SCALEARN: Simple and Highly Parameter-Efficient Task Transfer by Learning to Scale

Markus Frohmann^{1,2} Carolin Holtermann³ Shahed Masoudian^{1,2} Anne Lauscher³ Navid Rekabsaz⁴

¹ Johannes Kepler University Linz, ² Linz Institute of Technology, AI Lab

³ Data Science Group, Universität Hamburg

⁴ Thomson Reuters Labs, Zug, Switzerland

Abstract

Multi-task learning (MTL) has shown considerable practical benefits, particularly when using language models (LMs). While this is commonly achieved by learning n tasks under a joint optimization procedure, some methods, such as AdapterFusion, divide the problem into two stages: (i) task learning, where knowledge specific to a task is encapsulated within sets of parameters (e.g., adapters), and (ii) transfer, where this already learned knowledge is leveraged for a target task. This separation of concerns provides numerous benefits (e.g., promoting reusability). However, current twostage MTL introduces a substantial number of additional parameters. We address this issue by leveraging the usefulness of linearly scaling the output representations of source adapters for transfer learning. We introduce SCALEARN, a simple and highly parameterefficient two-stage MTL method that capitalizes on the knowledge of the source tasks by learning a minimal set of scaling parameters that enable effective transfer to a target task. Our experiments on three benchmarks (GLUE, SuperGLUE, and HumSet) and two encoder LMs show that SCALEARN consistently outperforms strong baselines with a small number of transfer parameters ($\sim 0.35\%$ of those of AdapterFusion). Remarkably, we observe that SCALEARN maintains its strong abilities even when further reducing parameters, achieving competitive results with only 8 transfer parameters per target task. Our proposed approach thus demonstrates the power of simple scaling as a promise for more efficient task transfer.¹

1 Introduction

With the wide availability of pre-trained language models (LMs) as the backbone of language processing, multi-task learning (MTL) has shown significant benefits, especially for tasks with possible conceptual commonalities (Ruder, 2017; Zhang and Yang, 2022; Raffel et al., 2020). The traditional paradigm in MTL is to formulate a joint optimization objective based on a set of tasks and train a single model to simultaneously learn and transfer the knowledge relevant to the tasks. This *joint MTL* approach can be realized by fine-tuning an LM (Liu et al., 2019a; Stickland and Murray, 2019), or, more recently, by using parameter-efficient, often modularized, MTL approaches (Mahabadi et al., 2021b; Zeng et al., 2023; Pilault et al., 2021; Asai et al., 2022; Ponti et al., 2023; Caccia et al., 2022).

As an alternative to the joint MTL paradigm, some works, such as ADAPTERFUSION (Pfeiffer et al., 2021), clearly distinguish task training from transfer learning, assigning dedicated parameters to each of these aspects. In this paradigm, referred to as two-stage MTL, first each source task is trained separately and stored into a separate module like an adapter (Houlsby et al., 2019), and then a task transfer layer is trained for a given *target task* using information from an arbitrary set of source tasks. This separation of concerns between task and transfer learning offers valuable benefits: (1) Learning a separate transfer layer for each target task in a two-stage MTL approach reduces the potentially destructive effects of transfer learning on specific tasks, as the transfer layer parameters corresponding to each target task can independently decide what information should be used from the available source tasks. As shown in our experiments with encoder LMs, this supports the effectiveness of transfer learning, making it less sensitive to task selection. (2) Since the source tasks can simply be taken from already trained modules (no need for retraining), two-stage approaches promote reusability – a principle of Green AI (Scells et al., 2022; Schwartz et al., 2020). Further, they provide a practical solution to cases involving issues such as data privacy and/or fairness constraints, as a pre-trained module can readily provide the (e.g.,

¹Our code is available at https://github.com/CPJKU/ ScaLearn.



Figure 1: Performance and parameter-efficiency of single task learning (STL), and joint/two-stage MTL methods, evaluated on GLUE (Wang et al., 2019b) and SuperGLUE (Wang et al., 2019a) using RoBERTa_{BASE} (Liu et al., 2019b). The reported values for the two-stage MTL methods only consider the ones in the respective transfer layers. The full details of the learnable parameters and performance results are provided in §5.

already debiased) functionality of the source task even without the need to have access to its training data (Lauscher et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2023).

Despite these benefits, current two-stage MTL solutions introduce significantly more learnable parameters compared to recent joint MTL ones, exacerbated by the linear increase in the number of parameters with the number of target tasks. In our experiment setup with eight target tasks using RoBERTa_{BASE} (Liu et al., 2019b), ADAPTERFU-SION introduces ~134% new parameters for transfer learning, while HYPERFORMER++ (Mahabadi et al., 2021b) conducts joint MTL by adding ~4% (\approx 5M) trainable parameters (details in Table 1 and §5). This high number of parameters is in stark contrast to the promise of Green AI given by the modularized nature of two-stage MTL.

Contributions. We build on insights gained from analyzing the effects of scaling the output representations of adapters, and introduce SCALEARN, a novel two-stage MTL method that learns to transfer the knowledge of the source adapters using a small set of scaling parameters. For a given target task, SCALEARN introduces parameters that scale the output representation of each source adapter and combine the resulting *scaled* representations by simply taking the element-wise sum. This approach results in high parameter-efficiency, such that - following the mentioned experiment setting – SCALEARN only adds $\sim 0.47\%$ (≈ 0.5 M) parameters. We further introduce an even more parameter-efficient variant via uniform scaling (SCALEARNUNIFORM), where each scaling vector

is reduced to a single scaling parameter. Finally, by sharing parameters across layers, we achieve our most efficient variation (SCALEARNUNIFORM++), only containing 64 parameters for transfer learning.

We conduct a large set of transfer learning experiments on the GLUE (Wang et al., 2019b), Super-GLUE (Wang et al., 2019a), and HumSet (Fekih et al., 2022) benchmarks using encoder LMs, namely the popular RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019b) and XLM-R (Conneau et al., 2020) models, both in their base and large configurations.

Figure 1 summarizes our results on GLUE and SuperGLUE, showing that SCALEARN, while providing high efficiency and the benefits of the twostage MTL paradigm, consistently outperforms the baselines. The overall performance of SCALEARN remains highly competitive in its more parameterefficient variations. Our results also show the advantage of two-stage models in avoiding destructive effects during transfer learning. Overall, with SCALEARN we leverage the power of scaling as a viable, non-destructive, simple-to-implement, and highly parameter-efficient solution to the current shortcomings of existing MTL methods, paving the future for more effective and efficient task transfer.

2 Background

In task transfer learning, we consider a pre-trained LM as well as two sets S and T, representing the source and target tasks, respectively. The aim of MTL is to leverage the information of tasks in S to improve the generalization on tasks in T.

Single Task Learning (STL). In this basic set-

ting, a separate set of parameters is optimized on each task (S = T) without any knowledge transfer between tasks. STL can be done by finetuning the LM parameters or by introducing more parameter-efficient modules into the model, such as adapter modules (Pfeiffer adapters (Houlsby et al., 2019; Pfeiffer et al., 2021), PROPETL (Zeng et al., 2023), or COMPACTER++ (Mahabadi et al., 2021a)), (IA)³ (Liu et al., 2022), prefix-tuning (Li and Liang, 2021), or LoRA (Hu et al., 2022), each with Θ_s parameters for each task s.

Joint MTL. This approach is commonly done by having a unified model for all tasks (S = T), and a joint optimization objective that simultaneously optimizes the model using samples from all tasks (Ruder, 2017). The general joint MTL objective can be formulated as $\mathcal{L}_{\text{joint}} = \sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \alpha_s \mathcal{L}_s$, where α_s is the sampling weight of task s. This optimization objective can be used to fine-tune the parameters of an LM (Liu et al., 2019a; Stickland and Murray, 2019; Raffel et al., 2020), or those of a modularized architecture (Mahabadi et al., 2021b; Pilault et al., 2021; Ponti et al., 2023). Despite the benefit of having one unified model, the joint loss often causes tasks to compete with each other for learning capacity, leading to the *task interference* problem (Xin et al., 2022; McCloskey and Cohen, 1989; Kirkpatrick et al., 2017). This makes the joint MTL paradigm particularly sensitive to the selection of tasks (Xin et al., 2022), while various methods in the literature have aimed to address this issue (e.g., Kendall et al. (2018); Pilault et al. (2021); a brief review is provided in § 6).

Two-stage MTL. In contrast to joint MTL, twostage MTL methods optimize each target task independently, bypassing the issue of task interference (Pfeiffer et al., 2021). Similarly to STL, a parameter-efficient module is first learned for each source task s with parameters Θ_s . In principle, twostage MTL methods can simply use already pretrained modules (such as adapters), saving the costs of re-training modules on each task. This facilitates the re-use of existing parameter-efficient modules for each source task,² which may vary in performance and/or take into account additional constraints such as fairness and bias mitigation (Pfeiffer et al., 2023; Kumar et al., 2023; Lauscher et al., 2021). Moreover, it also removes the need for accessing the training data of the source tasks (e.g.,

 2 E.g., through sharing platforms such as AdapterHub (https://adapterhub.ml/) (Pfeiffer et al., 2020).

due to data privacy) so far as the source task's functionality is solely provided via parameter-efficient modules. Next, given |S| (pre-trained and frozen) source task modules, two-stage MTL methods define and optimize a transfer layer for each target task to leverage the knowledge of source tasks to solve the target task. This stage introduces Ω_t new parameters for each target task t.

ADAPTERFUSION (Pfeiffer et al., 2021) introduces an implementation of the two-stage approach with strong performance (Pfeiffer et al., 2023). It uses an attention mechanism as its transfer layer, inserted into each LM layer after the source adapters. More specifically, given the output vector of each source adapter s in each layer l, referred to as o_s^l , the attention layer (with target task t as query and source tasks S as keys and values) learns to assign a weight ω_s^l to each source task. The final output of the target task t in this layer is calculated as:

$$\boldsymbol{o}_{t}^{l} = \sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \omega_{s}^{l} \boldsymbol{o}_{s}^{l}, \text{ where } \sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \omega_{s}^{l} = 1$$
 (1)

Regardless of how the weights are calculated, the method can be seen as a weighted summation of source output vectors, where the weights form a categorical probability distribution.

3 SCALEARN – Learning to Scale for Knowledge Transfer

To understand the effect of scaling the output representations of adapters, we conducted initial experiments on scaling them, both in isolation and when combining two of them. In these experiments, we observed that (1) scaling output vectors is an effective method for controlling the (partial or full) activation of the knowledge contained in an adapter module; (2) an optimal configuration of the scaling parameter will, in many cases, lead to superior results on the target task; (3) the optimal weights do not necessarily sum up to 1. These findings stand in contrast to the established practice of forcing the coefficients to sum up to 1 (e.g., as in ADAPTERFU-SION; cf. Equation 1). We provide comprehensive results and analyses in Appendix A.2. Overall, these observations provide strong motivation for a method to combine representations from several adapters by scaling their output representations.

Based on that, we present SCALEARN, a novel two-stage transfer learning method to combine the

knowledge of source adapters by scaling their output representations. Our core contribution regards the transfer layer, built on the output of the tasks' modular networks. Similar to Pfeiffer et al. (2021), we utilize adapter modules for the task learning layer. In particular, the output representation of the adapter of source task s at layer l is defined as: $o_s^l = U_s^l(\text{ReLU}(D_s^l(x_s^l))) + x_s^l$, where x_s^l is the input vector, and U_s^l and D_s^l denote the up- and down-projection parameter matrices, respectively.

Our introduced SCALEARN linearly scales and combines the output representations of source adapters, $o_1^l, \ldots, o_{|S|}^l$, to achieve the objective of target task t.

We define two variations of the scaling operation: *non-uniform* which applies a scaling vector to each output vector using the element-wise product (SCALEARN), and the more parameter-efficient *uniform* that scales each vector only with a scalar parameter (SCALEARNUNIFORM). These variations are formulated below:

SCALEARN :
$$\boldsymbol{o}_{t}^{l} = \sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}^{l} \odot \boldsymbol{o}_{s}^{l}$$

SCALEARNUNIFORM : $\boldsymbol{o}_{t}^{l} = \sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}^{l} \boldsymbol{o}_{s}^{l},$ (2)

where \odot denotes the Hadamard product, and ω_s^l and ω_s^l are learnable vector and scalar parameters, respectively. Inspired by previous studies (Mahabadi et al., 2021a; Zeng et al., 2023; Bai et al., 2022; Goldberg, 2019), we further increase parameter-efficiency by learning shared scaling parameters among all layers, formulated as follows:

SCALEARN++:
$$o_t^l = \sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \omega_s \odot o_s^l$$

SCALEARNUNIFORM++: $o_t^l = \sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \omega_s o_s^l$, (3)

where, similarly, ω_s and ω_s are learnable vector and scalar parameters, but shared among all layers. In all the mentioned methods, to optimize the transfer parameters Ω , we use gradient descent as an easy-to-implement and straightforward solution. On the basis of our experiments, we find that our approach provides highly competitive results on a wide range of tasks (cf. § 5). Furthermore, SCALEARN models do *not* force any

distributional properties on the ω values, as commonly imposed in previous work (Pfeiffer et al., 2021; Chronopoulou et al., 2023; Xin et al., 2022) through functions such as softmax and average.

Parameter-efficiency of SCALEARN. To have a clear view of the parameter-efficiency of the models, we continue by analyzing the number of learnable parameters in the transfer layer. The SCALEARN variant introduces $d \times L \times |S|$ transfer parameters for a single target task, where dis the embedding size and L denotes the number of layers. The total number of parameters for all target tasks then becomes $d \times L \times |S| \times |T|$. Moving to SCALEARNUNIFORM, this number reduces to $L \times |S| \times |T|$. The SCALEARN++ spares the L term and has $d \times |S| \times |T|$ transfer parameters. Finally, the most parameter-efficient variant SCALEARNUNIFORM++ only adds $|S| \times |T|$ parameters. For each task, new task head parameters are learned jointly with the transfer parameters.

For comparison, the number of transfer parameters of ADAPTERFUSION is $3 \times d^2 \times L \times |T|$ (discarding bias and task head parameters), corresponding to the query, key, and value matrices of the attention mechanism. Comparing the formulas, we observe that our methods are far more parameter-efficient, since in practice $|S| \ll d$, and hence the $d \times L$ term in SCALEARN becomes much smaller than d^2 in ADAPTERFUSION. Compared to the joint MTL paradigm, despite the linear increase of parameters with |T|, our SCALEARN * models still provide high parameter-efficiency. This stems from the fact that $|T| \ll d$, and hence reducing the effect of d – which is fully eliminated in the uniform variants – leaves a stronger impact on parameter-efficiency.

4 Experiment Setup

Tasks and datasets. We conduct our experiments on the GLUE and SuperGLUE benchmarks, respectively, each consisting of 8 tasks, as well as on the HumSet benchmark (Fekih et al., 2022). HumSet is a multilingual classification dataset for humanitarian crisis response that consists of 5 tasks. Additionally, we use a combination of *all* GLUE and SuperGLUE tasks resulting in 15 datasets³. It has been shown that tasks from GLUE and SuperGLUE particularly benefit from multi-task learning, given their partially overlapping task formulations and highly varying dataset sizes (Devlin et al., 2019;

³The RTE task is contained in GLUE and SuperGLUE.

Туре	Model	Parameters (one task)	Parameters (all tasks)
	FINETUNE	100.00% (125M)	800.00% (125M)
	Adapter	0.72% (895K)	5.74% (7M)
STL	PROPETL	0.77% (959K)	6.16% (8M)
	COMPACTER++	0.02% (29K)	0.19% (235K)
	$(IA)^3$	0.05% (57K)	0.37% (455K)
	LoRA	0.93% (1.2M)	7.50% (9.4M)
	FINETUNE-M	-	100.00% (125M)
Joint	ADAPTER-M	-	0.72% (895K)
MTL	PROPETL-M	-	1.24% (1.5M)
NIL	HyperFormer	-	47.67% (59M)
	HyperFormer++	-	4.09%(5M)
		Transfer (Ω_t) (target task t)	Transfer (Ω) (all target tasks)
	AdapterFusion	17.05% (21M)	136.40% (170M)
Two-	SCALEARN	0.06% (74K)	0.47% (590K)
Stage	SCALEARNUNIFORM	0.00% (96)	0.00% (768)
MTL	SCALEARN++	0.00% (6K)	0.04% (49K)
	SCALEARNUNIFORM++	0.00% (8)	0.00% (64)

Table 1: Percentage and trainable parameters per model (excluding task head parameters) when training on 8 tasks (as in GLUE/SuperGLUE) using RoBERTa_{BASE}.

Stickland and Murray, 2019; Asai et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023). Complete details regarding the benchmarks including their train/validation/test splits are provided in Appendix A.1.

LM backbones. We use the encoder LMs RoBERTa_{BASE} and RoBERTa_{LARGE} (Liu et al., 2019b) on GLUE and SuperGLUE. For the experiments on HumSet, following Fekih et al. (2022) we utilize the commonly used multilingual encoder LMs XLM-R_{BASE} and XLM-R_{LARGE} (Conneau et al., 2020) as it consists of multiple languages.

We put our focus on encoder LMs since they have been studied extensively and are still widely used for a variety of tasks, e.g., representation learning (Kusupati et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2022; Xiao et al., 2023), sentence segmentation (Minixhofer et al., 2023), and as language encoder as part of multi-modal architectures (Saharia et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2023), inter alia, especially in real-time use cases due to their efficiency and comparatively low computational demands.

Models and baselines. We conduct experiments on four variants of our model, namely SCALEARN, SCALEARNUNIFORM, SCALEARN++, and SCALEARNUNIFORM++. As a direct baseline, we compare our models with ADAPTERFUSION, a common two-stage MTL method that shares similar conceptual properties. We also compare our models with ADAPTERSOUP (Chronopoulou et al., 2023), performing weight-space averaging over adapter weights of the 5 most similar tasks according to their sentence similarity, adapted to our setup (cf. Appendix A.1). In all two-stage MTL methods, source and target tasks are the same, containing the tasks of the underlying benchmark. For each target task, they learn a transfer layer (except for ADAPTERSOUP) and a new task head.

We also select a set of strong STL baselines: **FINETUNE**, fully fine-tuning the LM, **ADAPTER** (Houlsby et al., 2019) learning an adapter module for each task, **PROPETL** (Zeng et al., 2023) a more memory-efficient variation based on parameter sparsification and **COM-PACTER++** (Mahabadi et al., 2021a) a highly parameter-efficient variation using parametersharing between layers. In addition, we train (**IA**)³ (Liu et al., 2022), learning scaling vectors applied to the key and value matrices and intermediate activations in the LM's feed-forward layer, and **LORA** (Hu et al., 2022), learning low-rank updates to the model's weight matrices.

Furthermore, we conduct experiments on several joint MTL baselines, namely FINETUNE-M, ADAPTER-M, and PROPETL-M, the fully finetuned, adapter-based, and ProPETL-based joint MTL variants, respectively; and, finally, HYPER-FORMER and HYPERFORMER++ (Karimi Mahabadi et al., 2021). FINETUNE-M updates all LM parameters, ADAPTER-M adds a single adapter module shared for all tasks, and PROPETL-M combines sparse layer- and task-specific masks through a logical OR operation. Based on task-specific embeddings, HYPERFORMER and HYPERFORMER++ generate module parameters by a shared hypernetwork. In all adapter-based models, we use a reduction factor of 16, and, following Pfeiffer et al. (2021), insert the modules after the feed-forward layer of the LM. Furthermore, to allow a fair comparison, we adapt PROPETL-M, HYPERFORMER, and HYPERFORMER++ to this setting by inserting the adapters only after each feed-forward block. To accommodate possible variations in performance, we train each model on multiple seeds, and report the mean and standard deviation over multiple runs.

The full details of the experiment setup regarding the benchmarks and their splits, infrastructure, training, and hyperparameters are provided in § A.1. To further enable the reproducibility of our results, our code, including documentation, is available at https://github.com/CPJKU/ScaLearn under the MIT license.

Model	MNLI	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	Avg.
FINETUNE	$86.61_{0.51}$	$90.32_{0.15}$	$91.78_{0.28}$	$93.33_{0.48}$	$90.53_{0.22}$	$86.94_{1.52}$	$73.47_{2.05}$	$58.46_{4.03}$	83.930.60
Adapter	$86.50_{0.33}$	$90.18_{0.11}$	$92.25_{0.19}$	$93.65_{0.71}$	$90.23_{0.41}$	$86.64_{1.07}$	$72.89_{2.54}$	$58.28_{2.50}$	83.830.48
PROPETL	$86.19_{0.25}$	$88.88_{0.48}$	$92.05_{0.80}$	$93.81_{0.72}$	$90.03_{0.35}$	$85.93_{1.22}$	$74.19_{2.03}$	$59.29_{2.07}$	83.800.42
COMPACTER++	$85.62_{0.42}$	$88.84_{0.70}$	$91.79_{0.39}$	$93.58_{0.34}$	$89.67_{0.54}$	$87.21_{0.61}$	$72.02_{2.21}$	$58.49_{2.58}$	$83.40_{0.45}$
$(IA)^{3}$	$83.78_{0.88}$	$88.37_{0.20}$	$90.57_{0.38}$	$93.35_{0.30}$	$89.93_{0.30}$	$87.11_{1.14}$	$72.56_{2.23}$	$56.57_{5.39}$	$82.78_{1.36}$
LORA	$86.52_{0.10}$	$89.86_{0.33}$	$92.25_{0.13}$	$94.19_{0.53}$	$90.66_{0.31}$	$87.03_{0.62}$	$70.40_{8.33}$	$57.55_{2.18}$	$83.56_{1.56}$
FINETUNE-M	$84.95_{0.36}$	$89.76_{0.12}$	$90.91_{0.07}$	$92.58_{0.76}$	$86.14_{0.53}$	$83.42_{0.50}$	$80.99_{2.54}$	$49.12_{1.74}$	82.230.41
ADAPTER-M	$86.03_{0.18}$	$89.69_{0.01}$	$91.58_{0.30}$	$93.35_{0.41}$	$88.71_{0.49}$	$86.76_{0.92}$	$80.26_{1.96}$	$51.79_{1.23}$	83.52 _{0.32}
PROPETL-M	$85.23_{0.45}$	$87.82_{0.16}$	$91.37_{0.52}$	$93.88_{0.44}$	$90.27_{0.22}$	$86.36_{1.82}$	$78.58_{0.90}$	$54.71_{1.12}$	83.530.31
HyperFormer	$86.08_{0.46}$	$89.13_{0.23}$	$91.81_{0.07}$	$93.16_{0.99}$	$90.63_{0.32}$	$87.01_{0.87}$	$82.79_{1.68}$	$57.30_{2.21}$	84.740.39
HyperFormer++	$86.38_{0.18}$	$88.81_{0.29}$	$91.99_{0.17}$	$93.27_{0.11}$	$90.80_{0.12}$	$87.83_{1.42}$	$\underline{83.75}_{0.78}$	$54.05_{3.30}$	$84.61_{0.46}$
ADAPTERFUSION	$86.82_{0.04}$	$90.23_{0.01}$	$92.48_{0.15}$	$93.23_{0.95}$	90.370.20	$88.41_{0.49}$	$79.49_{2.21}$	$59.04_{1.69}$	85.01 _{0.37}
AdapterSoup	$63.47_{0.37}$	$81.63_{0.23}$	$78.00_{0.20}$	$90.75_{0.24}$	$80.17_{0.18}$	$75.00_{1.18}$	$62.09_{0.64}$	$41.06_{1.68}$	$71.52_{0.59}$
SCALEARN	$86.97_{0.09}$	$90.32_{0.10}$	$92.51_{0.17}$	$93.88_{0.18}$	<u>90.96</u> _{0.16}	$87.75_{0.58}$	$82.06_{1.37}$	$58.47_{1.76}$	$\underline{85.36}_{0.55}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	$86.93_{0.10}$	$\underline{90.38}_{0.11}$	$\underline{92.53}_{0.28}$	$93.58_{0.20}$	$90.08_{0.07}$	$87.57_{0.86}$	$80.07_{1.18}$	$59.04_{1.05}$	85.020.49
SCALEARN++	$\underline{87.06}_{0.03}$	$90.04_{0.12}$	$92.03_{1.10}$	$94.15_{0.30}$	$90.62_{0.13}$	$88.21_{0.63}$	$80.87_{1.05}$	$\underline{59.82}_{0.78}$	$85.35_{0.52}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	$86.98_{0.17}$	$\underline{90.38}_{0.01}$	$\underline{92.53}_{0.28}$	$94.11_{0.07}$	$90.18_{0.19}$	$87.43_{0.63}$	$80.04_{0.99}$	$59.45_{0.67}$	$85.14_{0.38}$

Table 2: Evaluation results on GLUE using RoBERTa_{BASE}. (Top) STL models, only learning a single task at a time. (Middle) Joint MTL methods, learning all tasks simultaneously. (Bottom) Two-stage MTL methods, composing the knowledge of several source adapters. The overall best results are <u>underlined</u>, and the best results among the two-stage MTL models are **bold**.

