#### Karl Dieter Bünting

## Empirical Investigation of German Word Derivation with the Aid of a Computer

#### 1. Introductory remarks and abstract

The contribution reports results from about four years of research about German word derivation. The aim of the project is twofold: to find out facts about word derivation, respecially about the productivity of "open" derivation patterns, and to test the utility of the computer as a tool in linguistic research of this type, the latter being planned as a demonstration for German traditionally minded philologists rather than for the international linguistic community with ample computational experience.<sup>1)</sup> In this paper, I will neither deal with formal aspects (formalisation) of the grammar used nor with programming, but only with linguistic and data-oriented aspects in the following order: terminology and linguistic notions; source of data; homography and derivation by "zero-affix"; derivation by affixation; further research.

<sup>1)</sup> The research was conducted at the Institut für Kommunikationsforschung und Phonetik der Universität Bonn. It was initiated by SCHNELLE and since 1965 supervised by UNGEHEUER. Programs and computational experience of the staff, especially KRALLMANN, were of incalculable value. The programs were run at the Großdatenverarbeitungsanlage der Institute für Instrumentelle und für Angewandte Mathematik der Universität Bonn on IBM 7090/1410 machines. Progress reports of various stages of the research are to be found in SCHNELLE/KRANZHOFF (1965 a,b), SCHNELLE (1966), BÜNTING (1966a,b), (1969).

- 2. Terminology and linguistic notions
  - 21 Code

Data processing calls for explicit notation, therefore the investigation is based on written German. The graphemic representation of language is henceforth called natural code.<sup>2)</sup> The code employed here differs somewhat from normal German because the available computer code was to be used directly: only capital letters are allowed - thus the graphematic distinction between nouns and non-nouns in German is eliminated, which is of little importance in dictio-'nary work, German "Umlaute" Ä,Ö,Ü appear as AE, OE, UE respectively, and "B" is written SS. The explicit notation of grammatical statements about graphemic sequences, for example the classification of sequences as a noun or verb, is called the artificial code; it will be introduced in detail later.

- 2 - '

2.2 Status of definitions

Two ways of defining language entities are employed. L a n g u a g e u n i t is used as term for intuitively won entities which are obviously present in at least the German language but cannot without elaborations and without severe restrictions be exactly defined. W o r d is the class of language units to be discussed. The word definition, which is often used for data processing purposes, stating that a word is "the sequence of graphemes between two blanks", rests solely upon graphematic properties

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup>This means, that phonological aspects are a priori excluded and the spelling conventions of contemporary German as laid down in dictionaries are accepted as part of the investigated phenomenon, although these conventions certainly deserve some critical attention and revision.

of words, which is adequate enough for a practical purpose but not for linguistics. It is the aim of the reported research to define some linguistic properties of German words.

L i n g u i s t i c u n i t, on the other hand, is the term for entities that are defined according to theoretical considerations about specific grammatical functions or something like a semantic meaning. M o r p h e m e and a f f i x, for instance, are classes of linguistic units. They are written for data processing as a graphemic sequence in natural code <u>and</u> a number of markers in artificial code denoting those specific functions which are substantially represented by the graphemic sequence; e.g.: FEIND receives the marker A denoting that it is a "kernelmorpheme" (stem) of a masculine noun, or TAG is marked A and I which is to say that it is the kernelmorpheme of a masculine noun and of a transitive verb. Markers are explained later in detail.

2.3 Grapheme sequence and linguistic units: allostatus and homography

The linguistic units are said to be determined by certain grammatical properties and their representation by graphemic sequences (forms). If one set of properties is represented by more

than one form, the forms are said to be a l l of o r m s; they may be subclassified according to other properties and are then said to have a specific a l l o s t a t u s.

Example: The verb-stem for the German equivalent of English "to find" is represented by the alloforma FIND - FAND - FAEND - FUND - FUEND; each of the alloforms represents a certain allostatus governed

- 3 -

by inflexion.

If, on the other hand, one form represents more than one set of properties, it is called a h o m o g r a h in respect to these properties. Otherwise it is called a h a p l o g r a p h.

