Dealing with hallucination and omission in neural Natural Language Generation: A use case on meteorology

Javier González-Corbelle, Jose M. Alonso-Moral, A. Bugarín-Diz

Centro Singular de Investigación en Tecnoloxías Intelixentes (CiTIUS), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Spain

{j.gonzalez.corbelle, josemaria.alonso.moral, alberto.bugarin.diz}@usc.es

J. Taboada MeteoGalicia Xunta de Galicia Santiago de Compostela, Spain

coordinador-predicion.meteogalicia@xunta.gal

Abstract

Hallucinations and omissions need to be carefully handled when using neural models for performing Natural Language Generation tasks. In the particular case of data to text applications, neural models are usually trained on large-scale datasets and sometimes generate text including divergences with respect to the input data. In this paper, we show the impact of the lack of domain knowledge in the generation of texts containing input-output divergences through a use case on meteorology. To analyze these phenomena we adapt a Transformer-based model to our specific domain, i.e., meteorology, and train it with a new dataset and corpus curated by meteorologists. Then, we perform a divergences' detection step with a simple detector in order to identify the clearest divergences, especially those involving hallucinations. Finally, these hallucinations are analyzed by an expert in the meteorology domain, with the aim of classifying them by severity, taking into account the domain knowledge.

1 Introduction

Since the emergence of Natural Language Generation (NLG), this subfield of Natural Language Processing (NLP) has not stopped evolving. However, the fastest evolution has occurred in the last years, due to the advances made in Deep Learning models. With the arrival of the attention mechanism and the Transformer-based models (e.g., BERT [Devlin et al., 2019], GPT-2 [Radford et al., 2019], or GPT-3 [Brown et al., 2020]), the way in which NLG tasks such as text summarization, question answering, or data to text (D2T) are approached has changed drastically. Before the appearance of these end-to-end neural models, the generation of NLG models had at least two main tasks to accomplish (content selection and surface realization) and sometimes even more subtasks (e.g., lexicalization or aggregation) (Reiter and Dale, 1997). Now, with end-to-end models, the whole generation process is made in a single step. Furthermore, neural models allow us to obtain natural, diverse, and fluent texts. Of course, these models also have their drawbacks, such as the necessity of a large corpus or enough computational resources to train the model for a given task.

In addition, in the context of D2T, texts generated by neural models are sometimes affected by divergences with the input data (Dušek et al., 2019). On the one hand, neural models may generate texts that are incoherent or unrelated with the input of a D2T system, i.e., hallucinations. On the other hand, generated texts may not mention some (relevant) information from the input data, i.e., omissions. Despite recent efforts to minimize the appearance of these undesired divergences (Nie et al., 2019; Dušek and Kasner, 2020), further research is needed to deal properly with them when building neural models for D2T systems.

The first step to minimize hallucination and omission on neural models is to detect them. The task of detection requires checking for each generated text if its content matches with the input provided to the model. But it depends on the task for which the model has been designed. The input of an NLG system can be provided in different forms (e.g., structured meaning representation, images, tabular data, or text). In this paper, we focus our research on the detection of hallucinations and omissions when performing a D2T task in which the input is tabular data. Accordingly, we must analyze the content of a generated text, extract its meaning, and then check the consistency or divergence with respect to the data table that was provided as input to the generation system.

Performing this task manually is tough and costly, in terms of time and human resources. Nevertheless, due to the variety and diversity of the texts generated by neural models, sometimes a fully automatic detection does not work properly because of context dependencies, ambiguity, or domain-specific language that only humans can understand. Thus, in this work, we first perform an automatic detection of divergences with our detector, and then a human expert analysis over the detected hallucinations. Notice that, the tasks to be carried out here are aligned with the error annotation and error-based evaluation proposed by (Thomson and Reiter, 2020).

Our focus is on an end-to-end D2T system for meteorology. Let us introduce an example. We can see in Fig. 1 a case of hallucinated content. The generated text refers to "hail" although there is no evidence of hailstone anywhere in the data. Thus, the generated text includes content which is not present in the input data. However, when we asked a meteorologist to rate the severity of this hallucination, he rated it as acceptable because "when there is rainy weather in the whole region there is a chance for occasional hail in some locations". This type of cases highlights the importance of considering explicit domain knowledge, something that neural models are not able to achieve by themselves, since they only operate with the provided data.