Model	ReCoRD	MultiRC	BoolQ	WiC	WSC	COPA	СВ	RTE	Avg.
FINETUNE	$71.61_{0.84}$	$71.64_{1.15}$	$76.80_{1.34}$	$66.38_{2.08}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$68.60_{6.74}$	$81.96_{4.33}$	$73.47_{2.05}$	$71.74_{2.32}$
Adapter	$79.02_{0.62}$	$72.84_{0.48}$	$76.71_{1.38}$	$65.58_{1.56}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$70.20_{4.13}$	$84.82_{3.18}$	$72.89_{2.54}$	$73.19_{1.74}$
PROPETL	$80.29_{0.24}$	$73.07_{0.49}$	$76.58_{0.78}$	$66.60_{1.65}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$70.60_{3.44}$	$84.46_{3.86}$	$74.19_{2.03}$	$73.69_{1.53}$
COMPACTER++	$77.69_{2.67}$	$70.44_{0.57}$	$75.88_{0.96}$	$66.46_{1.63}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$68.30_{4.00}$	$87.68_{3.62}$	$72.02_{2.21}$	$72.74_{1.96}$
$(IA)^{3}$	$75.27_{0.23}$	$70.32_{0.49}$	$76.31_{0.79}$	$67.07_{1.68}$	$63.35_{0.32}$	$69.30_{3.37}$	$87.32_{4.57}$	$72.56_{2.23}$	$72.69_{1.71}$
LORA	$79.60_{0.46}$	$71.96_{0.36}$	$76.58_{0.74}$	$65.14_{1.17}$	$\underline{63.46}_{0.00}$	$68.20_{4.05}$	$86.43_{3.17}$	$70.40_{8.33}$	$72.72_{2.28}$
FINETUNE-M	$72.21_{0.28}$	$72.11_{0.68}$	$76.39_{3.07}$	$52.19_{1.11}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$74.33_{3.40}$	$84.52_{0.84}$	$74.85_{7.42}$	$71.26_{2.10}$
Adapter-m	$72.43_{0.64}$	$72.46_{0.43}$	$75.32_{2.78}$	$51.99_{1.74}$	$59.94_{2.97}$	$71.67_{3.40}$	$86.31_{1.68}$	$76.53_{1.06}$	$70.83_{1.84}$
PROPETL-M	$73.14_{0.19}$	$72.07_{0.58}$	$73.91_{3.27}$	$50.73_{0.99}$	$59.62_{5.44}$	$74.00_{3.27}$	$82.14_{1.46}$	$73.65_{3.83}$	$69.91_{2.38}$
HyperFormer	$65.93_{4.47}$	$33.54_{33.54}$	$74.01_{1.10}$	$55.49_{1.72}$	$52.88_{10.58}$	$55.50_{2.50}$	$71.43_{7.14}$	$61.73_{9.03}$	$58.81_{8.76}$
HyperFormer++	$24.50_{8.13}$	$19.47_{27.53}$	$62.17_{0.00}$	$50.00_{0.00}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$54.33_{3.30}$	$49.40_{0.84}$	$49.09_{2.56}$	$46.55_{5.30}$
ADAPTERFUSION	$78.82_{0.49}$	$71.79_{1.67}$	$76.72_{0.55}$	$66.57_{1.24}$	<u>63.46</u> _{0.00}	$73.10_{4.51}$	$82.32_{2.85}$	$76.03_{2.38}$	73.601.71
AdapterSoup	$64.26_{0.13}$	$33.62_{4.28}$	$68.84_{0.31}$	$58.53_{0.60}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$52.40_{2.41}$	$70.89_{0.86}$	$57.83_{0.93}$	$58.73_{1.19}$
Scalearn	$79.52_{0.06}$	$\underline{73.22}_{0.44}$	$\underline{77.27}_{0.68}$	$66.35_{1.20}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$74.80_{2.15}$	$90.89_{2.59}$	$78.88_{2.14}$	$75.55_{1.16}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	$80.13_{0.38}$	$71.91_{0.60}$	$76.06_{0.41}$	$67.37_{1.22}$	$62.50_{1.27}$	$71.20_{1.23}$	$89.11_{1.97}$	$75.31_{0.90}$	$74.20_{1.00}$
SCALEARN++	$80.13_{0.09}$	$72.71_{0.57}$	$76.44_{0.53}$	$67.13_{1.24}$	$62.26_{2.28}$	$\underline{75.20}_{1.93}$	$\underline{93.04}_{2.14}$	$79.03_{0.95}$	$\underline{75.74}_{1.22}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	$79.79_{0.14}$	$71.75_{0.38}$	$76.13_{0.52}$	$\underline{67.87}_{0.89}$	$\underline{63.46}_{0.00}$	$74.00_{1.70}$	$91.61_{2.53}$	$74.84_{1.58}$	$74.93_{0.97}$

Table 3: Evaluation results on SuperGLUE using RoBERTa_{BASE}.

5 Results

5.1 Parameter-efficiency analysis

Table 1 provides a comprehensive overview of the number of learnable parameters of the models in our experiment setting on GLUE and SuperGLUE: RoBERTa_{BASE} as the backbone LM, 8 source tasks, and the same 8 tasks as target tasks (|S| = |T| = 8). Starting from the STL models, the left and right columns report the number of trainable parameters for one and all tasks, respectively. The joint MTL models learn all tasks simultaneously, and hence only contain values in the right column. For the two-stage MTL models, we report the number of trainable parameters of trainable parameters of the transfer layer for one tar-

get task (Ω_t) in the first column and the same for all target tasks on the right (Ω) . We deliberately organize the transfer parameters of the two-stage models (Ω) under the corresponding numbers of other models in the right column since the two-stage paradigm benefits from already trained adapters and only needs to learn the transfer layer. If the adapters should also be trained, we provide an extra comparison with the corresponding additional parameters in Appendix A.1.

When comparing the results of the two-stage MTL methods in the transfer layer, ADAPTER-FUSION is expectedly far less parameter-efficient than SCALEARN models, where SCALEARNUNI-FORM++ only requires 64 parameters. The variants

Model	Sectors	Pillars 1D	Subpillars 1D	Pillars 2D	Subpillars 2D	Avg.
FINETUNE ADAPTER PROPETL COMPACTER++ $(IA)^3$ LORA	$\begin{array}{c} 71.99_{0.32} \\ 71.38_{0.28} \\ 71.69_{0.86} \\ 69.97_{1.89} \\ 70.22_{0.97} \\ 71.08_{0.44} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.40_{0.24} \\ 51.02_{1.23} \\ 49.69_{1.30} \\ 37.37_{7.99} \\ 45.55_{3.43} \\ 33.96_{29.41} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.76_{0.67} \\ 43.26_{0.82} \\ 41.63_{0.84} \\ 37.76_{2.14} \\ 40.05_{3.15} \\ 42.75_{0.31} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.04_{0.26} \\ 61.43_{0.91} \\ 60.58_{0.91} \\ 58.13_{1.64} \\ 58.54_{1.38} \\ 60.33_{0.52} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.68_{0.62} \\ 42.46_{0.51} \\ 39.85_{1.10} \\ 33.10_{9.00} \\ 39.27_{1.01} \\ \underline{42.81}_{0.63} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 53.77_{0.42} \\ 53.91_{0.75} \\ 52.69_{1.00} \\ 47.26_{4.53} \\ 50.73_{1.99} \\ 50.19_{6.23} \end{array}$
FINETUNE-M Adapter-M ProPETL-M HyperFormer HyperFormer++	$\begin{array}{c} 51.75_{3.62} \\ 56.20_{2.72} \\ 59.80_{10.09} \\ 71.08_{1.04} \\ 60.42_{9.79} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.65_{12.88} \\ 28.53_{14.56} \\ 26.10_{14.36} \\ 40.65_{6.93} \\ 22.07_{7.45} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.54_{6.06} \\ 16.53_{9.46} \\ 29.57_{7.40} \\ 34.16_{3.37} \\ 20.35_{7.04} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.27_{21.23} \\ 35.90_{17.36} \\ 37.53_{12.08} \\ 46.22_{14.11} \\ 30.55_{19.83} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.42_{3.39} \\ 18.89_{2.64} \\ 30.35_{5.91} \\ 32.47_{4.46} \\ 18.90_{10.84} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.73_{9.44} \\ 31.21_{9.35} \\ 36.67_{9.97} \\ 44.92_{5.98} \\ 30.46_{10.99} \end{array}$
ADAPTERFUSION ADAPTERSOUP SCALEARN SCALEARNUNIFORM SCALEARN++ SCALEARNUNIFORM++	$\begin{array}{c} 72.05_{0.12} \\ 56.81_{1.90} \\ 72.36_{0.05} \\ 72.20_{0.14} \\ \hline \textbf{72.38}_{0.27} \\ 72.02_{0.32} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.63_{0.53}\\ 30.09_{0.40}\\ 51.63_{0.61}\\ 50.08_{0.79}\\ \underline{51.66}_{0.27}\\ \underline{50.78}_{0.41}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 43.15_{0.38} \\ 21.84_{0.55} \\ 44.06_{0.37} \\ 42.97_{0.70} \\ \underline{44.23}_{0.50} \\ 42.60_{0.85} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.68_{0.23} \\ 40.71_{0.98} \\ 61.52_{0.11} \\ 60.62_{0.16} \\ \underline{61.66}_{0.13} \\ \overline{60.82}_{0.14} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.14_{0.46} \\ 17.89_{2.02} \\ \textbf{42.81}_{0.63} \\ 41.95_{0.60} \\ 42.21_{0.21} \\ 42.14_{0.72} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 53.53_{0.35}\\ 33.47_{1.17}\\ \underline{54.48}_{0.35}\\ \overline{53.56}_{0.48}\\ 54.43_{0.28}\\ \overline{53.67}_{0.49}\end{array}$

Table 4: Evaluation results on HumSet using XLM-R_{BASE}.

of SCALEARN add considerably fewer transfer parameters compared to the overall parameters of the particularly efficient joint MTL methods. Moreover, the SCALEARN models still remain comparable when also taking into account the source adapter parameters. Considering these results, in the following we report and discuss the evaluation results in transfer learning and few-shot learning on the respective benchmarks.

5.2 Transfer Learning Performance

Results on GLUE. Table 2 shows the evaluation results on the GLUE benchmark using RoBERTa_{BASE}. The evaluation metrics are Pearson's correlation for STS-B, Matthews' correlation for CoLA, and accuracy for the rest. We average the results over several runs and report the corresponding standard deviation in the subscripts. Overall, the two-stage models obtain strong gains, outperforming STL and joint MTL models. Remarkably, all variants of SCALEARN, including the highly parameter-efficient SCALEARNUNI-FORM++ achieve similarly good results with only a fraction of the parameters of ADAPTERFUSION. Comparing the different variations of our method, while SCALEARN shows the best results, the other models also perform highly competitively.

Results on SuperGLUE. Table 3 shows the results on SuperGLUE for all methods considered. The evaluation metrics are F1 for MultiRC and ReCoRD and accuracy for the other tasks. We observe similar patterns on this benchmark: two-stage models generally outperform other baselines. In this benchmark, SCALEARN and SCALEARN++ improve upon ADAPTERFUSION by 2 percentage points of the average results. Notably, we observe performance drops for various joint MTL models in comparison to other models (up to -27% when comparing HYPERFORMER++ and ADAPTER). This may be a signal of the sensitivity of these models to the selection of tasks. Furthermore, the subpar performance of AdapterSoup suggests that calculating weights using sentence similarity is not appropriate for our specific problem setup. In contrast, the other two-stage MTL models (and, in particular, our SCALEARN models) do not show any considerable performance decreases.

Results on HumSet. Table 4 shows the results on HumSet using XLM-R_{BASE} with the F1-score as the evaluation metric. Similarly, SCALEARN performs the best among all the methods, whereas the more parameter-efficient variants of SCALEARN are only marginally weaker in performance. On this benchmark, in particular, all joint MTL methods show poor performance, highlighting the sensitivity of these methods to task selection (up to -27%for STL and MTL versions of FINETUNE).

We conduct an ablation study on the effect on different combinatorial operators in SCALEARN, reported in Appendix A.3. In Appendix A.5, we provide further experiments and analyses of the results along with the results of GLUE and SuperGLUE using RoBERTa_{LARGE}, HumSet using XLM-R_{LARGE}, and for the combination of all tasks from GLUE and SuperGLUE. Finally, we provide an analysis of the scaling coefficients of SCALEAR-NUNIFORM and SCALEARNUNIFORM++ in Appendix A.4, revealing the effect of various source adapters on a target task.



Figure 2: Few-shot transfer learning results with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using the BASE models of RoBERTa and XLM-R. Full results over several runs are provided in Appendix A.6.

5.3 Few-shot Transfer Learning

We further assess the applicability of SCALEARN in a few-shot setting, where we assume that only $k = \{4,16,32,100\}$ training samples are available for a given target task. For two-stage MTL methods, for a given benchmark, we use the source adapters of all tasks except the one corresponding to the target task, where we use a source adapter trained on only k samples. On the basis of this set of source adapters, we then train a transfer layer on the target task using k data points.

Figure 2 shows the performance of ADAPTER, ADAPTERFUSION, and SCALEARN on the GLUE, SuperGLUE, and HumSet benchmarks, averaged over 5 runs. We observe that SCALEARN consistently outperforms ADAPTER and ADAPTERFU-SION in all benchmarks and values of k (except for k = 4 on HumSet) pointing to the strength of our method for data-lean settings. We provide the full results, including per-dataset ones, other variations of SCALEARN, and on RoBERTa_{LARGE} in §A.6.

6 Related Work

Parameter-efficient task learning in NLP. Various parameter-efficient methods have emerged as a more sustainable alternative to full fine-tuning, enabling modularization, efficient sharing, and reusability of knowledge. A common modularization approach is to introduce a small number of additional parameters into an LM, realized by various methods such as Adapters (Rebuffi et al., 2017; Houlsby et al., 2019), Compacter (Mahabadi et al., 2021a), and ProPETL-Adapter (Zeng et al., 2023). Similarly, LoRA (Hu et al., 2022) injects trainable low-rank matrices into each transformer layer, and BitFit (Ben Zaken et al., 2022) updates only the bias terms. Another line of research

identifies sparse subnetworks within the model to tune (Ansell et al., 2022; Guo et al., 2021; Hauzenberger et al., 2023; Ansell et al., 2024), while He et al. (2022) and Mao et al. (2022) propose to merge various distinct modules. We refer to Pfeiffer et al. (2023) for a full survey on this topic.

Learning by scaling. Besides the common approach of learning a feed-forward layer for a (non-) linear transformation of an input vector, several recent methods explore the merit of learning a scaling vector applied to the input vector in various scenarios. Liu et al. (2022) learn a modular network for STL that rescales LM vectors through elementwise multiplication. Ilharco et al. (2023) and Ortiz-Jiménez et al. (2023) introduce task arithmetic to control LM behavior by extracting task vectors from pre- and post-fine-tuning model weights, then scaling and combining them to improve MTL performance. Masoudian et al. (2024) learn a gating adapter that adjusts the scaling of representations to control the behavior of the model at inference time. Finally, Lian et al. (2022) learn to shift and scale the output vectors of a vision transformer in an STL setting. Our work contributes to this line of research by leveraging scaling for highly parameter-efficient and effective MTL.

Joint MTL. Interference and imbalance between tasks have been shown to impede performance in joint MTL (Kirkpatrick et al., 2017; Kendall et al., 2018; Pfeiffer et al., 2023). Several studies have aimed to address these issues and improve generalization. For example, (Liu et al., 2019a) learn representations across multiple NLU tasks using context from a semantic similarity model, and Pilault et al. (2021) introduce a parameter-efficient model that uses modules facilitating weight sharing. Moreover, Stickland and Murray (2019) use an adapter for each task while also updating the LM parameters. Zhang et al. (2022) further focus on modularity by only activating a subset of taskspecific modules at once; however, tasks must be mapped a priori to a given high-level skill. Ponti et al. (2023) and Caccia et al. (2022) loosen this constraint by learning a task-skill allocation matrix for cross-task generalization, but rely on a multitask pre-training stage. Finally, Mahabadi et al. (2021b) leverage a hypernetwork (Ha et al., 2017) that generates modular task-specific parameters.

Two-stage MTL. Various methods have been proposed to extract task-specific information and compose this knowledge. Chronopoulou et al. (2023) studies transfer learning in generative LMs by first selecting source adapters based on different heuristics and merging their weights to create a new combined adapter. Holtermann et al. (2024) provide further insights into how to combine adapters effectively and efficiently for zero-shot knowledge compositions. Furthermore, Huang et al. (2023) introduce LoraHub with the aim of composing LoRA (Hu et al., 2022) modules for cross-task generalization using black-box optimization and an additional pre-filtering stage. Asai et al. (2022) and Wang et al. (2023) leverage continuous prompts learned on large-scale source tasks, leading to competitive performance in MTL benchmarks, although both methods depend on the selection of typically high-resource source tasks. In contrast to the mentioned methods that highly depend on the selection of tasks and/or apply the combination to the weights, Pfeiffer et al. (2021) combines the output representations of several independent source adapters through an attention mechanism. Our work is directly related to this line of research and introduces a novel highly parameter-efficient transfer layer applied to the output representation.

7 Conclusion

We propose SCALEARN, a highly parameterefficient and effective two-stage MTL method leveraging simple scaling of output vectors. Our proposed approach directly learns the coefficients that scale the representations of source adapters and combines them simply by taking the sum. We conduct transfer learning experiments using encoder LMs on the three benchmarks of GLUE, Super-GLUE, and HumSet, consisting of a diverse set of tasks, domains, and languages. Our results show that SCALEARN and even its extremely parameterefficient variants obtain strong improvement over existing MTL methods without any negative crosstask effects. We further show that these improvements are also present in few-shot transfer learning.

Limitations

The first limitation of our work concerns the selection of benchmarks - we conducted experiments only on the GLUE, SuperGLUE, and HumSet benchmarks. While these already cover a vast number of tasks and domains of varying sizes in different languages, they still do not fully represent the myriad of tasks, domains, and languages within the NLP domain. However, we strongly believe that our findings also hold for other transfer learning corpora, including different tasks, domains, and languages, especially since SCALEARN * models are agnostic concerning this selection. Related to this aspect, we focused on transformer-based encoder LMs as the backbone for our experiments and did not experiment with other architectures, e.g., convolutional or recurrent networks, or transformerbased decoder LMs. Finally, we relied on adapters as arguably the most popular modularization technique (cf. Pfeiffer et al. (2021); Chronopoulou et al. (2023)). Due to the large number of additional experiments required and related environmental concerns, we did not experiment with other modularization methods (e.g., LoRA or $(IA)^3$). However, our method clearly shows the usefulness of simply scaling output representations of modules for transfer learning.

Ethical Considerations

The nature of our work is manifold, and so are the ethical aspects touched by our research. First, we acknowledge the potential of NLP datasets and models for encoding unfair stereotypical (Blodgett et al., 2020) and exclusive (Dev et al., 2021) biases that may lead to representational and allocational harms (Barocas et al., 2017). This potential is a general property of pre-trained language models, and the models and datasets we use in this research are no exception to this danger. We thus strongly advise practitioners to carefully consider the sociotechnical context before deploying any models (with or without SCALEARN), and, aligned with the specific deployment scenario, to take measures against unfair discrimination. Examples of such measures include the use of bias measurement (Nangia et al., 2020) and mitigation (Bordia and Bowman, 2019) approaches. Second, the core

of this work deals with efficiency aspects. On the one hand, given the well-known relationship between model training (and inference) effort and potential CO_2 emissions (Strubell et al., 2019), our work directly contributes to reaching the goals of Green AI by making parameter-efficient MTL more environmentally sustainable. On the other hand, since the training of language models often comes with high infrastructure requirements exclusive to certain user groups (Bender et al., 2021), we hope that our work also contributes to the ongoing democratization of language technology by reducing resource-related usage barriers.

Acknowledgements

This work received financial support by the State of Upper Austria and the Federal Ministry of Education, Science, and Research, through grant LIT-2021-YOU-215. This work was also funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P36413, P33526, and DFH-23. The work of Carolin Holtermann and Anne Lauscher is funded under the Excellence Strategy of the German Federal Government and the States. The authors would like to thank Benjamin Minixhofer for his invaluable feedback on the manuscript.

References

- Alan Ansell, Edoardo Ponti, Anna Korhonen, and Ivan Vulić. 2022. Composable sparse fine-tuning for crosslingual transfer. In Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 1778–1796, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alan Ansell, Ivan Vulic, Hannah Sterz, Anna Korhonen, and Edoardo M. Ponti. 2024. Scaling sparse fine-tuning to large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2401.16405.
- Akari Asai, Mohammadreza Salehi, Matthew E. Peters, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2022. ATTEMPT: parameter-efficient multi-task tuning via attentional mixtures of soft prompts. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2022, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 7-11, 2022*, pages 6655– 6672. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yue Bai, Huan Wang, Xu Ma, Yitian Zhang, Zhiqiang Tao, and Yun Fu. 2022. Parameter-efficient masking networks. In *NeurIPS*.
- Solon Barocas, Kate Crawford, Aaron Shapiro, and Hanna Wallach. 2017. The problem with bias: Allocative versus representational harms in machine

learning. In 9th Annual Conference of the Special Interest Group for Computing, Information and Society.

