# Annotations Are Not All You Need: A Cross-modal Knowledge Transfer Network for Unsupervised Temporal Sentence Grounding

Xiang Fang<sup>1\*</sup> Daizong Liu<sup>2\*</sup> Wanlong Fang<sup>3\*</sup> Pan Zhou<sup>1†</sup> Yu Cheng<sup>4</sup> Keke Tang<sup>5</sup> Kai Zou<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hubei Key Laboratory of Distributed System Security, Hubei Engineering Research Center on Big Data

Security, School of Cyber Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology <sup>2</sup>Peking University

<sup>3</sup>Henan University <sup>4</sup>The Chinese University of Hong Kong <sup>5</sup>Guangzhou University <sup>6</sup>Protagolabs Inc.

xfang9508@gmail.com dzliu@stu.pku.edu.cn wanlongfang@gmail.com

panzhou@hust.edu.cn chengyu@cse.cuhk.edu.hk tangbohutbh@gmail.com kz@protagolabs.com

#### Abstract

This paper addresses the task of temporal sentence grounding (TSG). Although many respectable works have made decent achievements in this important topic, they severely rely on massive expensive video-query paired annotations, which require a tremendous amount of human effort to collect in real-world applications. To this end, in this paper, we target a more practical but challenging TSG setting: unsupervised temporal sentence grounding, where both paired video-query and segment boundary annotations are unavailable during the network training. Considering that some other cross-modal tasks provide many easily available yet cheap labels, we tend to collect and transfer their simple cross-modal alignment knowledge into our complex scenarios: 1) We first explore the entity-aware objectguided appearance knowledge from the paired Image-Noun task, and adapt them into each independent video frame; 2) Then, we extract the event-aware action representation from the paired Video-Verb task, and further refine the action representation into more practical but complicated real-world cases by a newly proposed copy-paste approach; 3) By modulating and transferring both appearance and action knowledge into our challenging unsupervised task, our model can directly utilize this general knowledge to correlate videos and queries, and accurately retrieve the relevant segment without training. Extensive experiments on two challenging datasets (ActivityNet Captions and Charades-STA) show our effectiveness, outperforming existing unsupervised methods and even competitively beating supervised works.

## 1 Introduction

As a popular yet challenging natural language processing task, temporal sentence grounding (TSG)



Figure 1: (a) Example of the temporal sentence grounding (TSG) task. (b) Illustration of our proposed CMKT network, where (b1) is the appearance knowledge learning module, (b2) is the action knowledge learning module, and (b3) is the knowledge transfer module, where "app" denotes "appearance". Note that there are no ground-truth annotations in (b3). Best viewed in color.

(Fang et al., 2022, 2023a; Liu et al., 2023c) has drawn increasing attention in recent years. TSG aims to locate a temporal video segment with an activity that semantically corresponds to a given sentence query. As shown in Figure 1(a), only a short video segment semantically matches the query, while most of the video contents are queryirrelevant. Clearly, TSG tries to break through the barrier between computer vision and natural language processing techniques for more challenging cross-modal grounding (Li et al., 2023b,a, 2022; Wang and Shi, 2023; Wang et al., 2021a, 2020c,a,b).

Most previous TSG works (Liu et al., 2023a; Fang et al., 2023b; Zhang et al., 2021; Fang et al., 2020, 2021b,a; Fang and Hu, 2020; Liu et al., 2021b, 2020b, 2022a, 2021a, 2022d, 2021c) are under a fully-supervised setting, where each frame is manually annotated as query-relevant or query-

<sup>\*</sup>Equal contributions. <sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

irrelevant. Despite the decent progress, these datahungry methods severely rely on numerous framequery annotations, which are significantly laborintensive and time-consuming to collect. To alleviate annotation reliance to a certain extent, some recent works (Tan et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2019; Mithun et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020d) explore a weakly-supervised setting to only leverage the coarse-grained video-query annotations instead of the fine-grained frame-query annotations. Unfortunately, this weakly-supervised setting still requires expensive video-query annotations and its performance validates unsatisfactory.

To this end, in this paper, we try to tackle a more practical but challenging setting for the TSG task, i.e., unsupervised TSG, which excludes both coarse-grained video-query annotations and fine-grained frame-query annotations. Therefore, how to guide the unsupervised model to extract the vision-language correlations becomes a crucial problem. Luckily, unlike the expensively annotated TSG task requiring long sentences and complicated videos, some cross-modal tasks (e.g., image retrieval and video retrieval) always provide a massive number of cheap and fully-annotated datasets, *i.e.*, matched image-noun and paired video-verb. Hence, we pose a brand-new idea: can we transfer the annotation knowledge from other cheap crossmodal annotations to the complicated and challenging unsupervised TSG task? Our main motivation is that we can first learn simple image-noun and video-verb correlations to extract the visual appearance and action knowledge, respectively. Then, by generalizing such cross-modal knowledge and transferring it into unsupervised TSG, we can not only well correlate the queries with corresponding videos without large-scale video-query pairs, but also match them with image-aware frames without frame-query pairs. Therefore, the current problem becomes how to separately collect the appearance and action knowledge and adaptively transfer the knowledge into our unsupervised TSG task.

To tackle these issues, we propose a novel Cross-Modal Knowledge Transferring (CMKT) network, which fine-tunes and transfers the extracted appearance and action knowledge from other cross-modal tasks to search the best-matching video activity related to given queries in the unsupervised TSG setting. As shown in Figure 1(b), our CMKT contains three modules: (i) In the appearance knowledge module, we try to learn the object-guided matched region-noun information from the Image-Noun task to model the appearance information. (ii) In the action knowledge module, single-action and multiaction branches are designed to simulate complex video scenes. In the single-action branch, we extract the pure action information based on a set of labeled single-action videos. In the multi-action branch, since real-world videos often contain multiple actions, we introduce a clip-level copy-paste strategy to construct the action-hybrid videos to adapt to more complex scenes. (iii) Finally, we refine both the appearance and action knowledge, and aggregate and transfer them into our complicated unsupervised TSG for inference without further training.

Our main contributions are summarized as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, we make the first attempt to transfer the cross-modal knowledge from other tasks into the TSG task. Without any TSG annotation, we can directly generalize the collected pre-trained appearance and action information for precise grounding, which eliminates complex training.
- We carefully design the appearance and action knowledge collection modules to learn simple knowledge from other cheap tasks. To handle the complicated video with multiple action contexts, we introduce a copy-paste idea to synthesize various multi-action clips, which improves the generalization ability.
- Comprehensive experiments on two challenging datasets (ActivityNet Captions and Charades-STA) show the effectiveness of our proposed CMKT.

