APPENDIX A: SYSTEM WALKTHROUGH REFERENCE MATERIALS

1. EJV

1.1 Text

<doc>

<DOCNO> 0592 </DOCNO>

<DD> NOVEMBER 24, 1989, FRIDAY </DD>

<SO> Copyright (c) 1989 Jiji Press Ltd.; </SO>

<TXT>

BRIDGESTONE SPORTS CO. SAID FRIDAY IT HAS SET UP A JOINT VENTURE IN TAIWAN WITH A LOCAL CONCERN AND A JAPANESE TRADING HOUSE TO PRODUCE GOLF CLUBS TO BE SHIPPED TO JAPAN.

THE JOINT VENTURE, BRIDGESTONE SPORTS TAIWAN CO., CAPITALIZED AT 20 MILLION NEW TAIWAN DOLLARS, WILL START PRODUCTION IN JANUARY 1990 WITH PRODUCTION OF 20,000 IRON AND "METAL WOOD" CLUBS A MONTH. THE MONTHLY OUTPUT WILL BE LATER RAISED TO 50,000 UNITS, BRIDGESTON SPORTS OFFICIALS SAID.

THE NEW COMPANY, BASED IN KAOHSIUNG, SOUTHERN TAIWAN, IS OWNED 75 PCT BY BRIDGESTONE SPORTS, 15 PCT BY UNION PRECISION CASTING CO. OF TAIWAN AND THE REMAINDER BY TAGA CO., A COMPANY ACTIVE IN TRADING WITH TAIWAN, THE OFFICIALS SAID.

BRIDGESTONE SPORTS HAS SO FAR BEEN ENTRUSTING PRODUCTION OF GOLF CLUB PARTS WITH UNION PRECISION CASTING AND OTHER TAIWAN COMPANIES.

WITH THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE TAIWAN UNIT, THE JAPANESE SPORTS GOODS MAKER PLANS TO INCREASE PRODUCTION OF LUXURY CLUBS IN JAPAN.

</TXT>

</doc>

1.2 Key Template

<TEMPLATE-0592-1> := DOC NR: 0592 **DOC DATE: 241189** DOCUMENT SOURCE: "Jiji Press Ltd." CONTENT: <TIE_UP_RELATIONSHIP-0592-1> DATE TEMPLATE COMPLETED: 251192 **EXTRACTION TIME: 0** COMMENT: / "TOOL_VERSION: HUME.7.1.def.def" / "FILLRULES_VERSION: EJV.7.1" <TIE_UP_RELATIONSHIP-0592-1> := TIE-UP STATUS: EXISTING ENTITY: <ENTITY-0592-1> <ENTITY-0592-2> <ENTITY-0592-3> JOINT VENTURE CO: <ENTITY-0592-4> OWNERSHIP: < OWNERSHIP-0592-1> ACTIVITY: <ACTIVITY-0592-1> <ENTITY-0592-1> := NAME: BRIDGESTONE SPORTS CO **ALIASES: "BRIDGESTONE SPORTS"** "BRIDGESTON SPORTS" NATIONALITY: Japan (COUNTRY) TYPE: COMPANY ENTITY RELATIONSHIP: <ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP-0592-1> <ENTITY-0592-2> := NAME: UNION PRECISION CASTING CO ALIASES: "UNION PRECISION CASTING" LOCATION: Taiwan (COUNTRY) NATIONALITY: Taiwan (COUNTRY) TYPE: COMPANY ENTITY RELATIONSHIP: <ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP-0592-1> <ENTITY-0592-3> := NAME: TAGA CO NATIONALITY: Japan (COUNTRY) TYPE: COMPANY ENTITY RELATIONSHIP: <ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP-0592-1> <ENTITY-0592-4> := NAME: BRIDGESTONE SPORTS TAIWAN CO LOCATION: "KAOHSIUNG" (UNKNOWN) Taiwan (COUNTRY) TYPE: COMPANY ENTITY RELATIONSHIP: <ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP-0592-1> <INDUSTRY-0592-1> := INDUSTRY-TYPE: PRODUCTION PRODUCT/SERVICE: (39 "20,000 IRON AND 'METAL WOOD' [CLUBS]") / (39 "GOLF [CLUBS]") / (39 "GOLF [CLUBS] TO BE SHIPPED TO JAPAN") <ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP-0592-1> := ENTITY1: <ENTITY-0592-1> <ENTITY-0592-2> <ENTITY-0592-3> ENTITY2: <ENTITY-0592-4> **REL OF ENTITY2 TO ENTITY1: CHILD**