- Elad Ben Zaken, Yoav Goldberg, and Shauli Ravfogel. 2022. BitFit: Simple parameter-efficient fine-tuning for transformer-based masked language-models. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 1–9, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Emily M. Bender, Timnit Gebru, Angelina McMillan-Major, and Shmargaret Shmitchell. 2021. On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? In Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, FAccT '21, page 610–623, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Su Lin Blodgett, Solon Barocas, Hal Daumé III, and Hanna Wallach. 2020. Language (technology) is power: A critical survey of "bias" in NLP. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 5454– 5476, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shikha Bordia and Samuel R. Bowman. 2019. Identifying and reducing gender bias in word-level language models. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop, pages 7–15, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lucas Caccia, Edoardo Ponti, Lucas Liu, Matheus Pereira, Nicolas Le Roux, and Alessandro Sordoni. 2022. Multi-head adapter routing for data-efficient fine-tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.03831*.
- Guanzheng Chen, Fangyu Liu, Zaiqiao Meng, and Shangsong Liang. 2022. Revisiting parameterefficient tuning: Are we really there yet? In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2612–2626, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alexandra Chronopoulou, Matthew Peters, Alexander Fraser, and Jesse Dodge. 2023. AdapterSoup: Weight averaging to improve generalization of pretrained language models. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EACL 2023*, pages 2054–2063, Dubrovnik, Croatia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 8440– 8451, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Sunipa Dev, Masoud Monajatipoor, Anaelia Ovalle, Arjun Subramonian, Jeff Phillips, and Kai-Wei Chang. 2021. Harms of gender exclusivity and challenges in non-binary representation in language technologies. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 1968–1994, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Selim Fekih, Nicolo' Tamagnone, Benjamin Minixhofer, Ranjan Shrestha, Ximena Contla, Ewan Oglethorpe, and Navid Rekabsaz. 2022. HumSet: Dataset of multilingual information extraction and classification for humanitarian crises response. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP* 2022, pages 4379–4389, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yoav Goldberg. 2019. Assessing bert's syntactic abilities. *CoRR*, abs/1901.05287.
- Demi Guo, Alexander Rush, and Yoon Kim. 2021. Parameter-efficient transfer learning with diff pruning. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 4884–4896, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- David Ha, Andrew M. Dai, and Quoc V. Le. 2017. Hypernetworks. In 5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings. OpenReview.net.
- Lukas Hauzenberger, Shahed Masoudian, Deepak Kumar, Markus Schedl, and Navid Rekabsaz. 2023. Modular and On-demand Bias Mitigation with Attribute-Removal Subnetworks. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL* (*Findings of ACL*).
- Junxian He, Chunting Zhou, Xuezhe Ma, Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick, and Graham Neubig. 2022. Towards a unified view of parameter-efficient transfer learning. In *The Tenth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2022, Virtual Event, April 25-*29, 2022. OpenReview.net.
- Carolin Holtermann, Markus Frohmann, Navid Rekabsaz, and Anne Lauscher. 2024. What the weight?! a unified framework for zero-shot knowledge composition. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EACL 2024*. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Neil Houlsby, Andrei Giurgiu, Stanislaw Jastrzebski, Bruna Morrone, Quentin de Laroussilhe, Andrea Gesmundo, Mona Attariyan, and Sylvain Gelly. 2019.
 Parameter-efficient transfer learning for NLP. In Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2019, 9-15 June 2019, Long Beach, California, USA, volume 97 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pages 2790–2799.
 PMLR.
- Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2022. LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Chengsong Huang, Qian Liu, Bill Yuchen Lin, Tianyu Pang, Chao Du, and Min Lin. 2023. Lorahub: Efficient cross-task generalization via dynamic lora composition. *CoRR*, abs/2307.13269.
- Gabriel Ilharco, Marco Túlio Ribeiro, Mitchell Wortsman, Ludwig Schmidt, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Ali Farhadi. 2023. Editing models with task arithmetic.
 In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023.* OpenReview.net.
- Rabeeh Karimi Mahabadi, Sebastian Ruder, Mostafa Dehghani, and James Henderson. 2021. Parameterefficient multi-task fine-tuning for transformers via shared hypernetworks. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 565–576, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alex Kendall, Yarin Gal, and Roberto Cipolla. 2018. Multi-task learning using uncertainty to weigh losses for scene geometry and semantics. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7482–7491.
- Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2015. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings.
- James Kirkpatrick, Razvan Pascanu, Neil Rabinowitz, Joel Veness, Guillaume Desjardins, Andrei A. Rusu, Kieran Milan, John Quan, Tiago Ramalho, Agnieszka Grabska-Barwinska, Demis Hassabis, Claudia Clopath, Dharshan Kumaran, and Raia Hadsell. 2017. Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(13):3521–3526.
- Deepak Kumar, Oleg Lesota, George Zerveas, Daniel Cohen, Carsten Eickhoff, Markus Schedl, and Navid Rekabsaz. 2023. Parameter-efficient modularised bias mitigation via AdapterFusion. In Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics,

pages 2738–2751, Dubrovnik, Croatia. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Aditya Kusupati, Gantavya Bhatt, Aniket Rege, Matthew Wallingford, Aditya Sinha, Vivek Ramanujan, William Howard-Snyder, Kaifeng Chen, Sham M. Kakade, Prateek Jain, and Ali Farhadi. 2022. Matryoshka representation learning. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022.
- Anne Lauscher, Tobias Lueken, and Goran Glavaš. 2021. Sustainable modular debiasing of language models. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, pages 4782–4797, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Quentin Lhoest, Albert Villanova del Moral, Yacine Jernite, Abhishek Thakur, Patrick von Platen, Suraj Patil, Julien Chaumond, Mariama Drame, Julien Plu, Lewis Tunstall, Joe Davison, Mario Šaško, Gunjan Chhablani, Bhavitvya Malik, Simon Brandeis, Teven Le Scao, Victor Sanh, Canwen Xu, Nicolas Patry, Angelina McMillan-Major, Philipp Schmid, Sylvain Gugger, Clément Delangue, Théo Matussière, Lysandre Debut, Stas Bekman, Pierric Cistac, Thibault Goehringer, Victor Mustar, François Lagunas, Alexander Rush, and Thomas Wolf. 2021. Datasets: A community library for natural language processing. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, pages 175-184, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiang Lisa Li and Percy Liang. 2021. Prefix-tuning: Optimizing continuous prompts for generation. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 4582– 4597, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Dongze Lian, Daquan Zhou, Jiashi Feng, and Xinchao Wang. 2022. Scaling & shifting your features: A new baseline for efficient model tuning. In *NeurIPS*.
- Haohe Liu, Zehua Chen, Yi Yuan, Xinhao Mei, Xubo Liu, Danilo Mandic, Wenwu Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. 2023. AudioLDM: Text-to-audio generation with latent diffusion models. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Haokun Liu, Derek Tam, Mohammed Muqeeth, Jay Mohta, Tenghao Huang, Mohit Bansal, and Colin Raffel. 2022. Few-shot parameter-efficient fine-tuning is better and cheaper than in-context learning. In *NeurIPS*.
- Xiaodong Liu, Pengcheng He, Weizhu Chen, and Jianfeng Gao. 2019a. Multi-task deep neural networks for natural language understanding. In *Proceedings*

of the 57th Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2019, Florence, Italy, July 28- August 2, 2019, Volume 1: Long Papers, pages 4487–4496. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019b. Roberta: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach. *CoRR*, abs/1907.11692.
- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2019. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In 7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019. OpenReview.net.
- Rabeeh Karimi Mahabadi, James Henderson, and Sebastian Ruder. 2021a. Compacter: Efficient low-rank hypercomplex adapter layers. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2021, NeurIPS 2021, December 6-14, 2021, virtual, pages 1022–1035.
- Rabeeh Karimi Mahabadi, Sebastian Ruder, Mostafa Dehghani, and James Henderson. 2021b. Parameterefficient multi-task fine-tuning for transformers via shared hypernetworks. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, ACL/IJCNLP 2021, (Volume 1: Long Papers), Virtual Event, August 1-6, 2021, pages 565–576. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yuning Mao, Lambert Mathias, Rui Hou, Amjad Almahairi, Hao Ma, Jiawei Han, Scott Yih, and Madian Khabsa. 2022. UniPELT: A unified framework for parameter-efficient language model tuning. In Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 6253–6264, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shahed Masoudian, Cornelia Volaucnik, Markus Schedl, and Navid Rekabsaz. 2024. Effective controllable bias mitigation for classification and retrieval using gate adapters. In *Proceedings of the 18th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Michael McCloskey and Neal J Cohen. 1989. Catastrophic interference in connectionist networks: The sequential learning problem. In *Psychology of learning and motivation*, volume 24, pages 109–165. Elsevier.
- Benjamin Minixhofer, Jonas Pfeiffer, and Ivan Vulic. 2023. Where's the point? self-supervised multilingual punctuation-agnostic sentence segmentation. In Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1:

Long Papers), ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023, pages 7215–7235. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Nikita Nangia, Clara Vania, Rasika Bhalerao, and Samuel R. Bowman. 2020. CrowS-pairs: A challenge dataset for measuring social biases in masked language models. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1953–1967, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Guillermo Ortiz-Jiménez, Alessandro Favero, and Pascal Frossard. 2023. Task arithmetic in the tangent space: Improved editing of pre-trained models. *CoRR*, abs/2305.12827.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Köpf, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. 2019. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada, pages 8024–8035.
- Jonas Pfeiffer, Aishwarya Kamath, Andreas Rücklé, Kyunghyun Cho, and Iryna Gurevych. 2021. AdapterFusion: Non-destructive task composition for transfer learning. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Main Volume*, pages 487–503, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jonas Pfeiffer, Andreas Rücklé, Clifton Poth, Aishwarya Kamath, Ivan Vulic, Sebastian Ruder, Kyunghyun Cho, and Iryna Gurevych. 2020. Adapterhub: A framework for adapting transformers. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, EMNLP 2020 - Demos, Online, November 16-20, 2020, pages 46–54. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jonas Pfeiffer, Sebastian Ruder, Ivan Vulic, and Edoardo Maria Ponti. 2023. Modular deep learning. *CoRR*, abs/2302.11529.
- Jonathan Pilault, Amine Elhattami, and Christopher J. Pal. 2021. Conditionally adaptive multi-task learning: Improving transfer learning in NLP using fewer parameters & less data. In 9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021. OpenReview.net.
- Edoardo Maria Ponti, Alessandro Sordoni, Yoshua Bengio, and Siva Reddy. 2023. Combining parameterefficient modules for task-level generalisation. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European*

Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 687–702, Dubrovnik, Croatia. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Clifton Poth, Jonas Pfeiffer, Andreas Rücklé, and Iryna Gurevych. 2021. What to pre-train on? efficient intermediate task selection. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November, 2021, pages 10585–10605. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yada Pruksachatkun, Jason Phang, Haokun Liu, Phu Mon Htut, Xiaoyi Zhang, Richard Yuanzhe Pang, Clara Vania, Katharina Kann, and Samuel R. Bowman. 2020. Intermediate-task transfer learning with pretrained language models: When and why does it work? In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 5231–5247, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. J. Mach. Learn. Res., 21:140:1–140:67.
- Sylvestre-Alvise Rebuffi, Hakan Bilen, and Andrea Vedaldi. 2017. Learning multiple visual domains with residual adapters. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2017, December 4-9, 2017, Long Beach, CA, USA, pages 506– 516.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentence-BERT: Sentence embeddings using Siamese BERTnetworks. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 3982–3992, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sebastian Ruder. 2017. An overview of multi-task learning in deep neural networks. *CoRR*, abs/1706.05098.
- Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L. Denton, Seyed Kam-yar Seyed Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, Jonathan Ho, David J. Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. 2022. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 December 9, 2022.
- Harrisen Scells, Shengyao Zhuang, and Guido Zuccon. 2022. Reduce, reuse, recycle: Green information retrieval research. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and*

Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '22, page 2825–2837, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

- Roy Schwartz, Jesse Dodge, Noah A. Smith, and Oren Etzioni. 2020. Green ai. *Commun. ACM*, 63(12):54–63.
- Amanpreet Singh, Ronghang Hu, Vedanuj Goswami, Guillaume Couairon, Wojciech Galuba, Marcus Rohrbach, and Douwe Kiela. 2022. FLAVA: A foundational language and vision alignment model. In *CVPR*.
- Asa Cooper Stickland and Iain Murray. 2019. BERT and pals: Projected attention layers for efficient adaptation in multi-task learning. In *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2019, 9-15 June 2019, Long Beach, California, USA*, volume 97 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5986–5995. PMLR.
- Emma Strubell, Ananya Ganesh, and Andrew McCallum. 2019. Energy and policy considerations for deep learning in NLP. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3645–3650, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ian Tenney, Dipanjan Das, and Ellie Pavlick. 2019. BERT rediscovers the classical NLP pipeline. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 4593– 4601, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alex Wang, Yada Pruksachatkun, Nikita Nangia, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel R. Bowman. 2019a. Superglue: A stickier benchmark for general-purpose language understanding systems. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada, pages 3261–3275.
- Alex Wang, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel R. Bowman. 2019b. GLUE: A multi-task benchmark and analysis platform for natural language understanding. In 7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019. OpenReview.net.
- Zhen Wang, Rameswar Panda, Leonid Karlinsky, Rogério Feris, Huan Sun, and Yoon Kim. 2023. Multitask prompt tuning enables parameter-efficient transfer learning. *CoRR*, abs/2303.02861.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame,

Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Shitao Xiao, Zheng Liu, Peitian Zhang, and Niklas Muennighoff. 2023. C-pack: Packaged resources to advance general chinese embedding.
- Derrick Xin, Behrooz Ghorbani, Justin Gilmer, Ankush Garg, and Orhan Firat. 2022. Do current multi-task optimization methods in deep learning even help? In *NeurIPS*.
- Guangtao Zeng, Peiyuan Zhang, and Wei Lu. 2023. One network, many masks: Towards more parameterefficient transfer learning. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 7564– 7580, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fan Zhang, Duyu Tang, Yong Dai, Cong Zhou, Shuangzhi Wu, and Shuming Shi. 2022. Skillnetnlu: A sparsely activated model for general-purpose natural language understanding.
- Yu Zhang and Qiang Yang. 2022. A survey on multitask learning. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 34(12):5586–5609.
- Wayne Xin Zhao, Jing Liu, Ruiyang Ren, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2022. Dense text retrieval based on pretrained language models: A survey. *CoRR*, abs/2211.14876.

A Appendix

Name	Train	Validation	Test
MNLI	353,431	39,270	9,815
QQP	327,461	36,384	40,430
QNLI	94,268	10,474	5,463
SST-2	60,614	6,734	872
STS-B	5,174	574	1,500
MRPC	3,301	366	408
RTE	2,241	249	277
CoLA	7,695	855	1,043
ReCoRD	100,730	10,000	10,000
MultiRC	24,518	2,724	4,848
BoolQ	8,484	942	3,270
WiC	4,885	542	638
WSC	498	55	104
COPA	360	40	100
CB	225	25	56
Sectors	117,435	16,039	15,147
Pillars 1D	117,435	16,039	15,147
Subpillars 1D	117,435	16,039	15,147
Pillars 2D	117,435	16,039	15,147
Subpillars 2D	117,435	16,039	15,147

A.1 Complete Experiment Details

Table 5: Number of used samples for each dataset and used split. (Top) GLUE tasks. (Middle) SuperGLUE tasks. (Bottom) HumSet tasks.

Dataset Details. As has been mentioned, we are using the GLUE, SuperGLUE, and HumSet benchmarks for our experiments. Table 6 summarizes the tasks contained in each of the datasets. We use the datasets library (Lhoest et al., 2021) to load each dataset for our experiments. We set the maximum length of the input sequence to 128 tokens for all tasks in GLUE, SuperGLUE, and HumSet. However, for MultiRC and ReCoRD, we set the maximum length to 324 and 256, respectively, due to their significantly longer context lengths. Note that we treat HumSet as five separate tasks, following (Fekih et al., 2022). The GLUE and Super-GLUE benchmarks only contain the training and validation split publicly, so we follow Chen et al. (2022) and use 10% of the training samples from the training split as the validation set and the remaining 90% for training. We split the datasets with the datasets library (Lhoest et al., 2021) using seed 42 and shuffle the samples. Then, the original validation split is taken as the test set on which we report the performance of all models. For HumSet, we use the original train/validation/test splits, as all of them are publicly available, including labels. Details about the train/validation/test splits can be found in Table 5.

Computing Infrastructure. We run all experiments with RoBERTa_{BASE} and XLM-R_{BASE} on a single Nvidia GTX1080Ti GPU and Intel Xeon CPU E5-2640 v4 CPUs, and the experiments with RoBERTa_{LARGE} and XLM-R_{LARGE} on a single Nvidia RTX5000 GPU and Intel Xeon Silver 4216 CPUs.

Implementation Details. We use PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) for all experiments. For the joint multi-task learning methods, we adapt the codebase of Karimi Mahabadi et al. (2021) and Zeng et al. (2023), both of which rely on the transformers (Wolf et al., 2020) library. For all other models, we make use of the adapter-transformers library (Pfeiffer et al., 2020) library, a wrapper around the transformers library. Our code is released under the MIT License, ensuring open access to the community for further development.

Training and optimization. We train all methods with a batch size of 32. All STL and two-stage MTL methods are trained for a maximum of 30 epochs with early stopping and patience of 5. 4 We use 10 seeds for low-resource and 3 seeds for highresource tasks when using RoBERTa_{BASE}, and on 5 and 2 seeds for low- and high-resource tasks, respectively, when using RoBERTaLARGE. We define tasks with more than 10k training samples as highresource and as low-resource otherwise. All joint MTL models are trained on 3 seeds. We report the mean and standard deviations across all runs. We use the AdamW (Kingma and Ba, 2015; Loshchilov and Hutter, 2019) optimizer with default PyTorch hyperparameters (weight decay = 0.01, $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.99, \epsilon = 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$). We use seeds {0,1} for instances with two seeds, $\{0, 1, 2\}$ for instances with three seeds, seeds $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ for instances with five seeds, and {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9} for instances with ten seeds.

Single-task learning hyperparameters. We train FINETUNE with a learning rate of 2e-5, ADAPTER with a learning rate of 3e-4, COMPACTER++ with a learning rate of 3e-3, and PROPETL with a learning rate of 1e-3, a mask learning rate of 5e-3, a sparsity rate of 0.5, and a weight decay of 0.1, which we found to be the most suitable for our setup. Furthermore, we train $(IA)^3$ with a learning rate of 5e-3. For LORA, we use a learning rate of 3e-4 in

 $^{^{4}}$ The exception is ReCoRD, which we train on 3 epochs due to its size.

Name	Category	Task	Domain	Metric
MNLI	GLUE	NLI	various	accuracy
QQP	GLUE	paraphrase detection	social QA	accuracy & F1
QNLI	GLUE	NLI	Wikipedia	accuracy
SST-2	GLUE	sentiment analysis	Movie Reviews	accuracy
STS-B	GLUE	sentence similarity	various	Pearson & Spearman corr.
MRPC	GLUE	paraphrase detection	news	accuracy & F1
RTE	GLUE	NLI	News, Wikipedia	accuracy
CoLA	GLUE	acceptability	various	Matthews' corr.
ReCoRD	SuperGLUE	cloze-style QA	news (CNN, Daily Mail)	F1 & EM
MultiRC	SuperGLUE	QA	various	<u>F1</u> & EM
BoolQ	SuperGLUE	boolean QA	Wikipedia	accuracy
WiC	SuperGLUE	word sense disambiguation	lexical databases	accuracy
WSC	SuperGLUE	coreference / commonsense	fiction books	accuracy
COPA	SuperGLUE	commonsense reasoning	various	accuracy
CB	SuperGLUE	NLI	various	accuracy
Sectors	HumSet	classification	humanitarian crisis response	F1 & precision
Pillars 1D	HumSet	classification	humanitarian crisis response	F1 & precision
Subpillars 1D	HumSet	classification	humanitarian crisis response	F1 & precision
Pillars 2D	HumSet	classification	humanitarian crisis response	F1 & precision
Subpillars 2D	HumSet	classification	humanitarian crisis response	F1 & precision

Table 6: Details of all datasets. Lexical databases for WiC include WordNet, VerbNet, Wiktionary. For datasets where two metrics are officially used, we use the <u>underlined</u> metric as our main metric. (Top) GLUE tasks. (Middle) SuperGLUE tasks. (Bottom) HumSet tasks.

Model	Parameters (one task)	Parameters (all tasks)	Task (Θ) + Transfer (Ω) (source adapters + transfer layers)
AdapterFusion	17.05% (21M)	136.40% (170M)	5.74% + 136.40% = 142.14% (177M)
SCALEARN	0.06% (74K)	0.47% (590K)	5.74% + 0.47% = 6.21% (8M)
SCALEARNUNIFORM	0.00% (96)	0.00% (768)	5.74% + 0.00% = 5.74% (7M)
SCALEARN++	0.00% (6K)	0.04% (49K)	5.74% + 0.04% = 5.79% (7M)
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	0.00% (8)	0.00% (64)	5.74% + 0.00% = 5.74% (7M)

Table 7: Percentage and number of trainable parameters for Two-Stage MTL models in total.

combination with rank r = 32 and scaling factor $\alpha = 64$. Moreover, we follow Hu et al. (2022) and apply LoRA on the query and value matrices of the transformer. Each of them is trained with a linear learning rate decay.

For RoBERTa_{LARGE}, we add a linear learning rate warmup for the first 10% of training, as we notice it improves stability. For early stopping, we use the loss on the validation set, except for HumSet, where we use the F1-score, and in the few-shot setting, where we use the main metric for the respective dataset, as shown in Table 6. In the few-shot setting, we train for a maximum of 1,000 steps, apply an early stopping patience of 20, and use a maximum of 5,000 samples for validation. Note that, while the layer normalization parameters of the LM have also been updated (Mahabadi et al., 2021a,b), following Pfeiffer et al. (2021), we keep them frozen. This approach improves modularity, while still allowing LMs to efficiently adapt to new tasks. Note that the same hyperparameters as outlined here are also used for ADAPTER in our probing analyses (cf. Appendix A.2).

Joint MTL hyperparameters. In all joint multitask learning methods, we sample tasks with conventional temperature-based sampling with temperature $\tau = 10$, following Mahabadi et al. (2021b) and Zeng et al. (2023). Specifically, a task is sampled with probability $p_t^{1/\tau}$, where $p_t = \frac{N_t}{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} N_t}$, N_t the number of training samples of task t, and $\tau = 10$. Using this sampling strategy, we train each model for a total of 375,000 steps to ensure convergence and evaluate every 7,500 steps. We train each model with early stopping and patience of 10. In the end, the model checkpoint with the lowest average validation loss is loaded and evaluated on the test set. We train FINETUNE-M with a learning rate of 2e-5, ADAPTER-M, HYPERFORMER, and HYPERFORMER++ with a learning rate of 3e-4, and PROPETL-M with a learning rate of 3e-4 and a mask learning rate of 3e-3, a sparsity rate of 0.3, and no weight decay. We train each of them with a linear learning rate warmup for the first 10% of training, followed by a linear learning rate decay. For the remaining hyperparameters of PROPETL-M, HYPERFORMER, and HYPERFORMER++, we follow the respective original implementations, but always use a reduction factor of 16 for a fair comparison.

Two-stage MTL hyperparameters. We train each variant of SCALEARN * with a learning rate of 6e-3 and train ADAPTERFUSION with a learning rate of 5e-5, following Pfeiffer et al. (2021). Both SCALEARN* and ADAPTERFUSION are trained with a linear learning rate decay and no warmup. Early stopping is the same as in the single-task learning setting. We initialize the parameters of SCALEARN * with $\mathcal{N}(\frac{2}{T}, 0.001)$,⁵ and apply a dropout rate of 0.3 to increase robustness for SCALEARN and SCALEARN++. For AdapterSoup, we first calculate the cosine similarity of sentence embeddings for each task from the training set ussentence-transformers (Reimers ing the and Gurevych, 2019) library and the all-mpnet-base-v2 model. In contrast to Chronopoulou et al. (2023), who only select 100 samples for each domain, we select 10000 samples for each task, as our sequences corresponding to tasks are meaningfully shorter than the sequences corresponding to domains. Using these similarities, we select the top 5 most similar tasks to the target task, normalize the similarity scores to obtain the weights, and perform weight-space averaging of the adapter parameters, following Chronopoulou et al. (2023). Note that we also include the corpus of the target task when calculating the similarities for weight-space averaging, and hence also the target adapter during weight-space averaging, and train a new task head on the target task to allow a more fair comparison to other two-stage MTL methods. We use a learning rate of 3e-4 when training the target task head with ADAPTERSOUP.