The main contributions in this work are:

- A new available Spanish dataset for D2T, including a clean corpus of meteorological texts: MeteoGalicia-ES¹. It is made up of 3,033 state-of-the-sky descriptions written by meteorologists, along with the corresponding tabular data for each described situation.
- 2. An adaption of a Transformer-based model to generate weather descriptions in Spanish from the tabular data in the MeteoGalicia-ES dataset.
- An expert analysis of hallucinations over a set of divergences previously identified with the proposed detector of D2T divergences.

Input data table:

Zone	Morning	Afternoon	Night
Mariña Oriental	weak showers	showers	weak showers
Mariña Occidental	weak showers	showers	weak showers
Deza	weak showers	showers	sunny intervals

Generated text: The skies are expected to be cloudy with intermittent showers, occasionally stormy and accompanied by hail, more frequent in the morning.

Reference text: Skies will remain partly cloudy with showers, more frequent in the west.

Figure 1: Illustrative example of divergence between input data and output text of a neural D2T system. The hallucinated content is highlighted in red.²

The rest of the manuscript is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces related work. Section 3 presents the new dataset. Section 4 presents the proposal of a neural D2T system for the use case under consideration. Section 5 presents the approach for detecting divergences between input and output, along with the domain-expert analysis over hallucinations. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper with some final remarks and points out future work.

2 Background

2.1 Data-to-text

One of the most popular and complete books on NLG, centered on D2T, was published by Reiter and Dale (1997). But, since the publication of this pioneering book, new methods have been developed in the field of NLG and, in particular, in the D2T subfield. Nowadays, rule-based or templatebased systems tend to be replaced by deep learning models derived from the Machine Learning field, as described by Gatt and Krahmer (2018). Traditionally, NLG had to address, at least, two main tasks (usually addressed independently): the content selection, i.e., selecting the appropriate pieces of information to include in the final narrative; and the surface realization, i.e., communicating the selected information in the right format. However, end-to-end models are capable of addressing the whole generation pipeline at once, thus generating more complex outputs than traditional models while learning lexical and syntactic richness from large corpus and associated datasets.

¹https://gitlab.citius.usc.es/gsi-nlg/ meteogalicia-es

²The original texts were in Spanish. We provide in the Figure the English translation.

Moreover, the development of the attention mechanism and the Transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017) revolutionized both NLP and NLG fields. Even though, initially, Transformer models were used mainly for NLP tasks (e.g., question-answering or summarization) and text-to-text generation, their use in the context of D2T has also increased during last years (Devlin et al., 2019; Radford et al., 2019; Raffel et al., 2019).

In this paper, we focus on a subtask of D2T, named table-to-text, which aims to produce textual descriptions from an input in the form of structured tabular data. Recently, some end-to-end models were proposed to accomplish this task. For example, Puduppully et al. (2019) designed and developed a neural model which creates entity-specific representations, avoiding treating entities as simple vocabulary tokens. In addition, Gong et al. (2019a) and Rebuffel et al. (2019) proposed the use of hierarchical models in order to pay attention to different dimensions of tabular data. The former focuses on row, column, and time dimensions, while the latter encodes the input data at both element and structure level.

It is worth noting that even if it is well known that end-to-end models need large datasets to be properly trained, in the case of the table-to-text task there is still a lack of public datasets including human-written texts paired with tabular data. Indeed, some of the most popular datasets used to accomplish this task are from the sports domain, such as ROTOWIRE (Wiseman et al., 2017) and MLB (Puduppully et al., 2019) which include human-written summaries aligned with box-score data. In addition, if we look for open-domain datasets, we can find datasets like ToTTo (Parikh et al., 2020) and WIKIBIO (Lebret et al., 2016), both including tabular information and texts extracted from the Wikipedia.

It is worth noting that all the mentioned datasets are in English, and there is an evident lack of D2T resources in other languages. Here, one of our contributions is providing the NLG community with a new Spanish dataset composed of meteorological tabular data, aligned with textual descriptions made by experts in the field.

2.2 Hallucination and omission in D2T

Although end-to-end NLG models usually produce text which is characterized by fluency and natural-

ness, the fidelity to data of such text is sometimes arguable. Some generated texts mention false information, information that is not in the data, or simply ignore some relevant data. These phenomena, in many cases, are not acceptable (e.g., generation of medical or financial reports) and in many others make the text simply unpleasant or useless for the user (e.g., a virtual hotel advisor that gives you false information or omits good deals), which jeopardizes trust and credibility.

