

Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: *PerDucer: Keyphrase-Driven Personalization Inducer for Summarization from User Histories*

Authors: *Parthiv Chatterjee, Asish Joel Batha, Sourish Dasgupta, Tanmoy Chakraborty*

How to read the checklist symbols:

- the authors responded 'yes'
- the authors responded 'no'
- the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work
- the authors did not respond to the checkbox question

For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) page at ACL Rolling Review.

A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?
This paper has a Limitations section.

A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?
(left blank)

B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)

B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?
All datasets are publicly released and licences are discussed in Appendix K

B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?
We have discussed the datasets and train/test samples in Details in Section 5.1 and in Appendix B,C

C. Did you run computational experiments?

C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?
We have mentioned about training strategy in details in Section 5.1, Appendix G. Detailed hyperparameter list is mentioned in Table 10.

C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?
We have reported the results in Section 6 with details of average.

D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?

D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?
We have given details of Human Annotation in Section 5.3, Appendix I and survey template in Figure 5

The [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from [NAACL 2022](#), with the addition of [ACL 2023](#) question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice. [ACL 2026](#) used a subset of ARR checklist form.

- D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?

Figure 5 in Appendix

- D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?

Appendix K discusses the licence agreement details

- D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?

We have detailed usage licences and protocols in Appendix K

- E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?**

- E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

We have used LLMs for code level bug fixing and in paper writing for grammatical corrections only.