# Effects of Automatic Rewriting of Source Language within a Japanese to English MT System

# Satoshi Shirai, Satoru Ikehara and Tsukasa Kawaoka Email: [shirai@nttkb.ntt.jp] [ikehara@nttkb.ntt.jp] [kawaoka@nttkb.ntt.jp] (NTT Network Information Systems Laboratories)

# Abstract

To improve the quality of machine translation, it is important to develop a translation method that takes into account the conceptual differences between languages that cause difficult problems in translation. These problems typically occur with expressions that must be subjected to manual pre-editing of the source texts.

This paper proposes a translation method that includes an automatic source text rewriting function. This method has the advantage of being able to use existing translation functions for the translation of difficult-to-translate expressions. At the same time, it improves processing efficiency by reducing ambiguities in syntactic and semantic analysis. This method is an extension of the Multi-Level Translation Method, on which the Japanese to English Machine Translation System ALT-J/E is based.

According to translation experiments using newspaper articles, rewriting rules were applied to 44 sentences (43%) out of a total 102 sentences (in 32 articles), an aggregate total of 52 locations. Translation quality was improved in 33 sentences (75%) of the total and there was no degradation in the remainder. Furthermore, ambiguities in the semantic analysis were reduced from an average 5.39 per sentence to 1.31 per sentence. These results show that this simple method gives a substantial improvement in translation quality.

# 1. Introduction

To date, extensive research has been undertaken in machine translation and practical systems are being used to translate actual documents[1]. Unfortunately, the quality of translated texts continues to be problematic, resulting in a search for new theories and suggestions for innovative systems[2]. Many research efforts seeking to improve the quality of translations have been conducted in recent years[3,4].

Considering the fact that language is the means for expressing the manner in which objects are viewed by the speaker, the conceptual differences between language groups must be considered important [5,6]. To realize a translation method that pays close attention to these differences, yet does not lose the meaning of the original text, the following two approaches can be considered.

- To refrain from excessively parsing the source expression, yet seek combinations of words which have predicated meanings for replacement within the original expression (non-literal translation).
- To automatically rewrite the original text into readily translatable expressions without changing the meaning of the original expression (rewriting into literally translatable expressions).

The first approach is adopted by the Multi-Level Translation Method [7,8] that takes into account the differences in speaker's perception according to languages. More recently, an empirical

approach has come to encroach on the traditional theoretical approach, resulting in knowledge-based translation [9-11] or example-based translation [12-13]. The example-based method seeks to find expressions in the source language that correspond directly with those of the target language, and must be regarded as using the first approach.

In contrast, the second approach mimes conventional manual pre-editing. Efforts are made to restrict expressions so as to facilitate translation and to develop a program that supports the checking of the source language text [14.15].

Differences in concepts are felt to be clearly manifested in those situations in which machine translation systems encounter difficulties. If expressions that previously required manual pre-editing could be translated directly, machine translation systems would be more useful.

This paper proposes a method of machine translation that includes the automatic rewriting of the source text. This method extends the Multi-Level Translation Method to include the second approach, automatically rewriting expressions that are difficult to translate by machine.

Specifically, the types and characteristics of expressions and sentence structures that are subjected to automatic rewriting of the source text in Japanese to English MT are examined. These are separated into elements that can be rewritten within the source language framework and elements that need to be directly rewritten into expressions of the target language. Based on this understanding, an automatic rewriting method is proposed.

This method aims at upgrading translation quaiity, and at the same time, retaining advantages[16] such as<sup>1</sup>:

- 1) Existing translation functions can be used to translate rewritten expressions, thus the need for any new translation algorithm is avoided, and,
- 2) Ambiguities in syntactic and semantic analyses are reduced which reduces processing time.

These benefits were confirmed by the following experiments in which the proposed method was applied to translation of the newspaper articles.

# 2. Types of Expressions to be Rewritten

#### 2.1 Conditions for Automatic Rewriting

Expressions which meet all of the following conditions are to automatically rewritten.

