

## A Proof of Proposition 1

We provide here a detailed proof of Proposition 1.

### A.1 Forward Propagation

The optimization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{csoftmax}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) = \operatorname{argmin} \quad & -H(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \mathbf{z}^\top \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}^\top \boldsymbol{\alpha} = 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha} \leq \mathbf{u}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian function is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \quad & -H(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \mathbf{z}^\top \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \lambda(\mathbf{1}^\top \boldsymbol{\alpha} - 1) \\ & - \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\nu}^\top (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{u}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

To obtain the solution, we invoke the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions. From the stationarity condition, we have  $\mathbf{0} = \log(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) + \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{z} + \lambda \mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\nu}$ , which due to the primal feasibility condition implies that the solution is of the form:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \exp(\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu})/Z, \quad (15)$$

where  $Z$  is a normalization constant. From the complementarity slackness condition, we have that  $0 < \alpha_i < u_i$  implies that  $\mu_i = \nu_i = 0$  and therefore  $\alpha_i = \exp(z_i)/Z$ . On the other hand,  $\nu_i > 0$  implies  $\alpha_i = u_i$ . Hence the solution can be written as  $\alpha_i = \min\{\exp(z_i)/Z, u_i\}$ , where  $Z$  is determined such that the distribution normalizes:

$$Z = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(z_i)}{1 - \sum_{i \notin \mathcal{A}} u_i}, \quad (16)$$

with  $\mathcal{A} = \{i \in [L] \mid \alpha_i < u_i\}$ .

### A.2 Gradient Backpropagation

We now turn to the problem of backpropagating the gradients through the constrained softmax transformation. For that, we need to compute its Jacobian matrix, i.e., the derivatives  $\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial z_j}$  and  $\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_j}$  for  $i, j \in [L]$ .

Let us first express  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  as

$$\alpha_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\exp(z_i)(1-s)}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(z_j)}, & i \in \mathcal{A} \\ u_i, & i \notin \mathcal{A}, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where  $s = \sum_{j \notin \mathcal{A}} u_j$ . Note that we have  $\partial s / \partial z_j = 0$ ,  $\forall j$ , and  $\partial s / \partial u_j = \mathbf{1}(j \notin \mathcal{A})$ . To compute the entries of the Jacobian matrix, we need to consider several cases.

**Case 1:**  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ . In this case, the evaluation of Eq. 17 goes through the first branch. Let us first compute the derivative with respect to  $u_j$ . Two things can happen: if  $j \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $s$  does not depend on  $u_j$ , hence  $\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_j} = 0$ . Else, if  $j \notin \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{-\exp(z_i) \frac{\partial s}{\partial u_j}}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(z_k)} = -\alpha_i / (1 - s).$$

Now let us compute the derivative with respect to  $z_j$ . Three things can happen: if  $j \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial z_j} &= \frac{-\exp(z_i) \exp(z_j) (1-s)}{(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(z_k))^2} \\ &= -\alpha_i \alpha_j / (1-s). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

If  $j \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $i = j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial z_i} &= (1-s) \times \\ &\quad \frac{\exp(z_i) \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(z_k) - \exp(z_i)^2}{\left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(z_k)\right)^2} \\ &= \alpha_i - \alpha_i^2 / (1-s). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Finally, if  $j \notin \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial z_j} = 0$ .

**Case 2:**  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$ . In this case, the evaluation of Eq. 17 goes through the second branch, which means that  $\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial z_j} = 0$ , always. Let us now compute the derivative with respect to  $u_j$ . This derivative is always zero unless  $i = j$ , in which case  $\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_j} = 1$ .

To sum up, we have:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial z_j} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}(i=j)\alpha_i - \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{1-s}, & \text{if } i, j \in \mathcal{A} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha_i}{1-s}, & \text{if } i \in \mathcal{A}, j \notin \mathcal{A} \\ 1, & \text{if } i, j \notin \mathcal{A}, i = j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} dz_j &= \sum_i \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial z_j} d\alpha_i \\ &= \mathbf{1}(j \in \mathcal{A}) \left( \alpha_j d\alpha_j - \frac{\alpha_j \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_i d\alpha_i}{1-s} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{1}(j \in \mathcal{A}) \alpha_j (d\alpha_j - m), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} du_j &= \sum_i \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_j} d\alpha_i \\ &= \mathbf{1}(j \notin \mathcal{A}) \left( d\alpha_j - \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_i d\alpha_i}{1-s} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{1}(j \notin \mathcal{A}) (d\alpha_j - m), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $m = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_i d\alpha_i}{1-s}$ .