Example: FUND represents the participle form of a verb and the nominative singular form of a noun. Investigation of word-class homography was a major goal of the first stage of the project.

2.4 Linguistic properties to be used for defining units

- a. wordability a graphemic sequence is said to be wordable if it either is identical with the sequence of a word or if it can form a word in combination with an inflexional ending. The latter are here taken for granted (cf. BONTING, 1966 b).
- b. word-class status: word classes, as employed here, can be defined distributionally by adding a class of inflexional endings - including zero, if you wish, - to the "stem" of words; only the classes n o u n, v e r b, and a d j e c t i v e are treated.
- c. <u>semantic meaning</u>: graphemic sequences are said to either represent a semantic meaning or not. Nothing is assumed about the nature of the meaning.<sup>3)</sup>

2.5 Definition of units

Three types of units are defined, under the overall term m o r p h e m e, as graphemic sequences representing certain properties whose sequence of graphemes cannot be changed - except in cases of alloforms - without disturbing the relation between form and set of properties.

- 4 - 1

<sup>3)</sup> As defined here, semantic meaning does not include grammatical meaning like the inflexional status.

- 5 -
- a. <u>kernel morphemes (K</u>): are wordable, have a wordclass status, and a semantic meaning
- b. <u>affixes (A)</u>: are not wordable, have a word-class status, and a semantic meaning
- c. <u>inflexional morphemes (F1)</u>: are not wordable, have a word-class status and no semantic meaning
- 2.6 Word-strucutre in terms of morpheme classes Words (language units) can be described as a sequence of morphemes (linguistic units). A first rough description will yield at least three types of words, here introduced in their traditional terms and with a formula in terms of morphemes.<sup>4</sup>
- a. <u>simple words</u>: consisting of one and only one kernel and perhaps on or more inflexional morphemes
  K (+F1)
- b. <u>derived words</u>: consisting of one and only one kernel, at least one affix, and perhaps one or more inflexional morphemes

K + A (+A) (+F1)

c. <u>compound words</u>: consisting of at least two kernels, perhaps one or more affixes, end perhaps one or more inflexional endings

K + K (+K) (+A) (+F1)

Certain aspects of simple and of derived words were investigated. They will be discussed after the data are introduced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup>The "+" denotes combination but not sequence in surface structure; parentheses denote possible presence of one or more morphemes.

3. Data

Starting point was a still unpublished dictionary of German words analysed into morphemic segments and punched on cards by KANDLER at the Sprachwissenschaftliches Seminar der Universität Bonn. When I had access to the material, it consisted of 117.370 uncorrected entries, most of which entailing morphemic segments of words and markers for categories like word-class, gender, transitivity, dialect, sociolect, foreign origin etc<sup>5)</sup>. The entries and categories were copied from "Deutsches Wörterbuch" by MACKENSEN, edition 1955. From KANDLER's material a list of 2.111 kernels - stems of simple words from classes noun, verbs with inlexional ending EN, and adjectives, - was prepared, mainly by automatic data processing<sup>6)</sup>. As to allostatus, only dictionary forms were available at that stage. Therefore various alloforms of the morphemes were added and marked according to their allostatus. The alloforms consist of what are usually called umlaut- or ablautvariations. Included were "potential" umlautforms; they are kernels that do not appear in inflexion but have vowels that permit Umlaut and in derivation often actually do have it, like, for example, BROT (bread) - plural BROTE - derivation BROETCHEN. The resulting list had 3.613 entries, marked as follows -

- 6 -

<sup>5)</sup> Grammatical markers about gender and transitivity were copied but so far neither checked nor actually used. They appear in the artificial code list but not in the data statistics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>) The entries were sorted down to about 4000 by computer with the help of the grammatical markers; then mistakes, peculiar entries, unmarked dialectical words etc. were eliminated by hand. Reasons are given in BÜNTING (1969).