### 2 Related Works

Most existing TSG methods are under the fullysupervised setting (Tang et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2023b; Zhang et al., 2021; Cao et al., 2020; Lei et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020a, 2022b; Liu and Hu, 2022; Liu et al., 2022e), where all video-query pairs and precise segment boundaries are manually annotated. Based on the top-down approach, some methods (Anne Hendricks et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020b) first pre-define multiple segment proposals and then align these proposals with the query for cross-modal semantic matching based on the similarity. Finally, the



Figure 2: Overview of our CMKT framework for unsupervised TSG. We design two knowledge modules to extract both appearance and action knowledge from other simple yet cheap cross-modal tasks. (i) In appearance branch, we learn the region-word pair information and the appearance information can be viewed as the visual semantic of a given noun. (ii) The action knowledge module contains two branch: single-action branch and multi-action branch. For the single-action branch, we extract the pure action information based a set of labeled action video. Specifically, based on the branch, we can obtain the visual represent of the verbs. As for multi-action branch, since many video often contain multiple action, we introduce the clip-level copy-paste method to construct the action-hybrid videos. The global information in these videos contain the action features. (iii) Finally, we introduce the appearance and action knowledge into our TSG task by knowledge searching. Best viewed in color.

best-matched proposal is selected as the predicted segment. Recently, some works utilize the bottomup strategy (Chen et al., 2020a; Mun et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a), which directly regresses the boundary of the target segment or predicts boundary probabilities frame-wisely. To alleviate the reliance to a certain extent, some state-of-the-art turn to the weakly-supervised setting (Tan et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2019; Mithun et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020d), where only video-query pairs are annotated without precise segment boundaries. To further mitigate the reliance, an unsupervised work (Liu et al., 2022c) clusters the semantic information of the whole query set by a complex training process for grounding. Different from the above methods, we address the challenging unsupervised TSG task from a novel perspective: collecting and transferring the general cross-modal knowledge from other simple yet cheap tasks.

# 3 Our Method

## 3.1 Overview

**Problem definition.** Given a set of untrimmed videos  $V = \{V_h\}_{h=1}^{N^V}$  and sentence queries  $Q = \{Q_g\}_{g=1}^{N^Q}$ , we denote  $V_h$  and  $Q_g$  as the *h*-th video

and the g-th query,  $N^V$  and  $N^Q$  as the number of videos and queries, respectively. For each query, unsupervised TSG has no annotation information about its corresponding related video and detailed activity location. Obviously, our unsupervised TSG is more challenging than previous supervised TSG, since we drop all annotated information between V and Q including their correspondence and annotated segment boundaries.

**Overall pipeline.** To tackle the challenging unsupervised setting, we propose a novel Cross-Modal Knowledge Transfer (CMKT) network shown in Figure 2, which first designs two task-agnostic knowledge collection modules to learn both appearance and action knowledge from other labeled but cheap cross-modal tasks, then refine and transfer their knowledge into our complicated TSG task.

For the appearance knowledge module, we first collect a set of conceptual nouns and their corresponding image regions from public Image-Noun datasets (*e.g.*, Visual Genome (VG) (Krishna et al., 2017)), where a noun corresponds to multiple relevant regions. We denote these region-level images as  $V^a = \{v_i^a\}_{i=1}^{N^a}$  and their corresponding words (object nouns) as  $W^n = \{w_j^n\}_{j=1}^{N^n}$ , where  $N^a$  and  $N^n$  are the number of regions and nouns, respectively. Then, we explore the region-level

appearance knowledge by the region-word pair information  $(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{n}, \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{a})$ , where  $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{a}$  is the consensus of all the regions corresponding to  $\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{n}$ . For noun  $\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{n}$ , we compute  $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{a}$  by averaging the representations of all relevant regions. With pairwise nouns and their consensus region representations, we can obtain general cross-modal appearance knowledge.

In the action knowledge module, we are given a massive number of conceptual verbs and their corresponding action-pure clips from popular Video-Verb datasets (e.g., Kinetics (Carreira and Zisserman, 2017), where a verb corresponds to many labeled clips. These clips and corresponding verbs are denoted as  $V^m = \{\boldsymbol{v}_i^m\}_{i=1}^{N^m}$  and  $W^v = \{\boldsymbol{w}_j^v\}_{j=1}^{N^v}$  respectively, and  $N^m$  and  $N^v$  are the number of clips and verbs. We tend to learn the clip-level action knowledge  $(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{v}, \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{m})$ , where  $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{m}$ is the consensus of all the regions corresponding to  $w_i^v$ . Besides obtaining the basic action feature via averaging over all relevant single-action clips, we synthesize the multi-action clips by the copy-paste approach (Dvornik et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2021; Rong et al., 2021) for simulating more complicated scenes. By refining both single- and multi-action representations, we obtain the final action knowledge. Finally, a knowledge transfer module is designed to transfer appearance and action knowledge into our unsupervised TSG.

#### 3.2 Appearance Knowledge Collection

Since the cheap Image-Word datasets provide sufficient known image-noun pairs, we can leverage them to extract the simple cross-modal appearance knowledge. However, our desired appearance knowledge has two key properties: 1) Regionaware: Rather than extract visual backgrounds, appearance knowledge is required to accurately align the region-level foreground objects and corresponding nouns. It is more fine-grained than other methods that roughly link the whole image to words. 2) One-to-one matching: Instead of aligning a noun to multiple related images in a one-to-many manner, we focus on cross-modal one-to-one matching to alleviate the appearance variations of objects by aligning the noun and its corresponding consensus region. Therefore, we collect all the nouns and their related regions on the VG dataset, which contains diverse contents that are useful to improve knowledge generalization.

Noun-aware textual encoder. Given  $N^n$  nouns as the label set of  $N^a$  region-level images, we utilize Glove (Pennington et al., 2014) to embed each noun into a dense vector  $\{\boldsymbol{w}_j^n\}_{j=1}^{N^n}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{w}_j^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the *j*-th noun feature and *d* is the feature dimension. **Appearance-aware visual encoder.** Given  $N^a$ region-level images, we use Faster-RCNN (Ren et al., 2015) to extract their features  $\{\boldsymbol{v}_i^a\}_{i=1}^{N^a}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{v}_i^a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the *i*-th appearance feature.

where  $v_i^a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the *i*-th appearance feature. **Appearance knowledge acquisition.** For the *j*-th noun  $w_j^n$ , we can obtain its prototypical region representation  $\overline{v}_j^a$  by averaging all relevant region features  $\{v_{j,k}^a\}_{k=1}^{K_j^n}$ :

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{a} = AVP(\boldsymbol{v}_{j,1}^{a}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_{j,K_{j}^{n}}^{a}), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\overline{v}_j^a$  is the consensus appearance feature and  $AVP(\cdot)$  denotes the average pooling,  $K_j^n$  is the total related region number. To ensure that  $\overline{v}_j^a$  shares the same semantics with its corresponding nounaware features, we learn two parametric transformation matrices  $B^a$  and  $B^n$  via a regularization loss (Lin et al., 2017)  $\mathcal{L}_{app}$  to enforce consensus region feature  $v_j^a$  as close as possible to corresponding noun feature  $w_j^n$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{app} = ||\boldsymbol{B}^{a} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}^{a} - \boldsymbol{B}^{n} \boldsymbol{W}^{n}||_{F}^{2}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $||\cdot||_F$  is Frobenius norm,  $\overline{V}^a = \{\overline{v}_j^a\}_{j=1}^{N^n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^n}$  and  $W^n = \{w_j^n\}_{j=1}^{N^n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^n}$ .