Accordingly, there has been an effort to propose novel methods to detect and minimize negative effects associated to hallucinations and/or omissions, and that way contributing to a more responsible NLP. Some studies showed how semantic noise in training data may lead neural models to divergence between input and output, either in the form of omissions or hallucinations (Dušek et al., 2019). Thus, some authors (Wang, 2019; Nie et al., 2019) proposed to reduce noise in training data with the aim of producing more consistent texts, while maintaining good fluency. In addition, Rebuffel et al. (2021) opted for enhancing the neural models instead of cleaning the datasets: they proposed the use of a decoder to leverage word-level labels and to learn relevant parts of each data instance. In the context of text-to-text summarization, Feijo and Moreira (2021) proposed first the creation of different "views" of the source text and then the selection of those candidate summaries which were more faithful to the source.

Notice that, all the proposals mentioned above are aimed to reducing the apparition of divergence between input and output for a given dataset and a specific model. Nevertheless, if we want to address the problem in a general way, we must address first the detection and classification of divergences and then, we may select the right way to deal properly with each case of hallucination or omission. Accordingly, Maynez et al. (2020) carried out a thorough analysis on different types of hallucination in the context of summarization. Human annotators read multiple summaries and identified both intrinsic (i.e., manipulating the information obtained from the input) and extrinsic (i.e., adding information beyond the one directly inferred from the input) hallucinations. This analysis reveals the dimension of the problem, which affects not only the summarization but also all tasks related to endto-end NLG neural models.

In addition, Dušek and Kasner (2020) presented

a metric for evaluating D2T semantic accuracy based on Natural Language Inference. This metric detects both hallucinations and omissions automatically, but it is only for tasks where no content selection is required. Furthermore, there are some cases in which an automatic metric is not faithful enough to analyze the goodness of texts (e.g., context dependencies or domain-specific vocabulary) and complementary human evaluation is required.

In this paper, we make an expert analysis over different types of divergences detected by our automatic detector. First, the detector identifies both hallucinations and omissions from the output of an end-to-end D2T Transformer-based neural model. Then, an expert meteorologist analyzes the severity of the different types of hallucinations previously detected, and remarks the importance of considering contextual commonsense knowledge as part of the generation process.

3 The MeteoGalicia-ES Dataset

Weather forecasting is a popular topic in the D2T research field. There are some well-known datasets. For example, SUMTIME (Sripada et al., 2002) and WEATHERGOV (Liang et al., 2009). Here, we introduce a new dataset (MeteoGalicia-ES) which is made up of 3,033 records of meteorological tabular data along with handwritten textual descriptions in Spanish. Notice that, the dataset comprises real data and texts written by meteorologists. It was provided by MeteoGalicia, the Official Meteorological Agency of Galicia³.

3.1 Data tables

The data contained in MeteoGalicia-ES represent the state-of-the-sky by categorical values (e.g., "sunny", "clouds", "rain", "fog", etc.). The data provided in the dataset is organized in the form of different instances, each one composed by a table divided into 4 columns and 32 rows. The first column indicates the geographical zone of interest in Galicia, which covers a group of councils, while the remaining columns contain a value for each period of the day (morning, afternoon and night). This way, we have 3 state-of-the-sky values for each of the different 32 zones in Galicia, i.e., 96 (3 \times 32) values per table.

All in all, in agreement with MeteoGalicia's Style Guide, there are 20 different possible values for the state-of-the-sky, such as "rainy", "high

clouds", "clear", etc. Unfortunately, being real data, the distribution of these data values is not homogeneous in the dataset. Therefore, in order to provide readers with useful and meaningful statistics, we have grouped the 20 possible values into 6 main categories regarding similar weather events, which are ranked in terms of their coverage of the dataset. We considered only those events which are in MeteoGalicia's Style Guide. Each one of these events is represented in maps by a single specific icon, while textual descriptions admit some variety in the form of a list of admitted synonymous.

