

Overview of the NLP-TEA 2015 Shared Task for Chinese Grammatical Error Diagnosis

Lung-Hao Lee, National Taiwan Normal University

Liang-Chih Yu, Yuan Ze University

Li-Ping Chang, National Taiwan Normal University



Introduction

- The NLP-TEA 2015 shared task features a **Chinese Grammatical Error Diagnosis (CGED)** task, providing an evaluation platform for the development and implementation of NLP tools for **computer-assisted Chinese learning**



学中文
[xuézhōngwén]
Learn Chinese

Shared Task Description

- The developed tool is expected to identify the error types and its position at which it occurs in the sentence
- Four **PADS error types** are included in the target modification taxonomy
 - **Mis-Ordering** (**P**ermutation)
 - **Redundancy** (**A**ddition)
 - **Omission** (**D**eletion)
 - **Mis-Selection** (**S**ubstitution)
- For the sake of simplicity, the input sentence is selected to contain one defined error types

Testing Examples

- Example 1
Input: (sid=B2-0080) 他是我的以前的室友
Output: B2-0080, 4, 4, **Redundant**
- Example 2
Input: (sid=A2-0017) 那電影是機器人的故事
Output: A2-0017, 2, 2, **Missing**
- Example 3
Input: (sid=A2-0017) 那部電影是機器人的故事
Output: A2-0017, **Correct**
- Example 4
Input: (sid=B1-1193) 吳先生是修理腳踏車的拿手
Output: B1-1193, 11, 12, **Selection**
- Example 5
Input: (sid=B2-2292) 所以我不會讓失望她
Output: B2-2292, 7, 9, **Disorder**

Data Preparation

- The essay section of the computer-based **Test of Chinese as a Foreign Language (TOCFL)**
- Native Chinese speakers were trained to manually annotate grammatical errors and provide corrections corresponding to each error

Training Set

- This set included 2,205 selected sentences
- Error types were categorized as redundant (430 instances), missing (620), selection (849), and disorder (306)
- Each sentence is represented in SGML format

```
<DOC>
<SENTENCE id="B1-1120">
我的中文進步了非常快
</SENTENCE>
<MISTAKE start_off="7" end_off="7">
<TYPE>
Selection
</TYPE>
<CORRECTION>
我的中文進步得非常快
</CORRECTION>
</MISTAKE>
</DOC>
```

Dryrun Set

- A total of 55 sentences were given to participants to familiarize themselves with the final testing process.
- The purpose is **output format validation only**
- No matter which performance can be achieved that will not be included in our official evaluation.

Test Set

- This set consists of **1,000 testing sentences**
- **Half of these sentences contained no grammatical errors**, while the other half included a single defined grammatical error: **redundant (132 instances)**, **missing (126)**, **selection (110)**, and **disorder (132)**

Performance Metrics

- Correctness is determined at three levels

- Detection-level
- Identification-level
- Position-level

Confusion Matrix		System Result	
		Positive (Erroneous)	Negative (Correct)
Gold Standard	Positive	TP	FN
	Negative	FP	TN

- Metrics

- False positive rate (FPR) = $FP / (FP+TP)$
- Accuracy = $(TP+TN) / (TP+FP+TN+FN)$
- Precision = $TP / (TP+FP)$
- Recall = $TP / (TP+FN)$
- F1 = $2 * Precision * Recall / (Precision+Recall)$

Evaluation Examples

- FPR = 0.5
- Detection-level Acc. = 0.75, Pre.=0.67, Rec.=1, F1=0.8
- Correction-level Acc. = 0.625, Pre.=0.5, Rec.=0.75, F1=0.6
- Position-level Acc. = 0.5, Pre.=0.33, Rec.=0.5, F1=0.4

- **System Results**

“B1-1138, 7, 8, Disorder”, “A2-0087, 12, 13, Missing”, “A2-0904, 5, 6, Missing”, “B1-0990, correct”, “A2-0789, 2, 5, Disorder”, “B1-0295, correct”, “B2-0591, 3, 3, Redundant” and “A2-0920, 4, 5, Selection”

- **Gold Standard**

“B1-1138, 7, 10, Disorder”, “A2-0087, 12, 13, Missing”, “A2-0904, correct”, “B1-0990, correct”, “A2-0789, 2, 3, Selection”, “B1-0295, correct”, “B2-0591, 3, 3, Redundant” and “A2-0920, correct”

