Towards Modeling Role-Aware Centrality for Dialogue Summarization

Xinnian Liang^{1*†}, Chao Bian^{2*‡}, Shuangzhi Wu², and Zhoujun Li¹

¹State Key Lab of Software Development Environment, Beihang University, Beijing, China ²ByteDance Lark AI, Beijing, China

{xnliang, lizj}@buaa.edu.cn;

{wufurui, zhangchaoyue.0}@bytedance.com;

Abstract

Role-oriented dialogue summarization generates summaries for different roles in dialogue (e.g. doctor and patient). Existing methods consider roles separately where interactions among different roles are not fully explored. In this paper, we propose a novel Role-Aware Centrality (RAC) model to capture role interactions, which can be easily applied to any seq2seq models. The RAC assigns each role a specific sentence-level centrality score by involving role prompts to control what kind of summary to generate. The RAC measures both the importance of utterances and the relevance between roles and utterances. Then we use RAC to reweight context representations, which are used by the decoder to generate role summaries. We verify RAC on two public benchmark datasets, CSDS and MC. Experimental results show that the proposed method achieves new state-ofthe-art results on the two datasets. Extensive analyses have demonstrated that the role-aware centrality helps generate summaries more precisely.

1 Introduction

The last few years have seen a land rush in research of generating summaries for dialogue such as meeting text and daily chatting due to the ever growing dialogue corpus from online conversation tools (Zhu et al., 2020; Feng et al., 2021a; Zhong et al., 2021; Chen and Yang, 2021; Liu and Chen, 2021). Typically, Dialogue summarization aims at compressing the main content of a long conversation into a short text (Qi et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2021b; Zhang et al., 2022). Different from traditional summarization tasks on document text, the main challenge of dialogue summarization is to summarize from utterances of multiple roles, who may have different opinions and interact with some of the other roles (Lin et al., 2021, 2022).

Dialogue	Dialogue		
Agent: [订] User: 是的。 Agent: 由う submitted, ci User: 能帮 Unit [numbee Agent: 小坂 (I also want there is a but User: 我修a (I also want there is a but User: 我修a Agent: 那功 make change User: 好的。 Agent: 我们	F您的订单一旦提交,客服是没有权限修改的。(Sorry, once your order is ustomer service has no right to modify it.) 我把数字1单元改成数字1单元码?(Can you help me change Unit [number] to rf?) k也想给你操作,但是系统不允许我们进行操作,请您查看是否有修改的按钮, to operate if for you, but the system does not allow us to operate, please check if ton to modify.) 发过了一次,改错了,现在点不了了。(I modified it once, made a mistake, and m,) 们是可以帮助联系配送进行修改的。(Ok, we can help contact the delivery to ts.)		
User Summary	用户订单改错了, 咨询能否修改订单。(The user changed his order information by mistake and asked if it can modify the order.)		
Agent Summary	客服回答为保护订单信息安全无权修改,可以帮助客户联系配送员进行解决, (The customer service has no right to modify it for protecting the security of the order information. They can help the customer contact the courier to solve the problem.)		
Final Summary	用户订单改错了,咨询能否修改订单。客服回答为保护订单信息安全无权 修改,可以和配送协商解决。(The user changed his order information by mistake and asked if it can modify the order. The customer service has no right to modify it for protecting the security of the order information. They can help the customer contact the courier to solve the problem.)		

Figure 1: A dialogue summarization example.

Recently, Lin et al. (2021) pointed out that it is equally important to summarize the main content of each role in addition to the whole dialogue. Thus, they proposed a more practical task: The role-oriented dialogue summarization, which aims at generating summaries for specified roles, e.g. user summary and agent summary. Figure 1 shows an example of customer service and user dialogue about changing order delivery address. The roleoriented dialogue summarization generates summary for both user (e.g. User Summary) and agent (e.g. Agent Summary). The two summaries are different in content and opinion. Additionally, there is also an overall summary to summarize the whole dialogue.

There are several methods focused on the roleoriented summarization task. Lin et al. (2021) trains different models for different role-oriented summaries by splitting their utterances, however, they ignore interactions between roles. Lin et al. (2022) proposed a role-interaction attention model. They modeled role-wise interactions through crossattention and self-attention in the decoder. How-

^{*}The authors contribute equally

[†]Contribution during internship at ByteDance Inc.