Efficiency of two-stage MTL methods. We provide a comprehensive comparison of all trainable parameters of two-stage MTL methods if all the adapters should also be trained in Table 7.

A.2 Analysis on Scaling Output Representations

As mentioned in § 3, we conducted preliminary experiments in which we scaled the output representations of adapters - in isolation and combining two of them each. We use the GLUE (Wang et al., 2019b) and SuperGLUE (Wang et al., 2019a) benchmarks (cf. Appendix A.1) and train a Pfeiffer adapter (Pfeiffer et al., 2021) on each task using the encoder LM RoBERTa_{BASE} (Liu et al., 2019b). In our probing-like setup (Tenney et al., 2019), we freeze both the backbone and adapter weights and train a new task head on target task t each time we change the scaling factor. For full clarity, we first show the effect of scaling output representations of adapters on a subset of tasks from GLUE and SuperGLUE in Figure 3, and then show the remaining ones in Figure 5 as well as Figure 6. Complete descriptions of the datasets, hyperparameters, and training procedure are provided in § 4 and Appendix A.1.

We start by analyzing the performance change of a target task when scaling the output representations of the adapter of one given source task. We define ω_s as the scaling value in the range of [0, 1], multiplied by the output representations o_s^l of the source task s in all layers, such that $o_t^l = \omega_s o_s^l$. Figure 3 (Top) shows the probing results on four target tasks (each column), given various scaling weights applied to four source tasks (one of which is the respective target task). The results show that, while increasing the scaling weights generally improves the performance, the optimal value is not necessarily at $\omega_s = 1$. In particular, there exist instances with $0 < \omega_s < 1$ reaching better performance than $\omega_s = 1$. This suggests that partial knowledge transfer of tasks may be more beneficial. Notably, and as also reported in previous studies (Poth et al., 2021; Pruksachatkun et al., 2020), some source tasks such as MNLI show strong transfer learning abilities.

Next, we go one step further by assessing the scaled *combination* of the output vectors of two adapters. We focus on MNLI as one of the source tasks given its observed benefit in transfer learning, and set the second source adapter (denoted by *s*) to the one corresponding to the target task. We use two scaling parameters ω_{MNLI} and ω_s to scale o_{MNLI}^l and o_s^l , respectively. The resulting output vector is defined as: $o_t^l = \omega_s o_s^l + \omega_{MNLI} o_{MNLI}^l$. Figure 3 (Bottom) shows the results for various values

⁵We also test out { $\mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{T}, 0.001)$, $\mathcal{N}(\frac{3}{T}, 0.001)$, $\mathcal{N}(1, 0.001)$ }.



Figure 3: Probing results of 4 target tasks in various transfer learning conditions. (Top) Effect of scaling the output representations of adapters by weight ω_s using different source adapters. (Bottom) Effect of combining independently scaled output representations of two adapters trained on the target task and MNLI, respectively. Each point shows the mean over 5 seeds.

of ω_{MNLI} and ω_s . Combining the information encapsulated within multiple adapters through scaling can result in improved performance. Interestingly, in some cases, the best combination of ω_{MNLI} and ω_s does not add up to 1, i.e., $\omega_t + \omega_s \neq 1$. These initial experiments – while only covering a simple combination of up to two source tasks – provide insights into the benefits of scaling representations for transfer learning.

A.3 Ablation Study

Table 8 shows the effect of adding constraints on the distributional values of scaling coefficient in SCALEARN, evaluated on GLUE using RoBERTa_{BASE}. In particular, we change the original SCALEARN model by adding the constraints *mean* and *softmax* over the source task dimension, thus enforcing $\sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \omega_s^l = 1$. The results indicate that both constraints reduce average performance compared to those having no constraints, confirming our choice of directly learning the scaling coefficients without imposing any restrictions.

A.4 Scaling Coefficient Visualizations

SCALEARNUNIFORM and SCALEARNUNI-FORM++ utilize uniform scaling and learn coefficients that are directly used to scale the output representations of the source adapters. In the following, we leverage this characteristic to provide an analysis of the potential degrees of effects of source tasks on target tasks. We present the adapter weights learned using RoBERTa_{BASE} for GLUE and SuperGLUE, and using XLM-R_{BASE} for HumSet with the random seed set to 0.

The learned coefficients of each LM layer on GLUE, SuperGLUE, and HumSet of SCALEAR-NUNIFORM are shown in Figure 7, Figure 8, and Figure 9, respectively. The weights reveal that in most cases, the actual target task adapter is activated most strongly across the layers. Among the source tasks, most weights are close to 0, while some source tasks also show high values, particularly in some of the higher layers of the LM. Interestingly, some of the scaling coefficients go beyond or even below 1, which would not have been possible in the traditional paradigm where scaling coefficients combining multiple vectors are restricted to sum up to 1.

The learned weights on GLUE, SuperGLUE, and HumSet of SCALEARNUNIFORM++ are shown in Figure 10. SCALEARNUNIFORM++ also mostly activates the actual target task adapter, whereas this effect is comparatively weaker in SuperGLUE and stronger in HumSet. As is the case with SCALEAR-NUNIFORM, many scaling coefficients exceed or go below 1.

A.5 Additional Results

More results using RoBERTa_{BASE}. Table 12 shows the results when training on the combination of all GLUE and SuperGLUE tasks, resulting in a total of 15 tasks.

Results using RoBERTa_{LARGE}. We further validate our method and its variations on the encoder LM RoBERTa_{LARGE}. Table 9 shows the corre-

Model	Constraint	MNLI	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	Avg.
SCALEARN	None (original)	$86.97_{0.09}$	$90.32_{0.10}$	$92.51_{0.17}$	$93.88_{0.18}$	$90.96_{0.16}$	$87.75_{0.58}$	$82.06_{1.37}$	$58.47_{1.76}$	85.36 _{0.55}
SCALEARN	Mean	$87.03_{0.01}$	$90.36_{0.30}$	$92.34_{0.09}$	$92.60_{1.38}$	$90.62_{0.25}$	$87.11_{0.79}$	$79.21_{1.82}$	$59.87_{2.95}$	$84.89_{0.95}$
SCALEARN	Softmax	$86.85_{0.05}$	$90.60_{0.05}$	$92.74_{0.22}$	$93.75_{0.08}$	$90.66_{0.10}$	$85.83_{1.09}$	$79.28_{1.04}$	$58.43_{1.98}$	$84.77_{0.58}$

Table 8: Effect of adding various constraints to the scaling values of SCALEARN, evaluated on GLUE using RoBERTa_{BASE}. The constraints *mean* and *softmax* are applied over the task dimension, enforcing $\sum_{s=1}^{|S|} \omega_s^l = 1$. The best results are shown in **bold**.



Figure 4: Few-shot learning results ($k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$) comparing ADAPTER, ADAPTERFUSION, and SCALEARN using RoBERTa_{LARGE} on three benchmarks. We show the mean across 5 seeds. For ADAPTERFUSION and SCALEARN, we assume that there is a Pfeiffer adapter trained on the target task on k samples and a Pfeiffer adapter trained on all samples for all other tasks available.

sponding results, including all baselines, on the GLUE benchmark. Table 10 shows the results on SuperGLUE. Table 11 shows the results on Hum-Set. Finally, Table 13 shows the results when training on the combination of all GLUE and Super-GLUE tasks, resulting in a total of 15 tasks.

A.6 Complete Few-Shot Results

To obtain a more complete understanding of the few-shot capabilities of ADAPTER, ADAPTERFU-SION, and SCALEARN, we show few-shot transfer learning results for each dataset, as well as for every variant of SCALEARN (cf. § 5.3).

Few-shot results using RoBERTa_{BASE}. Table 14 shows the few-shot transfer learning performance of the methods on the GLUE benchmark using $k = \{4,16,32,100\}$ samples. Table 15 shows the performance of the methods on SuperGLUE. Table 16 shows the performance of the methods on HumSet (on XLM-R)_{BASE}. Finally, Table 17 shows the results when training on the combination of all GLUE and SuperGLUE tasks, resulting in |S| = 15 source tasks.

Few-shot results using RoBERTa_{LARGE}. Figure 4 provides an overview, comparing the few-shot learning capabilities of ADAPTER, ADAPTERFUSION, and SCALEARN when using RoBERTa_{LARGE}. Moreover, Table 18 shows the few-shot learning performance of the methods on

the GLUE benchmark using $k = \{4,16,32,100\}$ samples. Table 19 shows the performance of the methods on SuperGLUE. Table 20 shows the performance of the methods on HumSet (on XLM-R_{LARGE}). Finally, Table 21 shows the results when training on the combination of all GLUE and SuperGLUE tasks.





Figure 5: Effect of scaling the output representations o_s^l of adapters by weight ω_s using different source adapters from all other tasks from GLUE and SuperGLUE. Each point shows the mean over 5 seeds.

Figure 6: Effect of combining independently scaled output representations of two adapters trained on the target task and MNLI, respectively, on additional tasks from GLUE and SuperGLUE. Each point shows the mean over 5 seeds.



Figure 7: SCALEARNUNIFORM scaling coefficients on GLUE using RoBERTa_{BASE} on seed 0. Target tasks are shown in the last index of each heatmap.



Figure 8: SCALEARNUNIFORM scaling coefficients on SuperGLUE using RoBERTa_{BASE} on seed 0. Target tasks are shown in the last index of each heatmap.



Figure 9: SCALEARNUNIFORM scaling coefficients on HumSet using XLM- R_{BASE} on seed 0. Target tasks are shown in the last index of each heatmap.



Target Task

Figure 10: SCALEARNUNIFORM++ scaling coefficients on GLUE, SuperGLUE, and HumSet using RoBERTa_{BASE} for GLUE and SuperGLUE and XLM- R_{BASE} for HumSet on seed 0.

Model	MNLI	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	Avg.
FINETUNE	$89.57_{0.36}$	$89.75_{1.03}$	$93.91_{0.43}$	$95.30_{0.65}$	$91.89_{0.35}$	$86.27_{1.15}$	81.523.19	$60.15_{2.89}$	86.041.26
Adapter	$89.62_{0.18}$	$89.87_{0.67}$	$94.13_{0.06}$	$95.24_{0.08}$	$91.81_{0.29}$	$87.82_{2.11}$	$81.23_{2.92}$	$64.07_{1.97}$	86.721.04
PROPETL	$89.78_{0.24}$	$89.23_{0.77}$	$94.32_{0.09}$	$95.41_{0.00}$	$91.45_{0.39}$	$87.65_{0.73}$	$84.55_{2.14}$	$65.85_{2.10}$	87.280.81
COMPACTER++	$89.15_{0.67}$	$87.33_{2.39}$	$92.93_{1.42}$	$95.41_{0.00}$	$91.46_{0.35}$	$87.84_{1.23}$	$79.71_{4.58}$	$65.66_{2.08}$	86.191.59
$(IA)^{3}$	$88.69_{0.61}$	$87.79_{0.72}$	$91.72_{0.79}$	$94.95_{0.16}$	$91.39_{0.45}$	$86.37_{1.65}$	$80.79_{3.16}$	$64.70_{3.20}$	85.801.34
LORA	$89.66_{0.27}$	$89.66_{0.10}$	$94.20_{0.28}$	$95.47_{0.24}$	$91.98_{0.13}$	$87.70_{1.14}$	$80.51_{2.03}$	$63.80_{2.71}$	86.620.86
FINETUNE-M	87.95 _{0.39}	89.82 _{0.77}	$92.58_{0.32}$	$94.88_{0.94}$	$87.04_{0.68}$	$81.37_{1.00}$	$84.36_{1.19}$	$55.32_{0.78}$	84.160.76
ADAPTER-M	$89.10_{0.36}$	$89.35_{0.09}$	$93.64_{0.05}$	$94.90_{0.17}$	$88.40_{0.32}$	$83.09_{0.25}$	$86.64_{0.00}$	$56.38_{0.79}$	85.190.25
PROPETL-M	$88.98_{0.33}$	$89.03_{0.15}$	$94.14_{0.11}$	$95.15_{0.05}$	$91.56_{0.23}$	$87.83_{1.10}$	$88.45_{0.29}$	$60.99_{1.03}$	87.010.41
HyperFormer	$89.66_{0.40}$	$90.15_{0.63}$	$93.95_{0.13}$	$95.80_{0.62}$	$91.68_{0.35}$	$86.60_{1.22}$	$86.28_{0.29}$	$61.18_{4.76}$	86.911.05
HyperFormer++	$89.79_{0.21}$	$89.54_{0.43}$	$93.95_{0.54}$	$95.22_{0.11}$	$91.62_{0.29}$	$88.07_{1.86}$	$86.28_{1.06}$	$65.16_{0.61}$	87.450.64
AdapterFusion	$89.57_{0.17}$	90.88 0.06	$94.15_{0.04}$	$95.87_{0.00}$	$91.86_{0.15}$	88.97 _{0.78}	85.701.13	$66.39_{1.83}$	87.930.52
AdapterSoup	$65.83_{0.51}$	$82.37_{0.00}$	$74.06_{1.01}$	$93.98_{0.24}$	$81.67_{1.63}$	$73.37_{0.51}$	$67.27_{1.63}$	$43.70_{1.62}$	72.780.89
SCALEARN	$90.09_{0.09}$	$90.51_{0.26}$	$94.18_{0.03}$	$95.41_{0.16}$	$92.32_{0.15}$	$88.09_{0.82}$	$87.08_{0.54}$	$65.40_{2.62}$	87.910.55
SCALEARNUNIFORM	$90.11_{0.04}$	$90.05_{0.28}$	$94.23_{0.08}$	$95.41_{0.16}$	$92.11_{0.06}$	88.631.72	84.403.93	$66.98_{0.58}$	87.740.86
SCALEARN++	90.31 _{0.10}	$90.59_{0.03}$	$94.05_{0.03}$	95.93 _{0.24}	$92.48_{0.15}$	88.481.26	$86.28_{1.05}$	$67.13_{0.59}$	88.16 _{0.43}
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	$90.08_{0.01}$	$90.49_{0.02}$	$94.12_{0.16}$	$95.18_{0.16}$	$92.12_{0.09}$	<u>90.05</u> 0.54	$84.98_{1.32}$	$64.97_{0.85}$	87.750.39

Table 9: Evaluation results on GLUE using RoBERTa_{LARGE}. (Top) STL models, only learning a single task at a time. (Middle) Joint MTL methods, learning all tasks simultaneously. (Bottom) Two-stage MTL methods, composing the knowledge of several source adapters. The overall best results are <u>underlined</u>, and the best results among the two-stage MTL models are shown in **bold**.

Model	ReCoRD	MultiRC	BoolQ	WiC	WSC	COPA	СВ	RTE	Avg.
FINETUNE	$81.60_{1.25}$	$79.03_{0.02}$	$81.65_{0.30}$	$69.72_{2.16}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$52.00_{8.28}$	$90.36_{2.99}$	$81.52_{3.19}$	$74.92_{2.27}$
Adapter	$88.52_{0.09}$	$80.73_{0.69}$	$82.36_{0.72}$	$69.16_{1.31}$	$63.25_{0.64}$	$71.90_{13.63}$	$92.68_{1.78}$	$81.23_{2.92}$	$78.73_{2.72}$
PROPETL	$87.86_{2.59}$	$81.19_{0.99}$	$81.61_{0.86}$	$69.62_{2.16}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$69.00_{18.96}$	$94.11_{4.04}$	$84.55_{2.14}$	$78.92_{3.97}$
COMPACTER++	$88.34_{0.97}$	$79.18_{0.29}$	$79.53_{6.13}$	$69.26_{1.51}$	$62.26_{1.43}$	$79.00_{9.74}$	$87.50_{7.48}$	$79.71_{4.58}$	$78.10_{4.02}$
$(IA)^{3}$	$87.47_{0.21}$	$77.91_{0.43}$	$80.97_{0.75}$	$68.65_{2.55}$	$60.58_{0.00}$	$77.00_{0.00}$	$90.00_{3.91}$	$80.79_{3.16}$	$77.93_{1.35}$
LoRA	$88.30_{0.36}$	$79.10_{0.29}$	$78.02_{8.88}$	$68.46_{2.07}$	$62.12_{1.46}$	$76.60_{19.22}$	$92.86_{1.79}$	$80.51_{2.03}$	$73.58_{11.06}$
FINETUNE-M	$83.57_{0.81}$	$78.08_{0.55}$	$81.70_{0.65}$	$53.03_{0.37}$	$49.36_{9.50}$	$86.67_{2.36}$	$82.14_{2.92}$	$83.87_{2.01}$	$74.80_{2.39}$
ADAPTER-M	$86.76_{0.32}$	$75.15_{0.24}$	$77.18_{2.22}$	$51.57_{1.12}$	$53.21_{9.75}$	$67.67_{1.25}$	$80.95_{1.68}$	$77.38_{1.36}$	$71.23_{2.24}$
PROPETL-M	$84.83_{0.40}$	$79.60_{0.37}$	$82.02_{1.11}$	$55.33_{0.46}$	$59.62_{9.05}$	$86.67_{4.03}$	$88.10_{2.23}$	$85.56_{0.29}$	$77.71_{2.24}$
HyperFormer	$84.38_{1.00}$	$79.68_{0.97}$	$81.87_{0.97}$	$53.81_{2.48}$	$\underline{63.46}_{8.64}$	$82.33_{6.94}$	$83.93_{2.53}$	$86.88_{0.90}$	$77.04_{3.05}$
HyperFormer++	$13.66_{0.00}$	$40.21_{40.21}$	$71.50_{9.33}$	$49.14_{0.86}$	$62.98_{0.48}$	$54.00_{3.00}$	$67.86_{17.86}$	$66.97_{19.68}$	$53.29_{11.43}$
AdapterFusion	89.21 _{0.17}	$80.52_{0.24}$	$82.21_{0.30}$	$69.09_{1.68}$	$\underline{63.46}_{0.68}$	$81.20_{16.07}$	$95.71_{0.98}$	$86.06_{1.07}$	$80.93_{2.65}$
AdapterSoup	$70.33_{0.28}$	$38.42_{12.42}$	$73.20_{0.16}$	$62.23_{1.17}$	$\underline{63.46}_{0.00}$	$54.50_{5.74}$	$68.75_{1.03}$	$61.37_{3.97}$	$61.53_{3.06}$
Scalearn	$87.85_{0.01}$	$78.40_{0.70}$	$80.29_{2.52}$	$68.56_{1.68}$	$62.98_{0.68}$	$85.40_{3.78}$	$92.86_{1.79}$	$84.91_{0.59}$	$80.16_{1.47}$
ScalearnUniform	$88.85_{0.22}$	$80.42_{0.06}$	$81.85_{0.21}$	$69.91_{1.15}$	$61.54_{0.00}$	$82.00_{3.08}$	$90.00_{1.60}$	$84.04_{1.66}$	$79.83_{1.00}$
SCALEARN++	$88.28_{0.23}$	$80.76_{0.58}$	$\underline{83.08}_{0.31}$	$69.59_{1.89}$	$62.98_{0.68}$	87.80 _{1.10}	$91.07_{1.79}$	$85.70_{0.32}$	$\underline{81.16}_{0.86}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	$88.85_{0.22}$	$80.70_{0.04}$	$82.13_{0.21}$	$\underline{\textbf{70.19}}_{0.26}$	$62.98_{0.68}$	$83.60_{2.88}$	$91.07_{2.82}$	$84.84_{1.02}$	$80.54_{1.02}$

Table 10: Evaluation results on SuperGLUE using RoBERTa_{LARGE}.

Model	Sectors	Pillars 1D	Subpillars 1D	Pillars 2D	Subpillars 2D	Avg.
FINETUNE	$72.99_{0.17}$	$51.38_{0.39}$	$44.84_{0.89}$	$61.90_{0.20}$	$43.49_{0.86}$	$54.92_{0.50}$
Adapter	$72.29_{0.59}$	$49.31_{1.27}$	$45.25_{0.03}$	$62.58_{0.67}$	$44.36_{0.66}$	$54.76_{0.65}$
PROPETL	$73.20_{0.32}$	$51.58_{0.40}$	$45.10_{0.92}$	$61.52_{2.29}$	$41.98_{0.70}$	$54.68_{0.92}$
COMPACTER++	$61.77_{12.63}$	$8.17_{5.92}$	$6.37_{11.00}$	$20.39_{24.91}$	$15.36_{2.71}$	$22.41_{11.43}$
$(IA)^{3}$	$64.72_{1.83}$	$38.26_{7.27}$	$26.77_{2.79}$	$55.57_{1.48}$	$31.11_{2.53}$	$43.29_{3.18}$
LORA	$72.22_{0.82}$	$52.15_{0.25}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$61.34_{1.35}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$37.14_{0.48}$
FINETUNE-M	$59.04_{7.86}$	$22.95_{12.78}$	$10.75_{5.31}$	$29.76_{21.25}$	$9.65_{1.25}$	$26.43_{9.69}$
ADAPTER-M	$65.66_{7.13}$	$37.65_{11.25}$	$28.51_{7.80}$	$43.40_{16.06}$	$27.44_{1.68}$	$40.53_{8.78}$
PROPETL-M	$70.56_{1.06}$	$41.58_{6.27}$	$35.91_{3.46}$	$42.20_{14.55}$	$29.67_{6.92}$	$43.98_{6.45}$
HyperFormer	$47.74_{20.72}$	$29.06_{11.76}$	$22.16_{8.44}$	$35.92_{17.37}$	$22.58_{10.58}$	$31.49_{13.77}$
HyperFormer++	$0.00_{0.00}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$0.00_{0.00}$
AdapterFusion	$72.53_{0.45}$	$51.33_{0.23}$	$43.75_{0.52}$	$62.31_{0.25}$	$42.78_{2.11}$	$54.54_{0.71}$
AdapterSoup	$52.54_{1.61}$	$24.07_{2.18}$	$20.62_{0.28}$	$31.16_{1.40}$	$12.84_{0.49}$	$28.25_{1.19}$
SCALEARN	$\underline{73.32}_{0.08}$	$\underline{53.94}_{0.13}$	$44.14_{0.75}$	$\underline{63.89}_{0.16}$	$44.75_{0.47}$	$56.01_{0.32}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	$72.56_{0.20}$	$50.59_{0.10}$	$44.62_{0.00}$	$62.66_{0.00}$	$45.16_{0.00}$	$55.12_{0.06}$
SCALEARN++	$73.18_{0.04}$	$51.41_{0.36}$	$44.10_{0.09}$	$63.37_{0.02}$	$\underline{\textbf{45.43}}_{0.24}$	$55.50_{0.15}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	$73.02_{0.20}$	$50.84_{0.30}$	$44.88_{0.39}$	$62.87_{0.01}$	$44.45_{0.02}$	$55.21_{0.18}$

Table 11: Evaluation results on HumSet using XLM-R_{LARGE}.