#### 3.3 Action Knowledge Collection

Similarly, we can utilize cheap Video-Verb datasets to extract cross-modal action knowledge by learning the video-verb pairs. To meet the requirement of diverse actions in the TSG task, we collect the verbs and their paired trimmed videos from a highly diverse video dataset Kinetics (Carreira and Zisserman, 2017). In the TSG task, it is difficult to obtain the specific feature of every single action from multi-action videos. Thus, we simulate various action videos to better generalize the mixed action features. To collect different types of action knowledge, we design two branches: single- and multi-action branches. In the single-action branch, since all the clips share the same-class action information, we aim to obtain the pure average action feature of a given verb. For the multi-action branch, we refine the consensus action feature by merging multiple action clips into a hybrid one with a copy-paste strategy.

Verb-aware textual encoder. Given  $N^v$  verbs, we use the Glove network (Pennington et al., 2014) to embed each verb into a dense vector  $W^v = \{w_j^v\}_{j=1}^{N^v} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^v}$ .

Action-aware visual encoder. Given  $N^m$  labeled trimmed videos, we first extract their clipwise features by a pre-trained C3D network (Tran et al., 2015), and then employ a multi-head self-attention (Vaswani et al., 2017) module to capture the long-range dependencies among video frames. We denote the extracted video features as  $V^m = \{v_i^m\}_{i=1}^{N^m} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^m}$ .

Action knowledge acquisition. In the singleaction branch, we are given a set of verbs  $\{w_j^v\}_{j=1}^{N^v}$ and each verb  $w_j^v$  corresponds to multiple actionpure videos  $\{v_{j,p}^m\}_{p=1}^{N_j^m}$ , where  $N_j^m$  is the number of action videos corresponding to  $w_j^v$ . For verb  $w_j^v$ , we directly utilize the average pooling to obtain its average action feature:  $(v_j^m)' = AVP(v_{j,1}^m, \dots, v_{j,N_i^m}^m)$ .

Since real-world videos often contains multiple actions, in the multi-action branch, we introduce a copy-paste strategy to augment the multiaction videos. As shown in Figure 2, given any two trimmed action videos, we randomly copy some clips from a video, and paste them onto the other video by replacing the same number of clips. For action-pure video  $v_{j,p}^m$  with  $N_{j,p}^m$  clips (corresponding to verb  $w_j^v$ ) and another actionpure video  $v_{x,z}^m$  (irrelevant to verb  $w_i^v$ ), we randomly replace  $P_{j,p}^m$  clips of  $\boldsymbol{v}_{j,p}^m$  with  $P_{j,p}^m$  clips from  $v_{x,z}^m$ . The augmented multi-action video is denoted as  $(v_{j,p}^m)^*$ . For convenience, we design a metric to denote the copy-paste amount: paste rate  $\mu = P_{j,p}^m/N_{j,p}^v \in (0,1)$ , *i.e.*, the percent of replaced clips in the original video  $v_{j,p}^m$ . After the copy-paste process, since the augmented video  $(\boldsymbol{v}_{i,p}^m)^*$  still contains verb-related action information (related to verb  $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{v}$ ), we obtain the corresponding average action feature by the average pooling:  $(\boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{m})'' = AVP((\boldsymbol{v}_{j,1}^{m})^{*}, \ldots, (\boldsymbol{v}_{j,N_{j}^{v}}^{m})^{*}). \ (\boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{m})''$  denotes the generated action feature of  $v_i^m$  after the copy-paste operation. By combining single-action  $(v_j^{\widetilde{m}})'$  and multi-action  $(v_j^m)''$ , the final consensus action feature is obtained by:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{m} = (1+\mu)(\boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{m})' + (1-\mu)(\boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{m})'', \qquad (3)$$

where  $\mu_c$  is used to adaptively control the balance between the action-pure information and hybrid action information.

To generalize the above action knowledge, we design an *inter-action* loss  $\mathcal{L}_{inter}$  and an *intra-action* loss  $\mathcal{L}_{intra}$  for constraint. For the *inter-action* loss, in different augmented videos, we take the matched action-verb pairs as positive samples and the unmatched action-verb pairs as negative samples, and use the weighted binary cross-entropy loss to supervise the verb-relevance  $\{r_i^{wv}\}_{i=1}^{N^v}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{inter} = \sum_{j=1}^{N^v} (-\boldsymbol{y}_j \log(\boldsymbol{r}_j^{wv}) - (1 - \boldsymbol{y}_j) \log(1 - \boldsymbol{r}_j^{wv})),$$

where  $y_j$  the label of the *j*-th sample that equals 1 for the matched action-verb pairs and 0 for the unmatched action-verb pairs;  $r_j^{wv}$  is obtained by

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{j}^{wv} = \frac{\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{m}(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{v})^{T}}{||\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{m}||_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{v}||_{2}^{2}}.$$
(4)

For the *intra-action* loss, in each multi-action video, we take verb-related video clips as positive samples and define verb-irrelevant video clips as negative samples. We adopt a hinge loss for supervision:

$$\mathcal{L}_{intra} = \sum_{j=1}^{N^v} max(0, \beta - \boldsymbol{r}_j^{wv} + \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_j^{wv}),$$

where  $\beta$  is a parameter and  $\hat{r}_{j}^{wv}$  denotes the verbrelevance of negative clips. Thus, the final action knowledge loss is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{action} = \mathcal{L}_{inter} + \mathcal{L}_{intra}.$$
 (5)

Eq. (5) tries to align the same-class action features and push the diff-class action features away.

#### 3.4 Knowledge Transfer to Unsupervised TSG

In previous sections, we can obtain consensus appearance knowledge and consensus action knowledge, which are important for comprehending the target moments in our TSG task. However, we cannot directly utilize the collected knowledge in our TSG task due to (i) the domain gap between Image-Noun/Video-Verb task and the TSG task, and (ii) more complicated scenes in the TSG task. Thus, we aim to fine-tune collected knowledge to fit the TSG domain by knowledge transfer. In the following, we will illustrate how we transfer the collected knowledge into the TSG task.