Condition 1: Accurate translation is not possible in the existing form.

Condition 2: A rewriting method exists that does not significantly change the meaning.

Condition 3: The rewritten source can be translated.

Condition 4: No undesirable side effects occur with respect to existing translating functions.

Of the foregoing, Conditions 1 through 3 are practically identical with manual pre-editing, but Condition 4 differs. Details are discussed below.

(1) Cases Rendering MT Impossible

Consider Condition 1. Expressions in actual documents for which appropriate translation is not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In addition, the rules used for rewriting within the source language can be made by people not fluent in the target language, a consideration which become important if a system is being designed in a large mono-lingual environment.

possible can be generally classified as follows.

- (i) The source text is erroneous.
  - 1. It does not follows the conventions of the source language. (Wrong kanji, missing characters, erroneous syntax, etc.)
  - 2. There is unresolvable ambiguities. (analysis is not possible)
  - 3. The contents are erroneous.
- (ii) The source text could be translated by existing technology, but implementation is not complete.
  - 1. There are system bugs (in programs, dictionaries or rules)
  - 2. The system lacks translation functions for some expressions.
- (iii) The source text requires a high level liberal translation which is difficult with existing technology.
  - Due to the absence of expressions in the target language which correspond directly to source language expressions, there is a need to reword the source based on the appraisal of the speaker's intentions.
  - 2. Some sections do not need to be translated due to differences in customs.

The foregoing, with the exception of (i)-3, fall within the scope of text error detection/correction, areas in which research efforts have been exerted for some time<sup>2</sup>. The areas causing problems in Japanese-English machine translation are (ii) and (iii).

(2) Rewriting without Change in Meanings

Let us next consider the second condition. In the case of manual pre-editing, rewriting is not possible unless an alternative expression can be found within the source language that does not change the meaning. In contrast, when rewriting within the translation system, if there is an appropriate expression in the target language, this can be indicated directly, even if there is no such appropriate expression within the source language.

Thus, targets for automatic rewriting of the source language can be regarded as one of the following categories.

- (A) There is an alternative expression which can be translated by the existing system. ("Rewriting within the Source Language")
- (B) No alternative expression exists in the source language, but there is at least one corresponding target language expression.("Rewriting into Pseudo Source Language")

In rewriting these two categories, (A) results in a sentence which can be understood also as a source language sentence<sup>3</sup>. (B), however, yields expressions that correspond closely to the target language and, therefore, the resulting sentence need not necessary be understandable as a source language sentence.

## (3) Possibility of Translation after Rewriting

Regarding Condition 3, whether translation is possible after rewriting must be judged in the same manner as with manual pre-editing and would need to be confirmed through experimentation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For example, in the case of the Japanese language, solutions have generally been sought through system such as the Japanese Sentences Error Correcting System "REVISE"[17].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>As in the case of manual pre-editing, this rewriting seeks conformity with the translation system and, therefore, cannot be guaranteed to result in an expression that is appropriate as a source expression.

#### (4) Rewriting without Undesirable Side Effects

Automatic rewriting is quite different from human pre-editing because rewriting rules will be applied to all expression candidates. If any such rule should be inappropriate, there is a possibility that some unexpected expressions may also be rewritten against the aim of the rules. In preparing the rewriting rules therefore, there is a need to consider carefully the range and scope of application so as to avoid any degradation in translation quality. This would also need to be confirmed through experimentation.

## 2.2 Classification of Expressions to be Rewritten

Based on experiments with the ALT-J/E MT System, six kinds of Japanese rewriting factors are to be suggested for Japanese to English MT. These factors are separated into two types as follows.

#### 2.2.1 Rewriting within Source Language

There are 3 types of expressions that should be rewritten within the Japanese language. However, even in cases where rewriting within the Japanese text is possible, if the expression after rewriting results in ambiguity, rewriting into a pseudo source language should be undertaken using an awareness of the corresponding English. This type of expression is excluded from this classification and listed in the next section.

