# HCAMiner: Mining Concept Associations for Knowledge Discovery through Concept Chain Queries

Wei Jin

Department of Computer Science North Dakota State University

wei.jin@ndsu.edu

### Abstract

This paper presents HCAMiner, a system focusing on detecting how concepts are linked across multiple documents. A traditional search involving, for example, two person names will attempt to find documents mentioning both these individuals. This research focuses on a different interpretation of such a query: what is the best concept chain across multiple documents that connects these individuals? A new robust framework is presented, based on (i) generating concept association graphs, a hybrid content representation, (ii) performing concept chain queries (CCQ) to discover candidate chains, and (iii) subsequently ranking chains according to the significance of relationships suggested. These functionalities are implemented using an interactive visualization paradigm which assists users for a better understanding and interpretation of discovered relationships.

### **1** Introduction

There are potentially valuable nuggets of information hidden in large document collections. Discovering them is important for inferring new knowledge and detecting new trends. Data mining technology is giving us the ability to extract meaningful patterns from large quantities of structured data. Collections of text, however, are not as amenable to data mining. In this demonstration, we describe *HCAMiner*, a text mining system designed to detect hidden information between concepts from large text Xin Wu

Department of Computer Science & Technology University of Science and Technology of China

xinwu@mail.ustc.edu.cn

collections and expose previously unknown logic connections that connect facts, propositions or hypotheses.

In our previous work, we have defined concept chain queries (CCQ) (Jin et al, 2007), a special case of text mining in document collections focusing on detecting links between two concepts across text documents. A traditional search involving, for example, two person names will attempt to find documents mentioning both of these names and produce a list of individual pages as result. In the event that there are no pages contain both names, it will return "no pages found" or pages with one of the names ranked by relevancy. Even if two or more interrelated pages contain both names, the existing search engines cannot integrate information into one relevant and meaningful answer. This research focuses on a different interpretation of such a query: what is the best concept chain across documents that potentially connects these two individuals? For example, both may be football lovers, but are mentioned in different documents. This information can only be gleaned from multiple documents. A generalization of this task involves query terms representing general concepts (e.g., airplane crash, foreign policy). The goal of this research is to sift through these extensive document collections and find such hidden links.

Formally, a concept chain query involving concepts A and B has the following meaning: find the most plausible relationship between concept A and concept B assuming that one or more instances of both concepts occur in the corpus, but not necessarily in the same document. We go one step further and require the response to include text snippets extracted from multiple documents in which the discovered relationship occurs. This may assist users with the second dimension of the analysis process, i.e., when the user has to peruse the documents to figure out the nature of the relationship underlying a suggested chain.

### 2 The Proposed Techniques

#### 2.1 The new representation framework

A key part of the solution is the representation framework. What is required is something that supports traditional IR models (such as the vector space model), graph mining and probabilistic graphical models. We have formulated a representation referred to as concept association graphs (CAG). Figure 1 illustrates a small portion of CAG that has been constructed based on processing the 9/11 commission report<sup>1</sup> in the counterterrorism domain. The inputs for this module are paths for data collection and domain-specific dictionary containing concepts. In our experiments, we extract as concepts all named entities, as well as any noun or noun phrases participating in Subject-Verb-Object relationships. Domain ontological links are also illustrated, e.g., white house is a type of organization.





# **2.2** Concept profile (*CP*) and snippet cluster generation

A concept profile (*CP*) is essentially a set of terms that together represent the corresponding concept. We generate concept profiles by adapting the *Local Context Analysis* technique in Information Retrieval and then integrate them into the graphical framework (Jin et al., 2007).

Particularly, the *CP* for concept *c* is built by first identifying a relevant set of text segments from the corpus in which concept *c* occurs, and then identifying characteristic concepts from this set and assessing their relative importance as descriptors of concept *c*. Formally, the profile *Profile*( $c_i$ ) for concept  $c_i$  is described by a set of its related concepts  $c_k$  as follows:

$$\operatorname{Profile}(c_i) = \{\omega_{i,1}c_1, \omega_{i,2}c_2, \cdots, \omega_{i,k}c_k, \cdots\}$$

Weight  $\omega_{i,k}$  denotes the relative importance of  $c_k$  as an indicator of concept  $c_i$  and is calculated as follows:

$$\omega_{i,k} = \xi + \frac{\log(f(i,k) \times idf_k)}{\log n}$$

Where *n* is the number of relevant text segments considered for concept  $c_i$  (in our experiments, the basic unit of segmentation is a *sentence*). The function f(i, k) quantifies the correlation between concept  $c_i$  and concept  $c_k$  and is given by

$$f(i,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} sf_{i,j} \times sf_{k,j}$$