List of linguistic categories marked in artificial code:

- 7 -

- A = masculine noun
- B = feminine noun
- C = neuter noun
- D = mixed gender noun
- E = adjective
- H = transitive verb I = intransitive verb
- J = reflexive verb
- K = mixed verb
- L = preterite form verb
- M = irregular, usually conjunctive form verb
  - N = past participle form verb
  - 0 = combination of L and N
  - P = Umlaut plural nouns or comparison adjectives
  - Q = potential Umlaut all word-classes
- 4. Simple words: word-class homography and derivation by zeroaffix

The list of 3.613 kernels was by computer sorted to discover word-class homography<sup>7)</sup>. Results are shown in table 1. While being compared, homograph kernels were marked accordingly and deleted except for one grapheme sequence. The resulting list, which contained 2.759 kernels, was taken as basis for the study of derivations. Table 1. The table shows, that somewhat less than half of the kernels are word-class homographs. All three covered word-classes have about the same homograph-haplograph ratio. Of course, only lexicalised homography can be stated here. In German sentences, every verb or adjective can syntactically be used as a noun<sup>8)</sup>.

<sup>7)</sup> Homography according to gender or transitivity etc. is marked in artificial code, D or K respectively; homography according to semantic meaning like in SCHLOSS ("palace" or "look for key") is not treated.

<sup>8)</sup> Cf. paragr. 6 for discussion of syntactic and semantic aspects of derivation.

Traditionally, what is here called word-class homography is called derivation or derivation by zero-affix. I would suggest not to speak of derivation in a synchronic description, because no direction of the derivation can be concluded from the data. Only with historical information is it possible to call TAGEN a verbalisation from TAG (Old High German there was only a noun taga but no verb), and on the other hand to call LAUF a nominalisation from LAUFEN (Old High German verb liofan with pretaerite Ablautform louf, which served as basis for derivation)<sup>9)</sup>.

So I propose to speak of word-class homography when lexicalised forms are concerned. The use of adjectives and verbs as nouns and of participles as adjectives in sentences ought to be treated in syntax as syntactical transformations and should be excluded from word-formation.

#### 5. Derivation by affixation

The morphological structure of derivated words was given in the formula K + A (+A) (+Fl). To gain precision, some of the following questions have to be answered: which kernels appear together with which affixes, and are there reasonable classification? What is the surface structure of the morphemes in words? What grammatical functions and - perhaps - semantic meanings are represented by the affixes?

It was - and still is - our intention to collect as many data as possible, so that the derivation formulas can be rendered more precise through induction from the data evidence.

The first step was to consider surface structure and reformulate the formula in terms of actually used prefixes

- 8 -

<sup>9)</sup> Only where ablautvariants are involved can a direction of derivation be safely concluded from synchronic data.

and suffixes. WEISGERBER (1958) proposed to use the Term A b l e i t u n g s t y p (derivative type or derivation pattern) for a kind of substitution frame where one or more affixes are the frame and kernels are to be inserted. For a beginning, seven derivation patterns were formulated. Reasons for choosing these particular types are not very sophisticated: we wanted to include nominalisation, verbalisation, and adjectivation as well as prefixation and suffixation just to try the usefulness of the computer as a wordproducer.

Into each frame, all 2.759 Kernels were inserted, which amounted to an output of 15.013 artificial "words". These were then checked against the KANDLER-MACKENSEN material for lexicalisation and marked as booked or not booked (a "Y" or "-" in artificial code in a certain column). The count of the results and the derivation patterns are shown in tabel 2. As an example, one page of output is copied in table 3.

A more detailed analysis, which takes account of wordclass information is given in table 4 . From the statistics of these tables and from a comparison of lexicalized and non-lexicalized forms, we hope to gain insight about derivation. To demonstrate how we plan to progress, the pattern / ...-UNG/ is discussed.

5.2 Lexicalization

The artificial forms printed in table 5 were also found in the dictionary: of these, 36,7 % contain haplograph verbal kernels, 49,5 % are homographs which can be interpreted as verbal, 10,9 % are haplograph noun kernels, and 3,5 % haplograph adjective kernels. Deverbalization seems to be the predominat function of the suffix UNG. Of the 11 deadjectives, one is archaic (HARTUNG for

- 9 -

(february), one poetic (WIRRUNG from a novel by Fontane called "Irrungen, Wirrungen"), one a misclassified deverbative (HOEHLUNG from HOEHLEN), and the rest sociolects spoken by hunters (ALTUNG, DICKUNG, SCHALUNG) craftsmen (DUENNUNG, HALBUNG, LASCHUNG, RAUHUNG, SCHALUNG with a different meaning), or sailors (STEILUNG). The 34 derivations from noun kernels can likewise be explained as historically old (e.g. ZEITUNG, WALDUNG), untypical, or misclassified (for details cf. BÜNŢING, 1969, 89 f.).