#### (1) Degenerate Expressions

When verbs are arranged in parallel, the conjugative suffix tends to be omitted and this causes misinterpretation of the verb which appears to be a noun. An example (a) below, the verb "tsuika-suru" (add) has the "suru" omitted and can be misinterpreted as "tsuika" (addition) which can cause the meaning of the entire sentence to be incomprehensible. To avoid such misinterpretation, the conjugative suffix should be supplemented in the original sentence rewritten in (a').

(a)	Shisutemu-ga システム が system (The data whice	add an	d dele	ete data	a
(a')	Shisutemu-ga システム が system	tsuika-shi 追加し、 add	そして	sakujo-suru 削除する delete	deta データ data

In compound sentences that jointly use the same verb, the verb for the earlier sentence tends to be omitted. For example, in (b) not only has the verb "tanto-suru" been omitted, but also joshi "wo". This causes the words "Beikoku" (the USA) and "Fukushacho" (vice-president) to be arranged in parallel and prevents the verification of the joshi "wa". In such a case, supplementing the omitted predicate by the correspondence between case elements will facilitate analysis.

(b)		米国、	Fukushacho-wa 副社長は vice-president	Oshu-wo 欧州を Europe	tanto-suru. 担当する。 take charge	•
(b')	`Shacho-wa 社長は		担当し、	A and the vi Fukushacho- 副社長は vice-presiden	wa Oshu-wo 欧州を	

(2) Removing Redundancy

Normally, the conjugative particle "ba", besides its meaning as conditional conjunction, can be used to enumerate of nouns as in (c). In the case of noun enumeration, there is a need to take a broad view of the neighboring structure and semantics to arrive at a satisfactory interpretation.

(c)	also male	ire-ba onna いれば 女も be also oth men and v	female	iru いる。 be
(C')	Otoko-mo 男も also male	onna-mo 女も also female	iru. いる。 be	

The translation of (c) is all but impossible. Therefore, (c) is rewritten to (c') which has practically the same meaning.

(3) Syntactic Re-arrangement

The subject and object tend to be omitted in Japanese sentences with the assumption that these can be understood by the reader. In such a case, a method has been proposed that supplies them by contextual analysis[18]. However, this process sometimes fails due to the lack of contextual information.

For example, sentence (d) lacks both a subject and an object and cannot be translated in this form. The structure of sentences such as these need to be rewritten into a form corresponding to an appropriate English form structure as in (d').

(d)	Nikishu 二機種	awase-te 合わせて	tsuki 月	gohyaku-dai 五百台	seisan-suru. 生産する。
	two types			500 unit	produce
	(500 unit to	tal of both	types :	will be produc	ced monthly.)
(ď')	two types	合計 月) total mo	onthly p	五	phyaku-dai-da. 百台だ。 i00 units iits.)

2.2.2 Rewriting into Pseudo Source Language

We identify 3 types of expressions.

(1) Independent Phrase Expressions

Among adverbial clauses functioning as verbs in the Japanese language are those which can be translated on the English side into simplified prepositional phrases. But a literal translation will result in a verb clause and in most cases, degrade translation quality. In (e) below, "noru"(ride) is a verb, but "ni-not-te" has a meaning corresponding to "by" which expresses means or method. Thus, a pseudo Japanese language expression "ni-not-te" is devised to replace this. There is in Japanese the joshi "de" which corresponds to the word "by" expressing means or method. But this is avoided since "de" can result in numerous forms of ambiguities that are difficult to analyze and is rewritten into pseudo Japanese language.