Model	IJNM	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	ReCoRD	MultiRC	BoolQ	WiC	WSC	COPA	CB	Avg.
FINETUNE Adapter	$86.61_{0.51}$ $86.50_{0.33}$	$\frac{90.32_{0.15}}{90.18_{0.11}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 91.78_{0.28} \\ 92.25_{0.19} \end{array}$	$93.33_{0.48}$ $93.65_{0.71}$	$90.53_{0.22}$ $90.23_{0.41}$	$\frac{86.94_{1.52}}{86.64_{1.07}}$	$\frac{73.47_{2.05}}{72.89_{2.54}}$	$\frac{58.46_{4.03}}{58.28_{2.50}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71.61_{0.85} \\ 79.02_{0.62} \end{array}$	$\frac{71.64_{1.15}}{72.84_{0.48}}$	$\frac{76.80_{1.34}}{76.71_{1.38}}$	$66.38_{2.08}$ $65.58_{1.56}$	$\frac{63.46}{63.46}_{0.00}$	$\frac{68.60_{6.74}}{70.20_{4.13}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 81.96_{4.33} \\ 84.82_{3.18} \end{array}$	$\frac{78.12_{1.72}}{78.88_{1.28}}$
PROPETL	$86.19_{0.25}$	$88.88_{0.48}$	$92.05_{0.80}$	$93.81_{0.72}$	$90.03_{0.35}$	$85.93_{1.22}$	$74.19_{2.03}$	$59.29_{2.07}$	$80.29_{0.24}$	$73.07_{0.49}$	$76.58_{0.78}$	$66.60_{1.65}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$70.60_{3.44}$	$84.46_{3.86}$	$79.05_{1.21}$
COMPACTER++	$85.62_{0.42}$	$88.84_{0.70}$	$91.79_{0.39}$	$93.58_{0.34}$	$89.67_{0.54}$	$87.21_{0.61}$	$72.02_{2.21}$	$58.49_{2.58}$	$77.69_{2.67}$	$70.44_{0.57}$	$75.88_{0.96}$	$66.46_{1.63}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$68.30_{4.00}$	$87.68_{3.62}$	$78.48_{1.42}$
$(IA)^{3}$ LORA	$84.24_{1.01}$ $86.52_{0.10}$	$88.37_{0.20}$ $89.86_{0.33}$	$90.57_{0.38}$ $92.25_{0.13}$	$93.35_{0.30}$ $94.19_{0.43}$	$89.93_{0.30}$ $90.66_{0.31}$	$87.11_{1.14}$ $87.03_{0.62}$	$72.56_{2.23}$ $70.40_{8.33}$	$56.57_{5.39}$ $57.55_{2.18}$	$79.60_{0.46}$	$70.32_{0.49}$ $71.96_{0.36}$	$76.58_{0.74}$	$67.07_{1.68}$ $65.14_{1.17}$	$63.35_{0.32}$ $63.46_{0.00}$	$69.30_{3.37}$ $68.20_{4.05}$	$87.32_{4.57}$ $86.43_{3.17}$	78.161.49 $78.66_{1.49}$
FINETUNE-M	$85.82_{0.22}$	$90.18_{0.26}$	$91.34_{0.28}$	$93.46_{0.83}$	$86.52_{0.86}$	$83.66_{1.01}$	$80.99_{1.62}$	$49.23_{4.09}$	$64.01_{0.91}$	$71.94_{0.21}$	$76.86_{0.40}$	$52.30_{1.24}$	$57.05_{7.86}$	$73.00_{1.63}$	$82.14_{2.53}$	$75.90_{1.60}$
ADAPTER-M	$86.14_{0.21}$	$89.70_{0.17}$	$91.38_{0.22}$	$93.27_{0.48}$	$88.67_{0.31}$	$85.95_{0.76}$	$80.87_{1.53}$	$48.88_{1.38}$	$69.79_{0.34}$	$72.10_{0.29}$	$75.65_{0.20}$	$53.92_{0.78}$	$62.18_{1.20}$	$73.33_{1.70}$	$82.14_{2.53}$	$76.93_{0.81}$
PROPETL-M	$85.40_{0.55}$	$88.11_{0.35}$	$91.56_{0.27}$	$93.50_{0.38}$	$90.31_{0.48}$	$86.85_{0.58}$	$79.78_{2.84}$	$49.53_{3.63}$	$70.33_{0.87}$	$72.35_{0.63}$	$75.78_{0.85}$	$54.18_{2.26}$	$52.56_{11.44}$	$77.33_{0.47}$	$87.50_{1.46}$	$77.00_{1.80}$
HYPERFORMER HyperFormer++	$86.05_{0.45}$ $86.32_{0.17}$	$88.82_{0.46}$ $89.04_{0.20}$	$91.87_{0.25}$ $91.71_{0.15}$	$93.81_{0.34}$ $93.31_{0.59}$	$89.99_{0.97}$ $90.80_{0.48}$	$86.85_{0.81}$ <u>88.81</u> 1.21	$81.83_{2.09}$ $\underline{83.15}_{0.95}$	$56.11_{1.71}$ $55.40_{3.13}$	$71.18_{0.73}$ $71.83_{0.40}$	$71.59_{1.97}$ $71.18_{0.69}$	$76.30_{1.63}$ $76.90_{0.25}$	$51.83_{1.53}$ $55.02_{1.26}$	$58.97_{6.35}$ $60.90_{2.27}$	$64.67_{10.14}$ $76.00_{0.82}$	$85.71_{1.46}$ $85.71_{1.46}$	$77.04_{2.06}$ $78.41_{0.93}$
ADAPTERFUSION	$86.52_{0.20}$	$90.18_{0.11}$	$92.35_{0.16}$	$93.62_{0.69}$	$90.46_{0.31}$	$87.89_{1.00}$	$78.84_{1.63}$	$58.67_{1.42}$	$78.66_{0.94}$	$72.71_{0.71}$	$76.63_{0.71}$	$66.36_{1.34}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$74.30_{3.02}$	$83.57_{5.70}$	$79.62_{1.20}$
ADAPTERSOUP	$58.04_{0.62}$	$83.02_{0.03}$	$77.06_{0.26}$	$91.06_{0.16}$	$65.93_{0.27}$	$71.57_{0.49}$	$59.03_{0.93}$	$36.83_{2.71}$	$62.02_{0.12}$	$35.06_{1.66}$	$68.54_{0.32}$	$58.86_{0.80}$	$62.50_{1.61}$	$52.50_{4.18}$	$71.13_{0.73}$	$63.54_{0.99}$
SCALEARN	$86.93_{0.03}$	$89.78_{0.09}$	$92.78_{0.03}$	$94.65_{0.35}$	$90.97_{0.09}$	$88.21_{0.72}$	$81.59_{1.69}$	$59.32_{1.81}$	$78.50_{0.48}$	$72.67_{0.42}$	$78.59_{0.28}$	$66.76_{1.70}$	$63.46_{0.51}$	$80.60_{3.27}$	$96.07_{1.41}$	$\underline{81.39}_{0.86}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	$87.02_{0.07}$	$90.26_{0.10}$	$92.01_{0.92}$	$94.38_{0.30}$	$90.16_{0.11}$	$87.97_{0.99}$	$80.87_{1.09}$	$58.97_{0.83}$	$80.05_{0.18}$	$71.90_{0.29}$	$76.42_{0.65}$	$68.07_{0.77}$	$63.22_{0.85}$	$73.30_{2.16}$	$93.93_{1.73}$	$80.57_{0.74}$
Scalearn++	$86.94_{0.01}$	$89.56_{1.27}$	$\frac{92.80}{22.20}$	$94.04_{0.30}$	$90.75_{0.16}$	$88.21_{1.05}$	$80.40_{0.90}$	$\overline{59.65}_{1.06}$	$79.98_{0.21}$	$71.16_{0.37}$	$77.34_{0.37}$	$67.43_{1.58}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$79.90_{1.66}$	$94.29_{2.35}$	$81.06_{0.76}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	$86.82_{0.17}$	$90.16_{0.34}$	$92.35_{0.31}$	$94.61_{0.11}$	$90.32_{0.14}$	$87.97_{0.86}$	$80.79_{0.96}$	$59.33_{0.90}$	$79.80_{0.56}$	$72.76_{0.51}$	$76.22_{0.69}$	$67.95_{1.04}$	$61.78_{1.98}$	$74.20_{1.75}$	$93.21_{0.75}$	$80.55_{0.74}$
	-	-	ر ب		- -				Ę		-	- -		-		
1able 12: Evaluation results on the combination of all GLUE and SuperGLUE tasks using KoBEK1a _{BASE} . (10p) S1L models, only learning a single task at a time. (Middle) Joint	results on	the comb	ination of		and Supe	erGLUE t	asks using	KOBEKI	a _{BASE} . (1	op) SIL n	nodels, or	uly learnin	g a single	task at a i	ume. (Mi	ddle) Joint
MIL methods, learning all tasks simultaneously. (Bottom) 1wo-stage MIL methods, composing the knowledge of several source adapters. The overall best results are <u>underlined</u>	ung all task	s simultai	neously. (J	Sottom) I	wo-stage	MTL met	hods, com	posing the	e knowlec	lge of seve	ral source	e adapters.	The over	all best re	sults are <u>i</u>	<u>inderlined</u> ,
and the best results among the two-stage MTL models are shown	mong the	two-stage	MTL mo	dels are si	hown in bold	old.										
Model	MNLI	QQP	QNLJ	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	ReCoRD	MultiRC	BoolQ	WiC	WSC	COPA	CB	Avg.
FINETUNE	$89.57_{0.36}$	$89.75_{1.03}$	$93.91_{0.43}$	$95.30_{0.65}$	$91.89_{0.35}$	$86.27_{1.15}$	$81.52_{3.19}$	$60.15_{2.89}$	81.601.25	$79.03_{0.02}$	$81.65_{0.30}$	$69.72_{2.16}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$52.00_{8.28}$	$90.36_{2.99}$	$80.41_{1.67}$
ADAPTER	$89.62_{0.18}$	$89.87_{0.67}$	$94.13_{0.06}$	$95.24_{0.08}$	$91.81_{0.29}$	$87.82_{2.11}$	$81.23_{2.92}$	$64.07_{1.97}$	$88.52_{0.09}$	$80.73_{0.69}$	$82.36_{0.72}$	$69.16_{1.31}$	$63.25_{0.64}$	$71.90_{13.63}$	$92.68_{1.78}$	$82.83_{1.81}$
PropetL	$89.78_{0.24}$	$89.23_{0.77}$	$94.32_{0.09}$	$95.41_{0.00}$	$91.45_{0.39}$	$87.65_{0.73}$	$84.55_{2.14}$	$65.85_{2.10}$	$87.86_{2.59}$	$81.19_{0.99}$	$81.61_{0.86}$	$69.62_{2.16}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$69.00_{18.96}$	$94.11_{4.04}$	$83.01_{2.40}$
COMPACTER++	$89.15_{0.67}$	$87.33_{2.39}$	$92.93_{1.42}$	$95.41_{0.00}$	$91.46_{0.35}$	$87.84_{1.23}$	$79.71_{4.58}$	$65.66_{2.08}$	$88.34_{0.97}$	$79.18_{0.29}$	$79.53_{6.13}$	$69.26_{1.51}$	$62.26_{1.43}$	$79.00_{9.74}$	$87.50_{7.48}$	$82.30_{2.69}$
$(IA)^3$	$88.69_{0.61}$	$87.79_{0.72}$	$91.72_{0.79}$	$94.95_{0.16}$	$91.39_{0.45}$	$86.37_{1.65}$	$80.79_{3.16}$	$64.70_{3.20}$	$87.47_{0.21}$	$77.91_{0.43}$	$80.97_{0.75}$	$68.65_{2.55}$	$60.58_{0.00}$	$74.00_{11.79}$	$90.00_{3.91}$	81.732.01
LUKA	89.00 0.27	89.00 0.10	94.ZU0.28	90.410.24	91.900.13	ð1.1U1.14	õU.012.03	03.3U2.71	00.000 00.00	19.1U0.29	10.028.88	00.402.07	02.121.46	/ 0.0U19.22	92.501.79	õ2.302.71

Table 13: Evaluation results on the combination of all GLUE and SuperGLUE tasks using RoBERTaLARGE.

 $\begin{array}{c} 84.40_{1.01}\\ 65.42_{1.09}\\ \underline{84.80}_{1.18}\\ 84.63_{0.80}\\ 84.63_{0.93}\end{array}$

 $90.36_{2.99}$ $93.93_{2.04}$

 $88.60_{3.36}$

 $71.88_{1.71}$

 $54.50_{3.87}$ **91.00** $_{1.22}$

 $\frac{62.54_{1.06}}{70.16_{2.08}}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 72.48_{0.22} \\ 82.43_{0.79} \end{array}$

 $84.52_{1.68}$

 $89.00_{4.90}$

 $61.86_{2.27}$ $62.69_{1.72}$

 $51.93_{2.20}$

 $\frac{78.65_{1.39}}{82.16_{0.81}}$ $80.53_{0.63}$

 $\frac{77.81_{0.52}}{77.86_{0.19}}$ $\frac{79.78_{0.37}}{79.78_{0.37}}$

 $81.81_{1.12}$ $83.85_{0.17}$ $85.16_{0.14}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 57.36_{1.22} \\ 57.86_{3.83} \\ 62.34_{1.85} \end{array}$

 $86.40_{0.74}$

 $86.11_{0.42}$ $86.76_{1.44}$ $69.50_{1.12}$

 $82.40_{0.54}$

 $79.25_{0.80}$

 $89.26_{0.00}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 66.52_{1.31} \\ 42.22_{3.27} \\ 67.20_{1.33} \end{array}$

 $\frac{63.54_{3.90}}{85.78_{1.16}}$ $85.85_{2.39}$ $86.21_{1.00}$

 $71.51_{0.23}$

 $89.12_{0.22}$

 $92.08_{0.14}$

 $95.64_{0.00}$

 $94.14_{0.05}$

 $90.83_{0.27}$

ADAPTERFUSION HYPERFORMER

ADAPTERSOUP

 $91.80_{0.31}$

 $95.57_{0.30}$

 $95.03_{0.19}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 93.90_{0.14} \\ 93.69_{0.26} \\ 94.37_{0.11} \end{array}$

 $88.25_{0.05}$ $90.05_{0.22}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 89.30_{0.31} \\ 88.93_{0.30} \\ 89.75_{0.34} \end{array}$ $88.23_{0.10}$

 $90.04_{0.30}$ $89.81_{0.08}$

 $88.28_{1.37}$ 88.331.12

 $\frac{71.16_{0.86}}{92.29_{0.13}}$

 $94.04_{0.00}$ $95.70_{0.08}$ $95.36_{0.57}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 73.71_{0.00} \\ 93.98_{0.31} \\ 93.84_{0.69} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 84.01_{0.00} \\ 89.70_{0.58} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 89.79_{0.12} \\ 57.29_{0.00} \\ 89.67_{0.13} \\ 90.09_{0.04} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} 90.13 \\ 0.27 \end{array}$

SCALEARN SCALEARNUNIFORM

 $90.54_{0.08}$

 $90.33_{3.09}$

 $45.83_{12.47}$ $59.29_{2.52}$

 $83.33_{0.94}$

 $55.69_{2.07}$ $51.20_{0.96}$ $52.40_{0.70}$

 $84.48_{0.57}$

 $94.29_{0.80}$ **95.36**_{0.98}

 $89.20_{1.30}$

 $60.10_{0.68}$

 $\frac{69.40_{1.63}}{70.09_{0.36}}$

 $82.23_{0.28}$

 $95.00_{2.33}$

 $90.40_{1.52}$ $89.80_{1.10}$

 $\frac{66.73}{59.62_{0.00}}$ $62.98_{0.68}$

 $70.28_{2.47}$

 $82.04_{0.16}$ $82.51_{1.95}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 31.32_{0.51}\\ 80.08_{0.69}\\ \textbf{80.50}_{0.07}\\ 80.14_{0.29}\\ 80.46_{0.23}\\ \end{array}$

 $88.24_{0.00}$ $87.53_{0.13}$ $\frac{68.09_{0.00}}{85.43_{0.44}}$

 $67.23_{1.28}$

 $66.85_{1.05}$ $65.83_{1.49}$

 $88.28_{0.56}$

84.771.34

87.70_{0.96} **88.97**_{0.88}

 $92.35_{0.10}$

 $92.12_{0.12}$

 $94.61_{2.11}$ $95.30_{0.00}$

 $94.49_{0.23}$

 $90.22_{0.93}$ $90.45_{0.10}$

 $93.91_{0.09}$

 $90.10_{0.14}$

SCALEARNUNIFORM++

SCALEARN++

 $92.13_{0.05}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 80.44_{1.05} \\ 80.13_{1.74} \\ 82.25_{1.18} \end{array}$ $77.48_{1.73}$

 $80.95_{2.23}$ $87.50_{1.46}$

 $81.55_{3.67}$

 $77.67_{3.40}$

 $58.01_{7.71}$

 $78.99_{0.73}$

 $75.07_{0.39}$

 $74.48_{0.36}$

 $51.48_{3.50}$

 $84.12_{0.51}$

 $79.25_{3.06}$

 $85.41_{0.75}$

 $93.20_{0.76}$ $95.18_{0.34}$

 $92.48_{0.28}$

FINETUNE-M PROPETL-M ADAPTER-M

 $86.52_{1.19}$ 88.690.74 $85.85_{2.48}$

 $85.46_{1.86}$

 $89.41_{0.31}$ $90.44_{0.60}$

Model	Samples	MNLI	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	Avg.
Adapter	4	$33.65_{1.39}$	$63.27_{0.11}$	$50.53_{0.04}$	$50.92_{0.00}$	$32.12_{9.28}$	$68.38_{0.00}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$2.93_{3.88}$	$44.31_{1.84}$
Adapter	16	$34.78_{0.58}$	$63.18_{0.00}$	$50.46_{0.20}$	$57.18_{1.23}$	$55.53_{10.12}$	$68.38_{0.00}$	$53.72_{1.29}$	$0.25_{0.56}$	47.941.75
Adapter	32	$33.56_{0.66}$	$63.18_{0.00}$	$51.86_{0.33}$	$70.46_{2.25}$	$73.78_{1.30}$	$68.38_{0.00}$	$54.58_{1.81}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$51.98_{0.80}$
Adapter	100	$40.71_{2.67}$	$71.74_{0.50}$	$58.77_{4.13}$	$85.00_{2.25}$	$82.51_{1.21}$	$73.09_{1.27}$	$56.17_{1.95}$	$21.69_{3.94}$	$61.21_{2.24}$
Adapter	All	$86.50_{0.33}$	$90.18_{0.11}$	$92.25_{0.19}$	$93.65_{0.71}$	$90.23_{0.41}$	$86.64_{1.07}$	$72.89_{2.54}$	$58.28_{2.50}$	$83.83_{0.98}$
AdapterFusion	4	$33.94_{2.09}$	$72.01_{5.39}$	$52.36_{2.75}$	$50.92_{0.00}$	$77.17_{2.44}$	$72.99_{4.28}$	$52.78_{0.16}$	$2.79_{3.54}$	$51.87_{2.58}$
AdapterFusion	16	$49.12_{2.76}$	$76.26_{1.20}$	$61.95_{11.04}$	$59.29_{6.12}$	$83.51_{1.79}$	$78.28_{0.37}$	$60.65_{2.27}$	$0.92_{1.82}$	$58.75_{3.42}$
ADAPTERFUSION	32	$43.89_{3.17}$	$76.45_{0.83}$	$78.35_{0.75}$	$68.26_{5.11}$	$70.72_{30.12}$	$78.87_{1.63}$	$60.87_{4.48}$	$1.91_{4.27}$	$59.91_{6.30}$
ADAPTERFUSION	100	$47.22_{5.48}$	$77.23_{1.74}$	$77.80_{5.43}$	$85.28_{2.42}$	$85.81_{1.64}$	$78.43_{1.34}$	$70.04_{1.17}$	$13.95_{7.80}$	$66.97_{3.38}$
AdapterFusion	All	$86.82_{0.04}$	$90.23_{0.01}$	$92.48_{0.15}$	$93.23_{0.95}$	$90.37_{0.20}$	$88.41_{0.49}$	$79.49_{2.21}$	$59.04_{1.69}$	$85.01_{0.72}$
SCALEARN	4	$35.59_{2.13}$	$76.24_{0.38}$	$62.30_{4.58}$	$52.68_{0.66}$	$85.34_{0.98}$	$75.00_{1.59}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$4.25_{0.83}$	$55.51_{1.39}$
SCALEARN	16	$51.21_{0.84}$	$76.85_{0.19}$	$65.03_{1.37}$	$64.01_{0.90}$	$86.18_{0.38}$	$79.07_{0.68}$	$62.74_{1.74}$	$7.51_{2.36}$	$61.58_{1.06}$
SCALEARN	32	$51.91_{0.36}$	$76.19_{0.18}$	$73.63_{0.46}$	$69.56_{3.25}$	$86.34_{0.44}$	$75.98_{0.39}$	$65.42_{1.50}$	$8.56_{1.70}$	$63.45_{1.03}$
SCALEARN	100	$57.88_{0.34}$	$77.25_{0.39}$	$73.97_{0.73}$	$83.97_{1.76}$	$87.81_{0.28}$	$78.38_{1.36}$	$69.17_{1.70}$	$13.31_{1.71}$	$67.72_{1.03}$
SCALEARN	All	$86.97_{0.09}$	$90.32_{0.10}$	$92.51_{0.17}$	$93.88_{0.18}$	$90.96_{0.16}$	$87.75_{0.58}$	$82.06_{1.37}$	$58.47_{1.76}$	$85.36_{0.55}$
SCALEARN++	4	$34.05_{1.78}$	$75.50_{0.56}$	$59.88_{4.74}$	$52.25_{0.70}$	$85.20_{0.80}$	$72.99_{1.46}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$3.87_{2.20}$	$54.55_{1.53}$
SCALEARN++	16	$50.52_{1.42}$	$76.30_{0.60}$	$60.40_{3.04}$	$62.20_{1.99}$	$85.96_{0.30}$	$78.04_{1.58}$	$61.59_{0.98}$	$9.00_{2.05}$	$60.50_{1.49}$
SCALEARN++	32	$52.30_{1.35}$	$75.71_{0.65}$	$72.01_{2.62}$	$71.90_{2.37}$	$86.04_{0.37}$	$76.18_{1.07}$	$63.68_{0.94}$	$7.54_{3.03}$	$63.17_{1.55}$
SCALEARN++	100	$56.16_{0.83}$	$76.60_{0.76}$	$61.66_{5.15}$	$83.07_{1.92}$	$87.24_{0.20}$	$77.89_{1.19}$	$65.05_{2.95}$	$11.50_{1.47}$	$64.90_{1.81}$
SCALEARN++	All	$87.06_{0.03}$	$90.04_{0.12}$	$92.03_{1.10}$	$94.15_{0.30}$	$90.62_{0.13}$	$88.21_{0.63}$	$80.87_{1.05}$	$59.82_{0.78}$	$85.35_{0.52}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	4	$34.17_{1.67}$	$76.62_{0.62}$	$55.25_{2.01}$	$52.48_{1.37}$	84.470.97	$75.44_{1.75}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$5.09_{1.50}$	$54.53_{1.24}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	16	$49.55_{1.21}$	$76.60_{0.32}$	$66.69_{1.07}$	$65.05_{2.42}$	$85.83_{0.40}$	$77.65_{1.09}$	$61.81_{1.95}$	$10.96_{2.45}$	$61.77_{1.36}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	32	$51.50_{1.92}$	$76.28_{0.56}$	$72.84_{0.54}$	$71.49_{2.38}$	$86.01_{0.43}$	$75.88_{1.03}$	$63.75_{1.16}$	$11.15_{2.18}$	$63.61_{1.28}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	100	$55.06_{1.23}$	$76.94_{0.38}$	$70.42_{2.28}$	$81.63_{0.90}$	$86.22_{0.45}$	$75.93_{1.54}$	$64.62_{1.02}$	$15.54_{2.95}$	$65.79_{1.35}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	All	$86.93_{0.10}$	$90.37_{0.11}$	$92.43_{0.36}$	$93.58_{0.20}$	$90.08_{0.07}$	$87.57_{0.86}$	$80.07_{1.18}$	$59.04_{1.05}$	$85.01_{0.49}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	4	$34.86_{2.18}$	$76.08_{0.38}$	$53.36_{3.84}$	$51.79_{1.09}$	$83.12_{1.63}$	$74.80_{1.05}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$4.34_{2.15}$	$53.88_{1.54}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	16	$50.09_{0.81}$	$76.13_{0.25}$	$61.35_{3.09}$	$62.59_{1.52}$	$85.55_{0.40}$	$76.42_{0.72}$	$62.60_{0.70}$	$11.94_{3.04}$	$60.83_{1.32}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	32	$50.96_{1.64}$	$76.15_{0.47}$	$70.24_{0.96}$	$71.97_{2.06}$	$85.67_{0.41}$	$74.41_{0.66}$	$62.24_{0.66}$	$12.85_{2.49}$	$63.06_{1.17}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	100	$48.96_{1.99}$	$76.77_{0.34}$	$60.64_{3.67}$	$81.90_{0.67}$	$85.66_{0.63}$	$75.69_{1.17}$	$63.54_{1.53}$	$15.90_{2.99}$	$63.63_{1.62}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	All	$86.98_{0.17}$	$90.38_{0.01}$	$92.53_{0.28}$	$94.11_{0.07}$	$90.18_{0.19}$	$87.43_{0.63}$	$80.04_{0.99}$	$59.45_{0.67}$	$85.14_{0.38}$

Table 14: Complete few-shot transfer learning results on GLUE with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using RoBERTa_{BASE}.