5.3 Productivity

The deverbative function of UNG is confirmed, when productivity is considered by looking through the non-lexicalized artificial words. To check my own judgement about the acceptability of various forms, I am currently trying to find ways how to get information from informants about these artificial words. So far, one general conclusion can be drawn from the various questionaires which I had filled in by students: there is wide disagreement about 1. dictionaries, 2. what individuals think is normal and ought to be in a dictionary, and 3. what individuals think is normal, acceptable, peculiar, unacceptable, unrecognized.<sup>10</sup>

There are, however, some characteristic grammatical restrictions for acceptability, which parallel those of lexicalizations: accepted derivations are generally deverbative, and the verbs are predominatly transitive. That is no new insight; HENZEN has said so in his "Deutsche Wortbildung" (1965, 181) in regard to lexicalized forms. However, if it holds true for the potential forms, as the test suggests, a general rule can be formulated, where a relation between transitivity of verbs and ability

<sup>10)</sup>cf. CHAPIN (1967) for evaluation categories

- 10 -

to form derivates with UNG is stated.

5.4 Functional and semantic derivation

Rendering the general formula for derivations more precise in terms of grammatical functions leads to a more general point: the distinction between grammatical and semantic aspects of derivations. MARCHAND (1967), 13-26) and (1966, 138) suggests the following distinctions and terminology: expansion: no change of word-class derivation: change of word-class functional derivation: no additional semantic content semantic derivation: additional semantic content. According to this terminology, GLEICHUNG would be a semantic derivation (mathematical equation) whereas LOCHUNG (punching) would be a functional one; with UNG there is, however, a complication, because it denotes either the act signified by the verb or the result of the action. MARCHAND' s suggestions should be applied to empirical data; and they should be used in dictionary work, where only semantic derivations deserve an entry and functional derivation ought to be treated by rules, perhaps analogue to those covering purely syntactic transformations as suggested (cf. paragraph 4).

6. Future plans

There need be no discussion about the value of a computer for collecting and sorting empirical data. The approach of forming artificial words and then comparing them with a dictionary rather than collecting only dictionary material seems particularly suited for investigation of productive patterns of word formation. Therefore, we are planning to continue by

#### - 11 -

- 1. processing more and more derivation patterns
- sharpening the description in terms of grammatical and semantic functions

3. adding kernels; for example, the class of nonwordable (bound) kernels like GESS from VERGESSEN or SCHMITZ from VERSCHMITZT derserves attention.

# R e f e r e n c e s

- BÜNTING, Karl D.:"Zur Erzeugung deutscher Wörter mit einem Computer" in <u>Forschungsbericht 66/5 des</u> <u>IPK der Universität Bonn</u> (1966 a), 34 Seiten
- -, "Zur Flexion deutscher Wörter mit einem Computer" in Forschungsbericht 66/5 des IPK der Universität Bonn (1966b), 19 Seiten.
- -, "Morphologische Strukturen deutscher Wörter: ein Problem der linguistischen Datenverarbeitung, Diss. Bonn (Masch.)(1969)
- HENZEN, Walter:"Deutsche Wortbildung", 3. erg. Aufl. Tübingen (1965).
- MARCHAND, Hand: "Review of ZIMMER: Affixal negation in English and other languages" in Language 42 (1966), 134-142.
- -, "Expansion, Transposition, and Derivation" in La Linguistique 1 (1967), 13-26
- SCHNELLE, Helmut:"Zur Formalisierung der Wortableitung des Deutschen" in Forschungsbericht 66/5 des IPK der Universität Bonn (1966).
- -, und KRANZHOFF, J.A.: "Zur Beschreibung und Bearbeitung der Struktur deutscher Wörter" in Beiträge zur Sprachkunde und Informationsverarbeitung, 1. Teil Heft 5 (1965a), 80-89, 2. Teil Heft 6 (1965 b), 65-67.
- CHAPIN, Paul G.: "On the syntax of word-derivation in English" in Information system language studies No 16, Sept. 1967/MTP-68

