# **Dynamic Schema Graph Fusion Network for** Multi-Domain Dialogue State Tracking

Yue Feng<sup>†</sup>

Fanghua Ye<sup>†</sup> Aldo Lipani<sup>†</sup> **Oiang Zhang<sup>‡</sup>** \* Emine Yilmaz<sup>†</sup> <sup>†</sup>University College London, London, UK

<sup>‡</sup>Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

\* {yue.feng.20,aldo.lipani,fanghua.ye.19,emine.yilmaz}@ucl.ac.uk <sup>‡</sup>qiang.zhang.cs@zju.edu.cn

#### Abstract

Dialogue State Tracking (DST) aims to keep track of users' intentions during the course of a conversation. In DST, modelling the relations among domains and slots is still an under-studied problem. Existing approaches that have considered such relations generally fall short in: (1) fusing prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations explicitly, and (2) generalizing to unseen domains. To address these issues, we propose a novel Dynamic Schema Graph Fusion Network (DSGFNet), which generates a dynamic schema graph to explicitly fuse the prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations. It also uses the schemata to facilitate knowledge transfer to new domains. DSGFNet consists of a dialogue utterance encoder, a schema graph encoder, a dialogue-aware schema graph evolving network, and a schema graph enhanced dialogue state decoder. Empirical results on benchmark datasets (i.e., SGD, MultiWOZ2.1, and MultiWOZ2.2), show that DSGFNet outperforms existing methods.

#### 1 Introduction

Task-oriented dialogue systems can help users accomplish different tasks (Huang et al., 2020), such as flight reservation, food ordering, and appointment scheduling. Conventionally, task-oriented dialogue systems consist of four modules (Zhang et al., 2020c): natural language understanding (NLU), dialogue state tracking (DST), dialogue manager (DM), and natural language generation (NLG). In this paper, we will focus on the DST module. The goal of DST is to extract users' goals or intentions as dialogue states and keep these states updated over the whole dialogue. In order to track users' goals, we need to have a predefined domain knowledge referred to as a schema, which consists of slot



Figure 1: An example of DST. Given the schemata for all domains, the slot values are extracted from the user and system utterances (e.g., spans highlighted with the same color in the figure). The dialogue state of each turn is represented as a set of slot-value pairs. Among the domains and slots, there are prior slot-domain membership relations which are expressed in the predefined schemata, and also dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations which depend on the dialogue context (e.g., coreference, co-update, and co-occurrence).

names and their descriptions. Figure 1 gives an example of DST in a sample dialogue.

Many models have been developed for DST due to its importance in task-oriented dialogue systems. Traditional approaches use deep neural networks or pre-trained language models to encode the dialogue context and infer slot values from it (Zhong et al., 2018; Ramadan et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020a; Hu et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a,b). These models predict slot values without considering the relations among domains and slots. However, domains and slots in a dialogue are unlikely to be entirely independent, and ignoring the relations among domains and slots may lead to sub-optimal perfor-

Work in part done while at University College London.

mance. To address this issue, several recent works have been proposed to model the relations among domains and slots in DST. Some of them introduce predefined schema graphs to incorporate prior slotdomain membership relations, which are defined based on human experience in advance (Chen et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). The others use an attention mechanism to capture dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations (Feng et al., 2021; Heck et al., 2020). The dialogue-aware dynamic relations are the logical relations of slots across domains, which are highly related to specific dialogue contexts.

However, existing DST models that involve the relations among domains and slots suffer from two major issues: (1) They fail to fuse the prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations explicitly; and (2) They fail to consider their generalizability to new domains. In practical scenarios, task-oriented dialogue systems need to support a large and constantly increasing number of new domains.

To tackle these issues, we propose a novel approach named DSGFNet (Dynamic Schema Graph Fusion Network). For the first issue, DSGFNet dynamically updates the schema graph consisting of the predefined slot-domain membership relations with the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations. To incorporate the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations explicitly, DSGFNet adds three new edge types to the schema graph: *co-reference relations, co-update relations,* and *co-occurrence relations.* For the second issue, to improve its generalizability, DSGFNet employs a unified model containing schema-agnostic parameters to make predictions.

Specifically, our proposed DSGFNet comprises of four components: a *BERT-based dialogue utterance encoder* to contextualize the current turn dialogue context and history, a *BERT-based schema graph encoder* to generalize to unseen domains and model the prior slot-domain membership relations on the schema graph, a *dialogue-aware schema graph evolving network* to augment the dialogueaware dynamic slot relations on the schema graph, and a *schema graph enhanced dialogue state decoder* to extract value spans from the candidate elements considering the evolved schema graph.

The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

 We improve DST by proposing a dynamic, explainable, and general schema graph which explicitly models the relations among domains and slots based on both prior knowledge and the dialogue context, no matter whether the domains and slots are seen or not.

- We develop a fusion network, DSGFNet, which effectively enhances DST generating a schema graph out of the combination of prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations.
- We conduct extensive experiments on three benchmark datasets (i.e., SGD, MultiWOZ2.1, and MultiWOZ2.2) to demonstrate the superiority of DSGFNet<sup>1</sup> and the importance of the relations among domains and slots in DST.

