Training, feedback and productivity measurement with NMT and Adaptive MT





Why?

- Why MT does it make sense for everybody?
- And if so, why do companies choose to train MT engines over existing generic ones?
- Why do we need to measure productivity and collect other data and does it impact our processes?

Smartcat

Industry Challenges

- Many MT providers
- Lack of integrated benchmarks
- Little usable feedback to project participants
- Small organizations (LSPs and End-Users) left behind
 - Generally no in-house expertise
 - Training engines takes time and data, and is expensive!

Smartcat

Many MT Providers – Decisions, decisions...

- Various technologies each with their own advantages and flaws
 - RBMT
 - SMT
 - NMT
 - Adaptive MT
- Some MT providers add the option to train or customize engines
- CAT Tools do a low level integration of many of these MT engines of don't support them at all

| Machine Translation | | |
|---|---|--------|
| SYSTRAN Enterprise Server | | Custo |
| English × German × | ADD | |
| Yandex | Translation feedback will be sent to Free | Free |
| | | |
| French × Microsoft Tr | ranslator, Amazon Translate, KantanMT, Baidu Translate, DeepL | ~ |
| French × Microsoft Tr | ranslator, Amazon Translate, KantanMT, Baidu Translate, DeepL | ~~ |
| French × Microsoft Tr Google Translate | ranslator, Amazon Translate, KantanMT, Baidu Translate, DeepL | Pa |
| French × Microsoft Tr Google Translate | ranslator, Amazon Translate, KantanMT, Baidu Translate, DeepL | Pe |
| French × Microsoft Tr Google Translate Globalese | ranslator, Amazon Translate, KantanMT, Baidu Translate, DeepL | P |

Smartcat



How do we choose?

- Most process participants simply default to the easiest option in their CAT tools
- Other rely on the opinion or preferences of their post-editors but how reliable is that when for example NMT's fluency can distort an evaluation?
- Pricing is important!

Smartcat

Lack of Integrated Scoring

Multiple MT scoring systems

- BLEU
- METEOR
- LEPOR
- These scores are not available in CAT tools.
- Scores for engine trained on an unknown corpus can be unreliable and scores for generic engines vary with time.

Smartcat

Or Benchmarks!

- Quality evaluation approaches used for human translation cannot be used for quality evaluation of the machine translation.
 - Do we create new rating systems (MQM comes to mind)
 - How do we make them available to participants?
- What other metrics could assist project participants?
 - Effort/Productivity/Prices
 - QA reports

Smartcat

Solutions

Integration of benchmark in the CAT tool interface

- Display standard scores
- And possible custom scores
- Add pricing calculations to the mix!

Calculate and display PEMT productivity numbers:

- For each MT engine integrated in the CAT tool
- For individual translators on various MT engines
- Produce after-action reports

| Post-editing statistics | | | |
|---|------------|-------|--|
| Action | Characters | Words | |
| Total | 18 565 | 3 109 | |
| nserted | 1 701 | 284 | |
| Deleted | 1 365 | 233 | |
| Changed | 1 460 | 567 | |

Smartcat



The Adaptive MT Conundrum

- Standard scoring system cannot score the adaptiveness of the engine.
- Productivity metrics can still be produced but should vary more than with other engine types.



Feedback – Project Managers

How useful could the access to these metrics be for project managers?

- Select the best potential MT engine for each language pair/topic at the best price
- Use productivity and QA reports to assist in task assignment
- More accurate costs analysis around MT and PEMT
- Better deadline predictions

Smartcat

Feedback – Translators

How about for the translators?

- Lower reluctance to use MT when presented with updated productivity numbers
- A new evaluation of the per word pricing
- In the context of a marketplace, more information for the potential buyers or higher ranking for the translator
- Improve training using reviewed "after action" reports and productivity benchmarks

| | Unhide column to see translators → | Unhide columns to see editors and distance $ ightarrow$ | Unhide columns to see proofreaders and distance \rightarrow |
|--|---|---|---|
| Source text | Translation | Editing | Proofreading . |
| | | In der Stadt, in der wo ich geboren wurde, lebte | In der Stadt, wo ich geboren wurde, meiner |
| In the town where I was born lived a man who | In der Stadt, in der ich geboren wurde, lebte ein | ein Mann, der zur in See gefahren gestochen | Heimatstadt lebte ein Mann Seemann, der in See- |
| sailed to sea. | Mann, der zur See gefahren war. | war. | gestochen ausgesegelt war. |

Smartcat



Next Steps

Additional process automation – not only can project be created automatically but:

- The best possible MT resources can be allocated automatically by the system.
- Translators can be assigned to the tasks without human interaction to match deadline and cost requirements.
- Real-time productivity numbers can be used to re-allocate translation resources as needed

Smartcat

Small Organization Access

- Generally no in-house experience
- Training performant engines takes data, time and expenses
- Not practical for temporary needs

Smartcat

Solutions

Utilize the marketplace model:

- Trained MT engine marketplace
 - Real-time scores and productivity benchmarks
 - Data source information
- Training data marketplace already in place
- PEMT vendors marketplace partially in place
 - Real-time productivity benchmarks
 - QA reports and feedback

Smartcat

Thank you!

Jean-Luc Saillard Jean-luc@smartcat.ai smartcat.ai

Smartcat