**TSG query encoder.** Given a set of queries  $Q = \{Q_g\}_{g=1}^{N^Q}$ , to keep the query features same as the knowledge-based one, we utilize the Glove network to embed each word into a dense vector. For  $Q_g$  with  $N_g^q$  words, its word-level features are denoted as  $Q_g = \{f_{g,j}^q\}_{j=1}^{N_g^q} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N_g^q}$ . We utilize the NLP tool spaCy (Honnibal and Montani, 2017) to parse nouns from the given query, then remove duplicate nouns. The textual features of the reserved nouns are denoted as  $\{f_{g,j}^n\}_{j=1}^{N_g^q}$ , where  $f_{g,j}^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the *j*-th noun feature and  $N_g^n$  is the reserved noun number. Similarly, we parse verbs and obtain the reserved verb feature set  $\{f_{g,j}^v\}_{j=1}^{N_g^v}$ , where  $f_{g,j}^v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the *j*-th reserved verb feature and  $N_g^n$  is the reserved verb feature and  $N_g^v$  is the reserved verb number.

**TSG video encoder.** Given  $N^V$  videos  $V = \{V_h\}_{h=1}^{N^V}$ , we denote  $V_h$  as the *h*-th video and  $T_h$  as its frame number. For the appearance feature,

we utilize Faster-RCNN (Ren et al., 2015) built on a ResNet50 (He et al., 2016) backbone to detect Kobjects on each video to obtain a set of appearance features  $V_h^a = \{f_{h,i,k}^a\}_{i=1,k=1}^{i=T_h,k=K}$ . For the action feature, we first divide video  $V_h$  into  $T_h/8$  clips. Then, we utilize a pre-trained C3D network (Tran et al., 2015) and a multi-head self-attention to extract the clip-aware features  $V_h^m = \{f_{h,i}^m\}_{i=1}^{T_h/8}$ .

Fitting the TSG domain via knowledge transfer. To transfer the pre-trained knowledge into the TSG task, we fine-tune the collected appearance and action knowledge based on the principle of cross-modal cycle consistency. Given the nouns features  $\{f_{g,j}^n\}_{j=1}^{N_g^n}$  and verbs features  $\{f_{g,j}^v\}_{j=1}^{N_g^n}$ , we search each  $f_{g,j}^n$  in the appearance knowledge and each  $f_{g,j}^v$  in the action knowledge with the cosine similarity, then re-represent them with the collected consensus appearance features  $\overline{v}_j^a$  and action features  $\overline{v}_j^m$ , respectively. For convenience, we denote  $A_g = \{\overline{v}_j^a\}_{j=1}^{N_g^n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N_g^n}$  and  $M_g = \{\overline{v}_j^m\}_{j=1}^{N_g^v} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N_g^v}$ . As for the visual appearance and action features, we also enhance them via the collected knowledge, respectively.

them via the collected knowledge, respectively. To fine-tune consensus features ( $A_g$  and  $M_g$ ), we design a cross-modal cycle-consistent loss to make collected knowledge more applicable to the TSG task. For appearance knowledge, we first compute the cosine similarity matrix  $S_g^n \in \mathbb{R}^{N_g^n \times N^V}$ between noun-guided consensus appearance features and video appearance features. Then, we combine all appearance features by treating similarities as weights to obtain reconstructed nouns features:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{g}^{n} = \boldsymbol{W}_{g}^{n} \boldsymbol{C}^{a} \sigma_{c} (\boldsymbol{S}_{g}^{n})^{T}, \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{g}^{n} = (\boldsymbol{W}_{g}^{n} \boldsymbol{A}_{g})^{T} \boldsymbol{W}_{g}^{n} \boldsymbol{C}^{a},$$
(6)

where  $C^a = \{V_h^a\}_{h=1}^{N^V}, W_g^n$  is a learnable transformation matrix,  $\sigma_c(\cdot)$  is the softmax operation along the column dimension, and  $\widetilde{Q}_g^n$  is the reconstructed noun representation. Similarly, we combine all action features to obtain the reconstructed verbs features:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{g}^{v} = \boldsymbol{W}_{g}^{v} \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \sigma_{c} (\boldsymbol{S}_{g}^{v})^{T}, \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{g}^{v} = (\boldsymbol{W}_{g}^{v} \boldsymbol{M}_{g})^{T} \boldsymbol{W}_{g}^{v} \boldsymbol{C}^{m},$$
(7)

where  $C^m = \{V_h^m\}_{h=1}^{N^V}, W_g^v$  is a learnable transformation matrix,  $\tilde{Q}_g^v$  is the reconstructed verb features, and  $S_g^v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_g^v \times N^V}$  is the similarity matrix between transformed verb and action features.

Our cycle-consistent loss aims to (i) align the semantics of original nouns and their reconstructed ones, (ii) pull verbs and their reconstructed ones together. Thus, we construct two indicator matrices  $\widetilde{Y}_{g}^{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{g}^{n} \times N_{g}^{n}}$  and  $\widetilde{Y}_{g}^{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{g}^{v} \times N_{g}^{v}}$  to evaluate if each noun/verb and its reconstructed one are the same or not as:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{g}^{a} &= \sigma((\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{g}^{n})^{T}(\boldsymbol{W}_{g}^{n}\boldsymbol{A}))^{T}, \\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{g}^{m} &= \sigma((\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{g}^{v})^{T}(\boldsymbol{W}_{g}^{v}\boldsymbol{M}))^{T}. \end{split} \tag{8}$$

With  $\widetilde{Y}_g^a$  and  $\widetilde{Y}_g^m$ , we optimize  $W_g^n$  and  $W_g^v$  by minimizing the cross-entropy loss:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{clc} &= -\sum_{g=1}^{N^Q} (\sum_{z=1}^{N_g^n} (\boldsymbol{y}_{g,z}^a)^T \log(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{g,z}^a) \\ &+ \sum_{x=1}^{N_g^v} (\boldsymbol{y}_{g,x}^m)^T \log(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{g,x}^m)), \end{split}$$

 $y_{g,z}^a$  and  $y_{g,x}^m$  are one-hot ground-truth vectors, where the z-th and x-th value are one, and rest values are zeros. By  $\mathcal{L}_{clc}$ , we use  $W_g^n$  and  $W_g^v$  to transfer all consensus appearance and action knowledge into TSG.