#### - 12 -

- 13 -

# MACKENSEN, Lutz: Deuteches Wörterbuch Baden-Baden (1955)

T A B L+E S \_\_\_\_\_

,

Table 1 word-classes of Kernels

. -

	Kernklassen		Subst	Verb	Adj
т		Anzahl	1457	1843	313
o t	Haplographen	Anzahl	767	1010	176
a		% v Total	52,6	54.8	
1	Homographen	Anzahl ۶ v Total	690 47,4	833 45,2	137 43,8
G r	insgesamt	Anzahl	898	1080	208
u n d	Haplographen	Anzahl % v Total	61,8 495 34,0	58,6 563 30,5	122
	insgesamt	% ▼ Grundf Anzahl	55,2	52,1 763	58,6 105
î		% v Total	38,3	41,4	33,5
o f o r	belegte Haplographen	Anzahl % v Total % v Allof	130 8,9 23,3	275 15,2 37,4	
e n	potentielle Haplographen	Anzahl % V Total % V Allof	142 9,7 25,6	172 9,3 22,5	

	-	14	-

T a b l e 2 derivation patterns

derivation	lexica	lized	non-lix	icalized
pattern	abs.	rel.	abs.	rel.
/CHEN/	87	3,2	2672	96,8
/UNG/	313	11,3	2446	88,6
/BEEN/	347	12,6	2412	87,4
/BEIG-EN/	20	0,7	2739	99,2
/BAR/	86	3,2	2673	96,8
/LICH/	139	5,0	2620	94,9

abs = absolute number

、

1

rel = relative

### - 15 -

•

T a b l e 3 example of final output

i

	DRASCH	LH
	DREH	<u>A-KY</u>
· -		• =====================================
	DRESCH DRILL	
	DRING	A-H
<del></del>	DRISCH	
	DROEH	QI
	DROEMN	
•	DROESCH	NH
	DROH	I YY
	DROSCH	OH
•	DRUCK	A-HY
	DRUD	A
	DRUEČK	
	DRUED	QA
	DUCK	K
	DUECK	QK
•	DUEFT	QI PA
· · ·	DUELD	QK
•	DUEMM	PE
	DUEMPF	QE
	DUEN	J Y
	DUENG	HQAY
	DUENK	<u>KY</u>
	DUENN	-EYY-
	DUENST	HQIPA
	DUERR	-EHY
	DUERST	
	DUESCH	QK
. `	DUFT	A-1
	DULD	
	DUMM	
	DUMPE	
	DUNG	ANK
	DUNST	
	DURST	A-1
	DUSCH	
	DUST	A
	DUTZEND	C
	EB8	[
•	ECHT	+E
	EGG	
•	EHR	HYYYY
· .	EICH	HY
	EID	AYY
	<u>EIN</u>	C
	ELCH	A
	ELEN	 D================================
	END	K
·	ENG	-EHY
	ERB	H
<del></del>	ERD	HY-Y-Y-
:	ERNST	AEY-
· ·	ERNT	
	ERZ	C
	ESEL	A+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