(e)	Basu-ni	not-te	gakkoe	iku.
	バスに	乗って	学校へ	行く。
	bus (I go to		to school riding on a	

(e')	Basu ni-not-te バス <ニノッテン bus by (I go to school b	to school	iku. 行く。 go		
(f)	Sunin-ga 数人が several persons (Several persons	バスに 手 bus r	notte, nokori-wa 乗って、残りは ride remainder pus, and the rema	電車に 乗る。 train ride	

In (f), "ni-not-te" is also being used, but in this case, this constitutes a main verb and is not rewritten.

#### (2) Modal and Tense Expressions

Modal and tense are usually expressed by combinations of joshi and intransitive verb. But there are instances where they are handled by expressions that have been objectified by nouns, verbs and other factors. For example, in (g), the copulative predicate "yotei-da" (be scheduled to, be planning to) clearly expresses "intentional planning". (i) signifies a condition immediately after conclusion of an act by the copulative predicate "tokoro-da" (have just finished ---ing). Expressions such as these are to be separated from objective expressions and rewritten so as to be handled pseudo-linguistically as subjective expressions.

(g)	山谷 🖀 Sanya D	気は Denki	東京に to Tokyo	honsha-wo 本社を Head office r its head offi	移す transfer	yotei-da. 予定だ。 plan D.)
(g')	山谷 📲	気は	東京に	honsha-wo 本社を head office	移す。(+	plan to)
(h)	これは this	私が	- 出し	tted sched	-0	
(i)	バスは bus	shuppats 出発した departed has just (	ł	tokoro-da. ところだ。 just		
(i') /	ヾスは bus	depart	。(+ a sta	ate immediately late immediate	_	
(i)		field sa	武士が Imurai		ころだ。 ace	

Examples (h) and (j) have the simple syntax "A is B" and are not subject to rewriting.

(3) Degenerate Conjunctive Expressions

Among words expressing sentence conjunction, there are those which are meaningless when translated into English. In (k) for example, the expression "noni-tsuzuki" serves merely to indicate the order in which action is taken. In terms of internal expression, sequential conjunction is added as a conjunctive attribute, and is deleted from the source text.

- Kokino-wo tsuika-suru noni tsuzuki, kairyogata-wo donyu-suru. (k) 高機能を 追加するのに 続き、 改良型を 導入する。 add continue high performance function revise model introduce (Following the addition of high performance features, a revised and improved model will be introduced.) Kokino-wo tsuika-suru kairyogata-wo donyu-suru.
- (k') 高機能を 追加する(+ sequential conjunction)、改良型を 導入する。 high performance function add revised model itroduce (A revised and improved model which adds high performance capability will be introduced.)

The Japanese language allows a considerable range of freedom in the arrangement order of clauses. In the case of conjunctive expressions, changes in the order of clauses will, at times, facilitate translation. Example (I) has two components that are verb-like. One is the adverbial clause "miokuri-ni"(to see off) functioning as a verb and the other is the predicate "iku"(go). In this example the phenomena of crossed dependency took place. By regarding these two components as a single unit of a compound predicate, cross dependency can be avoided. However, rewriting the adverbial clause, "to see off" to reflect the meaning "in order to", greatly facilitates translation.

daitoryo-wo president (I) 大統領を	Narita-ni miokuri- to Narita to see o 成田に 見送りに		
(I go to Nai	rita to see the presi	dent off.)	
daitoryo-wo president (I') 大統領を	miokuri-ni to see off 見送る(in order to) 1	Narita-ni to Narita ) 成田に し	iku go 行て。 ↑

# 3. Rules and Method for Automatic Rewriting

# (1) Rewriting Rules

Examples of rewriting rules are shown in Table 1. Expressions which are subjected to rewriting are defined using parts of speech, semantic attributes, dependency relations between words as well as the appearance of written words.