## 2 Related Work

Recent DST approaches mainly focus on encoding the dialogue contexts with deep neural networks (e.g., convolutional and recurrent networks) and inferring the values of slots independently (Zhong et al., 2018; Ramadan et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020a; Hu et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020). With the prevalence of pretrained language models, such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019), a great variety of DST approaches have been developed on top of these pre-trained models (Zhang et al., 2020a,b; Lin et al., 2020). The relations among domains and slots are not considered in the above approaches. However, the prior slotdomain membership relations can facilitate the sharing of domain knowledge and the dialogueaware dynamic slot relations can conduce dialogue history understanding. Ignoring these relations may lead to sub-optimal performance.

To fill in this gap, several new DST approaches, which involve the relations among domains and slots, have been proposed. Some of them leverage a graph structure to capture the slot-domain membership relations (Lin et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020; Zeng and Nie, 2020; Ouyang et al., 2020). Specifically, a predefined schema graph is employed to represent the slot-domain membership relations. However, they fail to incorporate the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations into the schema graph. The other approaches utilize the attention mechanism to learn dialogue-aware dynamic slot relation features in order to facilitate information flow among slots (Zhou and Small, 2019;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The code is available at https://github.com/ sweetalyssum/DSGFNet.



Figure 2: The architecture of DSGFNet, which contains a dialogue utterance encoder, a schema graph encoder, a schema graph evolving network, and a dialogue state decoder.

Feng et al., 2021; Heck et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2021). However, these approaches ignore the slot-domain membership relations defined by prior knowledge. Since both the prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations can enhance DST performance, our approach is developed to combine them in an effective way.

Given that a deployed dialogue system may encounter an ever-increasing number of new domains that have limited training data available, the DST module should be capable of generalizing to unseen domains. Recent DST approaches have focused on using zero-shot learning to achieve this goal (Rastogi et al., 2020; Noroozi et al., 2020). These approaches exploit the natural language descriptions of schemata to transfer knowledge across domains. However, they ignore the relations among domains and slots. In this work, we propose a unified framework to fuse the prior slot-domain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations, no matter whether the domains are seen or not.

# 3 Dynamic Schema Graph Fusion Network

The proposed DSGFNet consists of four components: (1) a *BERT-based dialogue utterance encoder* that aims to contextualize the tokens of the current turn and the dialogue history; (2) a *schema graph encoder* that is able to generalize to unseen domains and shares information among predefined slot-domain membership relations; (3) a *dialogueaware schema graph evolving network* that adds the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations into the schema graph; and (4) a *schema graph enhanced dialogue state decoder* that extracts the value span from the candidate elements based on the evolved schema graph. Figure 2 illustrates the architecture.

## 3.1 Dialogue Utterance Encoder

This encoder takes as input the current and previous dialogue utterances. Specifically, the input is a sequence of tokens with length K, i.e.,  $[t_1, ..., t_K]$ . Here, we set the first token  $t_1$  to [CLS]; subsequent are the tokens in the current dialogue utterance and the ones in the previous dialogue utterances, which are separated by [SEP]. We employ BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) to obtain contextual token embeddings. The output is a tensor of all the token embeddings  $B = [b_1, ..., b_K]$ , with one embedding for each token.

#### 3.2 Schema Graph Encoder

To make use of the slot-domain membership relations defined by prior domain knowledge, we construct a schema graph based on the predefined ontology. An example is shown in Figure 2. In this schema graph, each node represents either a domain or a slot, and all the slot nodes are connected to their corresponding domain nodes. In order to allow information propagation across domains, all the domain nodes are connected with each other.

Schema-Agnostic Embedding Initializer. To generalize to unseen domains, DSGFNet initializes the schema graph node embeddings via a schema-agnostic projection. Inspired by zero-shot learning (Romera-Paredes and Torr, 2015), we propose a schema-agnostic embedding initializer to project schemata across domains into a unified semantic distribution. Specifically, we feed a natural language description of one slot/domain into BERT, using the output of [CLS] as the semantic embeddings for this slot/domain. The semantic embeddings for the set of slot and domain is  $I = [i_1, ..., i_{N+M}]$ , where N and M are the number of slots and domains, respectively. We constrain the schema embedding initializer not to have any domain-specific parameters so that it can generalize to unseen domains.

Slot-Domain Membership Relation Reasoning Network. To involve the prior slot-domain membership relations into the schema graph node embeddings, DSGFNet propagates information among slots and domains over the schema graph. We add a self-loop to each node because the nodes need to propagate information to themselves. Inspired by the GAT model (Veličković et al., 2018), we propose a slot-domain membership relation reasoning network to propagate information over the schema graph. For each node, we first compute attention scores  $\alpha$  for its neighbours. These attention scores are used to weigh the importance of each neighboring node. Formally, the attention scores are calculated as follows:

$$h_{i,j} = \operatorname{ReLU}(\mathbf{W}^{\top} \cdot [\mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{i}_j]),$$
 (1)

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \frac{\exp(h_{i,j})}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}_i} \exp(h_{i,k})},$$
(2)

where **W** is a matrix of parameters and  $N_i$  is the neighborhood of the *i*-th node. The normalized attention coefficients and the activation function are used to compute a non-linear weighted combination of the neighbours. This is used to compute the tensor of the schema graph node embeddings  $G = (g_1, ..., g_{N+M})$ :

$$\boldsymbol{g}_i = \operatorname{ReLU}\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \alpha_{i,j} \cdot \boldsymbol{i}_j\right),$$
 (3)

where  $i \in \{1, ..., N + M\}$ . To explore the higherorder connectivity information of slots across domains, we stack l layers of the reasoning network. Each layer takes the node embeddings from the previous layer as input, and outputs the updated node embeddings to the next layer.