- Cloud: it contains the four events that involve any type of clouds: (1.1) "sunny intervals", (1.2) "clouds", (1.3) "high clouds", (1.4) "cloudy with sunny spells", and (1.5) "covered". This is by far the main category which covers a 47.3% of the data values, i.e., nearly the half of the cases in the dataset are related with events regarding clouds.
- 2. **Rain**: it contains the six events that involve water dropping: (2.1) "weak rains", (2.2) "showers", (2.3) "rain", (2.4) "weak showers", (2.5) "drizzle" and (2.6) "cloudy with showers". This category is associated with the 27.6% of cases in the dataset.
- 3. **Clear**: it contains only the value (3.1) "clear", i.e., what applies when there is no more than sun in the sky. This category represents the 21.5% of cases in the dataset.
- 4. **Snow**: it contains four events which involve frozen water: (4.1) "snow showers", (4.2) "snow", (4.3) "hail" and (4.4) "sleet". This category only covers the 1.7% of cases in the dataset.
- 5. Fog: it contains three events which involve visibility reduction: (5.1) "fog", (5.2) "fog banks" and (5.3) "mist". Only 1.6% of cases are in this category.
- 6. **Storm**: it contains only the value (6.1) "storm", i.e., what applies when electrical events (thunder and lightning) appear in the sky. This is by far the most underrepresented category, with only 0.3% of cases.

It is also worth noting that some state-of-thesky values do not appear repeatedly in the same

³https://www.meteogalicia.gal

data instance. For example, the "snow" value appears only in specific zones in the region, i.e., in a particular cell of the data table. In addition, if we only take into account the single apparitions of the values in each data table (i.e., if a value appears more than once in an instance, it counts only as one) the computed statistics are quite different from the introduced above. In the 93.74% of data tables, there is at least one reference to the Cloud category. This means that almost all the meteorological situations from the dataset include weather phenomena involving clouds. The second most common category is **Rain**, with the 68.84% of the records referring to some rain phenomena. In addition, the Clear category covers nearly the half of the tables (47.25%) and the Fog category covers the 40.45% of tables. Snow and Storm are the most underrepresented categories, covering 14.41% and 8.41% of tables, respectively.

As we can see, the weather categories in MeteoGalicia-ES are unbalanced, some categories are overrepresented (e.g., **Cloud** and **Rain**) while others (e.g., **Snow** and **Storm**) are underrepresented. This is due to the fact that we are dealing with real data which were collected from 2010 to 2020, so they provide us with a complete picture of the weather in the Galician region during these period.

3.2 Texts

Associated to each data table, there is a textual description written by a meteorologist. All in all, there are 3,033 short meteorological descriptions of the state-of-the-sky made by experts in the field. Each description was cleaned and cured, correcting common punctuation or spelling typos. The length of the texts is variable, from a minimum of 25 characters until a maximum of 557 characters. The average length of the descriptions is 186 characters, while the standard deviation is 71.

We also made a deeper analysis of the collected texts, taking into account the type of textual references that they include. We considered both value references and spatial references. Value references match a state-of-the-sky value from the mentioned in section 3.1 (e.g., "fogs", "rain", "hail", etc.), while spatial references determine where a weather phenomenon takes place (e.g., "coast" vs "inland", or "north" vs "south"). In order to detect these two types of reference, we performed different searching methods based on the MeteoGalicia's Style Guide. This guide contains the vocabulary which must be used to refer to each weather phenomenon, and also the correct spatial references to name each zone in the map. This way, we created a dictionary with all potential expressions used by meteorologists when referring to zones and stateof-the-sky values. As a result of our analysis, we found out that in each text from the corpus, there are on average 2.53 value references and 1.66 spatial references. As expected, since texts describe the state-of-the-sky situation of a day in Galicia, we have more value references than spatial ones. Having between two and three value references per text means that data tables and descriptions are well aligned. It must be also highlighted the presence of above 1.5 spatial references in each text, which denotes the importance of this type of expressions in weather descriptions.

Additionally, we performed an analysis over temporal references, i.e., expressions that determine when a phenomenon occurs. In this case, we could not trust the vocabulary established by the Meteo-Galicia's Style Guide because it does not say anything about temporal references. Therefore, we performed a preliminary ad-hoc search of simple expressions (e.g, morning, afternoon, or night). Following this naive approach, we discovered on average about 1.07 temporal references in each text. Taking into account that we have probably overlooked some temporal expressions and therefore underestimated their presence in the dataset, we think they are likely to play a relevant role in the detection of hallucinations and/or omissions, and we will address this important issue in future work.