Index Word	Position	Expressio	on to b	e Rewrited	Expression	Rewriting	
	of Case Element	Contents	Head	Modifier	Contents	Head	Modifier
乗る	X 1	[Vehicle] +(⊂(joshi)	(any one)	X 2 (case relation)	{Vehicle] +に乗って (pseudo joshi)	*	X3 (case relation)
(ride, take)	X 2	乗る(音便) +て(joshi)	X 1	X3 (conjunction)	< deletion >		n >
	Х 3	行く [+*]	X 2	<arbitrarily></arbitrarily>	<no change=""></no>	X 1	<no change=""></no>

Table 1. Forms for Japanese Rewriting Rules

## (2) Phases in Which Rules are Applied

<Translation Process>

The translation process consists of several phases, such as morphological, syntactic, semantic analysis and other phases. Rewriting at too early a phase will sometimes cause an undesirable reaction because of the lack of analytical information. Conversely, rewriting after analysis has progressed significantly will risk diminishing the effects of ambiguity reduction in successive analyses.



Fig. 1 Source Text Rewriting Method

(e) Dependency Analysis before Rewriting (2 interpretations)

[Interpretation I]



[Interpretation 2]



(e') Dependency Analysis after Rewriting (1 interpretation)



Fig. 2 Reduction of Ambiguity by Rewriting

Thus, we have decided to apply rewriting rules immediately after syntactic analysis, a phase at which it becomes possible to check conditions for the application of these rules. Fig. 1 shows the rewriting process.

#### (3) Handling of Syntactic Ambiguities

Syntactic ambiguities cannot be solved by just syntactic analysis and several interpretation candidates will generally be produced. Thus, there will be two or more candidates for one sentence. Moreover, there will be cases in which rewriting rules can be applied to some candidates but not to others. In such a case, we can define rewriting rules so precisely that the candidate for which the rewriting rule is applicable can be assumed to be the accurate interpretation.

For example, in example (e) shown previously, the syntactic analysis produces two interpretations as shown in Fig. 2-(e). A rewriting rule is applied to Interpretation 1 resulting in (e'), but for Interpretation 2, it is not applicable. In such a case, correct interpretation can be easily determined by deleting the interpretation for which rules are not applicable.

# 4. Experimentation and Evaluation

#### 4.1 Conditions for Experimentation and Evaluation

Rewriting rules for Japanese sentences, as discussed in Chapters 2 and 3, were applied to the Japanese to English MT System, ALT-J/E. The results of translation obtained with and without automatic rewriting were compared.

## (1) Test Sentences and Number of Rules Applied

102 leading sentences from 32 articles in the Nikkei Sangyo Newspaper were selected as test sentences. The average number of characters in the source text was 44 characters per sentence and each sentence contains an average of 21 words. The leading section of each article consisted of 3 to 5 sentences. Since each article had some context, they were translated article by article<sup>4</sup>; evaluations, however, were conducted sentence by sentence.

A total of 940 rewriting rules were prepared based on 500 newspaper article sentences including the aforementioned test sentences and on 3,700 functional test sentences.

## (2) Standards for Grading Translation Quality

The standard for grading translation quality was determined by modifying the ALPAC 9-level Grading Standards[19]. Full marks are set at 10 points and a successful translation is set at 6 or more points the level where the meaning can be understood by a native reading only the translation. Grading was conducted individually by 3 bilingual persons specializing in Japanese to English translation. The grade averages were rounded out and determined as final scores for each translation.

## 4.2 Results and Observations

The results of the experiments are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Rewriting rules were applied to 44 sentences (43%) out of 102 sentences at a total of 52 locations. Some translation examples

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Some functions of contextual analysis have been realized in ALT-J/E system. For Example, the subject and object which have been omitted are automatically supplemented from previous sentences[18]. And some (grammatical) articles are also determined from the context within the newspaper article.