13 Participants and 18 Submitted Runs

Participant (Ordered by abbreviations of names)	#Runs
Adam Mickiewicz University on Poznan (AMU)	0
University of Cambridge (CAM)	0
Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)	0
Confucius Institute of Rutgers University (CIRU)	0
Chaoyang University of Technology (CYUT)	3
Harbin Institute of Technology Shenzhen Graduate School (HITSZ)	3
Lingage Inc. (Lingage)	0
National Chiayi University (NCYU)	3
National Taiwan Ocean University (NTOU)	3
National Taiwan University (NTU)	0
South China Agriculture University (SCAU)	3
Tokyo Metropolitan University (TMU)	3
University of Leeds (UL)	0
Total	18

Testing Results

Submission	False Positive Rate	Detection Level				Identification Level				Position Level			
		Acc.	Pre.	Rec.	F1	Acc.	Pre.	Rec.	F1	Acc.	Pre.	Rec.	F1
CYUT-Run1	0.096	0.584	0.7333	0.264	0.3882	0.522	0.5932	0.14	0.2265	0.504	0.52	0.104	0.1733
CYUT-Run2	0.082	0.579	0.7453	0.24	0.3631	0.525	0.6168	0.132	0.2175	0.505	0.5287	0.092	0.1567
CYUT-Run3	0.132	0.579	0.6872	0.29	0.4079	0.505	0.5182	0.142	0.2229	0.488	0.45	0.108	0.1742
HITSZ-Run1	0.956	0.509	0.5047	0.974	0.6648	0.173	0.2401	0.302	0.2675	0.031	0.0185	0.018	0.0182
HITSZ-Run2	0.938	0.505	0.5027	0.948	0.657	0.149	0.201	0.236	0.2171	0.036	0.0105	0.01	0.0103
HITSZ-Run3	0.884	0.51	0.5056	0.904	0.6485	0.188	0.2273	0.26	0.2425	0.068	0.0221	0.02	0.021
NCYU-Run1	0.48	0.53	0.5294	0.54	0.5347	0.354	0.2814	0.188	0.2254	0.274	0.0551	0.028	0.0371
NCYU-Run2	0.396	0.567	0.5724	0.53	0.5504	0.423	0.3793	0.242	0.2955	0.343	0.1715	0.082	0.111
NCYU-Run3	0.374	0.607	0.6112	0.588	0.5994	0.463	0.4451	0.3	0.3584	0.374	0.246	0.122	0.1631
NTOU-Run1	1	0.5	0.5	1	0.6667	0.117	0.1896	0.234	0.2095	0.005	0.0099	0.01	0.01
NTOU-Run2	0.914	0.531	0.5164	0.976	0.6754	0.225	0.2848	0.364	0.3196	0.123	0.149	0.16	0.1543
NTOU-Run3	0.948	0.519	0.5098	0.986	0.6721	0.193	0.2605	0.334	0.2927	0.093	0.1238	0.134	0.1287
SCAU-Run1	0.62	0.505	0.504	0.63	0.56	0.287	0.2383	0.194	0.2139	0.217	0.0801	0.054	0.0645
SCAU-Run2	0.636	0.503	0.5023	0.642	0.5637	0.279	0.2337	0.194	0.212	0.209	0.0783	0.054	0.0639
SCAU-Run3	0.266	0.503	0.5056	0.272	0.3537	0.416	0.2692	0.098	0.1437	0.385	0.1192	0.036	0.0553
TMU-Run1	0.478	0.516	0.5162	0.51	0.5131	0.313	0.1787	0.104	0.1315	0.27	0.0363	0.018	0.0241
TMU-Run2	0.134	0.524	0.5759	0.182	0.2766	0.479	0.4071	0.092	0.1501	0.449	0.1928	0.032	0.0549
TMU-Run3	0.35	0.546	0.5581	0.442	0.4933	0.42	0.3519	0.19	0.2468	0.362	0.1745	0.074	0.1039

Summary

- It is a **really difficult task** to develop the computer-assisted Chinese learning tool, since there are only target sentences **without the help of their context**
- None of the submitted systems provided superior performance. In general, this research problem still has long way to go.

Conclusions

- All submissions contribute to the common effort to produce an effective Chinese grammatical diagnosis tool
- The individual reports in the shared task proceedings provide useful insight into Chinese language processing

Future Work

- **NLP-TEA-3 Workshop in COLING 2016**
 - To be bided
 - Osaka, Japan
- **The Shared Task**
 - **Chinese Grammatical Error Diagnosis**

Acknowledgments

- Ministry of Education, Taiwan
 - Aim for the Top University Project
 - Center of Learning Technology for Chinese, NTNU
 - Innovative Center for Big Data and Digital Convergence, YZU
- Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan
 - International Research-Intensive Center of Excellence Program
 - Grant no.: MOST 104-2911-I-003-301

THANK YOU

- All data sets with gold standards and evaluation tool are publicly available for research purposes at

<http://ir.itc.ntnu.edu.tw/lre/nlptea15cged.htm>