Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: Explainability and Interpretability of Multilingual Large Language Models: A Survey Authors: Lucas Resck, Isabelle Augenstein, Anna Korhonen

Authors: Lucas Resck, Isabelle Augenstein, Anna Korhonen		
	How to read the checklist symbols:	
	the authors responded 'yes'	
	the authors responded 'no'	
	the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work	
	the authors did not respond to the checkbox question	
	For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the Responsible NLP Checklist page at ACL Rolling Review.	
<u> </u>	A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.	
V	A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work? This paper has a Limitations section.	
N/A	A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work? As a survey, there are no potential risks of our work.	
\checkmark	B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)	
V	B1. Did you cite the creators of artifacts you used? Appendix A	
X	B2. Did you discuss the license or terms for use and/or distribution of any artifacts? Semantic Scholar API only requires a citation, not a license discussion	
X	B3. Did you discuss if your use of existing artifact(s) was consistent with their intended use, provided that it was specified? For the artifacts you create, do you specify intended use and whether that is compatible with the original access conditions (in particular, derivatives of data accessed for research purposes should not be used outside of research contexts)? The APIs used for paper collection have explicit intended use (paper collection), which does not require further discussion.	
X	B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it? The APIs used to collect papers only access public information.	
✓	B5. Did you provide documentation of the artifacts, e.g., coverage of domains, languages, and linguistic phenomena, demographic groups represented, etc.? <i>Appendix A</i>	
N/A	B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc for the data that you used/created? <i>We do not use or create data.</i>	

The Responsible NLP Checklist used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from NAACL 2022, with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice.

X	C. Did you run computational experiments?
N/A	C1. Did you report the number of parameters in the models used, the total computational budget (e.g., GPU hours), and computing infrastructure used? We do not use models.
N/A	C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values? <i>We do not use models.</i>
N/A	C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run? We do not have results.
N/A	C4. If you used existing packages (e.g., for preprocessing, for normalization, or for evaluation, such as NLTK, SpaCy, ROUGE, etc.), did you report the implementation, model, and parameter settings used? We do not use this kind of packages.
X	D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?
N/A	D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.? We do not use human annotators.
N/A	D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)? We do not use human annotators.
N/A	D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)? We do not use human annotators.
N/A	D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board? <i>We do not use human annotators</i> .
N/A	D5. Did you report the basic demographic and geographic characteristics of the annotator population that is the source of the data? We do not use human annotators.
\checkmark	E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?

 ${\bf Z}$ E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

Acknowledgements