#### 3.3 Schema Graph Evolving Network

We propose a schema graph evolving network to incorporate the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations into the schema graph, which is composed of two layers, a schema-dialogue fusion layer and a dynamic slot relation completion layer.

Schema-Dialogue Fusion Layer. Since the dynamic slot relations are related to the dialogue context, we need to fuse the dialogue context information into the schema graph. We adopt the multihead attention (Vaswani et al., 2017) to achieve this goal. The mathematical formulation is:

$$H = MultiHead(Q = g_i, K = B, V = B),$$
 (4)  
 $\tilde{g}_i = H \cdot W_a,$  (5)

where  $W_a$  is learnable parameters of a linear projection after the multi-head attention, and  $\tilde{g}_i$  is the dialogue-aware schema graph node embeddings.

**Dynamic Slot Relation Completion Layer.** This layer aims to augment the dynamic slot relations on the schema graph based on the dialogueaware node embeddings. To involve the dialogueaware dynamic slot relations into DST explicitly, DSGFNet defines three types of dynamic slot relations: (1) Co-reference relations occur when a slot value has been mentioned earlier in the dialogue and has been assigned to another slot; (2) Coupdate relations occur when slot values are updated together at the same dialogue turn, and; (3) Cooccurrence relations occur when slots with a high co-occurrence probability in a large dialogue corpus appear together in the current dialogue. Specifically, we feed the dialogue-aware slot node representations into a multi-layer perceptron followed by a 4-way softmax function to identify the relations between slot pairs, which include the none relation and the three dynamic relations mentioned above. Formally, given the i-th and j-th dialogueaware slot node embeddings  $\tilde{g}_i$  and  $\tilde{g}_j$ , we obtain an adjacent matrix of the dynamic slot relations for all slot pairs as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{A}(i,j) = \arg \max \left( \operatorname{softmax}(\operatorname{MLP}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_i \oplus \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_j)) \right).$$
(6)

With *A*, we add dynamic slot relation edges to the schema graph.

#### 3.4 Dialogue State Decoder

To decode the slot values by means of incorporating the slot-domain membership relations and dialogueaware dynamic slot relations which are captured by the evolved schema graph, we propose a schema graph enhanced dialogue state decoder.

To learn a more comprehensive slot node embedding, we need to fuse multiple relations on the evolved schema graph. DSGFNet divides different relations on the schema graph into sub-graphs  $R_s$ ,  $R_r$ ,  $R_u$ ,  $R_o$ , which represent slot-domain membership relation, co-reference relation, co-update relation, and co-occurrence relation, respectively. For each sub-graph  $R_i$ , its node embeddings  $s_i$  are obtained by attending over the neighbors, which is the same as the method used in Section 3.2. Considering that different relation types have different contributions to the node interactions for different dialogue contexts (Wang et al., 2019), we aggregate these different sub-graphs via an attention mechanism as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{S} = [\boldsymbol{s}_s; \boldsymbol{s}_r; \boldsymbol{s}_u; \boldsymbol{s}_o], \tag{7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\top} \cdot \tanh(\boldsymbol{W}_s \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_{[CLS]} + \boldsymbol{b}_s)),$$
(8)

$$\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta},\tag{9}$$

where  $W_s$ ,  $b_s$  are learnable weights,  $b_{[CLS]}$  is the output of BERT-based dialogue utterance encoder.

Each slot value is extracted by a value predictor based on the corresponding fused slot node embeddings s. The value predictor is a trainable nonlinear classifier followed by two parallel softmax layers to predict start and end positions in candidate elements C, which are composed by the dialogue context B and slots' candidate value vocabulary V:

$$\boldsymbol{C} = [\boldsymbol{B}; \boldsymbol{V}] \tag{10}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{l}_s, \boldsymbol{l}_e] = \boldsymbol{r}_d \cdot \tanh(\boldsymbol{s}^\top \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_d \cdot \boldsymbol{C} + \boldsymbol{b}_d), \quad (11)$$

$$p_s = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{l}_s), \tag{12}$$

$$p_e = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{l}_e), \tag{13}$$

where  $r_d$ ,  $W_d$ , and  $b_d$  are trainable parameters. Note that if the end position is before the start position, the resulting span will simply be "None". If the start position is in the slots' candidate value vocabulary, the resulting span will only pick the candidate value in this position.

## 3.5 Training and Inference

During training, we use ground truth dynamic slot relation graph to optimize the dialogue state decoder. Cross-entropy between predicted value span  $[p_s, p_e]$  and ground truth value span is utilized to measure the loss of the value span prediction  $\mathcal{L}_s$ . The dynamic slot relation identifier is optimized by the cross-entropy loss  $\mathcal{L}_r$  between predicted dynamic relation A and the ground truth dynamic slot relation. We train dialogue state decoder and dynamic slot relation identifier together, the joint loss  $\mathcal{L}$  is computed as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda \cdot \mathcal{L}_r + (1 - \lambda) \cdot \mathcal{L}_s, \qquad (14)$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  is a balance coefficient. During inference, the predicted dynamic slot relation A is used to predict value span as dialogue state.