[‡]Corresponding Author

ever, their method has to assign each role a specific decoder. In addition, the role-interaction has to be conducted between every two roles. That means both the model parameter and complexity increase with the number of roles.

In this paper, we propose a novel Role-Aware Centrality (RAC) model for the role-oriented dialogue summarization task. Centrality is widely used to measure the salience of sentences in a given document (Zheng and Lapata, 2019; Liang et al., 2021, 2022). The RAC assigns each role a specific Centrality. Specifically, we first propose a role prompt that is attached to the start of the dialogue. The role prompt is used to guide what kind of summary to generate (i.e. user summary or agent summary). Then we compute the centrality scores of each utterance. The final Role-Aware Centrality is calculated by an interaction of role prompt and centrality scores. During decoding, we use the RAC to reweight the dialogue context, which is used by the decoder to generate the summaries. We propose role prompts for each role together with the overall summary. In this way, different summaries can be modeled in a unified seq2seq framework. In addition, the RAC can be easily applied to any sequence-to-sequence model with any number of roles. To evaluate the effectiveness of the RAC, we apply the RAC to three types of seq2seq structure: PGN, BERTAbs, and BART, and verify the models on two public Chinese dialogue summarization datasets: CSDS and MC. Experimental results show that our RAC can improve all of their performance while accelerating the convergence of training. Additionally, the RAC based BART achieves new state-of-the-art performance on the two datasets.

We summarize our contributions as follows:

- We propose a novel Role-Aware Centrality (RAC) model for the role-oriented dialogue summarization task to model both role-aware salient context and role interactions.
- The RAC models different kinds of summaries in a unified seq2seq framework without computational complexity increasing as roles increase.
- Our model can be applied to different seq2seq models, where the RAC-based BART achieves new state-of-the-art results.



Figure 2: The main structure of our RAC model.

2 Methodology

In this section, we will introduce our proposed Role-Aware Centrality (RAC) model and the combination with the seq2seq structure. The main framework is shown in Figure 2. It consists of three components: bidirectional encoder, role-aware centrality model, and auto-regression decoder.

2.1 Task Formalization

Given a dialogue \mathcal{D} with n utterances $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ and m roles $\{r_1, \ldots, r_m\}$. Each utterance u_i contains a role $r_k \in R$ and text content s_i . We simply concatenate them by ":" and get utterance $u_i = r_k : s_i$. For different roles r_k , the data have different summary y^{r_k} . In this paper, we employ y^{user} and y^{agent} to represent summaries of two roles and y^{final} to represent the summary of the whole dialogue. Our method can also be applied for datasets with multiroles.

2.2 Role Prompts

Previous models always trained different models for different role-oriented summary generation. Lin et al. (2022) pointed out that it hurts the performance of the model. We employ role prompts to control the generation of different summaries and this ensures we only train a single model. Specifically, we attach "[User Summary]", "[Agent Summary]", and "[Final Summary]" to the start of each dialogue for summaries generation. The input context is reformalized as "[Prompt] Dialogue Contexts" and then tokenized as T tokens $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^T$.

2.3 Bi-directional Encoder

The bi-directional encoder gets the re-formalized text as input and outputs the token-level vector representations.

$${h_i}_{i=1}^T = \text{Encoder}({t_i}_{i=1}^T)$$
 (1)

After the encoder, we employ the mean of token vectors as the semantic representations of role-related prompts and dialogue utterances, as shown in Figure 2. We define the role-related prompt representation is h_r and the utterance representation is $\{h_{u_i}\}_{i=1}^n$.

2.4 Role-Aware Centrality

In this section, we will introduce the core contribution of this paper: the role-aware centrality model, which can be divided into two parts: utterance centrality weights and role-aware centrality weights. The utterance centrality weights aims to measure the importance of each utterance by computing degree centrality of each utterance. Each utterance can be seen as one node on the graph, and the edge value between nodes *i* and *j* is $h_{u_i} \cdot h_{u_j}$. Then, the centrality of each utterance can be computed as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_{u_i} = \sum_j h_{u_i} \cdot h_{u_j} \tag{2}$$

Then we normalize the relevance score and get the weight w_i^c with $\frac{C_{u_i}}{||C_u||_2}$.

