

Meta4NLP 2013

**The First Workshop on Metaphor in NLP**

**Proceedings of the Workshop**

13 June 2013  
Atlanta, GA, USA

©2013 The Association for Computational Linguistics

209 N. Eighth Street  
Stroudsburg, PA 18360  
USA  
Tel: +1-570-476-8006  
Fax: +1-570-476-0860  
[acl@aclweb.org](mailto:acl@aclweb.org)

ISBN 978-1-937284-47-3

## Introduction

Characteristic to all areas of human activity (from poetic to ordinary to scientific) and, thus, to all types of discourse, metaphor becomes an important problem for natural language processing. Its ubiquity in language has been established in a number of corpus studies and the role it plays in human reasoning has been confirmed in psychological experiments. This makes metaphor an important research area for computational and cognitive linguistics, and its automatic identification and interpretation indispensable for any semantics-oriented NLP application.

The work on metaphor in NLP and AI started in the 1980s, providing us with a wealth of ideas on the structure and mechanisms of the phenomenon. The last decade witnessed a technological leap in natural language computation, whereby manually crafted rules gradually give way to more robust corpus-based statistical methods. This is also the case for metaphor research. In the recent years, the problem of metaphor modeling has been steadily gaining interest within the NLP community, with a growing number of approaches exploiting statistical techniques. Compared to more traditional approaches based on hand-coded knowledge, these more recent methods tend to have a wider coverage, as well as be more efficient, accurate and robust. However, even the statistical metaphor processing approaches so far often focused on a limited domain or a subset of phenomena. At the same time, recent work on computational lexical semantics and lexical acquisition techniques, as well as a wide range of NLP methods applying machine learning to open-domain semantic tasks, open many new avenues for creation of large-scale robust tools for recognition and interpretation of metaphor.

This workshop is the first one focused on modelling of metaphor using NLP techniques. Recent related events include workshops on Computational Approaches to Figurative Language (NAACL 2007) and on Computational Approaches to Linguistic Creativity (NAACL 2009, NAACL 2010). We received 14 submissions and accepted 10. Each paper was carefully reviewed by at least 3 members of the Program Committee. The selected papers offer explorations into the following directions: (1) creation of metaphor-annotated datasets; (2) identification of new features that are useful for metaphor identification; (3) cross-lingual metaphor identification.

The papers represent a variety of approaches to utilization and creation of datasets. While existing annotated corpora were used in some papers (Dunn, Tsvetkov et al), most papers describe creation of new annotated materials. Along with annotation guidelines adapted from the MIP and MIPVU procedures (Badryzlova et al), more intuitive annotation protocols are explored in Beigman Klebanov and Flor, Hovy et al, Heintz et al, Mohler et al, and Strzalkowski et al.

The papers present a number of novel and extended features for metaphor detection. Topic models, abstractness/concreteness, and semantic classifications based on an ontology are each used in multiple papers. Additional features include classes of named entities (Tsvetkov et al), WordNet examples and glosses (Wilks et al); suggestive evidence is presented regarding potential usefulness of a relationality feature (Jamrozik et al). A distinguishing characteristic of multiple submissions is the interest in cross-lingual approaches to metaphor identification. Accordingly, contributors explore features that can be supported by resources that exist in languages like Russian, Spanish, and Farsi (Strzalkowski et al., Tsvetkov et al, Heintz et al).

The program of the workshop also features two invited talks that complement the discussion by

addressing topics that are not addressed by this year's submissions, namely, the relationship between metaphor and action (Srini Narayanan), and interpretation of metaphors (John Barnden).

We wish to thank everyone who showed interest and submitted a paper, all of the authors for their contributions, the members of the Program Committee for their thoughtful reviews, the invited speakers for sharing their perspectives on the topic, and all the attendees of the workshop. All of these factors contribute to a truly enriching event!