## 4 **Experiments**

## 4.1 Datasets

We conduct experiments on three task-oriented dialogue benchmark datasets: SGD (Rastogi et al., 2020), MultiWOZ2.2 (Zang et al., 2020), and MultiWOZ2.1 (Eric et al., 2020). Among them, SGD is by far the most challenging dataset which contains over 16,000 conversations between a human-user and a virtual assistant across 16 domains. Unlike the other two datasets, it also includes unseen domains in the test set. MultiWOZ2.2 and MultiWOZ2.1 are smaller human-human conversations benchmark datasets, which contain over 8,000 multi-turn dialogues across 8 and 7 domains, respectively. MultiWOZ2.2 is a revised version of MultiWOZ2.1, which is re-annotated with a different set of annotators and also canonicalized entity names. Details of datasets are provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics of the datasets in experiments. The numbers provided are for the training sets of the corresponding datasets.

Characteristics	SGD	MultiWOZ2.2	MultiWOZ2.1
No. of domains	16	8	7
No. of dialogues	16,142	8,438	8,438
Total no. of turns	329,964	113,556	113,556
Avg. turns per dialogue	20.44	13.46	13.46
Avg. tokens per turn	9.75	13.13	13.38
No. of slots	215	61	37
Unseen domains in test set	Yes	No	No

#### 4.2 Baselines

We compare with the following existing models, which are divided into two categories. (1) Models that can predict dialogue state on unseen domains: *SGD-baseline* (Rastogi et al., 2020), a schema-guided paradigm that predicts states for unseen domains; *FastSGT* (Noroozi et al., 2020), a BERT-based model that uses multi-head attention projections to analyze dialogue; *Seq2Seq-DU* (Feng et al., 2021), a sequence-to-sequence framework which decodes dialogue states in a flatten format. (2) Models that cannot predict dialogue state on unseen domains: *TRADE* (Wu et al., 2021).

2019), a generation model which generates dialogue states from utterances using a copy mechanism; DS-DST (Zhang et al., 2020a), a dual strategy that classifies over a picklist or finding values from a slot span; TripPy (Heck et al., 2020), an open-vocabulary model which copies values from dialogue context, or slot values in previous dialogue state; SOM-DST (Kim et al., 2020), a selectively overwriting mechanism which first predicts state operation on each of the slots and then overwrites with new values; MinTL-BART (Lin et al., 2020), a plug-and-play pre-trained model which jointly learns dialogue state tracking and dialogue response generation; SST (Chen et al., 2020), a graph model which fuses information from utterances and static schema graph; PPTOD (Su et al., 2021), a multi-task pre-training strategy that allows the model to learn the primary TOD task completion skills from heterogeneous dialog corpora.

#### 4.3 Evaluation Measures

Our evaluation metrics are consistent with prior works on these datasets. We compute the Joint Goal Accuracy (Joint GA) on all test sets for straightforward comparison with the state-of-the-art methods. Joint GA is defined as the ratio of dialogue turns for which all slots have been filled with the correct values according to the ground truth.

#### 4.4 Training

We use BERT model (i.e., BERT-base and uncased) to encode utterances and schema descriptions. The BERT models are fine-tuned in the training process. The maximum length of an input sequence is set to 512. The hidden size of the schema graph encoder and the schema graph evolving network is set to 256. The dropout probability is 0.3. The balance coefficient  $\lambda$  is 0.5. Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014) is used for optimization with an initial learning rate (LR) of 2e-5. We conduct training with a warm-up proportion of 10% and let the LR decay linearly after the warm-up phase.

## 5 Results and Discussion

Tables 2, 3, 4 show the performance of DSGFNet as well as the baselines on three datasets respectively. It is shown that DSGFNet achieves state-ofthe-art performance in unseen domains on SGD, all domains on SGD, and MultiWOZ2.2. All improvements observed compared to the baselines are statistically significant according to two sided paired t-test (p ; 0.05). And the performance on MultiWOZ2.1 are comparable with the state-of-the-art<sup>2</sup>. Most notably, DSGFNet improves the performance on SGD most significantly, which has unseen domains and more complex schemata domains, compared to the runner-up. It indicates that DSGFNet can facilitate knowledge transfer to new domains and improve relation construction among complex schemata domains. We conjecture that it is due to DSGFNet containing the schema-agnostic encoder and dynamic schema graph. The following analysis provides a better understanding of our model's strengths.

Table 2: Joint GA of DSGFNet and baselines in unseen domains and all domains on SGD dataset. DSGFNet significantly improves over the best baseline (two-sided paired t-test, p < 0.05).

Models	SGD	SGD	
Widdels	Unseen Domains	All Domains	
SGD-baseline (Rastogi et al., 2020)	20.0%	25.4%	
FastSGT (Noroozi et al., 2020)	20.8%	29.2%	
Seq2Seq-DU (Feng et al., 2021)	23.5%	30.1%	
DSGFNet	24.4%	32.1%	

Table 3: Joint GA of DSGFNet and baselines on MultiWOZ2.2. DSGFNet significantly improves over the best baseline (two-sided paired t-test, p < 0.05).