The role-aware centrality weight consider the relevance between role prompt and utterances, which is computed as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_{u_i} = h_r \cdot h_{u_i} \tag{3}$$

Then we normalize the relevance score and get the weight w_i^r with $\frac{\mathcal{R}_{u_i}}{||\mathcal{R}_u||_2}$. Finally, the role-aware centrality weights $w^r c_j$ can be obtained by $w_j^r \cdot w_j^c$ and the token-level representations for the decoder is re-weighted as follows:

$$\hat{h}_i = \lambda \cdot h_i + (1 - \lambda) \cdot (w^r c_j \cdot h_i), t_i \in u_j \quad (4)$$

where λ is a hyperparameter to control the influence of RAC. The auto-regression decoder generates the final summary based on the re-weighted context representations $\{\hat{h}_i\}_{i=1}^T$.

$$P(\hat{y}) = \text{Decoder}(\{\hat{h}_i\}_{i=1}^T)$$
(5)

In the training stage, the model learns the optimal parameters θ by minimizing the negative log-likelihood.

3 Experiments and Analysis

3.1 Basic Settings

We evaluate our method on two public datasets: CSDS (Lin et al., 2021) and MC (Song et al., 2020)¹. The comparison baselines are PGN (See et al., 2017), BERTAbs (Liu and Lapata, 2019), PGN/BERTAbs-both (Lin et al., 2022) and our implemented BART-both. The comparison metrics are ROUGE-2 / L (Lin, 2004)², BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002)³, BERTScore (Zhang* et al., 2020)⁴, and MoverScore (Zhao et al., 2019)⁵. For Mover-Score, we use Chinese-bert-wwm-ext⁶ to provide the embeddings of summaries. **The results of ROUGE-1 and more details of experiments are shown in the appendix.**

3.2 Main Results

We show the main results in Table 1 and Table 2. All reported results of [model]+RAC are the average of three checkpoints. The bold number represents the best result for each block, and the underlined represents the best global result. BERT model in the table means BERTAbs. We can see that BART+RAC outperforms all comparison models and achieve state-of-the-art results on CSDS and MC datasets. In addition, different types of seq2seq models can all have an appreciable improvement with our RAC and the gain of the BART model is extremely obvious. It is worth mentioning that the performance of the PGN-based models is better than BERTAbs-based models, while the BART-based models, which are also pre-trained models, achieve the best results. This proves that the knowledge learned in the pre-training phase of

¹https://github.com/cuhksz-nlp/HET-MC. We use the official crawling script to acquire the dataset and follow the data split in RODS.

²https://pypi.org/project/rouge-score/

³https://github.com/mjpost/sacreBLEU

⁴https://github.com/Tiiiger/bert_score

⁵https://github.com/AIPHES/emnlp19-moverscore

⁶https://huggingface.co/hfl/chinese-bert-wwm-ext

CSDS	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	BLEU	BERTScore	MoverScore
PGN	39.19/37.06/35.12	53.46/51.05/47.59	30.03/29.64/28.25	77.96/78.68/76.13	59.00/58.68/58.23
PGN-both	40.37/39.10/36.50	55.14/53.85/49.12	32.58/33.54/29.78	78.69/79.52/76.74	59.48/59.32/ 58.64
PGN+RAC	40.86/40.74/36.92	55.98/54.56/50.04	32.94/33.86/30.46	78.87/79.90/77.03	59.64/59.72 /58.61
BERT	37.59/36.39/33.82	52.40/50.44/46.83	29.90/30.17/26.99	78.52/79.23/76.39	58.23/58.10/57.79
BERT-both	40.12/40.70/36.37	54.87/55.17/49.52	32.13/32.04/29.23	79.85/ 80.70 /77.23	59.52/59.55/58.46
BERT+RAC	40.34/41.05/36.75	55.12/55.53/49.89	32.24/32.19/29.91	79.89 /80.69/ 77.27	59.86/59.58/58.66
BART	43.72/43.59/40.24	57.11/56.86/50.85	34.33/34.26/31.88	79.74/80.67/77.31	60.11/59.86/58.75
BART-both	43.88/43.69/40.32	57.32/57.28/51.10	34.75/34.49/32.30	79.72/80.64/77.30	60.12/59.86/58.73
BART+RAC	44.31/44.25/40.51	57.73/58.64/52.64	35.20/35.09/32.95	79.99/80.92/77.35	60.26/60.29/59.04

Table 1: Results on the CSDS dataset test set.

