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## 1 Introduction

We present in this paper a morphological analyzer and generator for French that contains a dictionary of 700,000 inflected words called DELAF ${ }^{1}$, and a full twolevel system aimed at the analysis of new derivatives. Hence, this tool recognizes and generates both correct inflected forms of French simple words (DELAF lookup procedure) and new derivatives and their inflected forms (two-level analysis). Moreover, a clear distinction is made between dictionary look-up processes and new words analyses in order to clearly identify the analyses that involve heuristic rules.

We tested this tool upon a French corpus of $1,300,000$ words with significant results (Clemenceau D. 1992). With regards to efficiency, since this tool is compiled into a unique transducer, it provides a very fast look-up procedure ( 1,100 words per second) at a low memory cost (around 1.3 Mb in RAM).

## 2 Description of the analyzer

We first built the transducer representing all the entries of DELAF along with their inflectionnal code. Each entry defines a partial function, as in:
inculpons $\leftrightarrow$ inculper,V\&P1p
which corresponds to the first person plural in the present tense of the verb inculper (to charge someone). The union of these 700,000 partial functions leads to the transducer DELAF stored in 1 Mb with a look-up procedure of 1,100 words per second.

The 70 two-level rules that describe the way characters are changed when prefixes or suffixes are added to words are themselves transducers (Karttunen et al., 1992). The two following two-level rules generate the two surface forms coïnculper and co-inculper when adding the prefix co- to the verb inculper:

| $i: i$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ | co $-: 0^{*}-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i: i$ | $\Leftarrow$ | coo -- |

These 70 transducers have been merged into the transducer Rules by performing an intersection.

The two transducers above have been merged with four different DAGs, Pref, Suf, DELAS and DELAF_A, representing respectively a list of prefixes, a list of suffixes, the list of canonical forms (infinitive form of a verb for instance) and the whole list of the 700,000 inflected forms appearing in DELAF through the following formula:

[^0]$[$ Trans $(($ Pref $\bullet D E L A S) \cap S u f) \cap$ Rules $] \cup[(T r a n s(P r e f$ - DELAF_A) $\cap$ Rules) $0(\operatorname{Id}(\text { Pref } \bullet D E L A F)]^{2}$

This operation leads to the transducer of 1.3 Mb with a look-up procedure of 1,100 words per second, a sample of which is given in the following figure:


## 3 Results

We tested this transducer on a $1,300,000$ words corpus containing 58,000 different graphical forms. Our transducer analyzed $75 \%$ of these graphical forms, which is $3 \%$ more that the transducer of DELAF alone, at a speed of 1,100 words per second. Hence, more than $97 \%$ of the word occurrences of our corpus have been analyzed in the following way:
algorithmisation $\leftrightarrow$ algorithme, $N^{*}$ iser, $V^{*}$ Ation, $N^{*} . f^{*} . s$

## References

[Clemenceau, 1992] David Clemenceau. Dictionary completeness and corpus analysis. COMPLEX 92, pp. 91-100. Linguistics Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest.
[Courtois, 1990] Blandine Courtois. Un système de dictionnaires électroniques pour les mots simples du français in Langue Française $n^{\circ} 87$, Dictionnaires électroniques du français. Larousse, Paris.
[Karttunen et al., 1992] Lauri Karttunen, Ronald M. Kaplan, Annie Zaenen. Two-level morphology with composition. COLING 92, pp. 141-148.
[Koskenniemi, 1984] Kimmo Koskenniemi. A general computational model for word-form recognition and production. COLING 84, pp. 178-181.

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ DELAF stands for Electronic Dictionary of Inflected Forms of the LADL (Courtois, 1990).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Trans takes a DAG $A$ and builds the transducer $\operatorname{Trans}(A)$ whose language is $L(A) \times \mathcal{A}^{*}$. Id takes a DAG $A$ and builds the identity function restricted to $L(A)$. The operators - and $o$ respectively stand for concatenation and composition.