#### 3.5 Knowledge-based Grounding

By pre-training the appearance knowledge by  $\mathcal{L}_{app}$ and the action knowledge by  $\mathcal{L}_{action}$  with the knowledge transfer by  $\mathcal{L}_{clc}$ , we can directly utilize the suitable transferred appearance knowledge  $(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{n}, \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i}^{a})$  and action knowledge  $(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{n}, \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i}^{a})$  to localize the target segment boundary without any further training. Specifically, during the inference, given some videos  $\{V_h\}_{h=1}^{N^V}$  and a query set  $\{Q_g\}_{g=1}^{N^Q}$ , we first extract their appearance feature  $f_{h,i,k}^{a}$ , action feature  $f_{h,i}^m$ , noun feature  $f_{g,j}^n$ , verb feature  $f_{a,i}^v$ , respectively. Then, based on the collected appearance knowledge and action knowledge modules, we can obtain the corresponding consensus appearance and action features. We compute the cosine similarity matrix between consensus appearance features and video appearance features, and also calculate the cosine similarity matrix between consensus action features and video action features. After that, following (Liu et al., 2022c), we select the best-matched clip with the highest scores (sum of appearance similarity and action similarity) in the target video as the target segment center. We add the left/right frames into the segment if the ratio of their scores to the frame score of the closest segment boundary is less than a threshold  $\gamma$ . We repeat this step until no frame can be added. In this way, we can generate the final segment boundary.

			Activit	Wat Cant	iona			Charada	- CTA	
Method	Туре	ActivityNet Captions					Charades-STA			
		IoU=0.1	IoU=0.3	IoU=0.5	IoU=0.7	mIoU	IoU=0.3	IoU=0.5	IoU=0.7	mIoU
CTRL (Gao et al., 2017)	FS	49.10	28.70	14.00	-	20.54	-	21.42	7.15	-
2D-TAN (Zhang et al., 2020b)	FS	-	58.75	44.05	27.38	-	-	42.80	23.25	-
LGI (Mun et al., 2020)	FS	-	58.52	41.51	23.07	41.13	72.96	59.46	35.48	51.38
VSLNet (Zhang et al., 2020a)	FS	-	63.16	43.22	26.16	43.19	70.46	54.19	35.22	50.02
VCA (Wang et al., 2021c)	WS	67.96	50.45	31.00	-	33.15	58.58	38.13	19.57	38.49
RTBPN (Zhang et al., 2020c)	WS	73.73	49.77	29.63	-	-	60.04	32.36	13.24	-
CTF (Chen et al., 2020b)	WS	74.20	44.30	23.60	-	32.20	39.80	27.30	12.90	27.30
MARN (Song et al., 2020)	WS	-	47.01	29.95	-	-	48.55	31.94	14.81	-
SCN (Lin et al., 2020)	WS	74.48	47.23	29.22	-	-	42.96	23.58	9.97	-
BAR (Wu et al., 2020)	WS	-	49.03	30.73	-	-	44.97	27.04	12.23	-
CCL (Zhang et al., 2020d)	WS	-	50.12	31.07	-	-	-	33.21	15.68	-
LCNet (Yang et al., 2021)	WS	78.58	48.49	26.33	-	34.29	59.60	39.19	18.87	38.94
CNM (Zheng et al., 2022)	WS	78.13	55.68	33.33	-	-	60.39	35.43	15.45	-
WSTAN (Wang et al., 2021b)	WS	79.78	52.45	30.01	-	-	43.39	29.35	12.28	-
DSCNet (Liu et al., 2022c)	US	-	47.29	28.16	-	-	44.15	28.73	14.67	-
Our CMKT	US	73.35	50.69	31.28	16.42	38.79	47.80	30.96	18.87	30.42

Table 1: Performance comparison with state-of-the-art methods. 'Type' refers to supervision level, FS: fully-supervised setting, WS: weakly-supervised setting, US: unsupervised setting.

## 4 Experiment

## 4.1 Datasets and Evaluation Metrics

ActivityNet Captions. From ActivityNet v1.3 (Heilbron et al., 2015; Lan et al., 2022), ActivityNet Captions contains 20,000 YouTube videos and 100,000 language queries. On average, a video is 2 minutes and a query has about 13.5 words. Following the public split (Gao et al., 2017), we utilize 17,031 video-query pairs for testing.

**Charades-STA.** Built upon the Charades dataset (Sigurdsson et al., 2016), Charades-STA contains 16,128 video-sentence pairs. The average video length is 0.5 minutes. The language annotations are generated by sentence decomposition and keyword matching with manual checks. Following (Gao et al., 2017), we remove 12,408 training pairs and utilize the others for testing.

**Evaluation metrics.** Following previous works (Mun et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a), we use "IoU=m" for evaluation, which denotes the percentage of queries having at least one result whose Intersection over Union (IoU) with ground truth is larger than m. In our experiments,  $m \in \{0.3, 0.5, 0.7\}$  for Charades-STA and  $m \in \{0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7\}$  for ActivityNet Captions. Also, we utilize mean IoU (mIoU) as the averaged temporal IoU between the predicted boundary and the ground-truth one.

### 4.2 Implementation details

For the appearance knowledge module, we utilize all the words on the Visual Genome dataset, so the noun number of region-level semantics is 27,801. The feature dimension *d* is set to 512 for all the features. For the action knowledge module, we use the pre-trained C3D (Tran et al., 2015) network to extract the single-action and multi-action information on the Kinetics dataset. Also, we use all the verbs on the Kinetics dataset. To make a fair comparison with previous works (Gao et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020b), we also utilize the pre-trained C3D model to extract video features and employ the Glove model to obtain word embeddings. As some videos are too long, we set the length of video feature sequences to 128 for Charades-STA and 256 for ActivityNet Captions, respectively. We fix the query length to 10 in Charades-STA and 20 in ActivityNet Captions. Threshold  $\gamma$  is set to 0.9 on Charades-STA and 0.8 on ActivityNet Captions. All the experiments are implemented by PyTorch.

#### 4.3 Comparison with State-of-the-Arts

We conduct performance comparison with three categories of state-of-the-art TSG methods: (i) Fullysupervised (FS) setting (Gao et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020b; Mun et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a); (ii) Weakly-supervised (WS) setting (Chen et al., 2020b; Lin et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021b; Wu et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020d; Huang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021c; Yang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020c; Zheng et al., 2022); (iii) Unsupervised (US) setting (Liu et al., 2022c).

Table 1 reports the performance comparison. Without any supervision, our CMKT still obtains the competitive performance to WS and US methods on the ActivityNet Captions dataset. Compared with the US method DSCNet, our CMKT improves the performance by 3.40% and 3.12% in

Table 2: Main ablation study, where we remove each key component to investigate its effectiveness. "AK" denotes "appearance knowledge", "MK" denotes "action knowledge", "KT" denotes "knowledge transfer".