Model	Samples	ReCoRD	Multi	BoolQ	WiC	WSC	COPA	СВ	RTE	Avg.
Adapter	4	$9.65_{2.79}$	$24.92_{6.71}$	$62.05_{0.27}$	$49.44_{1.26}$	$41.92_{12.04}$	$50.20_{3.63}$	$62.14_{8.12}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$44.13_{4.35}$
Adapter	16	$13.82_{6.06}$	$37.48_{8.48}$	$62.17_{0.00}$	$50.53_{1.18}$	$42.50_{5.46}$	$53.00_{5.48}$	$69.29_{2.93}$	$53.72_{1.29}$	47.813.86
Adapter	32	$17.64_{12.76}$	$38.55_{3.74}$	$62.16_{0.03}$	$52.26_{1.78}$	$36.54_{0.00}$	$51.20_{2.39}$	$70.71_{1.60}$	$54.58_{1.81}$	$47.95_{3.01}$
Adapter	100	$37.69_{2.61}$	$51.56_{3.89}$	$61.51_{1.27}$	$54.04_{1.01}$	$50.38_{10.12}$	$58.40_{5.18}$	$73.93_{4.11}$	$56.17_{1.95}$	$55.46_{3.77}$
Adapter	All	$79.02_{0.62}$	$72.84_{0.48}$	$76.71_{1.38}$	$65.58_{1.56}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$70.20_{4.13}$	$84.82_{3.18}$	$72.89_{2.54}$	$73.19_{1.74}$
AdapterFusion	4	$8.51_{2.73}$	$44.50_{24.40}$	$62.16_{0.03}$	$50.31_{1.04}$	$38.08_{3.44}$	$50.40_{2.19}$	$51.07_{2.40}$	$52.64_{1.31}$	44.714.69
ADAPTERFUSION	16	$13.71_{10.75}$	$48.86_{14.98}$	$62.12_{0.27}$	$50.16_{1.84}$	$38.46_{4.30}$	$56.80_{7.22}$	$67.86_{3.99}$	$52.92_{3.71}$	$48.86_{5.88}$
ADAPTERFUSION	32	$26.79_{14.35}$	$46.39_{16.63}$	$62.03_{0.34}$	$52.23_{0.87}$	$37.12_{1.29}$	$59.60_{5.86}$	$68.93_{2.71}$	$54.66_{2.35}$	$50.97_{5.55}$
ADAPTERFUSION	100	$34.02_{13.55}$	$43.52_{4.01}$	$61.83_{1.45}$	$54.61_{1.07}$	$43.85_{8.78}$	$64.20_{3.83}$	$74.64_{3.43}$	$59.71_{1.63}$	$54.55_{4.72}$
AdapterFusion	All	$78.82_{0.49}$	$71.79_{1.67}$	$76.72_{0.55}$	$66.57_{1.24}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$73.10_{4.51}$	$82.32_{2.85}$	$76.03_{2.38}$	$73.60_{1.71}$
SCALEARN	4	$28.37_{6.53}$	$31.53_{11.93}$	$61.63_{0.22}$	$49.72_{0.39}$	$49.62_{5.34}$	$71.80_{4.49}$	$66.79_{11.48}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$51.52_{5.05}$
SCALEARN	16	$31.07_{6.24}$	$49.97_{7.42}$	$60.92_{1.21}$	$51.50_{0.49}$	$51.35_{5.25}$	$69.00_{5.24}$	$72.86_{2.33}$	$54.22_{1.31}$	$55.11_{3.69}$
SCALEARN	32	$34.80_{6.48}$	$44.28_{3.71}$	$61.70_{0.22}$	$50.53_{0.94}$	$48.08_{8.68}$	$68.60_{9.34}$	$76.07_{2.04}$	$56.75_{1.18}$	$55.10_{4.07}$
SCALEARN	100	$40.82_{1.25}$	$58.92_{2.28}$	$62.11_{1.16}$	$53.89_{0.99}$	$61.92_{2.21}$	$69.00_{2.74}$	$86.79_{1.60}$	$61.37_{1.71}$	$61.85_{1.74}$
SCALEARN	All	$79.52_{0.06}$	$73.22_{0.44}$	$77.27_{0.68}$	$66.35_{1.20}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$74.80_{2.15}$	$90.89_{2.59}$	$78.88_{2.14}$	$75.55_{1.16}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	4	$22.64_{6.41}$	$29.69_{6.54}$	$61.72_{0.25}$	$49.84_{0.86}$	$44.62_{5.71}$	$70.60_{2.30}$	$70.36_{4.48}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$50.27_{3.32}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	16	$30.01_{1.08}$	$50.32_{7.20}$	$61.72_{1.03}$	$52.48_{0.70}$	$49.81_{7.24}$	$66.80_{2.17}$	$73.93_{3.70}$	$54.51_{2.75}$	$54.95_{3.23}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	32	$30.84_{5.74}$	$45.75_{5.47}$	$61.41_{0.32}$	$51.57_{0.73}$	$48.27_{6.61}$	$71.40_{2.30}$	$75.71_{0.98}$	$55.38_{0.75}$	$55.04_{2.86}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	100	$35.50_{1.94}$	$58.74_{2.59}$	$61.36_{0.99}$	$52.79_{0.58}$	$56.97_{7.98}$	$65.00_{2.00}$	$82.86_{3.24}$	$59.21_{1.28}$	$59.05_{2.58}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	All	$80.13_{0.38}$	$71.91_{0.60}$	$76.06_{0.41}$	$67.37_{1.22}$	$62.50_{1.27}$	$71.20_{1.23}$	$89.11_{1.97}$	$75.31_{0.90}$	$74.20_{1.00}$
SCALEARN++	4	$27.53_{4.00}$	$11.11_{6.18}$	$60.92_{1.59}$	$49.94_{0.50}$	$44.62_{5.71}$	$70.00_{2.24}$	$62.50_{8.28}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$47.42_{3.56}$
SCALEARN++	16	$25.78_{2.80}$	$49.43_{10.93}$	$59.86_{2.01}$	$52.01_{0.62}$	$49.42_{8.62}$	$71.80_{1.10}$	$74.64_{3.43}$	$56.68_{1.17}$	$54.95_{3.83}$
SCALEARN++	32	$34.00_{2.31}$	$39.99_{5.10}$	$59.80_{0.63}$	$52.04_{0.53}$	$42.50_{3.99}$	$73.60_{4.56}$	$75.71_{1.60}$	$56.39_{0.86}$	$54.25_{2.45}$
SCALEARN++	100	$37.32_{3.39}$	$58.72_{1.28}$	$60.43_{2.22}$	$53.23_{0.61}$	$62.12_{1.87}$	$66.20_{1.30}$	$85.71_{2.19}$	$59.06_{1.89}$	$60.35_{1.84}$
SCALEARN++	All	$80.13_{0.09}$	$72.71_{0.57}$	$76.44_{0.53}$	$67.13_{1.24}$	$62.26_{2.28}$	$75.20_{1.93}$	$93.04_{2.14}$	$79.03_{0.95}$	$75.74_{1.22}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	4	$23.04_{8.12}$	$29.11_{2.02}$	$61.02_{0.41}$	$49.62_{1.41}$	$46.73_{4.54}$	$67.60_{5.68}$	$66.43_{8.60}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$49.53_{3.85}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	16	$26.67_{4.91}$	$53.00_{8.69}$	$61.06_{1.41}$	$52.16_{0.67}$	$50.96_{7.10}$	$67.40_{2.97}$	$74.29_{4.66}$	$54.80_{2.74}$	$55.04_{4.14}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	32	$30.62_{1.27}$	$49.46_{6.35}$	$59.88_{1.47}$	$51.69_{0.70}$	$44.62_{3.70}$	$67.20_{1.64}$	$78.21_{0.80}$	$56.90_{1.07}$	$54.82_{2.13}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	100	$29.77_{9.96}$	$58.40_{2.35}$	$60.77_{0.91}$	$53.26_{1.87}$	$61.15_{3.76}$	$63.20_{2.77}$	$80.00_{0.80}$	$57.18_{1.74}$	$57.97_{3.02}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	All	$79.79_{0.14}$	$71.75_{0.38}$	$76.13_{0.52}$	$67.87_{0.89}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$74.00_{1.70}$	$91.61_{2.53}$	$74.84_{1.58}$	$74.93_{0.97}$

Table 15: Complete few-shot transfer learning results on SuperGLUE with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using RoBERTa_{BASE}.

Model	Samples	Sectors	Pillars 1D	Subpillars 1D	Pillars 2D	Subpillars 2D	Avg.
Adapter	4	$5.78_{2.05}$	$4.21_{1.16}$	$0.69_{0.34}$	$11.07_{2.07}$	$3.58_{0.49}$	$5.07_{1.22}$
Adapter	16	$8.22_{6.21}$	$2.59_{2.28}$	$0.78_{0.42}$	$8.42_{4.12}$	$2.59_{1.34}$	$4.52_{2.87}$
Adapter	32	$4.65_{1.88}$	$2.30_{2.71}$	$0.82_{0.15}$	$5.96_{7.43}$	$2.97_{1.52}$	$3.34_{2.74}$
Adapter	100	$44.26_{1.22}$	$10.59_{9.70}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$25.26_{1.36}$	$0.01_{0.02}$	$16.02_{2.46}$
Adapter	All	$71.38_{0.28}$	$51.02_{1.23}$	$43.26_{0.82}$	$61.43_{0.91}$	$42.46_{0.51}$	$53.91_{0.75}$
AdapterFusion	4	$13.60_{1.29}$	$7.20_{2.19}$	$2.45_{0.37}$	$16.24_{2.77}$	$8.16_{1.00}$	$9.53_{1.53}$
ADAPTERFUSION	16	$13.27_{1.27}$	$8.38_{0.99}$	$2.17_{0.67}$	$15.98_{2.41}$	$7.63_{0.73}$	$9.48_{1.21}$
ADAPTERFUSION	32	$12.59_{1.91}$	$6.41_{1.79}$	$2.24_{0.25}$	$13.67_{3.94}$	$7.12_{1.00}$	$8.40_{1.78}$
ADAPTERFUSION	100	$8.03_{1.36}$	$4.23_{2.75}$	$1.77_{0.54}$	$32.02_{4.30}$	$5.07_{1.32}$	$10.22_{2.05}$
AdapterFusion	All	$72.05_{0.12}$	$49.63_{0.53}$	$43.15_{0.38}$	$60.68_{0.23}$	$42.14_{0.46}$	$53.53_{0.35}$
SCALEARN	4	$5.56_{1.27}$	$4.54_{0.57}$	$1.12_{0.23}$	$12.99_{0.26}$	$3.95_{0.85}$	$5.63_{0.64}$
SCALEARN	16	$13.21_{0.74}$	$8.90_{0.41}$	$3.68_{0.16}$	$18.30_{0.60}$	$7.40_{0.53}$	$10.30_{0.49}$
SCALEARN	32	$16.64_{0.43}$	$16.48_{0.74}$	$7.23_{0.37}$	$26.39_{0.34}$	$11.11_{0.47}$	$15.57_{0.47}$
SCALEARN	100	$34.04_{1.36}$	$26.31_{0.67}$	$13.27_{1.06}$	$30.68_{1.20}$	$14.43_{0.39}$	$23.75_{0.94}$
SCALEARN	All	$72.36_{0.05}$	$51.63_{0.61}$	$44.06_{0.37}$	$61.52_{0.11}$	$42.81_{0.63}$	$54.48_{0.35}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	4	$5.35_{1.09}$	$4.32_{0.17}$	$1.03_{0.20}$	$13.24_{0.43}$	$3.78_{0.64}$	$5.54_{0.50}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	16	$13.65_{0.47}$	$8.69_{0.59}$	$3.64_{0.13}$	$17.51_{1.23}$	$7.59_{0.13}$	$10.22_{0.51}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	32	$15.34_{0.52}$	$16.72_{1.09}$	$6.98_{0.34}$	$25.75_{0.48}$	$10.58_{0.19}$	$15.07_{0.52}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	100	$33.40_{0.63}$	$25.48_{0.71}$	$13.43_{0.64}$	$29.44_{0.78}$	$14.92_{0.62}$	$23.33_{0.68}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	All	$72.20_{0.14}$	$50.08_{0.79}$	$42.97_{0.70}$	$60.62_{0.16}$	$41.95_{0.60}$	$53.56_{0.48}$
SCALEARN++	4	$5.42_{1.47}$	$4.66_{0.45}$	$1.16_{0.33}$	$13.17_{0.17}$	$3.62_{1.24}$	$5.61_{0.73}$
SCALEARN++	16	$13.55_{0.71}$	$8.89_{0.16}$	$3.62_{0.09}$	$18.62_{1.10}$	$7.73_{0.28}$	$10.48_{0.47}$
SCALEARN++	32	$16.27_{0.82}$	$16.35_{1.62}$	$7.27_{0.13}$	$26.08_{0.51}$	$10.70_{0.28}$	$15.33_{0.67}$
SCALEARN++	100	$33.76_{0.49}$	$25.83_{0.74}$	$13.27_{0.66}$	$30.11_{0.51}$	$14.37_{0.61}$	$23.47_{0.60}$
SCALEARN++	All	$72.38_{0.27}$	$51.66_{0.27}$	$44.23_{0.50}$	$61.66_{0.13}$	$42.21_{0.21}$	$54.43_{0.28}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	4	$5.27_{1.18}$	$4.37_{0.14}$	$1.08_{0.09}$	$13.20_{0.50}$	$3.56_{1.15}$	$5.50_{0.61}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	16	$13.47_{0.77}$	$9.04_{0.58}$	$3.60_{0.10}$	$17.41_{0.59}$	$7.50_{0.33}$	$10.20_{0.47}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	32	$15.24_{0.35}$	$16.75_{0.72}$	$7.31_{0.28}$	$26.23_{0.83}$	$10.61_{0.27}$	$15.23_{0.49}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	100	$39.22_{2.98}$	$26.22_{0.74}$	$13.76_{1.11}$	$30.34_{0.63}$	$14.56_{0.59}$	$24.82_{1.21}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	All	$72.02_{0.32}$	$50.78_{0.41}$	$42.60_{0.85}$	$60.82_{0.14}$	$42.14_{0.72}$	$53.67_{0.49}$

Table 16: Complete few-shot transfer learning results on HumSet with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using XLM-R_{BASE}.