Workshop co-chairs:

Ekaterina Shutova, University of California at Berkeley, USA

Beata Beigman Klebanov, Educational Testing Service, USA

Joel Tetreault, Nuance, USA

Zornitsa Kozareva, USC Information Sciences Institute, USA

**Organizers:**

Ekaterina Shutova, University of California, Berkeley, USA  
Beata Beigman Klebanov, Educational Testing Service, USA  
Joel Tetreault, Nuance, USA  
Zornitsa Kozareva, USC Information Sciences Institute, USA

**Program Committee:**

Shlomo Argamon, Illinois Institute of Technology, USA  
John Barnden, University of Birmingham, UK  
Gemma Boleda, University of Texas at Austin, USA  
Danushka Bollegala, University of Tokyo, Japan  
Marisa Boston, Nuance, USA  
David Bracewell, LCC, USA  
Ted Briscoe, University of Cambridge, UK  
Jaime Carbonell, CMU, USA  
Stephen Clark, University of Cambridge, UK  
Paul Cook, University of Melbourne, Australia  
Gerard de Melo, University of California at Berkeley, USA  
Alice Deignan, Leeds University, UK  
Afsaneh Fazly, University of Toronto, Canada  
Anna Feldman, Montclair State University, USA  
Jerry Feldman, University of California at Berkeley, USA  
Michael Flor, Educational Testing Service, USA  
Marjorie Freedman, BBN, USA  
Deidre Gentner, Northwestern University, USA  
Yanfen Hao, Electronics Industry Research Institute, ShanXi, China  
Jerry Hobbs, University of Southern California, USA  
Eugenie Giesbrecht, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany  
Valia Kordoni, Humboldt University Berlin, Germany  
Anna Korhonen, University of Cambridge, UK  
George Lakoff, University of California at Berkeley, USA  
Alex Lascarides, University of Edinburgh, UK  
Mark Lee, University of Birmingham, UK  
Patricia Lichtenstein, University of California, Merced, USA  
Katja Markert, University of Leeds, UK  
James H. Martin, University of Colorado at Boulder, USA  
Andreas Musolff, University of East Anglia, UK  
Srini Narayanan, University of California at Berkeley, USA  
Malvina Nissim, University of Bologna, Italy  
Diarmuid Ó Séaghdha, University of Cambridge, UK  
Gerard Steen, VU Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Thierry Poibeau, Ecole Normale Supérieure and CNRS, France  
Caroline Sporleder, Saarland University, Germany  
Carlo Strapparava, Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Italy  
Tomek Strzalkowski, SUNY Albany, USA  
Marc Tomlinson, LCC, USA  
Oren Tsur, Hebrew University, Israel  
Peter Turney, National Research Council Canada, Canada  
Tim van de Cruys, IRIT and CNRS, Toulouse, France  
Tony Veale, Korean Advanced Institute for Science and Technology, Republic of Korea  
Aline Villavicencio, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil and MIT, USA  
Andreas Vlachos, University of Cambridge, UK  
Yorick Wilks, Florida Institute of Human and Machine Cognition, USA

**Invited Speakers:**

Srini Narayanan, University of California, Berkeley, USA  
John Barnden, University of Birmingham, UK