STATUS: CURRENT

1.3 QUESTIONS TO ADDRESS

1. Coreference determination

for: "LOCAL CONCERN",

"UNION PRECISION CASTING CO. OF TAIWAN"

for: "A JAPANESE TRADING HOUSE",

"TAGA CO., A COMPANY ACTIVE IN TRADING WITH TAIWAN"

for: "A JOINT VENTURE",

"THE NEW COMPANY, BASED IN KAOHSIUNG, SOUTHERN TAIWAN",

"THE JOINT VENTURE, BRIDGESTONE SPORTS TAIWAN CO.",

"THE TAIWAN UNIT"

for: "BRIDGESTONE SPORTS CO.",

"BRIDGESTON SPORTS",
"BRIDGESTONE SPORTS",

"THE JAPANESE SPORTS GOODS MAKER"

Which coreferences did your system get? Of those, which could it have gotten 6 months ago (at the previous evaluation)? How can you improve the system to get the rest?

Did your system get the OWNERSHIPs, in particular from "... THE REMAINDER BY TAGA CO."?

2. EME

2.1 Text

<doc>
<REFNO> 000132038 </REFNO>
<DOCNO> 2789568 </DOCNO>
<DD> October 19, 1990 </DD>
<SO> Comline Electronics </SO>
<TXT>

In the second quarter of 1991, Nikon Corp. (7731) plans to market the "NSR-1755EX8A," a new stepper intended for use in the production of 64-Mbit DRAMs. The stepper will use an 248-nm excimer laser as a light source and will have a resolution of 0.45 micron, compared to the 0.5 micron of the company's latest stepper. Nikon will price the excimer laser stepper at 300-350 million yen, and the company expects to sell 50 systems during the initial year of marketing.

COMLINE NEWS SERVICE, Sugetsu Building, 3-12-7 Kita-Aoyama, Minato-Ku, Tokyo 107, Japan. Telex 2428134 COMLN J.

</TXT> </doc>

2.2 Key Template

<TEMPLATE-2789568-1> := DOC NR: 2789568 DOC DATE: 191090 **DOCUMENT SOURCE: "Comline Electronics"** CONTENT:<MICROELECTRONICS_CAPABILITY-2789568-1> <MICROELECTRONICS_CAPABILITY-2789568-2> DATE TEMPLATE COMPLETED: 031292 **EXTRACTION TIME: 7** COMMENT:/ "TOOL_VERSION: LOCKE.5.2.0" / "FILLRULES_VERSION: EME.5.2.1" <MICROELECTRONICS_CAPABILITY-2789568-1> := PROCESS: <LITHOGRAPHY-2789568-1> MANUFACTURER: <ENTITY-2789568-1> <MICROELECTRONICS_CAPABILITY-2789568-2> := PROCESS: <LITHOGRAPHY-2789568-2> MANUFACTURER: <ENTITY-2789568-1> DISTRIBUTOR: <ENTITY-2789568-1> <ENTITY-2789568-1> := NAME: Nikon CORP TYPE: COMPANY <LITHOGRAPHY-2789568-1> := TYPE: LASER GRANULARITY: (RESOLUTION 0.45 MI) DEVICE: <DEVICE-2789568-1> EQUIPMENT: <EQUIPMENT-2789568-1> <LITHOGRAPHY-2789568-2> := TYPE: UNKNOWN GRANULARITY: (RESOLUTION 0.5 MI) EQUIPMENT: <EQUIPMENT-2789568-2> <DEVICE-2789568-1> := **FUNCTION: DRAM** SIZE: (64 MBITS) <EQUIPMENT-2789568-1> := NAME_OR_MODEL: "NSR-1755EX8A" MANUFACTURER: <ENTITY-2789568-1> MODULES: <EQUIPMENT-2789568-3> EQUIPMENT_TYPE: STEPPER STATUS: IN_USE <EQUIPMENT-2789568-2> := MANUFACTURER: <ENTITY-2789568-1> **EQUIPMENT_TYPE: STEPPER** STATUS: IN_USE <EQUIPMENT-2789568-3> := MANUFACTURER: <ENTITY-2789568-1> EQUIPMENT_TYPE: RADIATION_SOURCE STATUS: IN_USE

2.3 Questions to Address

- (1) What information triggers the instantiation of each of the two LITHOGRAPHY objects?
- (2) What information indicates the role of the Nikon Corp. for each Microelectronics Capability?
- (3) Explain how your system captured the GRANULARITY information for "The company's latest stepper."
- (4) How does your system determine EQUIPMENT_TYPE for "the new stepper"? and for "the company's latest stepper"?

