Neculai CURTEANU

Institute for Computer Technique and Informatics *

IASI Branch, IASI

ROMANIA

Abstract

The paper presents the embedding of an original parsing strategy for Romanian, called Segmentation-Cohesion-Dependency (SCD), into Chomsky's well-known Government and Binding (BG) theory. In order to bring closer the SCD concepts and techniques to the GB theory, the following questions have had to be dealt with: (1) a specification of the Principle of Maximal Projection (PMP), (2) extending the X'-theory (X - bar) by introdusing Augmented X' (AX')-schemes, these ones being obtained by (3) specific constraints imposed on the new shapes of X'-schemes. (4) The AX'-schemes can be represented in terms of a tree (paranthetic) language, whose tran -slation in a logic programming language fol -lows naturally.

1. Introduction

Our approach represents a theoretical adaptation of Chomsky's subset of theories adaptation of chomsky's subset of theories to the practical results obtained from and designed for SCD parsing strategy /Curteanu 1986/, /Curteanu 1987/. Mainly designed for Romanian, this strategy proved to be effect-ive (with some peculiarities) also for Inglish /Curteanu 1988b/. Let us consider the classical notations: X'-the second level of X projection, X''=XP-the third (top) level of projection for X=N,V,A,P. Consider also S , S' and PMP(X)=XP. We do not intend to discuss the problems of S''=PMP(S). We shall call X'-structures (middle level in the maximal projections) as "groups": a group contains an overt or an empty head, wrapped bv tains an overt of an empty head, whapped by its specifiers (denoted Specif) and modifiers (denoted Modif) but without argument(s) (de~ noted Arg). Thus X'=XG. NG=N' is called Elem --entary Noun Group (ENG). Tensed Verbal Group (TVG) is V' with the (morphologic or syntactic) feature of being tensed. Non-tensed Verbal Group (NVG) is V' with the (morphologic) feature of being untensed. ECP abbreviates the Empty Category Principle. We note that X'-structures are naturally obtained by SCD rules.

As Chomsky remarks "...the distinction between modifiers and arguments is notoriously difficult in certain cases" /Chomsky 1982 p.44/. Giving a general solution for the dis -tribution of the verbal nature modifiers, the AX'-schemes offer also the correct assign -ment of the functional dependencies between the head and its Modif, on the one hand, and the head and its Arg(s), on the other hand. The paper presents a PMP specification,which the adopted solutions are based on. It mainly says that not only V has subcategorization properties, but also some N and A (part of these verbal Ns or As being, in some languages, V). The new features of the AX'-schemes are: (1) an arbitrary number $(n \ge 0)$ of Arg(s) are permitted, (2) the AP is always a Modif whose overt or empty head is N,V,A. The subcategorization properties depend on an <u>ab</u> <u>initio</u> assignent, at the dictionary level, of the lexical feature VERB of the category. (4) The overt or empty (PRO) subject is considered as a special argument of the tensed (S) or un -tensed (NP) maximal projections of V. (5) In the hypotheses (4) and (2) the traditional, formal VP is dissolved into S or complex, ver -bal NP. (6) The phenomena of binding and bounding are easier remarked and solved this way.

2. A Specification of PMP

We have shown in /Curteanu 1987/, /Curteanu 1988a/ that, within the SCD parsing strategy, there are detached basic (middle level) structures of the form X' (like ENG, TVG, NVG). They are elements of the (tensed or untensed) clause relation <u>predicate</u> -<u>arguments</u>. For all these X'-structures we could find the same functional representation shape, written as a LISP tree. The new proposed AX'-schemes have their origin in the governing idea of the SCD strategy: the (maximal) use of the verbal character of, traditionally non-verbal (including for Romanian) categories, N and A. Let us consider the following PMP specification:

The subcategorization properties of the (consistent) syntactic categories N,V,A depend on the following lexical and, respectively, morphological features of these categories: <u>VERBality</u>, with the values ACT and EXIST, and <u>PREDicativity</u>, with the values T and NIL.

Such a specification of the maximal projection function is necessary because in many languages (including Romanian) the verbal quality of the non-verbal categories like N or (sometimes) A has to be discovered and assigned at the dictionary level. E.g., for English, the nouns that "verbalize" in -ing have, of course, the VERB feature. There are, also, quite few participles which are not adjectives and fewer adjectives which are not participles, despite their VERB quality (and thus, of the subcategorization property).

3. Augmented X' - Schemes

Before proposing the new AX'-schemes, we simply remark a (more adequate, at least for our approach) alternative shape to the classical X'-scheme. This should be:



Modified shape instead of the Classical one(C)

 $\frac{Fig.3.1.}{In what follows, for convenience, we still use the classical shape (C).}$

The general form of the AX'-scheme is:

^{*} Mailing Address: Universitatea "Al.I.Cuza", Seminarul Matematic "A.Myller",6600 IASI, ROMANIA



by

-ory INFL from the classical S'-scheme. if VG:=TVG (PRED=T) S becomes the classical (finite) clause. if VG:=NVG, (PREDONIT,), S becomes an infinite clause, maturally assimilated by schemes (A2.1) and (A2.2) to a complex, verbal NP. In this way, the value X=V in (A1) is purely theoretical since the maximal projection of V is embedded into S or NP.

