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## Abstract

The paper presents an outline of a system for grammatical tagging of the London-Lund Corpus of spoken English consisting of some 450000 words. The material, all of which will be available on magnetic computer tape, and part of which is now available in both machinereadable and printed form, has been transcribed orthographically with prosodic marking for tone units, nuclei, stresses, pauses, etc (see Samples 1 and 2). Whereas there is now considerable agreement on the usefulness of a tagged corpus, there is as yet no consensus on the best type of tagging, let alone the procedure involved. The analysis proposed here is of course specifically aimed at tagging spoken English, but should be largely applicable also to written English.

The syntactic tagging will initially be based on surface properties, since we are interested in gaining information that is directly available through the signals that hearers use for decoding a message, ie their perceptual strategies. In this respect, the plan is no innovation. One computer discourse model which is intended "to tackle problems that a speaker evidently tackles" has recently been reported by Davey (1978.4). His model, however, is designed to produce, not understand. Another and more important difference between the SSE system and the Davey model and most other computer discourse models is that the latter have been devised to handle restricted and artificial universes of discourse, such as describing games or moving blocks. However, the work of Winograd (1972), for example, is directly relevant to our task, since it deals with wider aspects of language and makes impressive use of Halliday's systemic grammar for producing parsing algorithms.
One of our aims is to make the tagging procedure as automatic as possible. Specifically, we would like to see how far it is possible to carry out syntactic analysis based on graphic words and prosody (provided by the material) and word class tags (provided by a generalpurpose dictionary). Given that no fully
automatic system for grammatical tagging exists, we propose to implement an interactive, semi-manual mode of analysis.

The paper will present word class tagging of types from the Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English, disambiguation of tokens and phrase tagging by means of a set of parsing algorithms. The basic unit of analysis will be the tone unit. In a previous study of Survey material of spoken English, it was found that the overall average length of a tone unit was 5.3 words and that "there was considerable correlation between the length of tone units and their grammatical contents" with a "high degree of co-extensiveness between tone units and grammatical units of group, phrase, and clause structure" (Quirk et al 1964).

The search for grammatical phrases will be from right to left within the tone unit. Since this search sequence is definitely unorthodox, some explanation may be called for. By and large, English phrase structure typically has the head to the right, as in

```
Verb phrases: will be DOING
Noun phrases: the nice little DOG
Adjective phrases: stunningly BEAUTIFUL
```

Assuming that a good number of the tone units consist of, at least, grammatical phrases, the nucleus will occur within the phrase and, more often than not, within the head of the phrase. Thus, it is likely that it will be linguistically rewarding as well as computationally economical to search from right to left. It seems that a left-to-right search method also runs into difficulties with solving left-recursion structures and predicting numerous alternatives.

The phrase recognition rules are to be applied in the following order:

| (VPH) | Verb phrases |
| :--- | :--- |
| (APH) | Adverb phrases |
| (JPH) | Adjective phrases |
| (NPH) | Noun phrases |
| (PPH) | Prepositional phrases |

The typical features of this system are: taking tone units as the basis of grammatical analysis, choosing a general-purpose dictionary for word class tagging, making extensive use of phrase
structure rules which are applied in a certain order and cyclically, and partly adopting an interactive mode of analysis.

Sample 1. Computer version of Iext S.l.l: TUs 71-102.









Sample 2．Printed version of Text S．1．1：TUs 71－136．
 year：
B $\quad 73$ 川 mhm ）
A $\quad 74[\mathrm{~J}]$ he llshould have had，his ．dissertation $Y_{N} 75$＂at the＂belginning of MÄYロ ． 76 ＂but＂）the \｜damn thing＂hasn＇t＂cómen－ 77 ［a：］I \｜did get a $\triangle$ PÓSTCARD FRÓm hime－ 78 \｜saying that［z：m］the $\Delta$ thing is now areadye ． 79 and that he will lisend it by the send ．of suène ． 80 ｜that＇s what he



 are YOU going to be PLÁcen 89 \｜for «《UHÃVING\＃\＃＊
B 90 ofa：－\＆I lwouldn＇t want it before the aend of June à̀nymow reynarion 91 belcause l＇m agoing to MADRibe ． 92 on the MTENTHE 93 and llcoming

A 95 anl siturs $96+\| y E S+$




A $\quad 106 \boldsymbol{\sim}(-$ a hiss－whistle $)+\| Y$ 的 $+\|+$
 listuck until about［ $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{i}]$

 the stwenty－－AIIGHTH of Ávgust 112 ＂to＂uniltil about the $\Delta t w e n t i e t h$ or asomething of that kind of SEPTEMBERE \＆\＆ 113 but
A 114 घ\｜YÊAHE
 I＇ll be doing csé bstuff 117 and uthat kind of thinge $118 \| I$ can always put it on one asídese 119 and lget on with the papere
 123 llought－\｜ought－\｜ought $\Delta$ Ảtson 124 to have－llgot his in on tíme． 125 and I sus＂upectridi 126 ॥Xiwaysa 127 that Dellaney would be LÁte ． 128 that IChomley would be on rines 129 and that $\|$ this would－produce a
 Hnow it looks as if they they both
B $\quad 132$ a $/ \mathrm{m}|\boldsymbol{l l}| \mathrm{hm} \mid \mathrm{m}$＊
 134 Uwe we limake it sperfectly tlear that papers must be in on the sfirst of d．MAY a
B $\quad 136$ \＆$[\mathrm{m} \| \boldsymbol{H} \mathrm{hm}]$ ］

