

# Tree-based and Forest-based Translation

**Yang Liu**

Institute of Computing Technology  
Chinese Academy of Sciences  
yliu@ict.ac.cn

**Liang Huang**

Information Sciences Institute  
University of Southern California  
lhuang@isi.edu

## 1 Introduction

The past several years have witnessed rapid advances in syntax-based machine translation, which exploits natural language syntax to guide translation. Depending on the type of input, most of these efforts can be divided into two broad categories: (a) **string-based systems** whose input is a string, which is simultaneously parsed and translated by a synchronous grammar (Wu, 1997; Chiang, 2005; Galley et al., 2006), and (b) **tree-based systems** whose input is already a parse tree to be directly converted into a target tree or string (Lin, 2004; Ding and Palmer, 2005; Quirk et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2006).

Compared with their string-based counterparts, tree-based systems offer many attractive features: they are much faster in decoding (linear time vs. cubic time), do not require sophisticated binarization (Zhang et al., 2006), and can use separate grammars for parsing and translation (e.g. a context-free grammar for the former and a tree substitution grammar for the latter).

However, despite these advantages, most tree-based systems suffer from a major drawback: they only use 1-best parse trees to direct translation, which potentially introduces translation mistakes due to parsing errors (Quirk and Corston-Oliver, 2006). This situation becomes worse for resource-poor source languages without enough Treebank data to train a high-accuracy parser.

This problem can be alleviated elegantly by using packed forests (Huang, 2008), which encodes exponentially many parse trees in a polynomial space. Forest-based systems (Mi et al., 2008; Mi and Huang, 2008) thus take a packed forest instead of a parse tree as an input. In addition, packed forests could also be used for translation rule extraction, which helps alleviate the propagation of parsing errors into rule set. Forest-based translation can be regarded as a compromise between the string-based and tree-based methods, while com-

bining the advantages of both: decoding is still fast, yet does not commit to a single parse. Surprisingly, translating a forest of millions of trees is even faster than translating 30 individual trees, and offers significantly better translation quality. This approach has since become a popular topic.

## 2 Content Overview

This tutorial surveys tree-based and forest-based translation methods. For each approach, we will discuss the two fundamental tasks: *decoding*, which performs the actual translation, and *rule extraction*, which learns translation rules from real-world data automatically. Finally, we will introduce some more recent developments to tree-based and forest-based translation, such as tree sequence based models, tree-to-tree models, joint parsing and translation, and faster decoding algorithms. We will conclude our talk by pointing out some directions for future work.

## 3 Tutorial Overview

### 1. Tree-based Translation

- Motivations and Overview
- Tree-to-String Model and Decoding
- Tree-to-String Rule Extraction
- Language Model-Integrated Decoding: Cube Pruning

### 2. Forest-based Translation

- Packed Forest
- Forest-based Decoding
- Forest-based Rule Extraction

### 3. Extensions

- Tree-Sequence-to-String Models
- Tree-to-Tree Models
- Joint Parsing and Translation
- Faster Decoding Methods

### 4. Conclusion and Open Problems