Where  $sf_{i,j}$  is the frequency of concept  $c_i$  in the *j*-th sentence and  $sf_{k,j}$  is the frequency of concept  $c_k$  in the *j*-th sentence. This can be easily computed by constructing "concept by sentence" matrix Q whose entry  $Q_{i,j}$  is the number of times concept  $c_i$  occurs in sentence  $s_j$ .  $(QQ^T)_{ij}$  then represents the number of times concepts  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  co-occur in sentences across the corpus. The inverse document frequency factor is computed as

$$idf_k = \max(1, \frac{\log N / np_k}{\lambda})$$

Where *N* is the number of sentences in the document collection,  $np_k$  is the number of sentences containing concept  $c_k$ .  $\lambda$  is a collection dependent parameter (in the experiments  $\lambda=3$ ). The factor  $\zeta$  is a constant parameter which avoids a value equals to zero for  $w_{i,k}$  (which is useful, for instance, if the approach is to be used with probabilistic framework). Usually,  $\zeta$  is a small factor with values close to 0.1. Table 1 illustrates a portion of the *CP* constructed for concept *Bin* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.9-11commission.gov/

*Ladin.* The best concepts are shown based on their relative importance.

Bin Ladin	
Dimension	Value
Al-qaeda	0.569744
Afghanistan	0.535689
Sandi Arabia	0.527825
Islamist	0.478891
Islamist Army	0.448877
Extremist	0.413376
Ramzi Yorsef	0.407401
Sudanese	0.370125
Saddam Hussein	0.369928
Covert Action	0.349815
Embassy Bombings	0.313913

Table 1. Portion of CP for Concept 'BinLadin'

Given the information provided by concept profiles, the strength of a relation (edge weight in the *CAG*) between concept  $c_i$  and concept  $c_j$  is measured by the similarity between their respective profiles. If a concept X is related to another concept Y which has a similar context as that of X, then such a relation can be coherent and meaningful. More precisely, a scalar profile similarity matrix  $S_{i,j}$  is defined as follows:

$$S_{i,j} = \frac{\hat{C}(c_i) \bullet \hat{C}(c_j)}{\left|\hat{C}(c_i)\right| \times \left|\hat{C}(c_j)\right|}$$

Where  $\hat{C}(c_i)$  and  $\hat{C}(c_i)$  are profile vectors for concepts  $c_i$  and  $c_i$  respectively. In terms of text mining and knowledge discovery, we also require the graphical representation relate concepts and associations to underlying text snippets in the corpus. Without this support, the framework is not complete since users need to validate conclusions by looking at actual documents. This is achieved by associating each edge with a Snippet Cluster, which links the snippets (e.g., sentences) in the corpus to the corresponding associations (e.g., co-occurrence of concepts in sentences) represented by edges in the CAG. The resulting snippet clusters offer a view of the document collection which is highly characterized by the presence of concept associations (illustrated in Fig. 1).

### 2.3 Concept Chain Generation and Ranking

Given two concepts of interest designated, *concept chain query* (*CCQ*) tries to find if (i) there is a direct connection (association) between them, or (ii) if they can be connected by several intermediate concepts (paths). Note that finding direct links between two concepts is trivial; in the following we mainly focus on discovering and ranking indirect connections between concepts.

We formulate the *CCQ* problem as finding optimized transitive associations between concepts in the *CAG*. Given the source concept  $c_1$  and destination concept  $c_n$ , the transitive strength of a path from  $c_1$  to  $c_n$  made up of the links  $\{(c_1, c_2), \ldots, (c_{n-1}, c_n)\}$ , denoted by  $TS(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$ , is given by:

 $TS(c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (w(c_i, c_{i+1}))$ 

Where  $w(c_i, c_{i+1})$  represents the weight of the edge connecting concepts  $c_i$  and  $c_{i+1}$ . The formulation of generating and ranking transitive associations is then described as follows with input and output constraints specified:

**Given:** an edge-weighted graph *CAG*, vertices *s* and *t* from *CAG*, and an integer budget *l* 

**Find:** ranked lists of concept chains *CCs* staring from *s* and ending at *t*, one list for each possible length (i.e., between the shortest connection length and the specified maximum length *l*). Within each list, top-*K* chains that maximize the "goodness" function  $TS(\cdot)$  is returned.

Our optimization problem is now to find an optimal path that maximizes the "goodness" measure for each possible length. This could be easily computed using dynamic programming given the inductive definition of the goodness function  $TS(\cdot)$ . Notice that in real applications there are often cases that users might be interested in exploring more potential chains instead of just one optimal chain, we have thus adapted the traditional dynamic programming algorithm into finding *top-K* chains connecting concepts for each possible length efficiently. The details of algorithm and implementation can be found in (Jin et al , 2007).

