

Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: *DASA-Trans-STM: Adaptive Efficient Transformer for Short Text Matching using Data Augmentation and Semantic Awareness*

Authors: *Jiguo Liu, Chao Liu, Meimei Li, Nan Li, Shihao Gao, Dali Zhu*

How to read the checklist symbols:

- ☒ the authors responded ‘yes’
- ☒ the authors responded ‘no’
- ☐ the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work
- ☐ the authors did not respond to the checkbox question

For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) page at ACL Rolling Review.

☒ A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

- ☒ A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?

This paper has a Limitations section.

- ☒ A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?

This paper belongs to the field of text matching and natural language understanding, and does not involve any potential risks.

☒ B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)

- ☒ B1. Did you cite the creators of artifacts you used?

4 Experiments

- ☒ B2. Did you discuss the license or terms for use and/or distribution of any artifacts?

Because any artifacts we use (such as code, datasets, models) are publicly available.

- ☒ B3. Did you discuss if your use of existing artifact(s) was consistent with their intended use, provided that it was specified? For the artifacts you create, do you specify intended use and whether that is compatible with the original access conditions (in particular, derivatives of data accessed for research purposes should not be used outside of research contexts)?

Appendix A.3.2 Case Study

- ☒ B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?

Because we conducted manual judgment and data screening.

- ☒ B5. Did you provide documentation of the artifacts, e.g., coverage of domains, languages, and linguistic phenomena, demographic groups represented, etc.?

Appendix A.3.2 Case Study

- ☒ B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?

4 Experiments

The [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from [NAACL 2022](#), with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice.

☒ **C. Did you run computational experiments?**

- ☒ C1. Did you report the number of parameters in the models used, the total computational budget (e.g., GPU hours), and computing infrastructure used?

Appendix A.4 Computing Infrastructure

- ☒ C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?

Appendix A.2.2 Hyper-parameters Setting

- ☒ C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?

4 Experiments; Appendix A.2.1 Dataset Statistics

- ☒ C4. If you used existing packages (e.g., for preprocessing, for normalization, or for evaluation, such as NLTK, SpaCy, ROUGE, etc.), did you report the implementation, model, and parameter settings used?

Appendix A.2.2 Hyper-parameters Setting

☒ **D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?**

- ☒ D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?

This paper does not involve human annotators.

- ☒ D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?

This paper does not involve recruitment information.

- ☒ D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?

Data is obtained from public data on the Internet.

- ☒ D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?
- Due to the collected data being publicly available online, it is unlikely that offensive contents are included. All codes and data used in this paper comply with the license for use.*

- ☒ D5. Did you report the basic demographic and geographic characteristics of the annotator population that is the source of the data?

This paper does not involve annotators.

☒ **E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?**

- ☒ E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

Appendix A.1 Data Augmentation