Model	MultiWOZ2.2
SGD-baseline (Rastogi et al., 2020)	42.0%
TRADE (Wu et al., 2019)	45.4%
DS-DST (Zhang et al., 2020a)	51.7%
TripPy (Heck et al., 2020)	53.5%
Seq2Seq-DU (Feng et al., 2021)	54.4%
DSGFNet	55.8%

Table 4: Joint GA of DSGFNet and baselines on Multi-WOZ2.1. DSGFNet achieves comparable performance of the best baseline.

Model	MultiWOZ2.1
SGD-baseline (Rastogi et al., 2020)	43.4%
TRADE (Wu et al., 2019)	46.0%
DS-DST (Zhang et al., 2020a)	51.2%
SOM-DST (Kim et al., 2020)	53.0%
MinTL-BART (Lin et al., 2020)	53.6%
SST (Chen et al., 2020)	55.2%
TripPy (Heck et al., 2020)	55.3%
PPTOD (Su et al., 2021)	57.1%
DSGFNet	56.7%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>TRADE, SST use the original MultiWOZ datasets. The other models use the data preprocessed by TripPy.

Table 5: Ablation study on unseen domains of SGD, all domains of SGD, MultiWOZ2.2 and MultiWOZ2.1.

Model	Joint GA Unseen Domains SGD	Joint GA All Domains SGD	Joint GA MultiWOZ 2.2	Joint GA MultiWOZ 2.1
DSGFNet	24.4%	32.1%	55.8%	56.7%
-w/o Slot-Domain Membership Relations	21.9%	29.8%	53.4%	54.1%
-w/o Dynamic Slot Relations	20.6%	28.6%	52.2%	53.2%
-w/o Relation Aggregation	23.8%	31.5%	55.2%	55.9%

# 5.1 Ablation Study

We conduct an ablation study on DSGFNet to quantify the contributions of various factors: the usage of slot-domain membership relations, dynamic slot relations, and multiple relation aggregation. The results indicate that the dynamic schema graph of DSGFNet is indispensable for DST.

## **Effect of Slot-Domain Membership Relations**

To check the effectiveness of the slot-domain membership relations, we remove the schema graph by replacing the prior slot-domain relation adjacency matrix with an identity matrix I. Results in Table 5 show that the joint goal accuracy of DSGFNet without the slot-domain membership relations decreases markedly on unseen domains of SGD, all domains of SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1. It indicates the schema graph, which contains slot-domain membership relations, can facilitate knowledge sharing among domain and slot no matter whether the domain is seen or not.

#### **Effect of Dynamic Slot Relations**

To investigate the effectiveness of the dialogueaware dynamic slot relations in the schema graph, we eliminate the evolving network of DSGFNet. Table 5 shows the results on unseen domains of SGD, all domains of SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1 in terms of joint goal accuracy. One can observe that without the dynamic slot relations the performance deteriorates considerably. In addition, there is a more markedly performance degradation compared with the results of the slot-domain membership relations. It indicates that the dynamic slot relations are more essential for DST, which can facilitate the understanding of the dialogue context.

# **Effect of Multiple Relation Aggregation**

To validate the effectiveness of the schema graph relation aggregation mechanism in the dialogue state decoder, we directly concatenate all sub-graph representations instead of calculating a weighted sum via the sub-graph attention. As shown in Table 5, the performance of the models without the



Figure 3: F1 and Accuracy of DSGFNet and BERT for dynamic relation prediction on unseen domains SGD, all domains of SGD, MultiWOZ2.2 and MultiWOZ2.1.

relation aggregation layer in terms of joint goal accuracy decreases markedly compared to DSGFNet. It indicates that the attentions to different types of relations affect the dialogue understanding ability.

#### 5.2 Further Analysis

#### **Prediction of Dynamic Slot Relations**

In order to test the discriminative capability of DS-GFNet for dynamic slot relations, we evaluate the performance of the schema graph evolving network. Since baselines cannot predict the dynamic slot relations explicitly, we compare DSGFNet with the BERT-based classification approach. Following the classification task in BERT, the input sequence starts with [CLS], followed by the tokens of the dialogue context and slot pairs, separated by [SEP], and the [CLS] representation is fed into an output layer for classification. Figure 3 shows the results on unseen domains of SGD, all domains of SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1 in terms of F1 and Accuracy. From the results, we observe that DSGFNet outperforms BERT significantly. We conjecture that it is due to the exploitation of schema graph with slot-domain membership relations in DSGFNet. In addition, since BERT without schema encoder cannot solve unseen domains, there is a significant performance degradation on SGD which contains a large number of unseen domains in the test set.

Table 6: Case study of DSGFNet and Seq2Seq-DU on SGD. Slot values are extracted from the dialogue context with the same color. The relation of yellow high-light slot pair is predicted as co-reference. The relation of red underline slot pair is predicted as co-occurrence. Slot values in red high-light are incorrectly predicted ones.