## Table of Contents

<i>What metaphor identification systems can tell us about metaphor-in-language</i> Jonathan Dunn .....	1
<i>Argumentation-Relevant Metaphors in Test-Taker Essays</i> Beata Beigman Klebanov and Michael Flor .....	11
<i>Relational words have high metaphoric potential</i> Anja Jamrozik, Eyal Sagi, Micah Goldwater and Dedre Gentner .....	21
<i>Semantic Signatures for Example-Based Linguistic Metaphor Detection</i> Michael Mohler, David Bracewell, Marc Tomlinson and David Hinote .....	27
<i>Automatic Metaphor Detection using Large-Scale Lexical Resources and Conventional Metaphor Extraction</i> Yorick Wilks, Adam Dalton, James Allen and Lucian Galescu .....	36
<i>Cross-Lingual Metaphor Detection Using Common Semantic Features</i> Yulia Tsvetkov, Elena Mukomel and Anatole Gershman .....	45
<i>Identifying Metaphorical Word Use with Tree Kernels</i> Dirk Hovy, Shashank Shrivastava, Sujay Kumar Jauhar, Mrinmaya Sachan, Kartik Goyal, Huying Li, Whitney Sanders and Eduard Hovy .....	52
<i>Automatic Extraction of Linguistic Metaphors with LDA Topic Modeling</i> Ilana Heintz, Ryan Gabbard, Mahesh Srivastava, Dave Barner, Donald Black, Majorie Friedman and Ralph Weischedel .....	58
<i>Robust Extraction of Metaphor from Novel Data</i> Tomek Strzalkowski, George Aaron Broadwell, Sarah Taylor, Laurie Feldman, Samira Shaikh, Ting Liu, Boris Yamrom, Kit Cho, Umit Boz, Ignacio Cases and Kyle Elliot .....	67
<i>Annotating a Russian corpus of conceptual metaphor: a bottom-up approach</i> Yulia Badryzlova, Natalia Shekhtman, Yekaterina Isaeva and Ruslan Kerimov .....	77





# Workshop Program

## Thursday, June 13, 2013

- 9:00–9:10      Opening remarks
- 9:10–10:05     Invited talk: Srinu Narayanan “From Metaphor to Action”
- 10:05–10:30   *What metaphor identification systems can tell us about metaphor-in-language*  
Jonathan Dunn
- 10:30–11:00    Coffee break
- 11:00–11:25   *Argumentation-Relevant Metaphors in Test-Taker Essays*  
Beata Beigman Klebanov and Michael Flor
- 11:25–11:45   *Relational words have high metaphoric potential*  
Anja Jamrozik, Eyal Sagi, Micah Goldwater and Dedre Gentner
- 11:45–12:10   *Semantic Signatures for Example-Based Linguistic Metaphor Detection*  
Michael Mohler, David Bracewell, Marc Tomlinson and David Hinote
- 12:10–13:40    Lunch
- 13:40–14:20    Invited talk: John Barnden “Computational Approaches to Metaphor Interpretation:  
Some Considerations arising from a Deep Reasoning System”
- 14:20–14:45   *Automatic Metaphor Detection using Large-Scale Lexical Resources and Conventional Metaphor Extraction*  
Yorick Wilks, Adam Dalton, James Allen and Lucian Galescu
- 14:45–15:10   *Cross-Lingual Metaphor Detection Using Common Semantic Features*  
Yulia Tsvetkov, Elena Mukomel and Anatole Gershman
- 15:10–15:30   *Identifying Metaphorical Word Use with Tree Kernels*  
Dirk Hovy, Shashank Shrivastava, Sujay Kumar Jauhar, Mrinmaya Sachan, Kartik Goyal, Huiying Li, Whitney Sanders and Eduard Hovy
- 15:30–16:00    Coffee break
- 16:00–16:25   *Automatic Extraction of Linguistic Metaphors with LDA Topic Modeling*  
Ilana Heintz, Ryan Gabbard, Mahesh Srivastava, Dave Barner, Donald Black, Majorie Friedman and Ralph Weischedel

**Thursday, June 13, 2013 (continued)**

- 16:25–16:50 *Robust Extraction of Metaphor from Novel Data*  
Tomek Strzalkowski, George Aaron Broadwell, Sarah Taylor, Laurie Feldman, Samira Shaikh, Ting Liu, Boris Yamrom, Kit Cho, Umit Boz, Ignacio Cases and Kyle Elliot
- 16:50–17:15 *Annotating a Russian corpus of conceptual metaphor: a bottom-up approach*  
Yulia Badryzlova, Natalia Shekhtman, Yekaterina Isaeva and Ruslan Kerimov
- 17:15–17:30 Closing remarks