4 Data-to-text generation

This section describes an end-to-end D2T neural model which is trained with the MeteoGalicia-ES dataset previously introduced. Instead of designing a D2T system from scratch, we have reused the architecture of an existing Transformer-based model (Obeid and Hoque, 2020) which is carefully modified to be effective in our use case: generation of textual descriptions from tabular meteorological data. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that for the purpose of this paper, we do not need building the best (or a very good) D2T system for the given use case. This is because our ultimate goal, which will be carefully addressed in the next section, is testing an approach for automated detection of hallucinations and omissions previous to a careful expert analysis over the detected cases. In this context, having a D2T system which performed perfectly free of divergences between inputs and outputs would make our experiment useless.

In the rest of this section, we first describe the Transformer-based architecture that is taken as base model. Then, we go in detail about how it has been reused, enhanced, trained and tested with the MeteoGalicia-ES dataset in order to generate weather forecasts in Spanish.

4.1 Base model

We took as starting point the Chart-to-text model (Obeid and Hoque, 2020). Given a chart and its title, this model describes the data embedded and depicted in the chart. Chart-to-text extends another previous Transformer-based D2T model (Gong et al., 2019b) in the following way: (i) Chart-to-text passes from input rows to input records, as a result it facilitates the addition of contextual information to the D2T system; (ii) Chartto-text reintroduces positional embeddings as defined in the pioneering Transformer-based models for machine translation (Vaswani et al., 2017); and (iii) Chart-to-text can be fed with both numerical and categorical data values. These extensions are well aligned with our purposes because (i) we deal with more than the four values per tuple which were allowed by the original model; (ii) weather forecasting requires dealing with ordered/temporal relationships; and (iii) we have categorical values, such as the state-of-the-sky for each zone in Galicia (see the categories that we introduced in Section 3).

Additionally, the Chart-to-text base model includes a pre-processing stage initially thought for minimizing overfitting of the model but which can be seen as a very naive way for minimizing hallucinations, as we will see in the next section. More precisely, before training the model, the gold summaries in the corpus, i.e., original reference summaries, are pre-processed as follows: each token that refers to a value included either in the data table or in the chart title is replaced by a predefined label. This way, the model learns to generate more generic template-based summaries, i.e., non-valuedependent texts.

4.2 Our approach

Due to the nature of the data in MeteoGalicia-ES, we had to carry out several modifications on the base model with the aim of making it operative. First, our corpus is in Spanish while the base model was thought for being trained with a corpus in English. Second, the MeteoGalicia-ES dataset comes from the specific field of meteorology, while the base model was aimed for describing generic charts from any field. In the rest of this section we explain in detail, step by step, how we have recycled and extended the base model.

4.2.1 Input data and pre-processing

Since we are dealing with tabular data, we maintain the base format. In the base model, each chart came with a data table and a brief title, which was taken into account when generating the descriptions. In our case, each table comprises all available meteorological data for one given day, i.e., it includes categorical values associated to the state-of-the-sky for each zone in Galicia and period of the day (morning, afternoon, night). We also added a generic title ("Weather forecast of a day in Galicia, by period of the day") to each data table. This way, the D2T system can extract relevant tokens from the title during text generation. Notice that, each data table and title have the textual description in Spanish attached, which was handwritten by a meteorologist. Therefore, in the data pre-processing stage, our model had to be pretrained to identify relevant tokens in Spanish before being ready to use them properly in the text generation stage. Similarly to Chart-to-text, we applied named entity recognition (Manning et al., 2014) to MeteoGalicia-ES with the aim of extracting important information from the given descriptions and titles associated to each data table.

4.2.2 Training and validation

Regarding the training and validation stages, we reused the architecture of the base neural model (Obeid and Hoque, 2020) with some variations in the parameters. We first randomized all the MeteoGalicia-ES instances and then used the 70% of them for training, 15% for validation and 15% for testing. The model was trained for 10 epochs with an epoch size of 1000, a dropout rate of 0.1, using 1 encoder layer, 6 decoder layers, embedding size of 512, batch size of 6, and beam size of 4. We used the hyperparameter values recommended by Chart-to-text without additional hyperparameter search. The model was trained on a GeForce RTX-2080 machine. The whole training took around 30 minutes. Once the model was trained, it was able to generate templates, i.e., texts with some gaps to fill with values from the input data.