	[ <i>User</i> ]: What's the weather going to be like in vancouver on March 10th?
	[Sys]: The forecast average is 68 degrees with a 25 per cent chance of rain.
	[User]: Any good attractions in town?
Dialagua Uttananaa	[Sys]: I have 10 good options including Bloedel Conservatory, a city park.
Dialogue Utterance	[User]: Lovely! Can you book me a ride there?
	[ <i>Sys</i> ]: Do you want a luxury or pool ride? How many people?
	[User]: Just a regular ride please, book for 1.
	[Sys]: Confirming you want to book a regular cab to Bloedel Conservatory for 1 person.
	[Weather]: city = "vancouver"; date = "March 10th";
Ground Truth Dialogue State	[ <i>Travel</i> ]: location = "vancouver";
	[ <i>RideSharing</i> ]: destination = "Bloedel Conservatory"; number of seats = "1"; ride type = "regular";
	[ <i>Weather</i> ]: city = "vancouver"; date = "March 10th";
State Predictions of DSGFNet	[ <i>Travel</i> ]: location = "vancouver";
	[ <i>RideSharing</i> ]: destination = "Bloedel Conservatory"; <u>number of seats</u> = "1"; <u>ride type</u> = "regular";
	[Weather]: city = "vancouver"; date = "March 10th";
State Predictions of Seq2seq-DU	[ <i>Travel</i> ]: location= "town";
	[ <i>RideSharing</i> ]: destination = "Bloedel Conservatory"; number of seats = "1"; ride type = none;

Table 7: Performance comparison with different dynamic slot relations and fully-connected relations on unseen domains of SGD, all domains of SGD, Multi-WOZ2.2 and MultiWOZ2.1.

Model	Joint GA Unseen Domains SGD	Joint GA All Domains SGD	Joint GA MultiWOZ 2.2	Joint GA MultiWOZ 2.1
-w All Dynamic Relations	24.4%	32.1%	55.8%	56.7%
-w Co-reference Relation	21.5%	29.8%	53.9%	54.7%
-w Co-occurrence Relation	23.8%	31.7%	55.3%	55.9%
-w Co-update Relation	22.3%	30.1%	53.5%	54.5%
-w/o Dynamic Relations	20.6%	28.6%	52.2%	53.2%
-w Fully-connected Relations	21.3%	29.9%	54.2%	54.9%

## Effects of Each Type of Dynamic Slot Relation

To better illustrate the effectiveness of augmenting slot relations on the schema graph, we study how different dynamic slot relations affect the DST performance. Table 7 presents the joint goal accuracy of DSGFNet with different dynamic relations on unseen domains of SGD, alll domains of SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1. One can see that the performance of DSGFNet with each type of dynamic slot relation surpasses that without any dynamic slot relations considerably. Thus, all types of dynamic slot relations in the schema graph are helpful for dialogue understanding. Furthermore, the performance of DSGFNet with co-occurrence relation is superior to the performance with the other two dynamic slot relations. We conjecture that it is due to the fact that a large percentage of dynamic relations is the co-occurrence relation, which has an incredible effect on DST.

# **Effect of Automatic Relation Completion**

To demonstrate the effectiveness of automatically completing each type of slot relations on the schema graphs, we replace four automatically-completed sub-graphs in DSGFNet with four fully-connected graphs. As shown in Table 7, the performance of the model with the fully-connected graphs in terms of joint goal accuracy decreases significantly compared to DSGFNet (two-sided paired t-test, p < 0.05). We believe that this is caused by the noise introduced by the redundancy captured by the relations between all pairs of slots. In addition, sampling the relations using our strategy can also reduce the memory requirements when the number of slots and domains are large.

#### **Case Study**

We make qualitative analysis on the results of DS-GFNet and Seq2seq-DU on SGD. We find that DSGFNet can make a more accurate inference of dialogue states by using the dynamic schema graph. For example, as shown in Table 6, "city"-"location" is predicted as co-reference relation, "city"-"date" and "number of seats"-"ride type" are predicted as co-update relation, "city"-"date" is predicted as cooccurrence relation. Based on the dynamic schema graph, DSGFNet propagates information involving slot-domain membership relations and dynamic slot relations. Thus, it infers slot values more correctly. In contrast, since Seq2seq-DU ignores the dynamic slot relations, it cannot properly infer the values of "location" and "ride type", which have dynamic slot relations with other slots.

# 6 Conclusion

We have proposed a new approach to DST, referred to as DSGFNet, which effectively fuses prior slotdomain membership relations and dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations on the schema graph. To incorporate the dialogue-aware dynamic slot relations into DST explicitly, DSGFNet identifies co-reference, co-update, and co-occurrence relations. To improve the generalization ability, DS-GFNet employs a schema-agnostic graph attention network to share information. Experimental results show that DSGFNet outperforms the existing methods in DST on three benchmark datasets, including unseen domains of SGD, all domains of SGD, MultiWOZ2.1, and MultiWOZ2.2. For future work, we intend to further enhance our approach by utilizing more complex schemata and data augmentation techniques.

# 7 Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the EPSRC Fellowship titled "Task Based Information Retrieval" and grant reference number EP/P024289/1.