Model	Samples	IJNM	QQP	ILINQ	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	ReCoRD	Multi	BoolQ	WiC	WSC	COPA	CB	Avg.
ADAPTER ADAPTER ADAPTER ADAPTER ADAPTER ADAPTER	4 16 32 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 33.65_{1.39}\\ 34.78_{0.58}\\ 33.56_{0.66}\\ 40.71_{2.67}\\ 86.50_{0.33}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.27_{0.11} \\ 63.18_{0.00} \\ 63.18_{0.00} \\ 71.74_{0.50} \\ 90.18_{0.11} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.53_{0.04} \\ 50.46_{0.20} \\ 51.86_{0.33} \\ 58.77_{4.13} \\ 92.25_{0.19} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.92_{0.00} \\ 57.18_{1.23} \\ 70.46_{2.25} \\ 85.00_{2.25} \\ 93.65_{0.71} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.12_{9.28} \\ 55.53_{10.12} \\ 73.78_{1.30} \\ 82.51_{1.21} \\ 90.23_{0.41} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.38_{0.00}\\ 68.38_{0.00}\\ 68.38_{0.00}\\ 73.09_{1.27}\\ 86.64_{1.07}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.71_{0.00} \\ 53.72_{1.29} \\ 54.58_{1.81} \\ 56.17_{1.95} \\ 72.89_{2.54} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.93_{3.88} \\ 0.25_{0.56} \\ 0.00_{0.00} \\ 21.69_{3.94} \\ 58.28_{2.50} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.65_{2.79} \\ 13.82_{6.06} \\ 17.64_{12.76} \\ 37.69_{2.61} \\ 79.02_{0.62} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.92_{6.71}\\ 37.48_{8.48}\\ 38.55_{3.74}\\ 51.56_{3.89}\\ 72.84_{0.48}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.05_{0.27} \\ 62.17_{0.00} \\ 62.16_{0.03} \\ 61.51_{1.27} \\ 76.71_{1.38} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.44_{1.26} \\ 50.53_{1.18} \\ 52.26_{1.78} \\ 54.04_{1.01} \\ 65.58_{1.56} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.92_{12.04}\\ 42.50_{5.46}\\ 36.54_{0.00}\\ 50.38_{10.12}\\ 63.46_{0.00}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.20_{3.63}\\ 53.00_{5.48}\\ 51.20_{2.39}\\ 58.40_{5.18}\\ 70.20_{4.13}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 62.14_{8.12} \\ 69.29_{2.93} \\ 70.71_{1.60} \\ 73.93_{4.11} \\ 84.82_{3.18} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.66_{3.3} \\ 47.48_{2.9} \\ 49.66_{1.91} \\ 58.48_{3.07} \\ 78.88_{1.28} \end{array}$
ADAPTERFUSION ADAPTERFUSION ADAPTERFUSION ADAPTERFUSION ADAPTERFUSION	4 16 32 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 33.03_{1.19} \\ 46.55_{3.27} \\ 43.42_{4.77} \\ 46.10_{2.95} \\ 86.52_{0.20} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64.07_{12.23}\\ 73.63_{4.79}\\ 70.63_{6.80}\\ 76.77_{1.27}\\ 90.18_{0.11}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.46_{2.13}\\ 55.28_{7.92}\\ 70.48_{11.30}\\ 78.02_{4.45}\\ 92.35_{0.16}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.03_{0.26}\\ 58.05_{1.96}\\ 68.12_{6.56}\\ 83.88_{2.65}\\ 93.62_{0.69}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77.54_{5.71}\\ 83.74_{0.93}\\ 84.17_{1.79}\\ 86.45_{1.57}\\ 90.46_{0.31}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.75_{2.15}\\ 71.23_{5.91}\\ 72.65_{5.98}\\ 77.50_{1.43}\\ 87.89_{1.00}\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 53.72_{2.06} \\ 59.86_{4.17} \\ 60.79_{5.72} \\ 68.74_{2.29} \\ 78.84_{1.63} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.37_{4.11} \\ 4.01_{3.69} \\ 1.95_{4.37} \\ 22.17_{2.73} \\ 58.67_{1.42} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.13_{3.59}\\ 14.67_{6.72}\\ 12.40_{4.73}\\ 22.91_{5.96}\\ 78.66_{0.94}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.01_{19.87}\\ 53.06_{9.62}\\ 51.64_{8.97}\\ 50.84_{4.44}\\ 72.71_{0.71} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.17_{0.00}\\ 62.07_{0.44}\\ 62.08_{0.77}\\ 62.46_{0.84}\\ 76.63_{0.71}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.13_{2.45} \\ 54.29_{4.89} \\ 54.26_{2.19} \\ 58.06_{2.04} \\ 66.36_{1.34} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38.85_{5.16} \\ 41.54_{6.88} \\ 38.27_{5.01} \\ 52.69_{6.71} \\ 63.46_{0.00} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66.80_{8.01} \\ 68.80_{5.50} \\ 65.20_{9.83} \\ 71.40_{4.51} \\ 74.30_{3.02} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.00_{8.62} \\ 67.50_{10.37} \\ 71.79_{5.84} \\ 78.93_{6.11} \\ 83.57_{5.70} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.2_{5.17} \\ 54.28_{5.14} \\ 55.19_{5.64} \\ 62.46_{3.33} \\ 79.62_{1.2} \end{array}$
SCALEARN SCALEARN SCALEARN SCALEARN SCALEARN SCALEARN	4 16 32 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 37.57_{1.54}\\ 51.76_{0.97}\\ 53.37_{1.50}\\ 60.40_{1.25}\\ 86.93_{0.03}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.10_{2.37} \\ 76.33_{0.35} \\ 76.13_{0.24} \\ 77.46_{0.43} \\ 89.78_{0.09} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.18_{2.87} \\ 57.83_{1.33} \\ 68.44_{0.66} \\ 73.91_{1.07} \\ 92.78_{0.03} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.78_{1.73} \\ 66.33_{3.67} \\ 77.73_{2.61} \\ 86.40_{1.20} \\ 94.65_{0.35} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.36_{4.84}\\ 83.03_{1.10}\\ 83.63_{1.29}\\ 87.19_{0.73}\\ 90.97_{0.09}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 72.35_{2.08}\\ 74.51_{1.29}\\ 75.05_{1.13}\\ 76.32_{1.26}\\ 88.21_{0.72}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.70_{2.26}\\ 60.36_{3.24}\\ 63.10_{1.72}\\ 71.05_{2.08}\\ 81.59_{1.69}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.26_{5.41} \\ 11.31_{5.26} \\ 12.98_{2.77} \\ 18.99_{2.22} \\ 59.32_{1.81} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.46_{6.05}\\ 33.47_{3.83}\\ 34.59_{1.07}\\ 40.31_{1.63}\\ 78.50_{0.48}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48.41_{4.21} \\ 47.42_{6.90} \\ 47.05_{5.32} \\ 59.71_{0.32} \\ 72.67_{0.42} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.64_{0.33}\\ 61.70_{0.90}\\ 63.16_{0.46}\\ 63.46_{1.54}\\ 78.59_{0.28}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.48_{0.79} \\ 52.48_{0.98} \\ 54.36_{1.29} \\ 57.34_{2.04} \\ 66.76_{1.70} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.38_{6.74} \\ 46.92_{6.13} \\ 51.73_{7.46} \\ 57.69_{6.38} \\ 63.46_{0.51} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 75.00_{3.54} \\ 72.80_{4.55} \\ 72.20_{1.79} \\ 72.40_{3.58} \\ 80.60_{3.27} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.57_{4.96}\\ 83.21_{2.40}\\ 85.00_{0.98}\\ 90.00_{2.71}\\ 96.07_{1.41}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.42_{3.31} \\ 58.63_{2.86} \\ 61.24_{2.02} \\ 66.17_{1.90} \\ 81.39_{0.86} \end{array}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM SCALEARNUNIFORM SCALEARNUNIFORM SCALEARNUNIFORM SCALEARNUNIFORM	4 16 32 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 39.28_{4.15} \\ 50.97_{0.92} \\ 48.73_{1.45} \\ 57.81_{0.74} \\ 87.02_{0.07} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.64_{0.41}\\ 76.20_{0.33}\\ 76.14_{0.10}\\ 77.10_{0.46}\\ 90.26_{0.10}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 55.21_{2.97}\\ 55.84_{1.97}\\ 66.42_{0.96}\\ 67.14_{1.42}\\ 92.01_{0.92}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.63_{1.86} \\ 62.91_{3.33} \\ 74.54_{2.25} \\ 81.35_{1.95} \\ 94.38_{0.30} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.58_{9.93}\\ 77.14_{2.38}\\ 81.22_{0.78}\\ 84.99_{0.37}\\ 90.16_{0.11} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.29_{0.40}\\ 73.24_{1.89}\\ 74.41_{1.76}\\ 75.34_{0.71}\\ 87.97_{0.99}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.71_{0.00}\\ 60.51_{1.95}\\ 63.97_{0.40}\\ 65.92_{1.61}\\ 80.87_{1.09}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.19_{2.78} \\ 13.85_{1.57} \\ 13.31_{4.10} \\ 17.58_{4.34} \\ 58.97_{0.83} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.65_{7.46}\\ 26.25_{6.79}\\ 35.15_{3.98}\\ 38.38_{3.95}\\ 80.05_{0.18}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.82_{10.73}\\ 49.67_{7.11}\\ 55.50_{4.23}\\ 59.14_{1.42}\\ 71.90_{0.29}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.57_{0.82} \\ 61.15_{0.95} \\ 61.60_{0.55} \\ 62.20_{0.69} \\ 76.42_{0.65} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.32_{0.84} \\ 52.19_{0.67} \\ 54.17_{1.21} \\ 55.45_{1.71} \\ 68.07_{0.77} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48.85_{11.61} \\ 53.46_{8.03} \\ 46.92_{2.39} \\ 52.50_{6.92} \\ 63.22_{0.85} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.80_{1.92} \\ 71.40_{2.70} \\ 68.60_{2.79} \\ 71.40_{0.89} \\ 73.30_{2.16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.00_{3.66} \\ 78.93_{7.93} \\ 78.21_{3.87} \\ 90.00_{2.04} \\ 93.93_{1.73} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.57_{3.97} \\ 57.58_{3.23} \\ 59.93_{2.06} \\ 63.75_{1.95} \\ 80.57_{0.74} \end{array}$
SCALEARN++ SCALEARN++ SCALEARN++ SCALEARN++ SCALEARN++	4 16 32 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 36.43_{0.84}\\ 49.88_{1.29}\\ 49.02_{2.00}\\ 58.52_{1.21}\\ 86.94_{0.01}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71.99_{3.17}\\ 75.59_{0.93}\\ 74.91_{1.21}\\ 76.49_{0.86}\\ 89.56_{1.27}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.47_{2.56}\\ 55.82_{1.57}\\ 67.10_{0.91}\\ 68.02_{1.65}\\ 92.80_{0.08}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.96_{0.31} \\ 59.20_{2.02} \\ 74.29_{2.74} \\ 82.98_{0.84} \\ 94.04_{0.30} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.73_{3.49}\\ 80.68_{1.14}\\ 82.91_{0.76}\\ 86.45_{0.41}\\ 90.75_{0.16}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.66_{1.77}\\ 73.14_{1.44}\\ 73.24_{1.17}\\ 75.64_{0.37}\\ 88.21_{1.05}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.71_{0.00}\\ 58.84_{1.17}\\ 62.89_{1.34}\\ 68.59_{2.18}\\ 80.40_{0.90}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.38_{3.00} \\ 12.36_{4.88} \\ 11.30_{2.31} \\ 13.34_{3.89} \\ 59.65_{1.06} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.01_{4.05}\\ 25.45_{4.19}\\ 34.01_{1.05}\\ 39.05_{3.79}\\ 79.98_{0.21}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.56_{10.20}\\ 30.50_{17.83}\\ 25.76_{8.19}\\ 57.37_{3.88}\\ 71.16_{0.37}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.02_{0.35} \\ 60.12_{1.37} \\ 61.50_{1.55} \\ 60.63_{1.26} \\ 77.34_{0.37} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.38_{1.07} \\ 52.29_{1.69} \\ 53.48_{0.46} \\ 56.11_{0.73} \\ 67.43_{1.58} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.27_{4.14}\\ 47.88_{9.46}\\ 40.77_{3.82}\\ 59.04_{3.30}\\ 63.46_{0.00}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 72.20_{1.64} \\ 73.40_{3.21} \\ 74.80_{2.28} \\ 75.20_{1.30} \\ 79.90_{1.66} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.57_{4.45}\\ 79.64_{2.71}\\ 81.79_{3.43}\\ 91.79_{2.04}\\ 94.29_{2.35}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.36_{2.74} \\ 55.65_{3.66} \\ 57.85_{2.22} \\ 64.62_{1.85} \\ 81.06_{0.76} \end{array}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++ SCALEARNUNIFORM++ SCALEARNUNIFORM++ SCALEARNUNIFORM++ SCALEARNUNIFORM++	4 16 32 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 38.43_{1.63}\\ 52.24_{1.62}\\ 49.59_{1.30}\\ 53.47_{1.29}\\ 86.82_{0.17}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.40_{1.00} \\ 75.52_{0.69} \\ 75.70_{0.35} \\ 76.22_{0.58} \\ 90.16_{0.34} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.82_{2.33}\\ 53.65_{0.74}\\ 65.38_{1.04}\\ 60.70_{0.57}\\ 92.35_{0.31}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.93_{1.31}\\ 62.04_{2.23}\\ 74.50_{0.66}\\ 82.94_{1.03}\\ 94.61_{0.11}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 58.37_{14.72}\\ 76.27_{2.73}\\ 80.99_{0.96}\\ 83.60_{0.58}\\ 90.32_{0.14}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.49_{0.48}\\ 74.51_{1.46}\\ 73.68_{0.82}\\ 73.82_{1.21}\\ 87.97_{0.86}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.71_{0.00} \\ 60.79_{1.65} \\ 62.17_{0.93} \\ 63.83_{1.23} \\ 80.79_{0.96} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.87_{2.38} \\ 8.95_{2.77} \\ 11.80_{3.59} \\ 16.43_{2.97} \\ 59.33_{0.90} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.96_{8.79}\\ 26.04_{6.66}\\ 35.20_{1.93}\\ 33.27_{3.38}\\ 79.80_{0.56}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.31_{10.99} \\ 51.37_{8.49} \\ 57.29_{4.93} \\ 59.32_{0.99} \\ 72.76_{0.51} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.91_{1.15} \\ 61.43_{0.58} \\ 62.14_{0.52} \\ 62.70_{0.31} \\ 76.22_{0.69} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.82_{1.24} \\ 52.07_{1.03} \\ 53.76_{1.44} \\ 55.49_{2.21} \\ 67.95_{1.04} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.23_{8.00}\\ 49.23_{5.90}\\ 51.15_{5.90}\\ 58.46_{7.67}\\ 61.78_{1.98}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71.20_{2.86} \\ 69.60_{2.88} \\ 70.40_{1.34} \\ 65.20_{2.86} \\ 74.20_{1.75} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71.79_{3.43}\\ 73.21_{5.92}\\ 80.36_{5.05}\\ 86.07_{3.87}\\ 93.21_{0.75}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.95_{4.02} \\ 56.46_{3.02} \\ 60.27_{2.05} \\ 62.10_{2.05} \\ 80.55_{0.74} \end{array}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++ 100 53.41,29 76.220.58 60.700.57 82.941.02 SCALEARNUNIFORM++ All 86.820.17 90.160.34 92.350.31 94.610.11 Total: 17. Constitute from the form of	All All	$53.47_{1.29}$ $86.82_{0.17}$	$76.22_{0.58}$ 90.16 _{0.34}	60.70 _{0.57} 92.35 _{0.31}		83.60 _{0.58} 90.32 _{0.14}	73.82 _{1.21} 87.97 _{0.86}	63.83 _{1.23} 80.79 _{0.96}	16.432.97 59.330.90	$33.27_{3.38}$ $79.80_{0.56}$	$59.32_{0.99}$ $72.76_{0.51}$	62.70 _{0.31} 76.22 _{0.69}	~~ S	$55.49_{2.21}$ $57.95_{1.04}$	$55.49_{2.21} 58.46_{7.67}$ $57.95_{1.04} 61.78_{1.98}$	$55.49_{2.21}$ $58.467.67$ $65.20_{2.86}$ $57.95_{1.04}$ $61.78_{1.38}$ $74.20_{1.75}$	$58.46_{7.67}$ $65.20_{2.86}$ $61.78_{1.98}$ $74.20_{1.75}$

Table 17: Complete few-shot transfer learning results on the combination of all GLUE and SuperGLUE tasks with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using RoBERTa_{BASE}.

Model	Samples	MNLI	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	Avg.
Adapter	4	$34.09_{0.48}$	$62.00_{2.54}$	$50.46_{1.12}$	$50.92_{0.00}$	$10.02_{2.34}$	$68.33_{0.11}$	$51.48_{2.74}$	$3.47_{3.01}$	$41.35_{1.54}$
Adapter	16	$35.12_{1.00}$	$63.11_{0.18}$	$49.59_{0.24}$	$59.38_{3.42}$	$12.41_{5.51}$	$68.38_{0.00}$	$52.64_{1.06}$	$2.55_{3.07}$	$42.90_{1.81}$
Adapter	32	$34.05_{0.94}$	$63.88_{1.40}$	$51.30_{0.98}$	$74.70_{2.59}$	$27.16_{13.89}$	$68.77_{0.71}$	$51.62_{1.75}$	$7.47_{10.36}$	$47.37_{4.08}$
Adapter	100	$41.39_{2.59}$	$71.35_{0.81}$	$53.75_{1.18}$	$83.67_{2.22}$	$76.84_{4.07}$	$69.07_{1.48}$	$56.97_{2.30}$	$30.96_{5.72}$	$60.50_{2.55}$
Adapter	All	$89.62_{0.18}$	$89.87_{0.67}$	$94.13_{0.06}$	$95.24_{0.08}$	$91.81_{0.29}$	$87.82_{2.11}$	$81.23_{2.92}$	$64.07_{1.97}$	$86.72_{1.04}$
AdapterFusion	4	$39.26_{6.48}$	$79.28_{0.71}$	$65.13_{11.67}$	$51.03_{0.23}$	$76.40_{12.07}$	$69.95_{2.76}$	$54.08_{3.07}$	$4.93_{1.85}$	$55.01_{4.85}$
ADAPTERFUSION	16	$49.94_{8.89}$	$80.37_{0.13}$	$78.85_{3.67}$	$56.65_{3.82}$	$83.96_{0.85}$	$77.50_{1.62}$	$70.47_{4.04}$	$16.08_{3.34}$	64.23 _{3.29}
ADAPTERFUSION	32	$56.12_{10.53}$	$80.01_{0.25}$	$80.55_{1.30}$	$75.29_{7.71}$	$85.36_{0.87}$	$77.11_{4.44}$	$78.70_{3.54}$	$6.77_{8.63}$	67.494.66
AdapterFusion	100	$60.84_{13.22}$	$78.86_{3.07}$	$85.09_{0.80}$	$85.44_{1.87}$	$88.09_{0.39}$	$81.86_{1.63}$	$84.40_{2.62}$	$34.69_{2.72}$	74.913.29
AdapterFusion	All	$89.57_{0.17}$	$90.88_{0.06}$	$94.15_{0.04}$	$95.87_{0.00}$	$91.86_{0.15}$	$88.97_{0.78}$	$85.70_{1.13}$	$66.39_{1.83}$	$87.93_{0.52}$
SCALEARN	4	$45.65_{4.75}$	$79.59_{0.24}$	$66.97_{3.83}$	$52.06_{1.12}$	$81.94_{2.17}$	$72.06_{2.37}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$3.14_{1.31}$	$56.77_{1.97}$
SCALEARN	16	$57.54_{1.50}$	$80.04_{0.58}$	$77.24_{0.85}$	$62.59_{2.91}$	$85.08_{1.83}$	$76.42_{2.70}$	$69.75_{2.56}$	$4.23_{3.10}$	$64.11_{2.00}$
SCALEARN	32	$60.95_{1.59}$	$79.95_{0.34}$	$77.72_{0.94}$	$74.13_{1.58}$	$88.50_{0.27}$	$76.91_{1.69}$	$77.91_{1.83}$	$5.14_{2.00}$	$67.65_{1.28}$
SCALEARN	100	$69.18_{1.32}$	$80.80_{0.21}$	$83.64_{2.26}$	$84.20_{0.98}$	$89.25_{0.40}$	$77.60_{1.78}$	$82.96_{0.93}$	$10.80_{1.43}$	72.301.17
SCALEARN	All	$90.09_{0.09}$	$90.51_{0.26}$	$94.18_{0.03}$	$95.41_{0.16}$	$92.32_{0.15}$	$88.09_{0.82}$	$87.08_{0.54}$	$65.40_{2.62}$	$87.91_{0.55}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	4	$45.73_{5.20}$	$79.74_{0.34}$	$67.95_{3.57}$	$52.41_{1.39}$	$81.59_{1.89}$	$72.21_{2.26}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$3.25_{1.02}$	$56.95_{1.96}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	16	$57.61_{1.01}$	$79.81_{0.31}$	$74.55_{1.75}$	$57.43_{2.44}$	$85.32_{0.85}$	$75.34_{1.10}$	$68.81_{1.21}$	$1.92_{2.57}$	$62.60_{1.41}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	32	$58.86_{1.71}$	$80.06_{0.14}$	$75.86_{1.12}$	$73.60_{1.06}$	$86.61_{0.33}$	$74.66_{1.16}$	$77.91_{1.12}$	$5.66_{4.15}$	$66.65_{1.35}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	100	$63.51_{1.39}$	$80.34_{0.21}$	$74.98_{2.50}$	$81.44_{1.48}$	$87.36_{0.24}$	$76.47_{1.26}$	$81.37_{1.87}$	$14.98_{1.27}$	$70.06_{1.28}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	All	$90.11_{0.04}$	$90.05_{0.28}$	$94.23_{0.08}$	$95.41_{0.16}$	$92.11_{0.06}$	$88.63_{1.72}$	$84.40_{3.93}$	$66.98_{0.58}$	$87.74_{0.86}$
SCALEARN++	4	$44.54_{4.16}$	$79.58_{0.41}$	$66.90_{2.38}$	$51.70_{0.75}$	80.803.59	$71.86_{1.54}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$3.78_{0.89}$	$56.48_{1.72}$
SCALEARN++	16	$56.71_{1.57}$	$80.11_{0.37}$	$73.80_{1.36}$	$60.16_{3.41}$	$85.17_{1.14}$	$75.20_{3.15}$	$69.82_{2.07}$	$2.85_{3.64}$	$62.98_{2.09}$
SCALEARN++	32	$58.87_{1.51}$	$79.09_{0.49}$	$75.92_{0.89}$	$73.12_{3.27}$	$87.45_{0.32}$	$75.69_{1.18}$	$77.33_{0.90}$	$5.47_{4.01}$	$66.61_{1.57}$
SCALEARN++	100	$65.07_{1.14}$	$80.23_{0.33}$	$78.82_{0.81}$	$82.00_{1.89}$	$88.01_{0.84}$	$76.62_{1.16}$	$81.81_{2.60}$	$12.11_{2.78}$	$70.58_{1.44}$
SCALEARN++	All	$90.31_{0.10}$	$90.59_{0.03}$	$94.05_{0.03}$	$95.93_{0.24}$	$92.48_{0.15}$	$88.48_{1.26}$	$86.28_{1.05}$	$67.13_{0.59}$	$88.16_{0.43}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	4	$44.48_{4.38}$	$79.42_{0.58}$	$66.59_{4.06}$	$51.46_{0.57}$	$82.15_{1.17}$	$73.22_{1.12}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$2.34_{0.52}$	$56.55_{1.55}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	16	$56.63_{1.44}$	$79.53_{0.45}$	$72.95_{2.27}$	$56.94_{1.01}$	$85.14_{0.66}$	$75.61_{2.09}$	$68.86_{1.85}$	$0.80_{2.46}$	$62.06_{1.53}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	32	$57.68_{3.31}$	$79.47_{0.42}$	$73.78_{1.89}$	$75.15_{0.96}$	$86.64_{0.56}$	$76.65_{1.49}$	$78.34_{0.66}$	$1.78_{2.84}$	$66.19_{1.52}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	100	$56.72_{1.49}$	$78.91_{0.82}$	$66.11_{2.51}$	$83.75_{0.58}$	$85.53_{0.82}$	$74.33_{2.49}$	$81.68_{2.51}$	$20.84_{3.14}$	$68.48_{1.79}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	All	$90.08_{0.01}$	$90.49_{0.02}$	$94.12_{0.16}$	$95.18_{0.16}$	$92.12_{0.09}$	$90.05_{0.54}$	$84.98_{1.32}$	$64.97_{0.85}$	$87.75_{0.39}$

Table 18: Complete few-shot transfer learning results on GLUE with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using RoBERTa_{LARGE}.

Model	Samples	ReCoRD	Multi	BoolQ	WiC	WSC	COPA	СВ	RTE	Avg.
Adapter	4	$15.58_{3.93}$	$31.78_{15.80}$	$61.83_{0.58}$	$49.75_{0.56}$	$50.38_{8.93}$	$49.60_{5.59}$	$53.93_{4.96}$	$51.48_{2.74}$	$45.54_{5.39}$
Adapter	16	$17.42_{7.21}$	$40.46_{3.08}$	$61.64_{0.54}$	$51.38_{1.37}$	$54.04_{2.30}$	$53.60_{5.46}$	$61.07_{3.19}$	$52.64_{1.06}$	49.033.03
Adapter	32	$22.04_{14.70}$	$41.11_{5.21}$	$62.17_{0.01}$	$52.88_{1.91}$	$47.69_{3.76}$	$66.20_{7.60}$	$67.50_{2.33}$	$51.62_{1.75}$	51.404.66
Adapter	100	$31.01_{19.22}$	$51.93_{4.94}$	$62.17_{0.00}$	$55.96_{2.03}$	$52.88_{5.81}$	$65.20_{13.86}$	$82.14_{5.65}$	$56.97_{2.30}$	57.286.73
Adapter	All	$88.52_{0.09}$	$80.73_{0.69}$	$82.36_{0.72}$	$69.16_{1.31}$	$63.25_{0.64}$	$71.90_{13.63}$	$92.68_{1.78}$	$81.23_{2.92}$	78.732.72
ADAPTERFUSION	4	$19.21_{4.17}$	$24.07_{20.35}$	$61.77_{0.18}$	$50.63_{1.49}$	$43.27_{12.03}$	$57.00_{7.42}$	$61.43_{11.75}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	46.267.17
ADAPTERFUSION	16	$14.28_{5.34}$	$28.09_{4.31}$	$61.51_{0.35}$	$51.10_{3.13}$	$47.31_{9.26}$	$66.20_{12.44}$	$77.86_{4.48}$	$53.21_{1.37}$	$49.95_{5.09}$
ADAPTERFUSION	32	$18.82_{11.93}$	$37.68_{10.93}$	$64.97_{3.64}$	$52.82_{1.39}$	$44.42_{3.36}$	$62.40_{10.24}$	$78.21_{4.45}$	$58.05_{4.21}$	52.176.27
ADAPTERFUSION	100	$55.42_{1.38}$	$59.98_{0.03}$	$71.06_{2.02}$	$56.02_{1.25}$	$55.58_{5.33}$	$76.40_{13.22}$	$84.64_{4.11}$	$57.62_{2.71}$	64.593.75
ADAPTERFUSION	All	$89.21_{0.17}$	$80.52_{0.24}$	$82.21_{0.30}$	$69.09_{1.68}$	$63.46_{0.68}$	$81.20_{16.07}$	$95.71_{0.98}$	$86.06_{1.07}$	80.932.65
SCALEARN	4	$32.72_{3.66}$	$58.49_{1.59}$	$61.90_{0.30}$	$51.66_{1.61}$	$55.58_{8.66}$	$71.00_{6.36}$	$77.50_{2.04}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	57.69 _{3.03}
SCALEARN	16	$36.71_{3.11}$	$53.37_{3.76}$	$61.82_{0.56}$	$53.51_{1.09}$	$50.19_{5.54}$	$77.40_{7.13}$	$77.86_{4.11}$	$55.88_{3.01}$	$58.34_{3.54}$
SCALEARN	32	$36.72_{3.37}$	$57.30_{4.03}$	$61.47_{0.75}$	$53.26_{2.28}$	$49.04_{5.73}$	$80.60_{3.05}$	$80.00_{1.49}$	$57.62_{5.12}$	59.503.23
SCALEARN	100	$54.21_{12.46}$	$59.79_{0.30}$	$68.78_{3.12}$	$51.88_{1.84}$	$57.12_{1.87}$	$81.80_{5.97}$	$85.00_{2.04}$	$65.34_{3.44}$	65.493.88
SCALEARN	All	$87.85_{0.01}$	$78.40_{0.70}$	$80.29_{2.52}$	$68.56_{1.68}$	$62.98_{0.68}$	$85.40_{3.78}$	$92.86_{1.79}$	$84.91_{0.59}$	80.161.47
SCALEARNUNIFORM	4	$33.12_{5.16}$	$59.47_{0.94}$	$61.51_{1.01}$	$50.91_{1.64}$	$63.46_{0.00}$	$68.00_{3.08}$	$78.93_{2.33}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	58.51 _{1.77}
ScalearnUniform	16	$32.75_{2.12}$	$54.65_{7.16}$	$62.11_{0.15}$	$52.26_{0.85}$	$52.12_{3.49}$	$72.00_{1.87}$	$81.79_{2.65}$	$54.44_{3.40}$	57.762.71
SCALEARNUNIFORM	32	$35.30_{3.67}$	$58.22_{3.85}$	$61.76_{0.61}$	$54.67_{2.40}$	$51.92_{6.04}$	$76.40_{2.97}$	$80.00_{2.93}$	$58.92_{5.58}$	$59.65_{3.51}$
ScalearnUniform	100	$41.50_{5.85}$	$60.01_{0.10}$	$61.96_{0.76}$	$51.85_{1.21}$	$58.27_{1.75}$	$72.40_{5.37}$	$85.00_{2.04}$	$60.65_{1.05}$	61.452.27
SCALEARNUNIFORM	All	$88.85_{0.22}$	$80.42_{0.06}$	$81.85_{0.21}$	$69.91_{1.15}$	$61.54_{0.00}$	$82.00_{3.08}$	$90.00_{1.60}$	$84.04_{1.66}$	79.831.00
SCALEARN++	4	$33.87_{1.90}$	$56.11_{3.47}$	$61.75_{0.21}$	$51.32_{1.66}$	$60.58_{3.96}$	$68.00_{6.04}$	$78.21_{2.33}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$57.82_{2.45}$
SCALEARN++	16	$35.36_{0.48}$	$53.71_{5.41}$	$61.93_{0.39}$	$52.79_{0.17}$	$50.77_{2.99}$	$71.40_{3.78}$	$80.00_{4.07}$	$55.23_{2.75}$	$57.65_{2.51}$
SCALEARN++	32	$38.87_{1.77}$	$59.95_{0.00}$	$61.94_{0.81}$	$54.61_{2.06}$	$46.92_{3.22}$	$78.60_{2.30}$	$79.64_{2.71}$	$53.14_{3.49}$	$59.21_{2.05}$
SCALEARN++	100	$43.15_{4.43}$	$59.95_{0.00}$	$63.36_{0.98}$	$52.01_{0.73}$	$57.12_{3.23}$	$75.20_{4.15}$	$86.79_{2.04}$	$62.24_{2.68}$	62.482.28
SCALEARN++	All	$88.28_{0.23}$	$80.76_{0.58}$	$83.08_{0.31}$	$69.59_{1.89}$	$62.98_{0.68}$	$87.80_{1.10}$	$91.07_{1.79}$	$85.70_{0.32}$	81.160.86
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	4	$33.87_{1.90}$	$56.11_{3.47}$	$61.75_{0.21}$	$51.32_{1.66}$	$60.58_{3.96}$	$68.00_{6.04}$	$78.21_{2.33}$	$52.71_{0.00}$	$57.82_{2.45}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	16	$35.36_{0.48}$	$53.71_{5.41}$	$61.93_{0.39}$	$52.79_{0.17}$	$50.77_{2.99}$	$71.40_{3.78}$	$80.00_{4.07}$	$55.23_{2.75}$	$57.65_{2.51}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	32	$38.87_{1.77}$	$59.95_{0.00}$	$61.94_{0.81}$	$54.61_{2.06}$	$46.92_{3.22}$	$78.60_{2.30}$	$79.64_{2.71}$	$53.14_{3.49}$	$59.21_{2.05}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	100	$43.15_{4.43}$	$59.95_{0.00}$	$63.36_{0.98}$	$52.01_{0.73}$	$57.12_{3.23}$	$75.20_{4.15}$	$86.79_{2.04}$	$62.24_{2.68}$	62.482.28
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	All	$88.85_{0.22}$	$80.70_{0.04}$	$82.13_{0.21}$	$70.19_{0.26}$	$62.98_{0.68}$	$83.60_{2.88}$	$91.07_{2.82}$	$84.84_{1.02}$	80.541.02

Table 19: Complete few-shot transfer learning results on SuperGLUE with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using RoBERTa_{LARGE}.

