

Countering Position Bias in Instructor Interventions in MOOC Discussion Forums



Muthu Kumar Chandrasekaran Min-Yen Kan

Instructor Intervention in MOOC forums

- MOOC forums are the primary medium for classmates to talk among themselves and to talk to the instructor.
- However, at MOOC scale instructors need a discussion forum triage to selectively intervene on student discussions given their limited bandwidth.
- Machine learning models to aid instructor interventions are hampered by biased training data.

Discussion Forum Corpus

| # of courses | # of intervened | # of non-intervened |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 14 | 2635 | 4584 |

Does Position Bias predict intervention?

Biased UI of Coursera‰s discussion forum

Forums

Quizzes and Assignments

Subscribe for email updates.

Specific questions and clarifications about the assignments. Please read our forum posting policies before posting or starting a new thread.

| All Threads Start new thread | Top threads | Last update | ad Las | st created |
|--|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| Staff REPLIED · Started by Bruce Sodowich · Last post by Anonymous (5 months ago) | | 1 point | 12 posts | 355 views |
| Assignment AT3G59490 Qtn.1 - InterProScan doesn't find sequence STAFF REPLIED · Started by María Dolores Arenas Cavero · Last post by María Dolores A (5 months ago) | renas Cavero | 0 points | 6 posts | 67 views |
| Hard Deadline STAFF REPLIED · Started by Jorge Leal Cruz · Last post by Nicholas Provart INSTRUCTOR (| 5 months ago | 0) points | 2 posts | 68 views |

Figure 1. Instructors are presented with a list of threads sorted by their ...Last Updated Time‰ by default inducing a bias in their of choice discussion to read and intervene.

10000

| Courseid | EDM | | | EDM + PB | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|--|
| ML-005 | P 81.1 | R 46.5 | F ₁ 59.1 | P 92.8 | R 55.7 | F ₁ 69.6 | |
| RPROG-003 | 47.2 | 50.0 | 48.6 | 67.3 | 51.5 | 58.3 | |
| CALCI-003 | 65.4 | 88.5 | 75.2 | 100 | 49.6 | 66.3 | |
| MATHTHINK-004 | 36.8 | 17.1 | 23.3 | 100 | 48.8 | 65.6 | |
| BIOELECTRICITY-002 | 76.9 | 60.6 | 67.8 | 100 | 24.2 | 39.0 | |
| BIOINFOMETHODS-00 I | 35.3 | 26.1 | 30.0 | 100 | 56.6 | 72.2 | |
| COMPARCH-002 | 42.9 | 60.0 | 50.0 | 100 | 30.0 | 46.2 | |
| MEDICALNEURO-002 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| SMAC-001 | 23.5 | 15.4 | 18.6 | 100 | 73.1 | 84.4 | |
| COMPILERS-004 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 40.0 | |
| CASEBASEDBIOSTAT-002 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 14.3 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 28.6 | |
| GAMETHEORY2-001 | 25.0 | 14.3 | 18.2 | 100 | 57.1 | 72.7 | |
| Macro Average | 43.0 | 43.2 | 43.1 | 78.0 | 49.7 | 60.7 | |

Method: Debiased Classifier using Instance Reweighting

- ➢ Instance level weighted Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- Weights computed from propensity scores, that is propensity for a thread to be intervened. Ex: Rank I on UI => high propensity to intervene



Figure 2. The log-log Plot shows the intervention frequency over the rank of threads on the UI follows a log-linear distribution.

Ex: I: Thread Title: There is a mistake at 6:00 in the Week 3 Regularization Cost Function lecture

Original Poster: The error can be seen and heard in the Week3, Regularization, Cost Function lecture at 6 min. The summation should be over variable j, Andrew Ng also orally refers to "summation over i" of that term, which again should be summation over j. The next slide shows a typeset version of the formula with the correct subscripts. <Screenshot>

Ex 2: Thread Title PS6 #2

Original Poster: I misses this one so I thought 1‰d seek clarification Can someone help me understand because set theory is definitely a weakness of mine.

(various student answers follow)

Original Poster: I understand the empty set is a subset of every set, and I agree .. But in the proof Just confused about how ...

- Weigh high propensity intervention less as they are likely to be biased intervention
 Weigh low propensity interventions high as they are likely to be unbiased interventions
- > Weigh repeatedly rejected non-intervention (likely unbiased) high
- \succ Similarly, interventions with fewer rejections (likely biased) are weighed low

Results from a debiased classifier

| Intervention Ratio Range | Biased | | Debiased | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|------|----------|------|------|------|
| | Р | R | F | Р | R | F |
| 0.48 < I. Ratio < 3.01 | 55.5 | 54.9 | 55.2 | 53.4 | 79.3 | 63.4 |
| 0.0 < I. Ratio < 0.2 | 33.1 | 23.9 | 27.7 | 22.7 | 15.0 | 18.1 |

Figure 3. Two threads that should have been intervened where EDM+DB correctly identifies as needing intervention.

Conclusion

- \succ We confirm the existence of position bias in instructor interventions in MOOC forums
- \succ We propose a debiased classifier to counter the bias
- \succ Further the debiased classifier identifies clear cases where intervention is warranted but was overlooked by the instructor
- \succ Community should be mindful of the UI / UX bias and make careful design choices

Acknowledgements: This research is part of the NExT++ research, supported by the National Research Foundation Singapore under its IRC@SG Funding Initiative Contacts: {<u>muthu.chandra</u>, <u>kanmy</u>}@comp.nus.edu.sg Website: wing.comp.nus.edu.sg/~cmkumar