 1.

Rule 1:

i) C(B/F) + I(F) + E(F) + K(F)

ii) E = the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh after I in F

iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) before I in F/B

Rule 1 indicates that the experiencer (E) appears to be the nearest Na (common noun)/ Nb (proper noun)/ Nc (place noun)/ Nh (pronoun) after Group I cue words in the focus clause (F), while, at the same time, it comes before the keyword (K). Besides, the cause (C) comes before Group I cue words. We simplify the proposition as a structure of (N)+(V)+(N), which is very likely to contain the cause event. Theoretically, in identifying C, we should first look for the nearest verb occurring before Group I cue words in the focus sentence (F) or the clause before the focus clause (B), and consider this verb as an anchor. From this verb, we search to the left for the nearest noun, and consider it as the subject; we then search to the right for the nearest noun until the presence of the cue words, and consider it as the object. The detected subject, verb, and object form the cause event. In most cases, the experiencer is covertly expressed. It is, however, difficult to detect such causes in practice as causes may contain no verbs, and the two arguments are optional. Therefore, we take the clause instead of the structure of (N)+(V)+(N) as the actual cause. Examples are given in (7) and (8). For both sentences, the clause that comes before the cue word is taken as the cause event of the emotion in question.

- (7) [C yi1 la1 ke4 xi4 jun1 wu3 qi4 de bao4 guang1], [I shi3] [E lian2 he2 guo2 da4 wei2][K zhen4 jing1].
 "[C The revealing of Iraq's secret bacteriological weapons] [K shocked] [E the United Nations]."
- (8) [C heng2 shan1 jin1 tian1 ti2 chu1 ci2 cheng2], [I ling4] [E da4 ban3] zhi4 wei2 [K fen4 nu4] °
 "[C Yokoyama submitted his resignation today], [K angered] [E the people of Osaka]."

Table 4 summarizes the generalized rules for detecting the cause events of the five primary emotions in Chinese. We identify two sets of rules: 1) the specific rules that apply to all emotional instances (i.e. rules 1-13); 2) the general rules that apply to the emotional instances in which causes are not found after applying the specific set of rules (i.e. rules 14 and 15).

Table 4: Linguistic Rules for Cause Detection

	Table 4: Linguistic Rules for Cause Detection
No.	
1	i) $C(B/F) + I(F) + E(F) + K(F)$
	ii) $E = $ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh after I in F
	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) before I in F/B
2	i) $E(B/F) + II/IV/V/VI(B/F) + C(B/F) + K(F)$
	ii) E=the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before II/IV/V/VI in B/F
	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) before K in F
3	i) $II/IV/V/VI(B) + C(B) + E(F) + K(F)$
	ii) $E = $ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before K in F
	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) after II/IV/V/VI in B
4	i) $E(B/F) + K(F) + IV/VII(F) + C(F/A)$
	ii) $E = a$: the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before K in F; b: the
	first Na/Nb/Nc/Nh in B
	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) after IV/VII in F/A
5	i) $E(F)+K(F)+VI(A)+C(A)$
	ii) $E = $ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before K in F
-	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) after VI in A
6	i) $I(F) + E(F) + K(F) + C(F/A)$
	ii) $E =$ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh after I in F
_	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) after K in F or A
7	i) $E(B/F) + yue4 C yue4 K$ "the more C the more K" (F)
	ii) $E =$ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before the first <i>yue4</i> in
	B/F
0	iii) $C = \text{the V in between the two yue4's in F}$
8	i) $E(F) + K(F) + C(F)$ ii) E the access to $N_{F} \otimes N_{F} \otimes N_{F}$ by the form K in F
	ii) $E =$ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before K in F iii) C_{res} the nearest (NL) (NL) often K in F
0	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) after K in F
9	 i) E(F) + IV(F) + K(F) ii) E = the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before IV in F
	ii) $C = IV + (an aspectual marker) in F$
10	i) $K(F) + E(F) + de$ "possession"(F) + C(F)
10	i) $E = \text{the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh after K in F}$
	iii) C = the nearest (N)+V+(N)+的+N after <i>de</i> in F
11	i) $C(F) + K(F) + E(F)$
11	i) $E = \text{the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh after K in F}$
	ii) $C = $ the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) before K in F
12	i) $E(B) + K(B) + III (B) + C(F)$
12	i) $E(B) + K(B) + III (B) + C(F)$ ii) $E = $ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before K in F
	ii) $C = $ the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) after III in F
	III) $C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C $

13	 i) III(B) + C(B) + E(F) + K(F) ii) E = the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before K in F
	ii) $E = $ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before K in F
	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) after III in B
14	i) $C(B) + E(F) + K(F)$
	ii) $E =$ the nearest Na/Nb/Nc/Nh before K in F
	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) before K in B
15	i) $E(B) + C(B) + K(F)$
	ii) $E = \text{the first Na/Nb/Nc/Nh in B}$
	iii) C = the nearest (N)+(V)+(N) before K in B

Constraints are set to each rule to filter out incorrect causes. For instances, in Rule 1, the emotion keyword cannot be followed by the words *de* "possession"/ *deshi4* "is that"/ *shi4* "is" since it is very likely to have the cause event occurring after such words; in Rule 2, the cue word in III *yuo3* "to exist" is excluded as it causes noises; whereas for Rule 4, it only applies to instances containing keywords of *happiness*, *fear*, and *surprise*.