•

word classes of derivations Table 4

ABLEITUNGS-	م	HAPLOG	HAPLOGRAPHE SUBSTK.	BSTK.		HAP.	LOGR	HAPLOGRAPHE VERBALK.	VERBA	H	<u> </u>	A PLO	BRAPE	HAPLOGRAPHE ADJEKTIVK.	TEXT	F	-OMOH
TYP	• • •	total	Grundf.	Allof.	ų	40	te)	Grun	н. Н	total Grundf. Allof.		otal	Gri	total   Grundf.   Allof.	TTV	ي. در	GRAFHE
	·	a: r	B: T	а.	R	4	ĥ	а.	н	г. 8			8 4	H	۵	54	н •
···-CHEN	87	23: 26, 4	16:18,4	7: 8,0	0,0	5	17,2	12	6.	15 17,2 12:13,9 3 3,4		3: 3,4	<u></u>	4°.		Ţ,	46:53.0
•••UNG	313	34, 10, 9	34: 10,9 24: 7,7 10: 3,2	: - -	_	115	36.7	115 : 36, 7 115 : 36, 7		' 	1	l.	12	11: 3,5 10: 3,2	1-	<u>.</u>	156:49.5
BEEN	347	45:13,0	45: 13, 0 42: 12, 1 3: 0, 9 140: 40, 3 137: 39, 5 3	3:	6	4	÷.	51:3	5.	3 0,9		7: 2,0	1	7: 2,0	1:	1.	155:44.7
BEIG-EN	20	2:10,0	2:10,0		<b>t</b> .	6	6: 30,0		5:25,0 1	1 5,0		<u>•</u>	~	2:10,0 2:10,0		1.	10.50.0
•••-BAR	86	8; 9,4	9,4 7 8,2	1 1,2	+	8	5	36 : 41,9 36:41,9	6.		₽'	<b> </b>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	•	1.	42:48,6
···-LICH	139		29:20.8 27:19.4	2:1,4		5	26,6	53 22	F	37: 26,6 33:23,7 4 2,9	E	-	E	11: 7,9 11: 7,9	1:	1.	62:44,5
UN	29		3:10.3 3:10.3	1	١,	5	1	15 51 7 14 48 2 1	1		Ľ		Ļ	ſ	Ľ	t	

a = absolute Häufigkeit r = relative Häufigkeit -

.

- 16 -

.

ACHT	UNG	8-KYY
AECHT	UNG	HQKQ8Y
AES	UNG	++PCY
AEST	UNG	PAY
AETZ	UNG	KYY
AHN	UNG	<u> </u>
ALT	UNG	-EYY
ART	UNG	8-KYY
BAEH	UNG	
BAHN	UNG	<u>B-HY</u> <u>AY</u>
BANK	UNG	BY
BAUCH	UNG -	AY+-
BEB	UNG	] Y
BERG	UNG	A-KY
BETT	UNG	C-KY
BIEG	UNG	KY
BILD	UNG	<u>C-KYYYY</u>
BIND	UNG	+iY
BLAEH	UNG	KY
BLATT	UNG	CY
BLEND	UNG	HY
BLCCK	UNG	A-HY
BU	UNG	<u> </u>
BRAEUN	UNG	KQE-YY-
BRAID	UNG	D
BRECH	UNG	KYY
BRUEST	UNG	JPBYY
BUCH	UNG	C-HY
DACH	UNG	CYY
DAEMPF	UNG	HQKPAY
DECK	UNG	C-KYY
DEHN	UNG	KYY
DICHT	UNG	-EKY
DICK	UNG	<u> </u>
DING	U11G	C~KYYY- A-HYY-
DRAHL	UNG	Δ-H
DREH	UNG	
DROH	UNG	I YY
DUEN	UNG	J Y
DUENG	UNG	HQAY
DUENN	UNG	-EYY-
DUERR	UNG	-EHY
DULD	UNG	KYY
EHR	UNG	HYYYY
EICH	<u>UNG</u>	<u>HY</u>
EIN	UNG	HY
END	UNG	KYY-Y-YY
ERD	UNG	
FAELL	UNG	HIPDY
FAELSCH		HQE-YY-
FAERB	<u>UNG</u>	
FALT		C-KYY-YY+
FA95 FEIN	UNG	
FEST	UNG	CEYYY-Y-
FIND	UNG	KYY
FISCH	UNG	A-HYY
FORSCH	UNG	-E1Y