	af	ter			Ma	rks	with	Aut	omat	ic R	lerwi	ting	1		
before				<sup>z</sup> a i	i l			;	Success				Total		
	- L	ark	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVe	4.3
50		0													
с С		1	 								1			1	2
		2		а.						1	1			2	35
5	fa	3				4	1	2	5			1		13	80 %
Rew		4		i i i i			1	2	2	3		1		9	
		5		·	:		6.2	1	3	4	1	1		10	1
out	s	6					њ. н.		3	1	2			6	
р с Ч	s	7		 	ь ь 		· · · ·		т. т. 1. г.	2				2	9
/ i 1	e C	8	· ·				••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	:					1	1	20 %
×	n c	9			r			n a							12
k s	s	10	: : :				 		L 11	·· ·	·	· · ·			1
Маг	Tot					4	2	5	13	11	5	3	1	Tot 4	al
Σ	Ave	6.7		1 1	(2	259	6)	·		33	(7	5%	)	4	4

Table 2. Improvement of Translation Quality with Rewriting Method

[c. f.] Region of Quality Degraded

Test sentences: Newspaper articles 102 sentences(32articles) Sentence Length: Average 44 characters/sentence (21 words/sentence)

Table 3. Results of Ex	periments
------------------------	-----------

Types of Rewriting	No	Type of Rewriting			uccess Er ncrease	nglish Words
Rewriting	1	Degenerate Expression	7 places( 7sent.)	1.7	1→5	+4.3
within Source	2	Removing Redundancy	2 places( 2sent.)	3.5	0→2	-0.9
Language	3	Syntactic Re-arrange.	12 places (11sent.)	1.6	3→5	-0.1
Rewriting	1	Independent Phrase	21 places (19sent.)	2.3	3→15	-1.6
into Pseudo	2	Modal and Tense	7 places(7sent.)	2.0	2→6	-2.3
Surce Language	3	Degenerate Conjunction	3 places( 3sent.)	1.7	1→3	0, 0
Summary	-		52 places (44sent.)	2.0	9→33	-0.8

[c. f.] Test sentences: Newspaper articles, 102 sentences (32 articles) Sentence Length: Average 44 characters/sentence (21 words/ sentence) Two or more rules are applied to 10 sentences. In these cases, the dominant rule is picked up. with and without automatic rewriting are shown in Table I in the Appendix. The changes that were brought about in translation quality and in the reduction in ambiguity of semantic analysis with sentences to which rewriting rules were applied were observed as follows.

## (1) Improvement in Translation Quality

Of the 44 sentences to which rules were applied, 33 sentences (75%) were improved in quality. The rate of passing grades for all 102 sentences rose from 55% to 79%. The average score for all 102 sentences rose from 5.71 points prior to application to 6.59. The scores of translation achieved with and without this method are shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3 Improvement of Quality through Automatic Rewriting of Source Text

The rewriting rules were in most cases applied to translations of a lower level of quality. The average score for the 44 sentences to which the rules were applied rose from 4.3 points before application to 6.7 after, an average improvement of over 2 points.

In particularly, for sentences with translation grades of 4 to 5 points (48% of total), many (15/19 = 79%) were improved to passing grades of 6 or higher. Sentences with original grades of 3 points or lower are widely affected by errors beyond the range of rewriting, but even here, the pass rate was brought to as high as (9/16 = 56%).

24 sentences which were originally unacceptable (below 5 points) changed to passing quality (6 points or higher) by application of the rewriting rules. A breakdown reveals 5 sentences were rewritten within the Japanese language, 18 sentences were rewritten into pseudo Japanese, and 1 sentence used both types of rewriting.

The performance improvements achieved by rewriting into pseudo Japanese were found to be a major factor. This type of rewriting decreases the burden of the English sentence generation as well as preventing occurrences of translation errors after rewriting. We seek to further strengthen this type of rewriting.

A look at the relationship between the types of rewriting rules and their benefits reveals that the rewriting of independent phrase expressions was applied most frequently and gave the most

#### significant benefits.

#### (2) Translated Text Compaction

From the viewpoint of sentence compaction, rewriting degenerate expressions would necessarily increase (average 4.3-word increase) the number of words in the translated sentences. Other types of rewriting rules, however, recorded a decrease in the number of words (average 1.8-word). Overall, the decrease in the number of words remains at a level of about 0.8 words. Not much can be expected therefore, in terms of sentence compaction.

