Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: How do Language Models Reshape Entity Alignment? A Survey of LM-Driven EA Methods: Advances, Benchmarks, and Future

Authors: Zerui Chen, huiming fan, Qianyu Wang, Tao He, Ming Liu, Heng Chang, Weijiang Yu, Ze Li, Bing Oin

~		
	How to read the checklist symbols:	
	the authors responded 'yes'	
	the authors responded 'no'	
	the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work	
	the authors did not respond to the checkbox question	
	For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the Responsible NLP Checklist page at ACL Rolling Review.	

✓ A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

- A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work? *This paper has a Limitations section.*
- ✓ A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work? *Please refer to potenial risks section in appendix*
- **B.** Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)
 - B1. Did you cite the creators of artifacts you used?

 We have cited the creators of the scientific artifacts used in our survey. Entity alignment methods are cited in Section 1 (Introduction) and Sections 3, 4, and 5. Additionally, commonly used datasets and evaluation metrics are discussed and cited in Section 6.
 - B2. Did you discuss the license or terms for use and/or distribution of any artifacts? *The datasets we used are public.*
 - B3. Did you discuss if your use of existing artifact(s) was consistent with their intended use, provided that it was specified? For the artifacts you create, do you specify intended use and whether that is compatible with the original access conditions (in particular, derivatives of data accessed for research purposes should not be used outside of research contexts)?
 - Yes. Our survey discusses existing entity alignment methods, datasets, and evaluation metrics in accordance with their intended use as described in the original works. We do not modify or repurpose these artifacts beyond their original research context.
 - B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?
 - We did not collect new datasets and only used public datasets.
 - ☑ B5. Did you provide documentation of the artifacts, e.g., coverage of domains, languages, and linguistic phenomena, demographic groups represented, etc.?

 Please refer to Section 6.

~	B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc for the data that you used/created? <i>Please refer to appendix A.</i>
X	C. Did you run computational experiments?
N/A	C1. Did you report the number of parameters in the models used, the total computational budget (e.g., GPU hours), and computing infrastructure used? N/A. This paper is a survey and does not involve training or running models.
N/A	C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values? N/A. This paper is a survey and does not involve new experiments.
N/A	C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean etc. or just a single run?
	N/A. This paper is a survey and does not involve new experimental results.
N/A	C4. If you used existing packages (e.g., for preprocessing, for normalization, or for evaluation, such as NLTK, SpaCy, ROUGE, etc.), did you report the implementation, model, and parameter settings used?
	N/A. This paper is a survey and does not involve new experiments.
X	D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?
N/A	D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.? We did not use human subjects as annotators.
N/A	D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)? We did not use human subjects as annotators.
N/A	D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)? We did not collect new data.
N/A	D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board? We did not collect new data.
N/A	D5. Did you report the basic demographic and geographic characteristics of the annotator population that is the source of the data? We did not collect new data.

E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?

☒ E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use? *We only use ChatGPT for polishing writings.*