,

T a b l e 5 lexicalised UNG-derivations

-----

ð - -----

	/UNG/	ANZAHL 313 2
FRIST	UNG	8-HYY
FROEN	UNG	1919DY
FUEG	UNG	KOHQAYY-
FUEHL	UNG	
FUEHR	UNG	KMKY
	UNG	
FUELL	UNG	
FURCH	UNG	
GAER		AYY-
GAST	UNG UNG	
GEIL	UNG	
GELT	UNG	K
GERB		
GLEICH	UNG	
GLEIT	UNG	
GRAB	UNG	C-HYY
GRAS	UNG	С-нуү
GRUEND	UNG	HPAY
GUET	UNG	PAQEYYY-
GURT	UNG	Λ-ΗΥ
HAELFT	UNG	HY
HAERT	UNG	HPE-YY
HAEUF	UNG	HYY
HAEUT	UNG	QHYY
HAEUT	UNG	KPBYY
HAFT	UNG	D-HYY-Y
HALB	UNG	-EY
HALS	UNG	ΑΥ
HALT	UNG	<u>A-KYY-Y</u>
HART	UNG	-EY
HAUS	UNG	С-К ҮҮ
HEB	UNG	<del></del> KYY
HEFT	UNG	С-нУ
HEIL	UNG	CEKYY
HEIZ	UNG	K YY-Y
HEMM	UNG	HY
HOEHL	UNG	QE-Y
HOLZ	UNG	CYY
HORN	UNG	CY
HORT	UNG	A-HY
HUET	UNG	KPDYY
HUT	UNG	D¥
IMPF	UNG	HY
KAPP	UNG	HY
KEIM	UNG	A-IYY
KENN	UNG	HYY-Y
KETT	UNG	KYY
KLAER	UNG	HQE-YY-
KLEID	UNG	C-KYYY
KNECHT	UNG	A-HYY-
KNICK	UNG	A-H
KNOSP	UNG	
KNUEPF	UNG	
KOER	UNG	
KOERN	UNG	
	UNG	KQIPE-YY-
KRAENK	UNG	
KREUZ		<u> </u>
KROEN	UNG	
KROEPF	UNG	PAY
KRUEMM	UNG	KQE-Y
KUEHL	UNG	- <u>EHY</u>
KUERZ	UNG	HPE-Y

.

- 18 -

· · · ·

LAB	UNG	KY
LAD	UNG	HYY
LAEHM	UNG	HQE-Y
LAEHM	UNG	HQIQE-Y
LAIB	UNG	AY
LAND	UNG	С-К Ү
LASCH	UNG	-EY
LAUT	UNG	<u>A-IYY</u>
LEG	UNG	-EHY KNIYY
LEIB	UNG	AYYY-
LENK	UNG	
LES	UNG	K YY-Y
LICHT	UNG	CEYYY
LOEHN	UNG	HQHPAY
LOES	UNG	HQIQCQE-YY-
LOET	UNG	HQCY
LUEFT	UNG	HPBYY
MAEST	UNG	KY
MAHN	UNG	<u>HY</u>
MARK	UNG	DY
MELD		HYY KY
MENG	UNG	KYY
MESS	UNG	KYY-Y
MISCH	UNG	K
MUEND	UNG	IQ1PDYYY-
MUT	UNG	ΔΥ
NEIG	UNG	H
NENN	UNG	<u>KYY-Y</u>
OEL	UNG	C+H=Y
_QRT	_VNG	D-HY
OST	UNG	ΑΥ
PAAR	.UNG	C-KY
PACHT	UNG	8-HY
PACK PASS	UNG	<u>C-HYY</u>
PEIL	UNG	A-1
PEITSCH		
PEAEHL	UNG	PAY
PFAEND	UNG	HPCYY
PELANZ	UNG	
PLAN	UNG	A-HY
PRAEG	UNG	HY
PRUEF	UNG	HY
QUELL	UNG	<u>A-KYY</u>
QUETSCH		HY
RAEUN	UNG	HPAYY-
RAEUM	UNG	Q1YY-
RAFF	UNG	
RAIN	UNG	AY
RAUH REG	UNG	-EY
REICH	UNG	KYYYYY
REIH	UNG	K
REIZ	UNG	A-HYYYYYYYY
RENK	UNG	HY
RETT	UNG	H
RICHT	UNG	K
ROD	UNG	HY

ν.