#### References

- Lu Chen, Boer Lv, Chi Wang, Su Zhu, Bowen Tan, and Kai Yu. 2020. Schema-guided multi-domain dialogue state tracking with graph attention neural networks. <u>Association for the Advancement of</u> Artificial Intelligence (AAAI).
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. <u>Conference of the North American Chapter</u> of the Association for Computational Linguistics (NAACL).
- Mihail Eric, Rahul Goel, Shachi Paul, Abhishek Sethi, Sanchit Agarwal, Shuyang Gao, and Dilek Hakkani-Tür. 2020. Multiwoz 2.1: Multi-domain dialogue state corrections and state tracking baselines. <u>The</u> <u>International Conference on Language Resources</u> and Evaluation (LREC).
- Yue Feng, Yang Wang, and Hang Li. 2021. A sequenceto-sequence approach to dialogue state tracking. Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL).
- Shuyang Gao, Sanchit Agarwal, Tagyoung Chung, Di Jin, and Dilek Hakkani-Tur. 2020. From machine reading comprehension to dialogue state tracking: Bridging the gap. <u>Association for Computational</u> Linguistics (ACL).
- Michael Heck, Carel van Niekerk, Nurul Lubis, Christian Geishauser, Hsien-Chin Lin, Marco Moresi, and

Milica Gašić. 2020. TripPy: A triple copy strategy for value independent neural dialog state tracking. Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL).

- Jiaying Hu, Yan Yang, Chencai Chen, Zhou Yu, et al. 2020. Sas: Dialogue state tracking via slot attention and slot information sharing. <u>Association for</u> Computational Linguistics (ACL).
- Minlie Huang, Xiaoyan Zhu, and Jianfeng Gao. 2020. Challenges in building intelligent open-domain dialog systems. <u>The ACM Transactions on Information</u> Systems (TOIS).
- Sungdong Kim, Sohee Yang, Gyuwan Kim, and Sang-Woo Lee. 2020. Efficient dialogue state tracking by selectively overwriting memory. In <u>Proceedings</u> of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. <u>The International Conference on Learning</u> Representations (ICLR).
- Weizhe Lin, Bo-Hsian Tseng, and Bill Byrne. 2021. Knowledge-aware graph-enhanced gpt-2 for dialogue state tracking. <u>Empirical Methods in Natural</u> Language Processing (EMNLP).
- Zhaojiang Lin, Andrea Madotto, Genta Indra Winata, and Pascale Fung. 2020. Mintl: Minimalist transfer learning for task-oriented dialogue systems. Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP).
- Vahid Noroozi, Yang Zhang, Evelina Bakhturina, and Tomasz Kornuta. 2020. A fast and robust bert-based dialogue state tracker for schema-guided dialogue dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.12335.
- Yawen Ouyang, Moxin Chen, Xinyu Dai, Yinggong Zhao, Shujian Huang, and CHEN Jiajun. 2020. Dialogue state tracking with explicit slot connection modeling. <u>Association for Computational Linguistics</u> (ACL).
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. In OpenAI blog.
- Osman Ramadan, Paweł Budzianowski, and Milica Gasic. 2018. Large-scale multi-domain belief tracking with knowledge sharing. <u>Association for</u> Computational Linguistics (ACL).
- Abhinav Rastogi, Xiaoxue Zang, Srinivas Sunkara, Raghav Gupta, and Pranav Khaitan. 2020. Towards scalable multi-domain conversational agents: The schema-guided dialogue dataset. <u>Association for the</u> Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AAAI).
- Liliang Ren, Jianmo Ni, and Julian McAuley. 2019. Scalable and accurate dialogue state tracking via hierarchical sequence generation. <u>Empirical Methods</u> in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP).

- Bernardino Romera-Paredes and Philip HS Torr. 2015. An embarrassingly simple approach to zero-shot learning. <u>International Conference on Machine</u> Learning (ICML).
- Yixuan Su, Lei Shu, Elman Mansimov, Arshit Gupta, Deng Cai, Yi-An Lai, and Yi Zhang. 2021. Multi-task pre-training for plug-and-play task-oriented dialogue system. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.14739.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. <u>Neural Information Processing Systems</u> (NeurIPS).
- Petar Veličković, Guillem Cucurull, Arantxa Casanova, Adriana Romero, Pietro Lio, and Yoshua Bengio. 2018. Graph attention networks. <u>The International</u> Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR).
- Xiao Wang, Houye Ji, Chuan Shi, Bai Wang, Yanfang Ye, Peng Cui, and Philip S Yu. 2019. Heterogeneous graph attention network. <u>The ACM Web Conference</u> (WWW).
- Chien-Sheng Wu, Andrea Madotto, Ehsan Hosseini-Asl, Caiming Xiong, Richard Socher, and Pascale Fung. 2019. Transferable multi-domain state generator for task-oriented dialogue systems. <u>Association</u> for Computational Linguistics (ACL).
- Fanghua Ye, Jarana Manotumruksa, Qiang Zhang, Shenghui Li, and Emine Yilmaz. 2021. Slot selfattentive dialogue state tracking. <u>The ACM Web</u> <u>Conference (WWW)</u>.
- Xiaoxue Zang, Abhinav Rastogi, Srinivas Sunkara, Raghav Gupta, Jianguo Zhang, and Jindong Chen. 2020. Multiwoz 2.2: A dialogue dataset with additional annotation corrections and state tracking baselines. <u>Association for Computational Linguistics</u> (ACL).
- Yan Zeng and Jian-Yun Nie. 2020. Multi-domain dialogue state tracking based on state graph. <u>arXiv</u> preprint arXiv:2010.11137.
- Jian-Guo Zhang, Kazuma Hashimoto, Chien-Sheng Wu, Yao Wan, Philip S Yu, Richard Socher, and Caiming Xiong. 2020a. Find or classify? dual strategy for slot-value predictions on multi-domain dialog state tracking. <u>Special Interest Group on Computational</u> Semantics (SIGSEEM).
- Yichi Zhang, Zhijian Ou, Huixin Wang, and Junlan Feng. 2020b. A probabilistic end-to-end taskoriented dialog model with latent belief states towards semi-supervised learning. <u>Empirical Methods</u> in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP).
- Zheng Zhang, Ryuichi Takanobu, Qi Zhu, Minlie Huang, and Xiaoyan Zhu. 2020c. Recent advances and challenges in task-oriented dialog systems. In Science China Technological Sciences.