МС	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	BLEU	BERTScore	MoverScore
PGN	81.25/94.32/77.91	84.34/94.77/81.47	71.50/87.66/68.10	92.90/97.60/91.74	80.90/93.84/79.69
PGN-both	81.93/94.59/78.78	84.94/95.06/82.20	72.77/87.82/69.63	93.23/97.71/92.15	81.67/94.04/80.52
PGN+RAC	82.45/94.72/79.11	85.33/96.41/82.76	72.98/88.00/69.99	93.45/97.92/92.32	81.88/94.35/80.83
BERT	79.90/94.48/76.78	83.04/95.06/80.30	68.19/87.20/64.09	92.68/97.86/91.71	81.28/93.90/80.48
BERT-both	80.76/94.62/77.54	83.68/95.14/80.84	69.33/87.40/65.40	93.02/ 97.90 /91.91	82.26/94.20/81.02
BERT+RAC	81.30/94.80/77.91	84.07/95.72/81.36	69.73/87.80/65.91	93.11 /97.89/ 92.29	82.56/94.41/81.42
BART	84.75/94.99/82.33	87.38/95.37/85.30	73.68/90.29/68.93	93.65/97.94/92.63	82.35/94.17/81.27
BART-both	85.22/95.42/82.89	87.75/95.91/85.78	73.87/90.70/69.31	93.69/97.88/92.69	82.32/94.02/81.40
BART+RAC	86.29/95.86/84.58	88.47/96.12/86.56	74.18/91.22/70.08	94.01/98.13/92.84	82.88/95.10/81.95

Table 2: Results on the MC dataset test set.

	ROUGE-1
BART	59.07/58.78/53.89
BART+Prompt BART+CW BART+RW	59.42/58.96/54.03 59.61/59.13/54.11 59.64/59.22/54.26
BART+RAC	59.77/59.54/54.41

Table 3: Ablation study on the CSDS dataset.

BERTAbs has a limited gain on generative tasks. Overall, our proposed RAC is effective for roleoriented dialogue summarization tasks.

3.3 Ablation Study

We do an ablation study to evaluate the contribution from different components of our proposed RAC mechanism. The improvement of each component for the BART model is shown in Table 3. Prompt represents prompt-based joint training. CW represents utterance centrality weights. RW represents the role-aware relevance weight. From the results, we can see that RW contributes the most performance and all components are vital for the final results of BART+RAC. This result demonstrates the effectiveness of our proposed RAC components.



Figure 3: The change of ROUGE-1 score on test set with the training epochs.

3.4 Convergence Analysis

Our RAC can be seen as prior knowledge to guide the training of the summarization model. To investigate the impact of our RAC, we compare the convergence speed of three models and show it in Figure 3. We can see that BART+RAC can converge to a better result with fewer epochs, proving that RAC provides useful information for the model to summarize the dialogue. Compared with our RAC, BART-both (Lin et al., 2022) makes limited improvement for the BART model.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we bring the degree centrality into dialogue summarization and proposed a role-aware centrality (RAC) model to capture role-interaction information. Experiments on two datasets demonstrated that our proposed RAC model is effective and achieved new state-of-the-art results. Furthermore, our RAC can models different kinds of summaries in a unified seq2seq framework without computational complexity increasing as roles increase.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 62276017, U1636211, 61672081), the 2022 Tencent Big Travel Rhino-Bird Special Research Program, and the Fund of the State Key Laboratory of Software Development Environment (Grant No. SKLSDE-2021ZX-18).

References

- Jiaao Chen and Diyi Yang. 2021. Simple conversational data augmentation for semi-supervised abstractive dialogue summarization. In *Proceedings of the* 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 6605–6616, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiachong Feng, Xiaocheng Feng, Bing Qin, and Xinwei Geng. 2021a. Dialogue discourse-aware graph model and data augmentation for meeting summarization. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-21*, pages 3808–3814. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization. Main Track.
- Xiachong Feng, Xiaocheng Feng, Libo Qin, Bing Qin, and Ting Liu. 2021b. Language model as an annotator: Exploring DialoGPT for dialogue summarization. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 1479–1491, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xinnian Liang, Jing Li, Shuangzhi Wu, Mu Li, and Zhoujun Li. 2022. Improving unsupervised extractive summarization by jointly modeling facet and redundancy. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio*, *Speech, and Language Processing*, 30:1546–1557.
- Xinnian Liang, Shuangzhi Wu, Mu Li, and Zhoujun Li. 2021. Improving unsupervised extractive summarization with facet-aware modeling. In *Findings of*