- (5) How does your system determine the STATUS of each equipment object?
- (6) Why is the DEVICE object only instantiated for LITHOGRAPHY-1?

3. JJV

3.1 Text

<doc>

<REFNO> 朝日新聞.000002 </REFNO>

<DOCNO> 0002 </DOCNO>

<DD> 85.01.08 </DD>

<SO> 朝日新聞 朝刊 8頁 2経 写図無 (全396字)

<TXT>

東京海上火災保険は今月から大和証券と提携して保険と年金の機能を兼ね備えた新商品「ヒューマン」を売り出した。また日新火災海上保険、同和火災海上保険の両社は山一証券と結んで年金型商品を近く、発売する予定で、損害保険業界の証券会社との提携商品づくりは一段と広がっている。

業界最大手の東京海上は、これまで商品提携に慎重だったが、保険加入者の間でも 老後の備えや教育資金などのため、長期の貯蓄を始める人が多いため、証券会社の商 品を組み入れてこの資金の吸収を図ることにした。

「ヒューマン」は東京海上の積立ファミリー交通傷害保険と大和証券の財形年金貯蓄を組み合わせた。五年間、資金を積み立て、その後、一定期間、運用したうえで年金の形でカネを受け取る。例えば、四十歳で加入した人が五年間、毎月約二万円、ボーナス時一万二千円を給与天引きで払い込めば、六十歳からの十年間、三カ月ごとに八万四千円を受け取れる。

</TXT>

3.2 Key Template

```
< テンプレート-0002-1>:=
   記事符号: 0002
   発行年月日: 850108
    ニュース出所: "朝日新聞
                         朝刊"
   内容: <提携-0002-1>
           <提携 -0002-2>
   完了年月日: 010792
   抽出時間: 60
<提携-0002-1>:=
   提携状況:現行
エンティティー: <エンティティー-0002-1>
<エンティティー-0002-2>
    経済活動: <経済活動-0002-1>
<提携 -0002-2> :=
   提携状況: 現行
    エンティティー: <エンティティー-0002-3>
   <エンティティー-0002-4>
<エンティティー-0002-5>
経済活動: <経済活動-0002-2>
<エンティティー-0002-1> :=
エンティティー名: 東京海上火災保険
別名: "東京海上"
   エンティティー別:企業
エンティティー関係: <エンティティー関係-0002-1>
<エンティティー-0002-2> :=
   エンティティー名:大和証券
エンティティー別:企業
エンティティー関係: <エンティティー関係-0002-1>
<エンティティー-0002-3>:=
    エンディティー名:日新火災海上保険
    エンティティー別:企業
    エンティティー関係: <エンティティー関係 -0002-2>
<エンティティー-0002-4>:=
エンティティー名: 同和火災海上保険
エンティティー別: 企業
エンティティー関係: <エンティティー関係-0002-2>
<エンティティー-0002-5>:=
    エンティティー名: 山一証券
    エンティティー別:企業
エンティティー関係: <エンティティー関係-0002-2>
<業種-0002-1>:=
    業種別: 財政
    製品・サービス: (63 "保険と年金の機能を兼ね備えた新
                                                    商品「ヒューマン」
を売り出した")
コメント: "6371; MIN: 売り出した."
<業種-0002-2> :=
    業種別: 財政
製品・サービス: (63 "年金型商品を近く、発売する")
コメント: "6371; MIN:発売する."
<エンティティー関係-0002-1>:=
    エンティティー乙: <エンティティー-0002-1>
           <エンティティー -0002-2>
    甲対乙関係:パートナ
    状況:現在
<エンティティー関係-0002-2> :=
    エンティティー乙: <エンディティー-0002-3>

<エンティティー-0002-4>

<エンティティー-0002-5>
    里対乙関係:パートナー
    状况: 現在
<経済活動 -0002-1> :=
    業種: <業種 -0002-1>
    場所: (- <エンティティー -0002-2>)
(- <エンティティー -0002-1>)
    開始時: <年月日-0002-1>
 <経済活動 -0002-2> :=
```

```
業種: <業種 -0002-2>
場所: (- <エンティティー -0002-5>)
(- <エンティティー -0002-4>)
(- <エンティティー -0002-3>)
<年月日 -0002-1> :=
以後: 8501
```

3.3 Questions to Address

TIE-UPS

Article 0002 is interesting because the text can be interpreted in more than one way in determining the number of tie ups. The key template lists only two tie-ups, as follows:

- a) between Toukyou Kaijou Kasai Hoken and Daiwa Shouken,
- b) between Nisshin Kasai Kaijou Hoken, Douwa Kasai Kaijou Hoken and Yamaichi Shouken.