Model	Samples	Sectors	Pillars 1D	Subpillars 1D	Pillars 2D	Subpillars 2D	Avg.
Adapter	4	$4.80_{0.60}$	$4.33_{0.18}$	$0.60_{0.08}$	$10.87_{1.72}$	$2.56_{0.56}$	$4.63_{0.63}$
Adapter	16	$7.12_{2.11}$	$1.35_{1.85}$	$0.45_{0.32}$	$11.08_{0.59}$	$2.82_{0.82}$	$4.56_{1.14}$
Adapter	32	$6.60_{3.21}$	$0.58_{0.54}$	$0.52_{0.24}$	$11.82_{1.44}$	$2.40_{0.92}$	$4.39_{1.27}$
Adapter	100	$24.66_{13.33}$	$12.38_{3.57}$	$0.00_{0.00}$	$16.21_{1.14}$	$3.13_{2.91}$	$11.27_{4.19}$
Adapter	All	$72.29_{0.59}$	$49.31_{1.27}$	$45.25_{0.03}$	$62.58_{0.67}$	$44.36_{0.66}$	$54.76_{0.65}$
AdapterFusion	4	$12.43_{2.84}$	$7.58_{0.95}$	$2.11_{0.12}$	$14.59_{0.57}$	$7.10_{1.13}$	$8.76_{1.12}$
ADAPTERFUSION	16	$11.06_{2.41}$	$6.49_{2.35}$	$2.30_{0.26}$	$13.08_{1.04}$	$6.33_{1.79}$	$7.85_{1.57}$
AdapterFusion	32	$11.90_{3.19}$	$6.40_{2.61}$	$2.50_{0.60}$	$13.23_{0.90}$	$6.16_{1.54}$	$8.04_{1.77}$
AdapterFusion	100	$31.92_{5.40}$	$17.74_{2.59}$	$1.94_{0.42}$	$31.44_{2.30}$	$8.08_{3.78}$	$18.22_{2.90}$
AdapterFusion	All	$72.53_{0.45}$	$51.33_{0.23}$	$43.75_{0.52}$	$62.31_{0.25}$	$42.78_{2.11}$	$54.54_{0.71}$
SCALEARN	4	$5.52_{0.93}$	$4.94_{0.21}$	$1.30_{0.26}$	$13.59_{0.46}$	$3.81_{0.90}$	$5.83_{0.55}$
Scalearn	16	$12.05_{0.80}$	$7.78_{0.31}$	$3.24_{0.09}$	$20.10_{1.33}$	$6.19_{0.30}$	$9.87_{0.57}$
Scalearn	32	$16.34_{0.63}$	$15.74_{0.95}$	$6.54_{0.29}$	$24.92_{0.40}$	$10.54_{0.33}$	$14.82_{0.52}$
Scalearn	100	$24.60_{0.97}$	$24.36_{1.80}$	$11.37_{0.40}$	$34.26_{2.54}$	$15.63_{0.64}$	$22.05_{1.27}$
SCALEARN	All	$73.32_{0.08}$	$53.94_{0.13}$	$44.14_{0.75}$	$63.89_{0.16}$	$44.75_{0.47}$	$56.01_{0.32}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	4	$4.92_{0.61}$	$4.84_{0.26}$	$1.25_{0.30}$	$13.05_{0.48}$	$3.41_{0.11}$	$5.49_{0.35}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	16	$11.58_{0.45}$	$7.78_{0.53}$	$3.15_{0.19}$	$20.11_{0.32}$	$5.79_{0.16}$	$9.68_{0.33}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	32	$15.45_{0.00}$	$15.48_{0.64}$	$6.54_{0.52}$	$24.22_{0.16}$	$9.70_{0.17}$	$14.28_{0.30}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM	100	$21.91_{0.00}$	$23.31_{2.49}$	$10.60_{0.22}$	$36.44_{2.05}$	$15.27_{0.13}$	$21.51_{0.98}$
S CALEARNUNIFORM	All	$72.56_{0.20}$	$50.59_{0.10}$	$44.62_{0.00}$	$62.66_{0.00}$	$45.16_{0.00}$	$55.12_{0.06}$
SCALEARN++	4	$4.90_{0.40}$	$4.95_{0.20}$	$1.45_{0.26}$	$13.48_{0.52}$	$3.37_{0.50}$	$5.63_{0.38}$
SCALEARN++	16	$12.45_{0.65}$	$8.47_{0.77}$	$3.29_{0.13}$	$21.01_{1.12}$	$6.55_{0.37}$	$10.35_{0.61}$
SCALEARN++	32	$16.61_{0.57}$	$15.80_{1.00}$	$6.71_{0.29}$	$24.76_{0.32}$	$10.31_{0.36}$	$14.84_{0.51}$
SCALEARN++	100	$24.44_{0.95}$	$23.95_{0.40}$	$11.36_{0.65}$	$35.18_{1.28}$	$15.77_{0.77}$	$22.14_{0.81}$
SCALEARN++	All	$73.18_{0.04}$	$51.41_{0.36}$	$44.10_{0.09}$	$63.37_{0.02}$	$45.43_{0.24}$	$55.50_{0.15}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	4	$4.92_{0.61}$	$4.84_{0.26}$	$1.25_{0.30}$	$13.05_{0.48}$	$3.41_{0.11}$	$5.49_{0.35}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	16	$11.58_{0.45}$	$7.78_{0.53}$	$3.15_{0.19}$	$20.11_{0.32}$	$5.79_{0.16}$	$9.68_{0.33}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	32	$15.45_{0.00}$	$15.48_{0.64}$	$6.54_{0.52}$	$24.22_{0.16}$	$9.70_{0.17}$	$14.28_{0.30}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	100	$21.91_{0.00}$	$23.31_{2.49}$	$10.60_{0.22}$	$36.44_{2.05}$	$15.27_{0.13}$	$21.51_{0.98}$
SCALEARNUNIFORM++	All	$73.02_{0.20}$	$50.84_{0.30}$	$44.88_{0.39}$	$62.87_{0.01}$	$44.45_{0.02}$	$55.21_{0.18}$

Table 20: Complete few-shot transfer learning results on HumSet with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using XLM-R_{LARGE}.

Model	Samples	MNLI	QQP	DNLI	SST-2	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	ReCoRD	Multi	BoolQ	WiC	WSC	COPA	CB	Avg.
A DA PTER A DA PTER A DA PTER A DA PTER A DA PTER A DA PTER	4 16 32 All	$\begin{array}{c} 34.09_{0.48}\\ 35.12_{1.00}\\ 34.05_{0.94}\\ 41.39_{2.59}\\ 89.62_{0.18}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.00_{2.54} \\ 63.11_{0.18} \\ 63.88_{1.40} \\ 71.35_{0.81} \\ 89.87_{0.67} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.46_{1.12} \\ 49.59_{0.24} \\ 51.30_{0.98} \\ 53.75_{1.18} \\ 94.13_{0.06} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.92_{0.00} \\ 59.38_{3.42} \\ 74.70_{2.59} \\ 83.67_{2.22} \\ 95.24_{0.08} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.02_{2.34}\\ 12.41_{5.51}\\ 27.16_{13.89}\\ 76.84_{4.07}\\ 91.81_{0.29}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.33_{0.11} \\ 68.38_{0.00} \\ 68.77_{0.71} \\ 69.07_{1.48} \\ 87.82_{2.11} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.48_{2.74}\\ 52.64_{1.06}\\ 51.62_{1.75}\\ 56.97_{2.30}\\ 81.23_{2.92}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.47_{3.01}\\ 2.55_{3.07}\\ 7.47_{10.36}\\ 30.96_{5.72}\\ 64.07_{1.97}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.58_{3.93}\\ 17.42_{7.21}\\ 22.04_{14.70}\\ 31.01_{19.22}\\ 88.52_{0.09}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 31.78_{15,80}\\ 40.46_{3.08}\\ 41.11_{5.21}\\ 51.93_{4.94}\\ 80.73_{0.69}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.83_{0.58} \\ 61.64_{0.54} \\ 62.17_{0.01} \\ 62.17_{0.00} \\ 82.36_{0.72} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.75_{0.56} \\ 51.38_{1.37} \\ 52.88_{1.91} \\ 55.96_{2.03} \\ 69.16_{1.31} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.38_{8.93} \\ 54.04_{2.30} \\ 47.69_{3.76} \\ 52.88_{5.81} \\ 62.79_{1.57} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.60_{5.59}\\ 53.60_{5.46}\\ 66.20_{7.60}\\ 65.20_{13.86}\\ 71.90_{13.63}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 53.93_{4.96} \\ 61.07_{3.19} \\ 67.50_{2.33} \\ 82.14_{5.65} \\ 92.68_{1.78} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.91_{3.51} \\ 45.52_{2.51} \\ 49.24_{4.54} \\ 59.02_{4.79} \\ 82.80_{1.87} \end{array}$
A DA PTER FUSION A DA PTER FUSION A DA PTER FUSION A DA PTER FUSION A DA PTER FUSION	4 16 32 All	$\begin{array}{c} 39.28_{3.90} \\ 49.24_{4.09} \\ 52.62_{9.97} \\ 62.92_{6.87} \\ 89.79_{0.12} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 67.18_{6.80}\\ 78.34_{0.61}\\ 78.71_{1.16}\\ 78.32_{4.42}\\ 90.83_{0.27}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.03_{2.67}\\ 78.75_{0.80}\\ 79.27_{4.25}\\ 85.14_{1.00}\\ 94.14_{0.05}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.95_{1.79} \\ 58.14_{5.01} \\ 79.32_{2.61} \\ 86.81_{1.35} \\ 95.64_{0.00} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 80.27_{0.95}\\ 83.54_{1.17}\\ 84.15_{1.70}\\ 87.66_{0.39}\\ 92.08_{0.14}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.38_{0.00}\\ 74.59_{6.29}\\ 75.98_{2.34}\\ 79.98_{2.70}\\ 89.12_{0.22}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.71_{0.00}\\ 70.40_{7.61}\\ 76.77_{1.85}\\ 81.11_{4.57}\\ 85.85_{2.48}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.24_{3.12} \\ 19.35_{5.34} \\ 12.63_{3.82} \\ 35.73_{1.51} \\ 66.52_{1.31} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.33_{10.68}\\ 21.80_{15.81}\\ 30.11_{7.06}\\ 44.01_{3.57}\\ 89.26_{0.00}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.43_{4.01} \\ 43.89_{7.61} \\ 47.13_{4.01} \\ 66.08_{1.82} \\ 79.25_{0.80} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.13_{0.91}\\ 62.46_{5.01}\\ 66.61_{5.92}\\ 73.29_{0.89}\\ 82.40_{0.54}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.14_{0.65} \\ 53.61_{2.00} \\ 60.61_{2.33} \\ 59.30_{2.65} \\ 69.50_{1.12} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 58.65_{8.33} \\ 56.41_{12.21} \\ 57.69_{9.99} \\ 59.94_{0.56} \\ 62.69_{1.72} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 79.67_{6.03}\\ 80.67_{6.03}\\ 84.33_{1.15}\\ 83.00_{7.21}\\ 88.60_{3.36}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.81_{2.73}\\ 79.76_{3.72}\\ 82.74_{2.06}\\ 83.93_{3.57}\\ 90.36_{2.99}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.48_{3.50}\\ 60.73_{5.55}\\ 64.58_{4.01}\\ 71.15_{2.87}\\ 84.40_{1.01}\\ \end{array}$
SCALEARN SCALEARN SCALEARN SCALEARN SCALEARN	4 16 32 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 48.58_{1.93}\\ 52.40_{2.74}\\ 58.77_{0.93}\\ 68.52_{1.55}\\ 89.67_{0.13}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71.81_{1.62}\\ 78.07_{0.81}\\ 80.03_{1.89}\\ 80.90_{0.52}\\ 89.70_{0.58}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 56.89_{0.79}\\ 65.63_{2.08}\\ 75.40_{3.39}\\ 85.49_{0.43}\\ 93.98_{0.31}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.75_{2.61} \\ 73.20_{2.72} \\ 75.65_{2.42} \\ 86.77_{0.93} \\ 95.36_{0.57} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 57.27_{7.57}\\ 74.23_{6.80}\\ 82.79_{0.88}\\ 88.43_{1.06}\\ 92.29_{0.13}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.30_{0.14} \\ 68.87_{0.25} \\ 70.02_{1.11} \\ 76.31_{0.14} \\ 88.28_{1.37} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.14_{2.71} \\ 69.80_{1.63} \\ 74.37_{2.53} \\ 80.75_{0.21} \\ 85.78_{1.16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.98_{3.40}\\ 8.55_{4.93}\\ 4.62_{4.95}\\ 20.50_{4.11}\\ 67.20_{1.33}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.82_{4.98}\\ 34.92_{2.74}\\ 34.93_{1.67}\\ 56.03_{4.01}\\ 85.43_{0.44}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 57.52_{2.58}\\ 58.02_{2.10}\\ 55.81_{3.58}\\ 64.86_{0.82}\\ 80.08_{0.69}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.04_{3.10} \\ 61.63_{2.87} \\ 69.07_{1.01} \\ 74.49_{1.05} \\ 82.43_{0.79} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.46_{1.33} \\ 55.02_{2.52} \\ 57.11_{2.28} \\ 56.74_{1.59} \\ 70.16_{2.08} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.49_{15.54}\\ 54.17_{8.06}\\ 57.69_{5.00}\\ 58.97_{3.64}\\ 66.73_{4.79}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 72.33_{11.85}\\ 87.67_{2.52}\\ 84.33_{0.58}\\ 88.33_{2.08}\\ 91.00_{1.22}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77.38_{14.43}\\ 87.50_{4.72}\\ 86.31_{4.12}\\ 91.07_{1.79}\\ 93.93_{2.04}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.25_{4.97}\\ 61.98_{3.17}\\ 64.46_{2.42}\\ 71.88_{1.59}\\ 84.80_{1.18}\end{array}$
SCALEARN UNIFORM SCALEARN UNIFORM SCALEARN UNIFORM SCALEARN UNIFORM SCALEARN UNIFORM	4 16 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 49.39_{1.75}\\ 51.40_{0.97}\\ 55.41_{1.98}\\ 62.62_{1.38}\\ 90.09_{0.04}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.28_{2.31}\\ 77.92_{0.57}\\ 78.05_{0.92}\\ 79.81_{0.13}\\ 90.54_{0.08}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.28_{2.03}\\ 61.71_{2.49}\\ 66.22_{2.11}\\ 72.80_{1.78}\\ 93.84_{0.69}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.52_{2.58} \\ 63.65_{3.38} \\ 72.55_{0.93} \\ 82.53_{1.47} \\ 95.70_{0.08} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.14_{7.06} \\ 67.19_{2.57} \\ 76.26_{1.07} \\ 85.25_{0.75} \\ 92.13_{0.05} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.79_{0.28} \\ 69.20_{0.57} \\ 70.51_{0.62} \\ 73.94_{1.39} \\ 88.33_{1.12} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.14_{2.71}\\ 70.16_{3.79}\\ 76.41_{0.91}\\ 80.99_{1.16}\\ 85.85_{2.39}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.23_{4.99} \\ 5.98_{0.30} \\ 6.40_{4.69} \\ 19.37_{1.42} \\ 66.85_{1.05} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.25_{4.01}\\ 35.41_{7.20}\\ 35.38_{0.82}\\ 44.64_{10.32}\\ 88.24_{0.00}\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 57.52_{2.26}\\ 57.18_{2.58}\\ 56.19_{3.35}\\ 59.98_{0.06}\\ 80.50_{0.07}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.52_{2.33} \\ 60.99_{1.76} \\ 64.64_{1.91} \\ 68.80_{1.24} \\ 82.04_{0.16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.84_{0.96} \\ 55.43_{2.07} \\ 56.43_{0.41} \\ 54.18_{0.79} \\ 70.28_{2.47} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 58.01_{4.00} \\ 44.23_{4.41} \\ 52.88_{6.73} \\ 57.05_{2.00} \\ 59.62_{0.00} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77.67_{1.53}\\ 80.33_{7.57}\\ 81.00_{8.89}\\ 86.33_{2.31}\\ 90.40_{1.52}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77.38_{6.76}\\ 86.90_{3.72}\\ 86.31_{1.03}\\ 92.26_{1.03}\\ 95.00_{2.33}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.60_{3.04}\\ 59.18_{2.93}\\ 62.31_{2.42}\\ 68.04_{1.81}\\ 84.63_{0.80}\end{array}$
Scalearn++ Scalearn++ Scalearn++ Scalearn++ Scalearn++	4 16 32 All	$\begin{array}{c} 48.69_{4.16} \\ 50.01_{3.62} \\ 56.60_{1.73} \\ 65.59_{1.16} \\ 90.13_{0.27} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71.65_{2.79}\\ 76.53_{0.51}\\ 78.04_{0.71}\\ 78.53_{1.78}\\ 90.22_{0.93}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 55.85_{3.70}\\ 62.43_{0.62}\\ 68.94_{4.68}\\ 76.61_{1.19}\\ 94.49_{0.23}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.96_{0.07} \\ 60.89_{2.58} \\ 73.09_{3.39} \\ 84.25_{1.67} \\ 94.61_{2.11} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.62_{8.06} \\ 67.50_{4.89} \\ 77.90_{1.60} \\ 85.79_{1.40} \\ 92.35_{0.10} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.38_{0.42}\\ 69.44_{1.02}\\ 70.83_{1.70}\\ 73.04_{0.49}\\ 87.70_{0.96}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.71_{0.00} \\ 67.87_{1.57} \\ 75.45_{1.25} \\ 79.90_{0.91} \\ 86.21_{1.00} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.29_{4.21} \\ 6.36_{2.91} \\ 7.49_{2.53} \\ 17.96_{1.29} \\ 67.23_{1.28} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.36_{5.52}\\ 29.36_{1.39}\\ 32.09_{2.95}\\ 53.04_{1.69}\\ 87.53_{0.13}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.12_{8.18} \\ 56.80_{2.88} \\ 59.95_{0.00} \\ 62.62_{0.85} \\ 80.14_{0.29} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.93_{1.34} \\ 60.24_{0.48} \\ 65.77_{0.94} \\ 73.22_{1.32} \\ 82.51_{1.95} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.25_{0.57}\\ 53.81_{3.63}\\ 56.22_{0.96}\\ 56.43_{1.70}\\ 69.40_{1.63}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.06_{2.94}\\ 50.006_{.93}\\ 45.19_{3.47}\\ 55.45_{4.84}\\ 62.82_{1.11}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 78.00_{6.24}\\ 83.67_{1.15}\\ 86.00_{1.00}\\ 86.33_{0.58}\\ 89.80_{1.10}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 80.95_{4.49}\\ 88.10_{2.06}\\ 85.71_{0.00}\\ 88.69_{4.12}\\ 94.29_{0.80}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.52_{3.51} \\ 58.87_{2.42} \\ 62.62_{1.79} \\ 69.16_{1.67} \\ 84.63_{0.93} \end{array}$
SCALEARN UNIFORM++ SCALEARN UNIFORM++ SCALEARN UNIFORM++ SCALEARN UNIFORM++ SCALEARN UNIFORM++	4 16 32 100 All	$\begin{array}{c} 47.67_{3.84}\\ 50.04_{1.99}\\ 54.75_{1.45}\\ 58.14_{1.35}\\ 90.10_{0.14}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.74_{1.51}\\ 77.01_{0.58}\\ 78.77_{0.55}\\ 78.26_{0.89}\\ 90.45_{0.10}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.64_{0.52}\\ 62.54_{2.72}\\ 66.14_{3.06}\\ 68.29_{1.51}\\ 93.91_{0.09}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.87_{0.69} \\ 60.89_{0.34} \\ 74.43_{2.40} \\ 83.18_{0.89} \\ 95.30_{0.00} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.56_{6.92}\\ 68.96_{4.79}\\ 76.21_{1.62}\\ 83.88_{1.89}\\ 92.12_{0.12}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.04_{0.93}\\ 69.04_{0.28}\\ 69.61_{1.27}\\ 74.10_{0.51}\\ 88.97_{0.88}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.54_{3.06} \\ 70.52_{2.80} \\ 77.14_{1.16} \\ 82.31_{1.25} \\ 84.77_{1.34} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.13_{7.37} \\ 5.23_{2.52} \\ 5.71_{1.07} \\ 20.12_{2.05} \\ 65.83_{1.49} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.78_{1.10}\\ 32.66_{6.34}\\ 34.22_{0.99}\\ 46.23_{1.82}\\ 88.28_{0.56}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 55.54_{1.54} \\ 57.51_{2.73} \\ 55.38_{4.22} \\ 60.40_{0.50} \\ 80.46_{0.23} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 59.29_{2.56} \\ 60.52_{1.84} \\ 64.24_{0.67} \\ 66.71_{2.50} \\ 82.23_{0.28} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.52_{1.31}\\ 52.61_{2.14}\\ 55.02_{0.72}\\ 53.40_{0.77}\\ 70.09_{0.36}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.26_{3.38}\\ 49.68_{8.01}\\ 45.51_{3.38}\\ 56.73_{2.54}\\ 60.10_{0.68}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 75.00_{2.00}\\ 79.67_{4.62}\\ 82.00_{5.57}\\ 80.67_{4.16}\\ 89.20_{1.30}\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 82.14_{3.57}\\ 84.52_{4.12}\\ 86.90_{2.06}\\ 91.67_{1.03}\\ 95.36_{0.98}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.58_{2.69}\\ 58.76_{3.06}\\ 61.73_{2.01}\\ 66.94_{1.58}\\ 84.48_{0.57}\end{array}$
				-		· .		-							,		

Table 21: Complete few-shot transfer learning results on the combination of all GLUE and SuperGLUE tasks with $k = \{4, 16, 32, 100\}$ training samples for each target task using RoBERTa_{LARGE}.