the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021, pages 1685–1697, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*, pages 74–81, Barcelona, Spain. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Haitao Lin, Liqun Ma, Junnan Zhu, Lu Xiang, Yu Zhou, Jiajun Zhang, and Chengqing Zong. 2021. CSDS: A fine-grained Chinese dataset for customer service dialogue summarization. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 4436–4451, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Haitao Lin, Junnan Zhu, Lu Xiang, Yu Zhou, Jiajun Zhang, and Chengqing Zong. 2022. Other roles matter! enhancing role-oriented dialogue summarization via role interactions. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2545– 2558, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yang Liu and Mirella Lapata. 2019. Text summarization with pretrained encoders. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 3730–3740, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhengyuan Liu and Nancy Chen. 2021. Controllable neural dialogue summarization with personal named entity planning. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 92–106, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the* 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 311–318, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- MengNan Qi, Hao Liu, YuZhuo Fu, and Ting Liu. 2021. Improving abstractive dialogue summarization with hierarchical pretraining and topic segment. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, pages 1121–1130, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Abigail See, Peter J. Liu, and Christopher D. Manning. 2017. Get to the point: Summarization with pointergenerator networks. In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 1073– 1083, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Yan Song, Yuanhe Tian, Nan Wang, and Fei Xia. 2020. Summarizing medical conversations via identifying important utterances. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 717–729, Barcelona, Spain (Online).
- Tianyi Zhang*, Varsha Kishore*, Felix Wu*, Kilian Q. Weinberger, and Yoav Artzi. 2020. Bertscore: Evaluating text generation with bert. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Yusen Zhang, Ansong Ni, Ziming Mao, Chen Henry Wu, Chenguang Zhu, Budhaditya Deb, Ahmed Awadallah, Dragomir Radev, and Rui Zhang. 2022. Summⁿ: A multi-stage summarization framework for long input dialogues and documents. In Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 1592– 1604, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wei Zhao, Maxime Peyrard, Fei Liu, Yang Gao, Christian M. Meyer, and Steffen Eger. 2019. Moverscore: Text generation evaluating with contextualized embeddings and earth mover distance. In *Proceedings* of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Hao Zheng and Mirella Lapata. 2019. Sentence centrality revisited for unsupervised summarization. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6236– 6247, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ming Zhong, Da Yin, Tao Yu, Ahmad Zaidi, Mutethia Mutuma, Rahul Jha, Ahmed Hassan Awadallah, Asli Celikyilmaz, Yang Liu, Xipeng Qiu, and Dragomir Radev. 2021. QMSum: A new benchmark for querybased multi-domain meeting summarization. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 5905–5921, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chenguang Zhu, Ruochen Xu, Michael Zeng, and Xuedong Huang. 2020. A hierarchical network for abstractive meeting summarization with cross-domain pretraining. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages 194– 203, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yicheng Zou, Lujun Zhao, Yangyang Kang, Jun Lin, Minlong Peng, Zhuoren Jiang, Changlong Sun, Qi Zhang, Xuanjing Huang, and Xiaozhong Liu. 2021. Topic-oriented spoken dialogue summarization for customer service with saliency-aware topic modeling. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 35(16):14665–14673.

	CSDS	MC
Train Size	9,101	29,324
Val. Size	800	3,258
Test Size	800	8,146
Input Length	321.92	292.21
User Sum. Length	37.28	22.37
Agent Sum. Length	48.08	95.32
Final Sum. Length	83.21	114.54

Table 4: Statistical information of two datasets.

	CSDS	MC
PGN	55.58/53.55/50.20	85.32/94.82/82.56
PGN-both	57.20/56.08/51.62	85.98/95.10/83.37
PGN+RAC	57.62/56/32/52.01	86.38/95.26/83.80
BERT	53.87/52.72/49.57	84.07/95.10/81.53
BERT-both	57.24/54.36/51.92	84.69/95.18/82.02
BERT+RAC	57.35/54.75/52.23	85.12/95.50/82.62
BART	59.07/58.78/53.89	88.37/95.42/86.33
BART-both	59.21/58.93/54.01	88.52/95.63/87.06
BART+RAC	<u>59.77/59.54/54.41</u>	89.43/96.78/88.21

Table 5: ROUGE-1 score in two datasets.