- Victor Zhong, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. 2018. Global-locally self-attentive encoder for dialogue state tracking. <u>Association for Computational</u> Linguistics (ACL).
- Li Zhou and Kevin Small. 2019. Multi-domain dialogue state tracking as dynamic knowledge graph enhanced question answering. <u>Neural Information Processing</u> Systems (NeurIPS).
- Su Zhu, Jieyu Li, Lu Chen, and Kai Yu. 2020. Efficient context and schema fusion networks for multidomain dialogue state tracking. <u>Empirical Methods</u> in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP).

# A Dynamic Slot Relations Label Collection

Dynamic schema graph in DSGFNet has three types of dynamic slot relations, which includes co-reference relations, co-update relations and cooccurrence relations. The labels of these relations are used to train the schema graph evolving network. We first collected all possible slot pairs from ground truth dialogue state for each dialogue turn. And then we labeled these slot pairs by the rules as follows: (1) If one slot value has been assigned to another slot in earlier turn of the dialogue, we label the relation between these two slots as coreference. (2) If values of two slot in the same dialogue turn are updated together, we label the relation between these two slots as co-update. (3) If the co-occurrence probability of two slots in the training set of SGD, MultiWOZ2.1, and MultiWOZ2.2 is higher than 5%, we label the relation between these two slots as co-occurrence. Table 8 shows the the proportion of different types of dynamic slot relations on these datasets.

Table 8: The proportion of different types of dynamic slot relations on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and Multi-WOZ2.1 in training sets.

Relation	SGD	MultiWOZ2.2	MultiWOZ2.1
Co-reference	5.11%	4.21%	4.29%
Co-update	9.31%	4.01%	4.13%
Co-occurrence	31.13%	37.53%	36.53%

Table 9: Accuracy of DSGFNet in each domain on SGD test set. Domains marked with '\*' are those for which the schemata in the test set are not present in the training set. Domains marked with '\*\*' have both the unseen and seen schemata. For other domains, the schemata in the test set are also seen in the training set.

Domain	Joint GA	Domain	Joint GA	
RentalCars*	5.11%	Homes	22.46%	
Messaging*	5.48%	Events*	32.02%	
Payment*	7.31%	Hotels**	33.13%	
Music*	11.87%	Movies**	42.13%	
Buses*	12.72%	Services**	45.39%	
Trains*	16.39%	Travel	48.30%	
Flights*	16.64%	Alarm*	53.27%	
Restaurants*	17.01%	RideSharing	56.42%	
Media*	20.83%	Weather	68.49%	

## **B** Performance on Different Domains

We further investigate the performance of DS-GFNet on different domains. Table 9 shows the joint goal accuracy of DSGFNet in different domains on SGD. We observe that the presence of schemata in the training data is the major factor affecting the performance. We see that the best performance can be obtained in the domains with all seen schemata. The domains which have partially unseen schemata achieve higher accuracy, such as "Hotels", "Movies", and "Services" domains. The accuracy declines in the domains with only unseen schemata, such as "RentalCars" and "Messaging". However, among the domains with only unseen schemata, those have similar schemata to training data resulting in superior performance, such as "Alarm" and "Events" domains. We conclude that DSGFNet is able to perform zero-shot learning and share knowledge across domains. However, more sharing of information should be utilized to enhance the generalization ability.

## C Analysis of Parameters in DSGFNet

We further investigate the impacts of parameter settings on the performance of DSGFNet on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1. We validate the effects of four factors: the layer of propagation on the schema graph, the number of selected dialogue turns used in the schema-dialogue fusion layer, the layer of MLP in the dynamic slot relation completion layer, and the balance coefficient  $\lambda$  in the loss function. Figures 4, 5, 6, 7 show the results of DSGFNet with varying parameters on SGD, MultiWOZ2.2, and MultiWOZ2.1 in terms of joint goal accuracy. We observe that the optimal layer of propagation is not consistent across datasets. It seems that 3 is desired in more datasets. In addition, DSGFNet demonstrates the best performance when leveraging full dialogue history. We conjecture that it is due to that the incomplete dialogue history leads to confusing information. Moreover, 8 layers MLP for relation completion obtains the optimal performance over three datasets. Furthermore, the optimal performance is consistently achieved when the balance coefficient  $\lambda$  is around 0.5.



Figure 4: Performance comparison *w.r.t.* the layer of propagation on the schema graph.



Figure 5: Performance comparison *w.r.t.* the number of dialogue turns used in the schema-dialogue fusion layer.



Figure 7: Performance comparison *w.r.t.* the balance coefficient in the loss function.



Figure 6: Performance comparison *w.r.t.* the layer of MLP in the dynamic slot relation completion layer.