Model AK MK KT			KT	ActivityNet Captions				Charades-STA			
Widdel		WIIX	K I	IoU=0.3	IoU=0.5	IoU=0.7	mIoU	IoU=0.3	IoU=0.5	IoU=0.7	mIoU
CMKT(a)	<b>v</b>	~	X	45.81	24.79	11.52	31.36	40.81	24.72	10.88	25.24
CMKT(b)	X	~	~	47.50	26.82	12.37	32.71	42.43	26.87	11.74	26.90
CMKT(c)	~	×	~	48.02	27.91	13.96	34.05	44.35	27.19	12.62	27.86
CMKT(Full)	~	1	~	50.69	31.28	16.42	38.79	47.80	30.96	18.87	30.42

Table 3: Necessity of average pooling on ActivityNet Captions, where "MIP" means min-pooling, "MAP" means max-pooling, and "AVP" means average pooling.

Module	Changes	IoU=0.3	IoU=0.5	IoU=0.7	mIoU
Appearance knowledge	MIP	47.80	29.08	13.76	35.70
	MAP	48.03	30.72	14.45	36.68
	AVP	50.69	31.28	16.42	38.79
Action knowledge	MAP	48.36	28.72	15.45	35.53
	MIP	49.27	29.26	16.20	36.61
	AVP	50.69	31.28	16.42	38.79

terms of "IoU=0.3" and "IoU=0.5", demonstrating the effectiveness of our proposed CMKT. On the Charades-STA dataset, compared with supervised methods (FS and WS), our CMKT in the US setting reaches competitive performance. Although our CMKT eliminates the training process, it outperforms US method DSCNet by 3.65%, 2.23% and 4.20% in terms of "IoU=0.3", "IoU=0.5" and "IoU=0.7", which shows our effectiveness.

## 4.4 Ablation study

**Main ablation studies.** To examine the effectiveness of each module, we conduct the main ablation studies in Table 2. CMKT(a) is the baseline model, which only utilizes pre-trained appearance and action features for inference without fine-tuning knowledge. CMKT(b) removes appearance knowledge module, and directly use the action knowledge to locate the target segment. In CMKT(c), we only use the appearance information to obtain the segment boundary by removing the action information. CMKT(Full) is our full CMKT model. CMKT(Full) performs better than all the ablation models, demonstrating the effectiveness of appearance and action knowledge for understanding the long yet complex sentence in TSG.

**Investigation on the consensus features.** To assess the effectiveness of average pooling (AVP) during the generation process of consensus appearance features, we compare different pooling strategies. As shown in Table 3, our AVP significantly outperforms both min-pooling (MIP) and max-pooling

Table 4: Effect of action knowledge on ActivityNetCaptions.

Single- action	Multi- action	IoU=0.3	IoU=0.5	IoU=0.7	mIoU
×	~	48.21	27.70	15.55	36.03
1	×	49.36	29.82	15.73	37.54
<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	1	50.69	31.28	16.42	38.79

Table 5: Performance of different knowledge transferon ActivityNet Captions.

Appearance	Action	IoU=0.3	IoU=0.5	IoU=0.7	mIoU
×	X	45.81	24.79	11.52	31.36
×	~	46.07	28.30	13.15	35.08
~	×	47.15	29.98	12.74	34.86
	1	50.69	31.28	16.42	38.79

Table 6: Effect of copy-paste strategy on ActivityNetCaptions.

Changes	IoU=0.3	IoU=0.5	IoU=0.7	mIoU
w/o copy-paste	45.98	26.94	11.02	34.75
w/ video connection	49.22	30.76	14.54	37.82
w/ copy-paste	50.69	31.28	16.42	38.79

(MAP) on both two knowledge collection modules, showing that AVP is suitable for integrating the information among a single modality for representing the same semantic as the other modality.

**Influence on different types of action knowledge.** To investigate the contribution of single- and multiaction videos to our final consensus action features, we conduct the corresponding ablations in Table 4 to evaluate the significance of single-action and multi-action knowledge. Obviously, both singleaction and multi-action features contribute to our action knowledge module.

**Investigation on different types of knowledge transfer.** To evaluate the importance of appearance and action knowledge, we conduct corresponding experiments. As shown in Table 5, each knowledge contributes a lot to transferring the knowledge into our task. By jointing appearance and action knowledge, the performance can further boost.

Effect of the copy-paste strategy. To analyze the



Figure 3: Effect of parameters on ActivityNet Captions.

performance of our copy-paste strategy, an ablation experiment is conducted in Table 6, where "w/ video connection" means that we direct connect two videos for data synthesis. Thus, the copy-paste strategy is more effective to synthesize the multiaction videos for better grounding performance.

Analysis on the hyper-parameters. As shown in Figure 3, we investigate the impact of four hyperparameters: object number (K); paste rate ( $\mu$ ); positive parameter ( $\beta$ ); evaluation metrics (IoU). (i) With larger K, our proposed CMKT performs better. To balance the performance and the computation cost of object detection, we choose K = 8. (ii) All the variants obtain the best performance when the paste rate  $\mu$  is set to 0.8. (iii) Our CMKT obtains the best performance on all IoUs, demonstrating the effectiveness of each module. Thus, we set K = 8,  $\mu = 0.8$  and  $\beta = 0.9$  in all the experiments.

### 4.5 Qualitative Results

As shown in Figure 4, we visualize the localization results. Our CMKT can predict more precise segment boundaries than fully-supervised model 2D-TAN and weakly-supervised method WSTAN.



Figure 4: Qualitative results sampled from ActivityNet Captions (top) and Charades-STA (bottom).

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we address the challenging yet practical unsupervised TSG task from a brand-new perspective of knowledge transfer. Without further grounding training, we can directly utilize the general knowledge from other cross-modal tasks to guide to match the video and query for retrieving the target segment. To our best knowledge, we make the first attempt to transfer the knowledge from other multi-modal topics to our TSG task. Experimental results validate the effectiveness of CMKT, outperforming the existing unsupervised method by a large margin.

# 6 Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 61972448.

# 7 Limitations

This work analyzes an interesting yet challenging problem of how to transfer the annotation knowledge from other cheap cross-modal annotations to the complicated and challenging unsupervised TSG task. Although we can transfer the knowledge from other cheap multi-modal datasets, the contexts of these datasets are not always helpful for our grounding task. However, our proposed CMKT still provides a brand-new and interesting idea, cross-modal knowledge transfer, for promoting the development of these areas. Therefore, a more contextual and generalizable way to transfer cross-modal knowledge between different topics is a promising future direction.