A Datasets

We evaluated our model on two public Chinese dialogue summarization datasets: CSDS and MC. CSDS is a customer service dialogue dataset and MC is a medical inquiry summarization dataset. Each dialogue also includes a summary of the patient's description and an analysis of the doctor's suggestions. We also note them as a summary for users and agents. We use the official crawling script to acquire the dataset and follow the data split from (Lin et al., 2022). The statistical information of these two datasets are shown in Table 4.

B Implementation Details

We employ chinese-bart⁷ model to initialize our transformer-based seq2seq model. We also combine our proposed role-aware centrality mechanism into PGN and BERTAbs model. The training setting of them follows (Lin et al., 2022). BART, BART-both, and BART+RAC were all trained on four V100 32G devices and the maximum input length is 512, the learning rate is 1e-4, the total batch size is 64 and the epoch is 5.

C ROUGE-1 Score on Two Datasets

Limited by the page width, we put the results of ROUGE-1 in the appendix. From the results,

⁷https://huggingface.co/uer/bart-base-chinese-cluecorpussmall

our model still achieves the expected good results, which are consistent with the results in the main table.

D Case Study

We sample an example from the data set to show the final summary of the dialogue generated in the CSDS. We can see that BART tends to copy a large amount of tokens from the input contexts. Our BART+RAC can condense the input text and generate high quality summary.

Dialogue	Dialogue			
	电特别烫。什么情况? (This phone is very hot to charge. what's the situation?) 小妹马上为您查看。(Please wait a moment, I will check it for you right away.)			
	型号][数字]GB+6[数字]GB版香槟金(白)移动联通电信[数字]G手机双卡双待。是这个商品吗?([Phone ID] Is it this product?)			
Agent: 充电过程中 手机放置在平坦的 and storing it in the and place the mobile	P电池内部会有能量转换(将电能转化为化学能存储在电池中)这个过程会有放热的现象,所以不必担心;同时建议您在充电时不要使用手机,并将 硬质表面上(比如桌面) (During the charging process, there will be energy conversion inside the battery (converting electrical energy into chemical energy battery). This process will release heat, so don't worry about it; at the same time, it is recommended that you do not use the mobile phone while charging, e phone on a flat surface. on hard surfaces (such as desktops))			
Afraid of explosion. Agent:不会哦。(It	User: 不开玩笑啊。我之前的手机充电都没有这么烫。主要太特么烫了。怕爆炸啊。(No kidding. My phone has never been so hot before charging. Mainly too hot. Afraid of explosion.) Agent:不会哦。(It will not happen.) User: 但是这个手机太烫了(But this phone is too hot)			
Agent: 如果商品存 quality problem wit within [number] day	先去线下检测哦。(It is recommended that you go to the offline test first.) 存在质量问题影响了您的正常使用,在[数字]天内可以申请退货,[数字]天内申请换货的哦,[数字]天后至质保期内可以维修的~。(f there is a h the product that affects your normal use, you can apply for a return within [number] days, apply for a replacement within [number] days, and repair it s and within the warranty period.) (Ok, I already applied.)			
Reference	用户表示手机充电时特别烫。客服回应用户可以去线下检测。如果商品存在质量问题且影响正常使用,可以申请退货。 (Users reported that the phone was extremely hot when charging. The customer service responded that the user can go to the offline inspection. If the product has quality problems and affects normal use, you can apply for a return.)			
BART	用户表示手机充电特别烫、询问什么情况。客服回答在充电过程中电池内部会有能量转换(将电能转化为化学能存储在电池中)这个过程会有 放热的现象,建议用户在充电时不要使用手机,并将手机放置在平坦的硬质表面上(比如桌面)。用户表示之前的手机充电都没有这么烫。客 服回答不会,建议用户去线下检测. (The user said that the charging of the mobile phone was very hot, and asked what happened. The customer service replied that there will be energy conversion inside the battery during the charging process (converting electrical energy into chemical energy and storing it in the battery). This process will cause heat release. It is recommended that users do not use the mobile phone during charging, and place the mobile phone on a flat hard surface. on a qualitative surface (such as a table top). Users said that the previous mobile phone charging was not so hot. The customer service answer is no, it is recommended that users go to offline testing.)			
BART+RAC	用户询问手机充电过烫的原因。客服回答充电过程中电池内部会有能量转换,会有放热现象,建议用户线下检测。 (The user asks why the phone is charging too hot. The customer service replied that there will be energy conversion inside the battery during the charging process, and there will be heat release. It is recommended that users test it offline.)			

Figure 4: An example from the CSDS dataset.