In the case of b) above, a "common sense" reading of the text would probably argue that separate tie-up agreements are planned between Nisshin Kasai and Yamaichi, and between Douwa Kasai and Yamaichi. However, there is no clear textual evidence to support this interpretation completely over the interpretation where all three companies tie up together. Therefore, in the case of b) above, the analysts felt that the best interpretation was to instantiate a single tie-up, since there is no explicit linguistic evidence in the text to indicate that these were separate tie-ups.

- (1) How does your system determine whether there is a reportable tie-up?
- (2) In Article 0002, how many tie-ups were found? What strategies are used to determine the number of tie-ups in Sentence 2?

ENTITIES

- (3) How does your system determine the entities in a tie-up?
- (4) How many discourse entities were identified anywhere in the text, and how did the system determine which of these were reportable?
- (5) Explain any difficulties you had in identifying the following:
 - a) the correct number of reportable entities
 - b) the correct number of tie-ups (correct, for the sake of this walk-through allows BOTH interpretations described in b) above, even though the key template does not.)
 - c) the correct links between reportable entities and reportable tie-ups.
- (6) How does your system determine aliases for entities?
- (7) What problems were there in detecting the alias for the ENTITY named Toukyou Kaijou Kasai Hoken? OTHER SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ARTICLE
- (8) Sentence 2 ends with a general statement about products developed in tie-ups between insurance companies and securities companies. How would your system determine that this is a generic, not a specific reference?
- (9) Discuss any specific analysis your system does to handle terms like "ryousha", which appears in Sentence 2. How does your system deal with the usage of the particle "no" that precedes it?

4. JME

4.1 Text

<doc>
<REFNO> 日経新聞 000452_SET2_Msg199 </REFNO>
<DOCNO> 000452 </DOCNO>
<DD> 89.08.04 </DD>
<SO> 日経新聞 本紙朝刊 11頁(全332字) </SO>
<TXT>

半導体製造装置などの大手メーカー、日本真空技術(本社神奈川県茅ケ崎市、社長(高村甚平氏)は米国の半導体製造用拡散炉の最大手、BTUインターナショナル社で本社マサチューセッツ州)と米国に合弁会社を設立、半導体製造の重要装置のひとである金属膜用CVD(化学的気相成長法)装置を生産・販売することで合意してある金属膜用CVD(化学的気相成長法)装置を生産・販売することで合意してある金属膜用CVD(の米国合弁会社を通じて米国市場向に同装置を売り込む。同時に近くマサチューセッツ州ノース・ビレリカに合弁で「BTUルバック」を設立する。資本金は六十万ドルで折半出資。社長はBTU側から出す。最初は自己で生産を始め、二年後には部品も現地調達して一貫生産する。

</TXT></doc>

4.2 Key Template

<テンプレート-000452-1>:= 記事符号: 000452 発行年月日: 890804 ニュース出所: "日経新聞 本紙朝刊" 内容: <マイクロエレクトロニクス機能-000452-1> 完了年月日: 161292 抽出時間: 0 コメント: / "TOOL_VERSION: MIROKU.2.2.0" / "FILLRULES_VERSION: JME.2.2" <マイクロエレクトロニクス機能 -000452-1>:= 方法: <レイヤリング -000452-1> 製造者: <エンティティー -000452-1> <エンティティー -000452-2> 配給者: <エンディディー-000452-1> 配給者: <エンディディー-000452-1> <エンディディー-000452-2> <エンディディー名: 日本真空技術 場所:日本(国)神奈川(県)茅ケ崎(市) エンティティー別:企業 <エンティティー-000452-2> := エンティティー名: BTUアルバック 場所: 米国(県)マサチューセッツ(県)ノース・ビレリカ(市) 国籍: 米国(国) エンティティー別: 企業 <レイヤリング-000452-1>:= 膜別: CVD フイルム:金属 装置: <装置 -000452-1> <装置-000452-1>:= 製造者: <エンティティー-000452-1> <エンティティー-000452-2> 装置別: CVD装置 状况:利用中

4.3 Questions to Address

- 1. How does the system determine the existence of a reportable microelectronics capability?
- 2. Three entities are mentioned in this article. How did your system determine which were involved in the ME capability? (If the joint venture company was not selected, was it rejected because its activity was in the future, or some other basis?)
- 3. How does the system identify company names? How does it associate locations with entities?
- 4. How does your system associate film type with each ME capability? (In this article "CVD" is immediately preceded by "metal film." Will your present strategy allow more remote references?)
- 5. How does you system determine the existence of reportable equipment? How is equipment type determined? (Would the determination of a new equipment type generate a new ME capability?)