4.2.3 Testing and post-processing

In the testing stage, the pre-trained model was provided only with the testing tabular data, and it was able to generate the final texts by filling in the previously generated templates. In the base model, each label in a gold template referred directly to a single value in the data table or to a single word in the title. Accordingly, filling in the given templates was straightforward. In our case, labels in templates are directly replaced by the given values only if the labels refer to values in the title. Otherwise, the BETO model (Cañete et al., 2020), pre-trained on a big Spanish corpus (Cañete, 2019), is applied to fill in the gap. This model is a Spanish version of the BERT model (Devlin et al., 2019) which replaces each label referring to tabular data with the best word from a set of candidates which includes the values in the corresponding category of data values. This way we improve naturalness while ensuring that gaps in templates are filled only with words that match the context of the sentence, thus minimizing typos as well as syntactic errors in surface realization. Finally, we run a post-processing step for polishing the generated texts and fixing some writing and/or concordance errors (e.g., fixing the use of capital letters after a full stop, verifying concordance of words in gender and number, removing repetitions of words, etc.).

5 Hallucination and Omission detector

This section first introduces and then validates our proposal for detecting hallucinations and omissions in texts generated by neural D2T systems. While the proposed approach is generic, it is validated in the meteorology use case we are considering.

The divergence detector is a software application composed of two independent parts, one for detecting each type of divergence. On the one hand, the omission detection part works as follows: it looks first at the table with input data values (i.e., identifies all state-of-the-sky values which apply to the case under consideration) and then checks if all these values are mentioned in the generated text. The detector counts as omission each value which is in the input data but is not explicitly referred to in the output text. On the other hand, the hallucination detection part follows the other way round. It looks first to the output text, identifies all data values which are mentioned in the text, and then checks if they are also included in the related input data. The detector counts as hallucination each

value which is mentioned in the output text but is not present in the input data.

It is worth noting that the current detector only looks for exact values, i.e., synonyms are not taken into consideration during the detection stage, what we are aware is a limitation of the present proposal to be addressed as future work. With the aim of evaluating the goodness of the proposal, we have validated the divergence detector with all the 272 unseen cases in the test set which was introduced in the previous section.

5.1 Reported omissions

Making use of our detector, we found that the number of omissions detected was very high. We identified omissions in 160 out of 272 texts (58%). This result shows how frequent omissions are in texts generated by neural models. However, further research is needed to assess how many of those omissions are admissible, and then refining accordingly our detector with the aim of reporting only those omissions that are more likely to be negatively perceived by humans. Indeed, omissions are naturally used by humans (as well as by traditional nonneural NLG systems) and they may be sometimes well appreciated because of producing shorter texts which only mention the most relevant pieces of information (as traditional NLG systems do thanks to the explicit stage of content determination).

For example, the data table associated to a given case includes the value "high clouds" while the output text refers to "open skies will prevail". Formally speaking, this case counts as an omission because "high clouds" are not explicitly mentioned in the text. However, it should not because the generated text is considered valid by the meteorologist since it makes sense and conveys the correct information. This kind of cases are easily evaluated by humans, but really hard to be identified correctly by an automatic detector.

In order to identify which omissions could be admissible for humans and therefore should not be reported as unacceptable by our detector, we asked a meteorologist to analyze in detail a group of randomly selected cases among the detected omissions. He confirmed that many of them were admissible because in the context of meteorology missing some information is not so severe as it may be in other application domains. In fact, in some cases, the meteorologist preferred certain omission to the exhaustive verbalization of all the values in the data table what could lead to a long, verbose, repetitive and less natural text.

It is worth noting that our results are in agreement with those reported by related work in which a similar analysis was done. For example, Dušek et al. (2019) and Nie et al. (2019) also reported many omissions when analyzing the content coverage of texts generated by neural models. They also noted that forcing the model to verbalize all slots during training leads to fewer omissions but at the cost of producing longer texts.

5.2 Reported hallucinations

The texts generated by our model describe meteorological situations in a geographical region, but the handwritten reference texts sometimes describe the state-of-the-sky of specific zones inside the whole Galician region, e.g., "Skies will be cloudy in the Atlantic coast". Considering this, if our model generates a text in which a state-of-the-sky value is associated to a wrong zone (e.g., following the previous example, there are no clouds in the Atlantic coast), it must be considered also a case of hallucination.

Accordingly, we analyzed two different levels of hallucination: basic hallucinations and spatial hallucinations. The former are cases in which the model generation adds information not directly inferable from the input, i.e., extrinsic hallucinations, while the latter are generations in which the model manipulates geographical information inferred from the input (it could be considered as an intrinsic domain-specific hallucination).