5 Experiment

5.1 Evaluation Metrics

An evaluation scheme is designed to assess the ability to extract the cause of an emotion in context. We specifically look into two phases of the performance of such a cause recognition system. Phase 1 assesses the detection of an emotion cooccurrence with a cause; Phrase 2 evaluates the recognition of the cause texts for an emotion.

Overall Evaluation:

The definitions of related metrics are presented in Figure 2. For each emotion in a sentence, if neither the gold-standard file nor the system file has a cause, both precision and recall score 1; otherwise, precision and recall are calculated by the scoring method ScoreForTwoListOfCauses. As an emotion may have more than one cause, ScoreForTwoListOfCauses calculates the overlap scores between two lists of cause texts. Since emotion cause recognition is rather complicated, two relaxed string match scoring methods are selected to compare two cause texts, ScoreForTwoStrings: Relaxed Match 1 uses the minimal overlap between the gold-standard cause and the system cause. The system cause is considered as correct provided that there is at least one overlapping Chinese character; Relaxed Match 2 is more rigid which takes into account the overlap text length during scoring.

Phase 1: The Detection of Cause Occurrence

The detection of cause occurrence is considered a preliminary task for emotion cause recognition and is compounded by the fact that neutral sentences are difficult to detect, as observed in Tokuhisa et al. (2008). For Phase 1, each emotion keyword in a sentence has a binary tag: Y (i.e. with a cause) or N (without a cause). Similar to other NLP tasks,

we adopt the common evaluation metrics, i.e. accuracy, precision, recall, and F score.

Phase 2: The Detection of Causes

The evaluation in Phase 2 is limited to the emotion keywords with a cause either in the gold-standard file or in the system file. The performance is calculated as in Overall Evaluation scheme.



Figure 2: The Definitions of Metrics for Cause Detection

5.2 Results and Discussion

We use 80% sentences as the development data, and 20% as the test data. The baseline is designed as follows: find a verb to the left of the keyword in question, and consider the clause containing the verb as a cause. Table 5 shows the performances of the overall evaluation. We find that the overall performances of our system have significantly improved using Relaxed Match 1 and Relaxed Match 2 by 19% and 19% respectively. Although the overall performance of our system (47.95% F-score for Relaxed Match 1 and 41.67% for Relaxed Match 2) is not yet very high, it marks a good start for emotion

	Relaxed Match 1			Relaxed Match 2		
	Precision	Recall	F-score	Precision	Recall	F-score
Baseline	25.94	31.99	28.65	17.77	29.62	22.21
Our System	45.06	51.24	47.95	39.89	43.63	41.67
			0 11 D C			

	Baseline			Rule-based System		
Emotions	Precision	Recall	F-score	Precision	Recall	F-score
With causes	99.42	79.74	88.50	96.871	80.851	88.139
Without causes	4.39	66.67	8.23	13.158	52.632	21.053
Table 7: The Datailed Parformances in Phase 1						

 Table 5: The Overall Performances

Table 7: '	The Detailed	Performances	in Phase 1	L
------------	--------------	--------------	------------	---

	Relaxed Match 1			Relaxed Match 2		
	Precision	Recall	F-score	Precision	Recall	F-score
Baseline	25.37	39.28	30.83	17.09	36.29	23.24
Our System	44.64	61.30	51.66	39.18	51.68	44.57
Table 8: The Datailed Performances in Phase 2						

Table 8: The Detailed Performances in Phase 2

	Baseline	Rule-based System			
Accuracy	79.56	79.38			

Table 6: The Overall Accuracy in Phase 1

cause detection and extraction.

Table 6 and 7 show the performances of the baseline and our rule-based system in Phase 1. Table 6 shows the overall accuracy, and Table 7 shows the detailed performances. In Table 6, we find that our system and the baseline have similar high accuracy scores. Yet Table 7 shows that both systems achieve a high performance for emotions with a cause, but much worse for emotions without a cause. It is important to note that even though the naive baseline system has comparably high performance with our rule-based system in judging whether there is a cause in context, this result is biased by two facts. First, as the corpus contains more than 80% of sentences with emotion, a system which is biased toward detecting a cause, such as the baseline system, naturally performs well. In addition, once the actual cause is examined, we can see that the baseline actually detects a lot of false positives in the sense that the cause it identifies is only correct in 4.39%. Our rule-based system shows great promise in being able to deal with neutral sentences effectively and being able to detect the correct cause at least three times more often than the baseline.