The theoretical arguments for the AX' schemes are:

al. The special position of the (grammatical) subject in a S'-scheme is, in fact, an A- or a Case position. It becomes a right 0 - position only after syntactic and semantic calculi.

a2. At the syntactic level, the subject pos-Ition presents well-known ambiguities. a3. In /Wehrli 1984/, as well as in lexical-functional grammars /Sells 1985/ and unification-based grammars /Shieber 1986/, there are adopted similar points of view. This option does not represent any impediment toapply specific mechanisms, e.g. control theory, to obtain the A- or Θ - position of the overt or empty subject. 44. Such a solution has as consequence the

extension of the maximal projection of VG to (tensed or untensed) sentencial shapes, ent-alling the direct transition to the logical form and enelysis of natural language, a profit incomparably greater than the formal lesing (embedding into S or NP) of the VP. a5. The blading and bounding phenomena are better revealed and translated. E.g., we are dealing, as bounding nodes, with tensed and untensed S.

Here there are some practical arguments.





Fig.4.3.





between the last two structures depends on the implementation structures of the logic representation language.

5. Pinal Remarks

The main constrains on the AX'-schemes are: cl. The (abstract) feature VERB actions at the lexical level. It takes the values ACT or EXIST according to the verbal-actional or (implicit or explicit) verbal-existential (Implicit of explicit) versal carbonation nature of its head. c2. The (abstract) feature PRED is subsumed by the classical INFL. It takes the values T and NIL. Other features, specific to INFL (like AGR), could be added. c3. The assignment of the Modif role to AP (in particular to NVG) is justified both by οv the ECP and the identic behaviour of AP in the frame of ENG and TVG-NVG. c4. The assignment of the Arg role to PP is justified both by the ECP particularization to NP and by the PMP specification for the consistent categories N,V,A. P is considered to be "non-consistent" since, as a head, it cannot be properly "modified" (and "specified") but only "argumentized". <u>c5.</u> The VP (in fact, VC) embedding into S or NP was already justified in Section 3. c6. The AX'-scheme (A2.1) offers a very suitable frame for the ellipsis solving.

The specific inter- and intrapropositional structures (ENG, NVG) and relations are properly revealed by the SCD strategy. The verbal -actional or verbal-existential nature of the heads of such (middle level) X'-structures has to be functionally reflected. The AX' - schemes could represent good premises for the maximality level of the verbal functionality use in syntax, hence in the lexical semantics. This has been the purpose of our paper.

Acknowledgements. I want to express my sincere thanks to prof.P.Sgall and prof.E.Hajič-ova, Prague University, to prof.S.Marcus, Buc-harest University, and to dr.H.Hulban and dr. O.Popárda, Lasi University, for their construct -ive criticism of some ideas in the paper.

References

- Chomsky, Noam (1982) 'Lectures on Government
- and Binding' Dordrecht, Forls Fublications. Curteanu,N. & O.Poparda & I.Oprea (1986) 'Algorithme d'analyse du groupe nominal (Domain roumain)' Revue Roumaine de Lingu-
- istique, XXXI, No.2, p.171-190, Bucarest. Curteanu, N.& O.Popârda & I.Oprea (1987) 'An Algorithmic Description of the Behaviour or Noun Groups' Computers and Artificial Intel
- -ligence, Vol.6, Wr.1, p.17-34, Bratislava. Curteanu, N.& O.Popârda (1988) 'X'-schémas de representation de modificateurs de nature verbale' Revue Roumaine de Linguistique, XXXIII, Mo.1, p. 45-54, Bucarest. Curteanu,N. et al. (1988) 'ISATER-Interactive
- System for Assisted Translation: English --Romanian' Research Contruct, I.C.T.I. Iagi (in preparation).
- Hajičova, E. & P. Sgall (1986) 'The Ordering Principle' Prague Bulletin of Mathematical Linguistics, Nr. 45, p.1-26. Marcus, S. (Ed.) (1983) 'Contextual Ambiguities
- in Natural and Artificial Languages' Ghent, Belgium.
- Saint-Dizier, F. (1986) 'An Approach to Natural Language Semantics in Logic Programming' J. of Logic Prog., Vol.3, Nr.4, p.329-356. Sells, P. (1985) 'Lectures on Contemporary Syntactic Theories' CSLI Lect. Notes Nr.3,
- Stanford, California.
- Shieber, S. (1986) 'Introduction to Unification-Based Approaches to Grammar' CSLI Lect.
- Notes Nr.4, Stanford, California. Wehrli,E. (1984) 'A Covernment-Binding Paruer for French' Univ.de Geneve, Working Paper 48.