LISTE 4 / ... - UNG/ ANZAHL 313 3

- 19 -

•

	LISTE 4 /UNG/	ANZAHL 313 4
	ROET UNG	H+PE-YY+
	RUEHR UNG	KQBYY-Y
	RUEST UNG	HYY CEYY-
	RUND UNG	PAY
	SCHAEFT_UNG	PAY
	SCHAEL UNG	KQE-YY
	SCHAEND_UNG SCHAETZ_UNG	H
	SCHAFF UNG	
	SCHAL UNG	
	SCHALT UNG	KLHY
	SCHAR UNG	B-KY AY
	SCHATZ UNG SCHAU UNG	B-KYY-Y
•	SCHECK UNG	HY
	SCHEID UNG	KY
	SCHENK UNG	A-HYY
	SCHER UNG SCHICHT UNG	КYY В-КY
	SCHICK UNG	-EKYYYY
	SCHIEB UNG	HY
	SCHIRM UNG	A-HYY B-HY+
	SCHLACHTUNG SCHLEIF UNG	
	SCHLICHTUNG	-EHY
·	SCHLIESSUNG	
	SCHMELZ UNG	<u>A-KYY</u>
	SCHMIED UNG SCHMUECKUNG	A-H
	SCHNUER UNG	P8YYY
	SCHOEN UNG	-EHQHYY
	SCHOEPF UNG	PAYY
	SCHON UNG	HY -EHQIY
	SCHREIB UNG	
	SCHREIT UNG	I
	SCHUERF UNG	YY
	SCHUERZ UNG	H
	SCHUETT UNG	
_	SCHWAERZUNG	HPE-YY-
	SCHWANK UNG	A-IY
	SCHWEB UNG	ΥΥ
	SCHWEISSUNG SCHWEL UNG	K
	SCHWELL UNG	KY
	SCHWENK_UNG	Y=====Y=====
	SCHWIND UNG	I Y K YY
_	SCHWING UNG SEND UNG	
	SENK UNG	KY
	SICHT UNG	B-HY-YYY-
	UNG	<u>A-JYY</u>
	SPALT UNG SPANN UNG	A-KY KLKYY
-	SPEIS UNG	B-HY
	SPEND UNG	Y======Y=====
	SPERR UNG SPREIZ UNG	~-HY ~-KY

- 20 -

	•• •• ••
LISTE 4 /UNG/	ANZAHL 313 5
SPROSS UNG	A-10KYY
SPUEL UNG	
STAK UNG	
STALL UNG	AYY
STAU UNG	<u>A-KY</u>
STEIF UNG STEIG UNG	-EHYY A-IYY
STEIL UNG	-EY
STELL UNG	<u> </u>
STIFT UNG	D-KY
STILL UNG STIMM UNG	
STOCK UNG	A-IYY
STOER UNG	KY
STRAHL UNG	<u>A-1YY</u>
STRAND UNG	A-1Y
STREICH UNG	A-KYY
STREU UNG	<u>В-К</u>
STROEM UNG	IPAYY
STUERM UNG	
STUF UNG	YY
STUND UNG	
STUTZ UNG	YY YY
SUEHN UNG TAG UNG	A=1======¥¥====
TAL UNG	CY
TARN UNG	YY
TEER UNG	A-HY
TEIL UNG Toen ung	D-HYY
TOET UNG	KPA
TON UNG	AYY
TRAENK UNG	
TRAU UNG _TRENN UNG	KY
TROEST UNG	HQAYY-
TRUEB UNG	
UEB UNG	
VIER UNG	HY HOHYY
WAEG UNG	
WAHR UNG	-EHYY
WALD UNG	AYY
WALL UNG	A-I
WAND UNG	
WEIS UNG	HYYY+
WEISS UNG	-EHMHYYY-
WELT UNG	- <u></u> EHY= KYY
WEND UNG WERB UNG	KYYY-
WERT UNG	AEHYY
WIND UNG	<u>A-KYYY</u>
WIRR UNG	
WDELB UNG	
WUEST UNG	-E IQAY
ZAHL UNG	B-KYY-Y
ZAHN UNG	A-IY
ZEHR UNG ZEIT UNG	Y==Y=Y=
ZEIT UNG ZEUG UNG	BYY- C-KYY
ZIEH UNG	KOHYY
ZUECHT UNG	HPBY
ZUECK UNG	KY

- 21 -