#### (3) Analytical Ambiguity Reduction

Considering the 44 sentences to which rewriting rules were applied, ambiguities in semantic analyses were reduced from an average of 5.39 to 1.31. This phenomenon contributes toward the improvement of translation quality and also toward improving the speed of the semantic analysis.

#### 5. Conclusion

A translation method featuring automatic rewriting of source texts has been proposed and implemented on the Japanese to English MT system, ALT-J/E.

Specifically, target expressions for rewriting are classified into (1) cases whereby alternative source language expressions which can be translated by this system exist ("rewriting within the source language"), and (2) cases where no alternative source language expression exist, but expressions which partially correspond to the target language exists ("rewriting into pseudo source language"). Automatic rewriting has been realized for a total of six different types of expressions.

In translation experiments using newspaper articles, 44 sentences (43%) from a total 102 sentences, an aggregate total of 52 locations, were rewritten. Of the foregoing, 33 sentences (75%) were regarded as having been improved in quality. The sentences to which rewriting rules were applied had their average number of ambiguities in the semantic analyses reduced 5.39 to 1.31. The experiments have, therefore, confirmed that this method reduces ambiguities as well as upgrades translation quality.

From the view point of implementation, this method has the advantage that it enables the use of existing translation capabilities for translation of difficult-to-translate expressions. Therefore, this method is one of the simplest ways of improving translation quality.

# Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Dr. M. Miyazaki, Mr. A.Yokoo and other members of the MT research group for valuable discussions. They also wish to thank the member of NTT Advanced Technology Corp. for implementing this method into the ALT-J/E system.

#### References

- [1] J.Carbonell, et.al., "JTEC Panel Report on Machine Translation in Japan, Coordinated by Loyola College in Maryland (January '92)
- [2] "TMI-92 Proceedings of the Conference", Montreal Canada (June 25-27 '92)
- [3] M.Rimon, M.McCord, U.Schwall and P.Martinez, "Advances in Machine Translation Research in IBM", Proceedings of MT SUMMIT III, pp.11-18 (July 1-4 '91)
- [4] "Proceedings of the COLING '92", France (July 23-28 '92)
- [5] S.Ikehara and S.Shirai, "Function Test System for Japanese to English Machine Translation"

(in Japanese), Technical Report of IEICE, D-68 ('90)

- [6] S.Ikehara, "Criteria for Evaluating the Linguistic Quality of Japanese to English MT System", MT Evaluation Workshop (Nov. 2 '92:San Diego)
- [7] S.Ikehara, M.Miyazaki, S.Shirai and Y.Hayashi, "Speaker's Recognition and Multi-Level Translation Method Based on It"(in Japanese). Trans.IPS Japan, Vol.28, No.12, pp. 1269~1279('87)
- [8] S.Ikehara, "Multi-Level Machine Translation Method", Future Computer Systems, Vol.2, No.3, PP.261-274 (189)
- [9] S.C.Chen, J.N.Wang, J.S.Chang and K.Y.Su, "ArchTran: A Corpus-Based Statistics-Oriented English Chinese Machine Translation System", Proceedings of MT SUMMIT III,pp.11–18(July 1–4'91)
- [10]S.Nierenburg, "KBMT-89-A Knowledge Based MT Project at Carnegie Melon University", MT SUMMIT II, pp.141-147 (Aug. 16-18 '89)
- [11]S.Ikehara, A.Yokoo and M.Miyazaki, "Semantic Analysis Dictionaries for Machine Translation" (in Japanese), Technical Report of IEICE, NLC 91--19, pp.23--30 (July 19 '91)
- [12]O.Furuse and H.Iida, "Cooperation between Transfer and Analysis in Example-Based Framework", COLING 92 (July '92)
- [13]M.Nagao, "Some Rationales and Methodologies for Example-Based Approach", Proc. of Workshop on Future Generation Natural Language Processing, UMIST, Manchester, U.K.(July 30-31 '92)
- [14]M.Nagao, "Proposal of Restricted Language", Natural Language-Processing Technologies Symposium (June '83)
- [15]M.Nagao, N.Tanaka and J.Tsujii, "Computer Aided System for Pre--editing of Sentences Based on Restricted Grammar", IPSJ SIG Report(July '84)
- [16]S.Shirai, "Reduction of Syntactic Ambiguities by Automatic Rewriting of Source Expressions" (in Japanese), Proc. 41th Annual Convention IPS Japan,4S-6('90)
- [17]S.Ikehara, T.Yasuda, K.Shimazaki and S.Takagi, "Revision Support System for Japanese Verbal Errors (REVISE)"(in japanese), NTT R&D, Vol.36, No.9, pp.1159–1167 (\*87)
- [18]H.Nakaiwa and S.Ikehara, "Zero Pronoun Resolution in a Japanese to English Machine Translation System using Verbal Semantic Attributes", Proc. of ANLP (March 29 '92)
- [19]"Report by the Automatic Language Processing Advisory Committee" (June '65)