Once again, we followed the MeteoGalicia's Style Guide, with the aim of identifying the list of admissible spatial references along with their related locations in the map. As a result, we identified 48 different reference expressions that meteorologists may use to refer to different geographical zones in Galicia.

The detector identified 35 basic hallucinations and 11 spatial hallucinations out of all the 272 texts under study. In order to assess the goodness of the detector and to determine if all reported hallucinations were really worthy to note, we asked once again the assistance of a meteorologist. He rated the degree of relevance of each detected hallucination in a 3-points Likert scale (admissible, partially admissible, inadmissible). Surprisingly, 12 (10 basic and 2 spatial hallucinations) out of all the 46 detected hallucinations were deemed as admissible. Formally speaking, all these 12 cases were hallucinations (i.e., the state-of-the-sky values mentioned in the output text were not present in the input data) but, according to the meteorologist's background and in agreement with contextual information and commonsense reasoning, they were admissible.

Figure 1 depicted an example of admissible hallucination. Even if according to the strict data checking done by our detector this is a case of hallucination, the meteorologist rated it as admissible due to the observed situation in the whole region, which according to his experience justifies a very high possibility of hail. It is also worthy to note that in four of the hallucinations rated as admissible by the meteorologist, the reference texts in the corpus also mentioned some values which were not in the data. For example, in one of the cases, both reference and model texts mention "storm with hail" while in the associated data there are only "storm" values. This suggests that it may be a good thing to use the detector as part of the preprocessing stage for automatically identifying and fixing similar cases that are likely to be included in the training set. We will address this challenging task in the near future.

6 Final Remarks and Future Work

In this paper, we first introduced a new dataset (MeteoGalicia-ES) for D2T in the application domain of meteorology. Then, we reused and adapted a neural D2T system to generate weather descriptions from MeteoGalicia-ES. Finally, we described an approach to automatically detect and validate hallucinations and/or omissions in the texts generated by the D2T system previously trained.

In the light of the reported results, we can draw the following important remarks. First, neural D2T systems, after being trained with large-scale datasets, can generate natural and fluid texts, but more often than not the generated texts provide unfaithful information or inconsistencies with respect to the input data, mainly in the form of omissions and/or hallucinations. In our specific use case, we detected more omissions than hallucinations, but in general hallucinations were more negatively perceived and deemed as misleading by the meteorologist who assisted us in the validation stage. Notice that the observed divergence between input and output in some controversial cases is likely to be due to the lack of ability of the designed D2T system to deal with contextual information and commonsense reasoning as humans naturally do. In addition, we must take into account that in practice, meteorologists rely on contextual information and commonsense reasoning beyond input data when writing weather forecasts. Current neural D2T systems can not capture such a general knowledge because they are only guided by the given training data. This means that for truly complex tasks, where either omissions or hallucinations may be critical, neural models have to be endowed and integrated with other knowledge sources different from data, if we want them to achieve high quality automatically generated texts which are as correct as expert-made ones.

Last but not least, the high level of naturalness and fluidity that neural D2T systems usually achieve may raise too high expectations in end users, who may be frustrated when discovering some misleading pieces of information. We claim that providing users with the generated texts and the findings of our detector contributes to lowering expectations, in the sense that we make explicit limitations and undesired behaviors of the underlying D2T system. This way, we contribute to a more responsible NLP.

As future work, we plan in the midterm to enrich our neural D2T system with a knowledge base including meteorological facts (regarding both spatial and temporal references) but also in the longterm with temporal knowledge. As a result, we expect to improve both text generation and hallucination/omission detection. Moreover, we will go deeper with understanding how classical NLG approaches for content determination can help to identify relevant omissions.

Acknowledgments

Jose Maria Alonso-Moral is a *Ramón y Cajal* Researcher (RYC-2016-19802). This research was funded by the Spanish Ministry for Science, Innovation and Universities (grants PID2020-112623GB-I00, and PDC2021-121072-C21) and the Galician Ministry of Culture, Education, Professional Training and University (grants ED431F 2018/02, ED431C 2018/29, ED431G/08, ED431G2019/04, ED431C2022/19). All grants were co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF/FEDER program).

