Erratum to: A Statistical Approach to Machine Translation

Peter F. Brown Stephen A. Della Pietra Fredrick Jelinek Robert L. Mercer John Cocke Vincent J. Della Pietra John D. Lafferty Paul S. Roossin

In Section 6 of "A statistical approach to machine translation" (*Computational Linguistics* **16**(2), 79–85), we reported the results of two experiments in which we estimated parameters of a statistical model of translation from English to French.

In the first experiment, the English and French vocabularies each consisted of 9,000 common words, and the model parameters were estimated from 40,000 pairs of sentences 25 words or less in length. Words outside the 9,000-word vocabularies in these sentences were mapped to special *unknown* words.

In the second experiment, the vocabularies were limited to 1,000 common English words and 1,700 common French words, and the model parameters were estimated from 117,000 pairs of sentences 10 words or less in length that were completely covered by the respective vocabularies.

In Figures 4, 5, and 6 of the paper, we erroneously presented parameter estimates from the 1,000-word experiment, while claiming in the text that they were from the 9,000-word experiment. The parameter estimates for these two experiments differ considerably because of the restriction of the training corpus in the 1,000-word experiment to short, covered sentences. For example, the probability that *hear* is translated as *bravo*

	English: the					
French	Probability	Fertility	Probability			
le	.443	1	.856			
la	.207	0	.140			
les	.184					
ľ	.097					
ce	.018					
il	.012					
**	.012					



F				
	rench	Probability	Fertility	Probability
ne	e	.482	2	.728
ра	ıs	.455	0	.153
no	on	.029	1	.114
re	in	.012		

Figure 5

Probabilities for not.

English: hear					
French	Probability	Fertility	Probability		
bravo	.808	1	.527		
entendre	.079	0	.472		
entendu	.026				
entends	.024				
entendons	.013				

Figure 6

Probabilities for hear.

is .992 in the 1,000-word experiment (see Figure 6 of the paper)¹, while it is only .808 in the 9,000-word experiment (see Figure 6 above). This difference is due to the fact that the sentence pair (*Hear, hear*! | *Bravo*!) is extremely common in our data and is completely covered by the 1,000-word and 1,700-word vocabularies.

Figures 4, 5, and 6 contain the parameter estimates from the 9,000-word experiment. Only probabilities greater than or equal to .01 are reported.

¹ We thank Ken Church for pointing out that this estimate is not consistent with the frequency with which *hear* translates to *bravo* in other data from the same source.