### **3** The System Interface

Figure 2 illustrates the main *HCAMiner* visualization interface. Given the user specified paths for data collection and domain specific thesaurus,

the *Concept Association Graph* is first constructed. Analyzers are then provided another panel of parameters to guide the discovery process, e.g., *max\_len* controls the maximum length of desired chains; *chain\_num* specifies the number of top ranked chains to be returned for each possible length. The visualized result for concept chain query involving person names "*Bush*" and "*Bin Ladin*" with parameter values "*max\_len*" 3 and "*chain-num*" 5 is shown in Fig. 2. The system offers different views of the generated output:

- a) *Chain Solution View* (in the left pane). This view gives the overview of all the generated concept chains.
- b) XML Data View (in the upper-right pane). This view links each concept chain to the underlying text snippets in the corpus in which the suggested association occurs. Snippets are presented in XML format and indexed by docId.snippetID. This makes it easier for analyzers to explore only the relevant snippet information concerning the query.
- c) *Concept Profile View*. This view provides the profile information for any concept involved in the generated chains. Figure 2 shows portion of the *CP* generated for Concept 'Bin Ladin' (illustrated on the bottom right).

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

This paper introduces *HCAMiner*, a system focusing on detecting cross-document links between concepts. Different from traditional search, we interpret such a query as finding the most meaningful concept chains across documents that connect these two concepts. Specifically, the system generates ranked concept chains where the key terms representing significant relationships between concepts are ranked high. The discovered novel but non-obvious cross-document links are the candidates for hypothesis generation, which is a crucial initial step for making discoveries.

We are now researching extensions of concept chains to concept graph queries. This will enable users to quickly generate hypotheses graphs which are specific to a corpus. These matched instances can then be used to look for other, similar scenarios. Ontology guided graph search is another focus of future work.

### References

- Jin, Wei, Rohini K. Srihari, and Hung Hay Ho. 2007. A Text Mining Model for Hypothesis Generation. In Proceedings of the 19th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI'07), pp. 156-162.
- Jin, Wei, Rohini K. Srihari, Hung Hay Ho, and Xin Wu. 2007. Improving Knowledge Discovery in Document Collections through Combining Text Retrieval and Link Analysis Techniques. In Proceedings of the 7th IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM'07), pp. 193-202.

ile Tools Help	
Solution: bush bin_ladin Graphic View XML Data View	
Be- Chain 001: bush bin_ladin	
Chain 002 bush attack bin_ladin xml version="1.0" encoding="GB2312" ?	
Chan 003: buch qada bin, Jadin      Chan 004: buch qada bin, Jadin      Chan 004: buch qada bin bin Jadin      Chan 004: buch qada bin bin Jadin	
Chain 005: hugh administration bin ladin + <path id="1" totalweight="0.31636" value="bush bin_ladin"></path>	
+ <path id="2" totalweight="0.1725" value="bush attack bin_ladin"></path>	
H- Chain 007: bush administration gaeda bin + <path id="3" totalweight="0.1686" value="bush gaeda bin_ladin"></path>	
Chain 008: bush afghanistan qaeda bin.k     + <path id="4" totalweight="0.1566" value="bush afghanistan bin_ladin"></path>	
Chain 003: bush administration iraq bin_la     + <path id="5" totalweight="0.1557" value="bush administration bin_ladin"></path>	
- Chain 010: bush attack gaeda bin_ladin + <path id="6" totalweight="0.143" value="bush iraq bin_ladin"></path>	
Edge: bush attack + <path id="7" totalweight="0.09161" value="bush administration qaeda bin_ladin"></path>	
Edge: attack qaeda     + <path id="8" totalweight="0.08937" value="bush afghanistan qaeda bin_ladin"></path>	
Edge qaeda bin_ladin + <path id="9" totalweight="0.08657" value="bush administration iraq bin_ladin"></path>	
Chain 011: bush administration alghanista     - <path id="10" totalweight="0.08631" value="bush attack qaeda bin_ladin"></path>	
- <edge from="bush" repid="5.95" similarity="0.3416" to="attack"></edge>	
- <sentence sid="5.95"></sentence>	
<[[CDATA[ When the attack occurred, 25 days before the election, candidate Bush had said to CNN, "I	
hope that we can gather enough intelligence to figure out who did the act and take the necessary action	11>
<pre></pre>	
- <edge>- <edge from="attack" repd="5.100" similarity="0.4953" to="gaeda"></edge></edge>	
- <code a="" attack="" informa="" queue="" similarity="0.4953" tepd="5.100" to=""></code>	
<pre>+ contence SID="2-59"&gt;</pre>	
<pre></pre>	
reprod to fract moves shortly after 9/11, both because he personally fait that frag and al Gaeda might	
<pre>sepping to frag moves shortly after 9/1, both because he personally felt that frag and all gada might </pre>	be engage
<pre>- sentence SID="2.85"&gt;</pre>	
<pre></pre>	
targets  >	
- (sentence SID="3,37")	
	>
"pakistan" 0.234964	
"adpaniatan" 0.599426 "rumsfeld" 0.234964	
l'iraq'' 0.613855	
1 (1bys) 0.313913	
1 august 0 639855	
"tablar" 0.599426	
🖌 start 🔰 🖉 🚱 🤏 🦉 🧱 gomp 🔤 👘 👘	የግ እር 🗖 🖕 20

Figure 2. Screenshot of the user interface