References

- Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *CoRR*, abs/2005.14165.
- José Cañete. 2019. Compilation of large spanish unannotated corpora. Zenodo.
- José Cañete, Gabriel Chaperon, Rodrigo Fuentes, Jou-Hui Ho, Hojin Kang, and Jorge Pérez. 2020. Spanish pre-trained bert model and evaluation data. In *PML4DC at ICLR 2020*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171– 4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ondřej Dušek, David M. Howcroft, and Verena Rieser. 2019. Semantic noise matters for neural natural language generation. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Natural Language Generation*, pages 421–426, Tokyo, Japan. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ondřej Dušek and Zdeněk Kasner. 2020. Evaluating semantic accuracy of data-to-text generation with natural language inference. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Natural Language Generation*, pages 131–137, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Diego Feijo and Viviane Moreira. 2021. Improving abstractive summarization of legal rulings through textual entailment. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*.
- Albert Gatt and Emiel Krahmer. 2018. Survey of the state of the art in natural language generation: Core tasks, applications and evaluation. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 61(1):65–170.
- Heng Gong, Xiaocheng Feng, Bing Qin, and Ting Liu. 2019a. Table-to-text generation with effective hierarchical encoder on three dimensions (row, column and time). In Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 3143– 3152, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Li Gong, Josep Crego, and Jean Senellart. 2019b. Enhanced transformer model for data-to-text generation. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Neural Generation and Translation*, pages 148–156, Hong Kong. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rémi Lebret, David Grangier, and Michael Auli. 2016. Neural Text Generation from Structured Data with Application to the Biography Domain. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1203– 1213, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Percy Liang, Michael Jordan, and Dan Klein. 2009. Learning semantic correspondences with less supervision. In Proceedings of the Joint Conference of the 47th Annual Meeting of the ACL and the 4th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing of the AFNLP, pages 91–99, Suntec, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Christopher Manning, Mihai Surdeanu, John Bauer, Jenny Finkel, Steven Bethard, and David McClosky. 2014. The Stanford CoreNLP natural language processing toolkit. In Proceedings of 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations, pages 55–60, Baltimore, Maryland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Joshua Maynez, Shashi Narayan, Bernd Bohnet, and Ryan McDonald. 2020. On faithfulness and factuality in abstractive summarization. In *Proceedings* of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 1906–1919, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Feng Nie, Jin-Ge Yao, Jinpeng Wang, Rong Pan, and Chin-Yew Lin. 2019. A simple recipe towards reducing hallucination in neural surface realisation. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 2673– 2679, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jason Obeid and Enamul Hoque. 2020. Chart-totext: Generating natural language descriptions for charts by adapting the transformer model. *CoRR*, abs/2010.09142.
- Ankur Parikh, Xuezhi Wang, Sebastian Gehrmann, Manaal Faruqui, Bhuwan Dhingra, Diyi Yang, and Dipanjan Das. 2020. ToTTo: A controlled table-to-text generation dataset. In Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 1173–1186, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ratish Puduppully, Li Dong, and Mirella Lapata. 2019. Data-to-text generation with entity modeling. *CoRR*, abs/1906.03221.
- Alec Radford, Jeff Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners.

- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2019. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *CoRR*, abs/1910.10683.
- Clément Rebuffel, Marco Roberti, Laure Soulier, Geoffrey Scoutheeten, Rossella Cancelliere, and Patrick Gallinari. 2021. Controlling hallucinations at word level in data-to-text generation. *CoRR*, abs/2102.02810.
- Clément Rebuffel, Laure Soulier, Geoffrey Scoutheeten, and Patrick Gallinari. 2019. A hierarchical model for data-to-text generation. *CoRR*, abs/1912.10011.
- Ehud Reiter and Robert Dale. 1997. Building applied natural language generation systems. *Natural Language Engineering*, 3(1):57–87.
- Somayajulu Sripada, Ehud Reiter, Jim Hunter, and Jin Yu. 2002. Sumtime-meteo: Parallel corpus of naturally occurring forecast texts and weather data. *Computing Science Department, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, Tech. Rep. AUCS/TR0201.*
- Craig Thomson and Ehud Reiter. 2020. A gold standard methodology for evaluating accuracy in data-to-text systems. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Natural Language Generation*, pages 158–168, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Hongmin Wang. 2019. Revisiting challenges in data-totext generation with fact grounding. In *Proceedings* of the 12th International Conference on Natural Language Generation, pages 311–322, Tokyo, Japan. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sam Wiseman, Stuart Shieber, and Alexander Rush. 2017. Challenges in data-to-document generation. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2253–2263, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.