Table 8 shows the performances in Phase 2. Comparing to the baseline, we find that our rules improve the performance of cause recognition using Relaxed Match 1 and 2 scoring by 21% and 21% respectively. On the one hand, the 7% gap in F-score between Relaxed Match 1 and 2 also indicates that our rules can effectively locate the clause of a cause. On the other hand, the rather low performances of the baseline show that most causes recognized by the baseline are wrong although the baseline effectively detects the cause occurrence, as indicated in Table 7. In addition, we check the accuracy (precision) and contribution (recall) of each rule. In descending order, the top four accurate rules are: Rules 7, 10, 11, and 1; and the top four contributive rules are: Rules 2, 15, 14, and 3.

6 Conclusion

Emotion processing has been a great challenge in NLP. Given the fact that an emotion is often triggered by cause events and that cause events are integral parts of emotion, we propose a linguisticdriven rule-based system for emotion cause detection, which is proven to be effective. In particular, we construct a Chinese emotion cause corpus annotated with emotions and the corresponding cause events. Since manual detection of cause events is labor-intensive and time-consuming, we intend to use the emotion cause corpus to produce automatic extraction system for emotion cause events with machine learning methods. We believe that our rule-based system is useful for many real world applications. For instance, the information regarding causal relations of emotions is important for product design, political evaluation, etc. Such a system also shed light on emotion processing as the detected emotion cause events can serve as clues for the identification of implicit emotions.

References

- Alm, C. O., D. Roth and R. Sproat. 2005. Emotions from Text: Machine Learning for Text-based Emotion Prediction. In Proceedings of the Human Language Technology Conference and the 2005 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Vancouver, Canada, 6-8 October, pp. 579-586.
- Alm, C. O. 2009. *Affect in Text and Speech*. VDM Verlag: Saarbrücken.
- Chen, Y., S. Y. M. Lee and C.-R. Huang. 2009. A Cognitive-based Annotation System for Emotion Computing. In *Proceedings of the Third Linguistic Annotation Workshop (The LAW III), ACL 2009.*
- Chaumartin, F.-R. 2007. A Knowledgebased System for Headline Sentiment Tagging. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluations*.
- Darwin, C. 1859. On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection. London: John Murray.
- Descartes, R. 1649. The Passions of the Soul. In J. Cottingham et al. (Eds), *The Philosophical Writings of Descartes*. Vol. 1, 325-404.
- Ekman, P. 1984. Expression and the Nature of Emotion. In Scherer, K. and P. Ekman (Eds.), *Approaches to Emotion*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum. 319-343.
- James, W. 1884. What is an Emotion? *Mind*, 9(34):188–205.
- Kozareva, Z., B. Navarro, S. Vazquez, and A. Nibtoyo. 2007. UA-ZBSA: A Headline Emotion Classification through Web Information. In Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluations.
- Kövecses, Z. 2000. *Metaphor and Emotion: Language, Culture and Body in Human Feeling*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lee, S. Y. M. 2010. A Linguistic Approach towards Emotion Detection and Classification. Ph.D. Dissertation. Hong Kong.
- Lee, S. Y. M., C. Ying, and C.-R. Huang. 2010. Emotion Cause Events: Corpus Construction and Analy-

sis. In Proceedings of The Seventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2010). May 19-21. Malta.

- Lee, S. Y. M. and C.-R. Huang. 2009. Explicit Epistemic Markup of Causes in Emotion Constructions. The Fifth International Conference on Contemporary Chinese Grammar. Hong Kong. November 27 - December 1.
- Masum, S. M., H. Prendinger, and M. Ishizuka. 2007. Emotion Sensitive News Agent: An Approach Towards User Centric Emotion Sensing from the News. In Proceedings of the IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence.
- Mihalcea, R. and H. Liu. 2006. A Corpus-based Approach to Finding Happiness. In *Proceedings of the* AAAI Spring Symposium on Computational Approaches to Weblogs.
- Ortony A., G. L. Clone, and A. Collins. 1988. *The Cognitive Structure of Emotions*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Picard, R.W. 1995. *Affective Computing*. Cambridge. MA: The MIT Press.
- Plutchik, R. 1980. *Emotions: A Psychoevolutionary Synthesis*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Saurí, R., J. Littman, R. Knippen, R. Gaizauskas, A. Setzer, and J. Pustejovsky. 2004. *TimeML Annotation Guidelines*. <u>http://www.timeml.org</u>.
- Spinoza, B. 1985. Ethics. In E. Curley, The Collected Works of Spinoza. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press. Vol 1.
- Talmy, L. 2000. *Toward a Cognitive Semantics*. Vol. 1and 2. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Tokuhisa, R., K. Inui, and Y. Matsumoto. 2008. Emotion Classification Using Massive Examples Extracted from the Web. In *Proceedings of COLING*.
- Turner, J. H. 1996. The Evolution of Emotions in Humans: A Darwinian-Durkheimian Analysis. *Journal* for the Theory of Social Behaviour, 26:1-34.
- Turner, J. H. 2000. On the Origins of Human Emotions: A Sociological Inquiry into the Evolution of Human Affect. California: Stanford University Press.
- Wierzbicka, A. 1999. Emotions Across Languages and Cultures: Diversity and Universals. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.