

Response to the reviewer comments for SemEval 2024 Submission #215

Paper title:

Saama Technologies at SemEval-2024 Task 2: Three-module System for NLI4CT Enhanced by LLM-generated Intermediate Labels

Reviewer 1:

There is no specific comment to work out.

Reviewer 2:

- Comment 1: It would be advantageous for the authors to include a more comprehensive review of literature relevant to their system. Specifically, an exploration of the foundational principles behind their approach, comparisons with analogous systems, and their applications across various fields and tasks in preceding studies would enrich the paper's context and depth.

Response: Following this comment, we added more detailed literature search in the section 2.

- Comment 2: Enhancing the manuscript with highlighted examples of the system in action could significantly improve its readability. Currently, the dense text can obscure key points, making it challenging for readers to identify the central contributions and insights.

Response: Following this comment, we added working example case as the texts in italic fonts in Figure 1.

- Comment 3: The length of the appendix is notably extensive, which is unprecedented in my experience. A more concise appendix, focused on essential information, would be more accessible and less daunting for readers.

Response: While we fully understand the concern of the reviewer on the readability of the appendix, we also worry that anyone trying to reproduce our work would be troubled if we exclude any example we used in our prompts. So we added more subsections and explanations in Appendix C to make it more readable.