## Appendices

## A Morphological Lexicons and Training Corpora

Here we provide additional information about the training corpora for the skip-gram model and the morphological lexicons used by our Gaussian graphical model.

|  | Tokens | Types | TTR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Czech | $83,048,682$ | $1,663,361$ | 0.02 |
| English | $1,778,938,441$ | $7,403,109$ | 0.004 |
| German | $609,406,708$ | $8,582,032$ | 0.0141 |
| Spanish | $396,443,513$ | $6,719,014$ | 0.0169 |
| Turkish | $58,746,962$ | $2,272,946$ | 0.0387 |

Table 5: The number of types and tokens and their ratio (TTR) in each Wikipedia corpus.

|  | Lexicon | Types | OOV |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Czech | MorfFlex | $25,226,946$ | 97.9 |
| English | CELEX | 79,208 | 2.3 |
| German | CELEX | 365,530 | 43.9 |
| Spanish | Wiktionary | 668,681 | 66.1 |
| Turkish | Wiktionary | 118,786 | 32.2 |

Table 6: Sizes of the various morphological lexicons and their origin. We note that our method is compatible with any morphologically annotated lexicon as well as finite-state analyzers that have the capacity to analyze an unbounded number of words. We also report OOV, the percentage of the types in the morphological lexicon that are not attested in the Wikipedia corpus.

## B Additional Results

We include additional results for the experiment in section 8.2. In addition to showing results for all our test languages in Figure 4, we also show a different breakdown of the results in Figure 5.


Figure 4: A full version of Figure 3, with all 5 languages.


Figure 5: An alternate version of Figure 4. The aggregate curves are the same as before, but the frequency breakdown into word categories is now performed separately at each training size. These points are useful to look up or compare the performance of different word categories (novel, rare, frequent) at a given training size. However, the points along a given curve are incomparable: the $[0,1)$ curve aggregates over many fewer types at the right than at the left. Sometimes all breakdown curves get worse at once even while their aggregate gets better, an example of Simpson's paradox.

## C Coordinate Descent Algorithm for MAP Inference

We now derive the algorithm for maximizing the posterior probability $p(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{m} \mid \boldsymbol{v})$ ．This is equivalent to minimizing（7），which is the negative log of the posterior probability plus a constant，repeated here：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{m})=\sum_{k} \lambda\left\|m_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{i}\left\|w_{i}-\sum_{k \in M_{i}} m_{k}\right\|_{\Sigma_{i}}^{2}+\sum_{i}\left\|v_{i}-w_{i}\right\|_{\Sigma_{i}^{\prime} / n_{i}}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{\Sigma}^{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{x}$ where $\mathbb{\text { def }}=\Sigma^{-1}$ ，the inverse covariance matrix．
We take the partial gradient with respect to a particular vector $m_{k}$（renaming the dummy variable $k$ to j）：

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial m_{k}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial m_{k}}\left[\sum_{j} \lambda\left\|m_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{i}\left\|w_{i}-\sum_{j \in M_{i}} m_{j}\right\|_{\Sigma_{i}}^{2}+\sum_{i}\left\|v_{i}-w_{i}\right\|_{\Sigma_{i}^{\prime} / n_{i}}^{2}\right]  \tag{10}\\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial m_{k}}\left[\sum_{j} \lambda\left\|m_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{i}\left\|w_{i}-\sum_{j \in M_{i}} m_{j}\right\|_{\Sigma_{i}}^{2}\right]  \tag{11}\\
& =2 \lambda m_{k} \cdot+\sum_{i \in W_{k}}-2 \Xi_{i}\left(w_{i}-\sum_{j \in M_{i}} m_{j}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We now set this equal to $\mathbf{0}$ ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{0}=\lambda m_{k}-\sum_{i \in W_{k}} \Xi_{i}\left(w_{i}-\sum_{j \in M_{i}} m_{j}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging terms，

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda m_{k} & =\sum_{i \in W_{k}}\left(马_{i} w_{i}-\sum_{j \in M_{i}} 马_{i} m_{j}\right)  \tag{14}\\
\lambda m_{k}+\sum_{i \in W_{k}} \Xi_{i} m_{k} & =\sum_{i \in W_{k}}\left(马_{i} w_{i}-\sum_{j \in M_{i}, j \neq k} \Xi_{i} m_{j}\right)  \tag{15}\\
\left(\lambda I+\sum_{i \in W_{k}} \Xi_{i}\right) m_{k} & =\sum_{i \in W_{k}}\left(马_{i} w_{i}-\sum_{j \in M_{i}, j \neq k} \Xi_{i} m_{j}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally，we arrive at the update rule for $m_{k}$ ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k} \leftarrow\left(\lambda I+\sum_{i \in W_{k}} \Xi_{i}\right)^{-1} \sum_{i \in W_{k}} \Xi_{i}\left(w_{i}-\sum_{j \in M_{i}, j \neq k} m_{j}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now take the partial gradient of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to a particular vector $w_{i}$（renaming dummy variable $i$ to $j$ ）：

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{i}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}}\left[\sum_{k} \lambda\left\|m_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j}\left\|w_{j}-\sum_{k \in M_{j}} m_{k}\right\|_{\Sigma_{j}}^{2}+\sum_{j}\left\|v_{j}-w_{j}\right\|_{\Sigma_{i}^{\prime} / n_{i}}^{2}\right]  \tag{18}\\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}}\left[\sum_{j}\left\|w_{j}-\sum_{k \in M_{j}} m_{k}\right\|_{\Sigma_{j}}^{2}+\sum_{j}\left\|v_{j}-w_{j}\right\|_{\Sigma_{i}^{\prime} / n_{i}}^{2}\right]  \tag{19}\\
& =2 \Xi_{i}\left(w_{i}-\sum_{k \in M_{i}} m_{k}\right)-2 n_{i} उ_{i}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}-w_{i}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting this equal to $\mathbf{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Xi_{i}\left(w_{i}-\sum_{k \in M_{i}} m_{k}\right)=n_{i} \Xi_{i}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}-w_{i}\right)  \tag{21}\\
\left(n_{i} \Xi_{i}^{\prime}+\Xi_{i}\right) w_{i}=n_{i} \Xi_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}+\Xi_{i} \sum_{k \in M_{i}} m_{k} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

This yields the update rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i} \leftarrow\left(n_{i} \Xi_{i}^{\prime}+\Xi_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(n_{i} \Xi_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}+\Xi_{i} \sum_{k \in M_{i}} m_{k}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

