

All nouns have only one maximal projection (elementary tree) whether they occur in an N or an NP context. In French, the top $\langle \text{det} \rangle = +$ feature on the noun is dependent on the context: 'voir *sorcifflre' / 'une sorcifflre' vs. 'changer quelqu'un en sorcifflre' / '*une sorcifflre' (see: 'a witch' / 'change someone into a witch').

Syntactic properties of the whole NP can more easily be made dependent on the lexical value of the determiner. We thus present a feature system for distinguishing determiners on the basis of the syntactic properties of the NP they introduce (extractable or not, topicalizable or not). These features also serve to rule out some combinations of determiners.

**Japanese Tree Adjoining Grammar
and its Application to
On-Line Help System NeoAssist**

Kuniaki Uehara

Department of Systems Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

Kobe University

Rokkodai-cho, Nada

Kobe 657, Japan uehara@gradient.scitex.kobe-u.ac.jp

One of the greatest obstacles faced when attempting to develop a text generation system for a language like Japanese is the unpredictability caused by the relatively free word order and by the case assignment. It is, thus, necessary to develop grammatical formalism which gives an account of some linguistic phenomena peculiar to Japanese. This paper proposes the Japanese Tree Adjoining Grammar (JTAG for short) which has more powerful mechanism for treating the word order variation than that of the original Tree Adjoining Grammar (TAG for short).

First of all, by using a set of linear precedence statements, we can define word order variation in Japanese, there still remains a linguistic phenomenon which cannot be explained in the framework of TAG. For example, embedded sentences in Japanese do not normally carry any sign (i.e. *which*, *where* in English) to mark the beginning. As a result, the beginning of a deeply embedded sentence can look very much like the beginning of a simple top-level sentence. Furthermore, no other phrase can be inserted between the embedded sentence and the antecedent. In order to explain this linguistic phenomenon in JTAG, we will introduce the new precedence relationship ' \leq '. The new relationship $x \leq y$ (x strongly precedes y) is introduced so as to prohibit some words or phrases from moving into a phrase structure.

Second, Japanese postnominal suffixes, by themselves, do not always provide the necessary information for case assignment. In other words, the postnominal interpretation of the same deep case interpretation changes depending on the aspectual class (stative, transitive, process, completive, momentary), voice, or volition. In order to solve the problem of case assignment, we will extend the notion of an

elementary tree by introducing a set of feature-value pairs, so that JTAG is able to express control and feature constraints. Control constraint is used to deal with Equi-NP Deletion and Passive transformation. Feature constraint is used to constrain a feature of a node whose value is expected to be defined by a separate specification.

As a result, JTAG can formally deal with some linguistic phenomena often found in a typical Japanese text: passivization, topicalization, relative clauses, embedded sentences, etc. The framework of JTAG is now used as a text generation mechanism in an intelligent on-line help system NeoAssist. However, JTAG is still in its evolving stage, and it needs further refinement. For example, we could include in the framework of JTAG some semantic constraints such as 'a sentence can be transformed into the passive one, if the subject of the sentence is volitional'. Such a semantic constraint could be specified by using feature constraints described above. We have not yet explored what kind of features and their values should be prepared to express semantic constraints. We could also augment JTAG with the mechanism to deal with given and new information. This problem is closely related with the context of a sentence, we must develop the mechanism along with the selection mechanism of auxiliary trees. Such refinements and improvements will continue.

**Coordination in TAG
in the manner of CCG (Combinatory Category Grammars) :
Fixed vs Flexible Phrase Structure**

Aravind Joshi

Department of Computer and Information Science

R-555 Moore School

University of Philadelphia

220 South Street 33rd Street

Philadelphia, PA 19104-6389, USA

joshi@linc.cis.upenn.edu

So far there is no good account of the coordination phenomena in the natural language in the framework of TAG. The best account of coordination so far is provided by CCG. Lexicalized TAGs are very close to CCG except for the fact (and a very crucial fact) that the elementary trees of TAG (lexicalized TAG) do not have a carried representation. The categories in CCG are represented as carried functions. In my talk at the Dagstuhl workshop on TAG, I tried to show that this crucial difference can be exploited for constructing a CCG-like account for coordination in TAGs without - giving up the phrase structure defined in the set - of elementary trees. In CCG there is no fixed phrase structure, almost any contiguous sequence of lexical items (words) can be grouped together as a constituent, thus creating groupings which ordinarily will not be considered as constituents. There are a number of questions about my approach that need to be settled, in particular, it is necessary to investigate the power of the resulting system and to make sure that no additional complexity is added while trying to get rid of the multiplicity of constituents in CCG. Interaction with the participants promised me a lot of new ideas about how to settle these questions.