# References

- Lisa Anne Hendricks, Oliver Wang, Eli Shechtman, Josef Sivic, Trevor Darrell, and Bryan Russell. 2017. Localizing moments in video with natural language. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5803–5812.
- Da Cao, Yawen Zeng, Meng Liu, Xiangnan He, Meng Wang, and Zheng Qin. 2020. Strong: Spatiotemporal reinforcement learning for cross-modal video moment localization. In *Proceedings of the* 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 4162–4170.
- Joao Carreira and Andrew Zisserman. 2017. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset. In *proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6299–6308.
- Jingyuan Chen, Xinpeng Chen, Lin Ma, Zequn Jie, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2018. Temporally grounding natural sentence in video. In *Proceedings of the 2018 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, pages 162–171.
- Long Chen, Chujie Lu, Siliang Tang, Jun Xiao, Dong Zhang, Chilie Tan, and Xiaolin Li. 2020a. Rethinking the bottom-up framework for query-based video localization. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 10551– 10558.
- Z Chen, L Ma, W Luo, and KKY Wong. 2019. Weaklysupervised spatio-temporally grounding natural sentence in video. In *Annual Meeting of the Association*

*for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Zhenfang Chen, Lin Ma, Wenhan Luo, Peng Tang, and Kwan-Yee K Wong. 2020b. Look closer to ground better: Weakly-supervised temporal grounding of sentence in video. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.09308*.
- Nikita Dvornik, Julien Mairal, and Cordelia Schmid. 2018. Modeling visual context is key to augmenting object detection datasets. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 364–380.
- Xiang Fang and Yuchong Hu. 2020. Double selfweighted multi-view clustering via adaptive view fusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.10396*.
- Xiang Fang, Yuchong Hu, Pan Zhou, and Dapeng Wu. 2021a. Animc: A soft approach for autoweighted noisy and incomplete multiview clustering. *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, 3(2):192–206.
- Xiang Fang, Yuchong Hu, Pan Zhou, and Dapeng Oliver Wu. 2020. V<sup>3</sup>h: View variation and view heredity for incomplete multiview clustering. *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, 1(3):233–247.
- Xiang Fang, Yuchong Hu, Pan Zhou, and Dapeng Oliver Wu. 2021b. Unbalanced incomplete multi-view clustering via the scheme of view evolution: Weak views are meat; strong views do eat. *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, 6(4):913–927.
- Xiang Fang, Daizong Liu, Pan Zhou, and Yuchong Hu. 2022. Multi-modal cross-domain alignment network for video moment retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*.
- Xiang Fang, Daizong Liu, Pan Zhou, and Guoshun Nan. 2023a. You can ground earlier than see: An effective and efficient pipeline for temporal sentence grounding in compressed videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2448–2460.
- Xiang Fang, Daizong Liu, Pan Zhou, Zichuan Xu, and Ruixuan Li. 2023b. Hierarchical local-global transformer for temporal sentence grounding. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*.
- Jiyang Gao, Chen Sun, Zhenheng Yang, and Ram Nevatia. 2017. Tall: Temporal activity localization via language query. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5267– 5275.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 770– 778.

- Fabian Caba Heilbron, Victor Escorcia, Bernard Ghanem, and Juan Carlos Niebles. 2015. Activitynet: A large-scale video benchmark for human activity understanding. In 2015 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR), pages 961–970. IEEE.
- M Honnibal and I Montani. 2017. Natural language understanding with bloom embeddings, convolutional neural networks and incremental parsing. Unpublished software application. https://spacy. io.
- Jiabo Huang, Yang Liu, Shaogang Gong, and Hailin Jin. 2021. Cross-sentence temporal and semantic relations in video activity localisation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 7199–7208.
- Ranjay Krishna, Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Justin Johnson, Kenji Hata, Joshua Kravitz, Stephanie Chen, Yannis Kalantidis, Li-Jia Li, David A Shamma, et al. 2017. Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations. *International journal of computer vision*, 123(1):32–73.
- Xiaohan Lan, Yitian Yuan, Xin Wang, Long Chen, Zhi Wang, Lin Ma, and Wenwu Zhu. 2022. A closer look at debiased temporal sentence grounding in videos: Dataset, metric, and approach. ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM).
- Jie Lei, Licheng Yu, Tamara L Berg, and Mohit Bansal. 2020. Tvr: A large-scale dataset for video-subtitle moment retrieval. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 447–463. Springer.
- Qian Li, Shu Guo, Cheng Ji, Xutan Peng, Shiyao Cui, Jianxin Li, and Lihong Wang. 2023a. Dual-gated fusion with prefix-tuning for multi-modal relation extraction. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*, pages 8982–8994. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Qian Li, Shu Guo, Yangyifei Luo, Cheng Ji, Lihong Wang, Jiawei Sheng, and Jianxin Li. 2023b. Attribute-consistent knowledge graph representation learning for multi-modal entity alignment. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023, WWW* 2023, Austin, TX, USA, 30 April 2023 - 4 May 2023, pages 2499–2508. ACM.
- Qian Li, Hao Peng, Jianxin Li, Jia Wu, Yuanxing Ning, Lihong Wang, Philip S. Yu, and Zheng Wang. 2022. Reinforcement learning-based dialogue guided event extraction to exploit argument relations. *IEEE ACM Trans. Audio Speech Lang. Process.*, 30:520–533.
- Zhijie Lin, Zhou Zhao, Zhu Zhang, Qi Wang, and Huasheng Liu. 2020. Weakly-supervised video moment retrieval via semantic completion network. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 34, pages 11539–11546.

- Zhouhan Lin, Minwei Feng, Cicero Nogueira dos Santos, Mo Yu, Bing Xiang, Bowen Zhou, and Yoshua Bengio. 2017. A structured self-attentive sentence embedding. *International Conference on Learning Representations(ICLR)*.
- Daizong Liu, Xiang Fang, Wei Hu, and Pan Zhou. 2023a. Exploring optical-flow-guided motion and detectionbased appearance for temporal sentence grounding. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*.
- Daizong Liu, Xiang Fang, Wei Hu, and Pan Zhou. 2023b. Exploring optical-flow-guided motion and detection-based appearance for temporal sentence grounding. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*.
- Daizong Liu, Xiang Fang, Pan Zhou, Xing Di, Weining Lu, and Yu Cheng. 2023c. Hypotheses tree building for one-shot temporal sentence localization. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Daizong Liu and Wei Hu. 2022. Skimming, locating, then perusing: A human-like framework for natural language video localization. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 4536–4545.
- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, Xing Di, Yu Cheng, Zichuan Xu, and Pan Zhou. 2022a. Memory-guided semantic learning network for temporal sentence grounding. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pages 1665–1673.
- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, Jianfeng Dong, and Pan Zhou. 2020a. Reasoning step-by-step: Temporal sentence localization in videos via deep rectificationmodulation network. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1841–1851.
- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, Jianfeng Dong, and Pan Zhou. 2021a. Adaptive proposal generation network for temporal sentence localization in videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.06398*.
- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, Jianfeng Dong, Pan Zhou, Yu Cheng, Wei Wei, Zichuan Xu, and Yulai Xie. 2021b. Context-aware biaffine localizing network for temporal sentence grounding. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 11235–11244.
- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, and Wei Hu. 2022b. Reducing the vision and language bias for temporal sentence grounding. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 4092–4101.
- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, Xiao-Yang Liu, Jianfeng Dong, Pan Zhou, and Zichuan Xu. 2020b. Jointly cross-and self-modal graph attention network for query-based moment localization. In *Proceedings of* the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 4070–4078.

- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, Yinzhen Wang, Xing Di, Kai Zou, Yu Cheng, Zichuan Xu, and Pan Zhou. 2022c. Unsupervised temporal video grounding with deep semantic clustering. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pages 1683–1691.
- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, and Pan Zhou. 2021c. Progressively guide to attend: An iterative alignment framework for temporal sentence grounding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.06400*.
- Daizong Liu, Xiaoye Qu, Pan Zhou, and Yang Liu. 2022d. Exploring motion and appearance information for temporal sentence grounding. In *Proceedings* of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 36, pages 1674–1682.
- Daizong Liu, Pan Zhou, Zichuan Xu, Haozhao Wang, and Ruixuan Li. 2022e. Few-shot temporal sentence grounding via memory-guided semantic learning. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*.
- Minuk Ma, Sunjae Yoon, Junyeong Kim, Youngjoon Lee, Sunghun Kang, and Chang D Yoo. 2020. Vlanet: Video-language alignment network for weakly-supervised video moment retrieval. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 156–171. Springer.
- Niluthpol Chowdhury Mithun, Sujoy Paul, and Amit K Roy-Chowdhury. 2019. Weakly supervised video moment retrieval from text queries. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 11592–11601.
- Jonghwan Mun, Minsu Cho, and Bohyung Han. 2020. Local-global video-text interactions for temporal grounding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10810–10819.
- Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher D Manning. 2014. Glove: Global vectors for word representation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1532–1543.
- Shaoqing Ren, Kaiming He, Ross Girshick, and Jian Sun. 2015. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28.
- Yu Rong, Takaaki Shiratori, and Hanbyul Joo. 2021. Frankmocap: A monocular 3d whole-body pose estimation system via regression and integration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1749–1759.
- Gunnar A Sigurdsson, Gül Varol, Xiaolong Wang, Ali Farhadi, Ivan Laptev, and Abhinav Gupta. 2016. Hollywood in homes: Crowdsourcing data collection for activity understanding. In Computer Vision– ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part I 14, pages 510–526. Springer.

- Yijun Song, Jingwen Wang, Lin Ma, Zhou Yu, and Jun Yu. 2020. Weakly-supervised multi-level attentional reconstruction network for grounding textual queries in videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.07048*.
- Reuben Tan, Huijuan Xu, Kate Saenko, and Bryan A Plummer. 2021. Logan: Latent graph co-attention network for weakly-supervised video moment retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 2083–2092.
- Haoyu Tang, Jihua Zhu, Meng Liu, Zan Gao, and Zhiyong Cheng. 2021. Frame-wise cross-modal matching for video moment retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 24:1338–1349.
- Du Tran, Lubomir Bourdev, Rob Fergus, Lorenzo Torresani, and Manohar Paluri. 2015. Learning spatiotemporal features with 3d convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 4489–4497.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.
- Yimu Wang, Shiyin Lu, and Lijun Zhang. 2020a. Searching privately by imperceptible lying: A novel private hashing method with differential privacy. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, page 2700–2709.
- Yimu Wang and Peng Shi. 2023. Video-text retrieval by supervised multi-space multi-grained alignment.
- Yimu Wang, Ren-Jie Song, Xiu-Shen Wei, and Lijun Zhang. 2020b. An adversarial domain adaptation network for cross-domain fine-grained recognition. In 2020 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pages 1217–1225.
- Yimu Wang, Xiu-Shen Wei, Bo Xue, and Lijun Zhang. 2020c. Piecewise hashing: A deep hashing method for large-scale fine-grained search. In *Pattern Recognition and Computer Vision - Third Chinese Conference, PRCV 2020, Nanjing, China, October 16-18,* 2020, Proceedings, Part II, pages 432–444.
- Yimu Wang, Bo Xue, Quan Cheng, Yuhui Chen, and Lijun Zhang. 2021a. Deep unified cross-modality hashing by pairwise data alignment. In *Proceedings* of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-21, pages 1129–1135.
- Yuechen Wang, Jiajun Deng, Wengang Zhou, and Houqiang Li. 2021b. Weakly supervised temporal adjacent network for language grounding. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 24:3276–3286.
- Zheng Wang, Jingjing Chen, and Yu-Gang Jiang. 2021c. Visual co-occurrence alignment learning for weaklysupervised video moment retrieval. In *Proceedings* of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 1459–1468.

- Jie Wu, Guanbin Li, Xiaoguang Han, and Liang Lin. 2020. Reinforcement learning for weakly supervised temporal grounding of natural language in untrimmed videos. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 1283–1291.
- Zhenbo Xu, Ajin Meng, Zhenbo Shi, Wei Yang, Zhi Chen, and Liusheng Huang. 2021. Continuous copypaste for one-stage multi-object tracking and segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 15323– 15332.
- Wenfei Yang, Tianzhu Zhang, Yongdong Zhang, and Feng Wu. 2021. Local correspondence network for weakly supervised temporal sentence grounding. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 30:3252– 3262.
- Hao Zhang, Aixin Sun, Wei Jing, and Joey Tianyi Zhou. 2020a. Span-based localizing network for natural language video localization. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6543–6554.
- Mingxing Zhang, Yang Yang, Xinghan Chen, Yanli Ji, Xing Xu, Jingjing Li, and Heng Tao Shen. 2021. Multi-stage aggregated transformer network for temporal language localization in videos. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 12669–12678.
- Songyang Zhang, Houwen Peng, Jianlong Fu, and Jiebo Luo. 2020b. Learning 2d temporal adjacent networks for moment localization with natural language. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 12870–12877.
- Zhu Zhang, Zhijie Lin, Zhou Zhao, Jieming Zhu, and Xiuqiang He. 2020c. Regularized two-branch proposal networks for weakly-supervised moment retrieval in videos. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 4098–4106.
- Zhu Zhang, Zhou Zhao, Zhijie Lin, Xiuqiang He, et al. 2020d. Counterfactual contrastive learning for weakly-supervised vision-language grounding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:18123–18134.
- Minghang Zheng, Yanjie Huang, Qingchao Chen, and Yang Liu. 2022. Weakly supervised video moment localization with contrastive negative sample mining. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pages 3517–3525.