Types of Bewritting     No     Original Japanese       Rewritting     Sentence       Rewritting     I       Rewritting     I </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
	se What to Rewrite and How	Translation without Automatic Rewriting	Translation with Automatic Rewriting
N m	、 三階 [Degenerate をさナ Expression] 査は四 <i>と</i> 、	C. Ito Techno Science Corp. will set up a conference room, a meeting room and a scminar room in the second floor to a show room and the third floor and an office will reach the fourth and higher floors.	C. Itoh Techno- Science Corp. will set up a show room in the second floor and will set up a conference room, a meeting room, and a seminar room in the third floor and an office will reach the fourth and higher floors.
a ting	型百台 [Syntactic Re arrangement] $\rightarrow 二酸種の合計月産$ <i>は</i> $400$ $0$ $\dot{c}$ $\dot{c}$	lt produces Midori Denki 合わせて in 2 models in 400 units per month. 〈grade = 2〉	The monthly output of 2 models is 400 units. <grade 8="" ==""></grade>
	ソフト [Independent ウスの Phrase Expression] ション <u>と共同で</u> 使った → jointly mith アトリ <u>を使った</u> た。 → using	N&C Software Corp., a soft company. developed Atlier Bit. the system of color printing that used a personal computer by Unicom Automation Corp. and the synergic of a system house. $\langle grade = 4 \rangle$	N&C Software Corp., a software company. developed Atlier Bit, the color printing system <u>using</u> a personal computer. <u>jointly with</u> Unicom Automation Corp., a system house.
Soerce 4 物も需要が鈍化しているた Language め苦しい経営を余儀なくさ れている。	益率 [Degenerate Conju- 、出版 nctive Expression] いるた なくさ <u>ことに加えて</u> 、 → not only ~ but also ~	Because it adds a publication agency to that a profit rate is low originally and the demand for publication is slackening, tight management is made to be unavoidable. <pre><pre></pre></pre>	Because <u>mot only</u> the profit rate of a publication agency is low originally, <u>but also</u> the demand for publication is slackening. tight management is made to be unavoidable.
<ul> <li>第山センターはソフト開</li> <li>要員五十人でスタート、</li> <li>五十人に増やす計画。</li> </ul>	ト開発 [Modal and Tense] ト、百 <u>計画。</u> → be planning Lo ~	The Toyama Center is a start in a development staff of 50 and is a plan increased to 150 person.	The Toyama Center starts in a development staff of 50 and <u>is</u> <u>planning to</u> increase the Toyama System Center to 150 people.

Appendix Table 1. Comparison between Franslations with and without Automatic Rewriting

[c.f.]......: : Supplied from previous sentences by context processing.