CompiLIG at SemEval-2017 Task 1: **Cross-Language Plagiarism Detection Methods for Semantic Textual Similarity**

Jérémy Ferrero^{1,2}, Frédéric Agnès¹, Laurent Besacier², Didier Schwab²

¹ Compilatio, 276 rue du Mont Blanc, 74540 Saint-Félix, France ² LIG-GETALP, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, France

ABSTRACT

We present our submitted systems for Semantic Textual Similarity (STS) Track 4 (**Spanish-English**) at SemEval-2017.

In our submission, we use syntaxbased, dictionary-based, contextbased, and MT-based methods. We also combine these methods in unsupervised and supervised way.

Our best run ranked 1st on track 4a on 51 submitted systems, with a correlation of 83.02% with human annotations.

CONCEPTUAL TI	HESAURUS SIMILARITY (CTS)
Le chat boit du lait UUUU	The cat drinks milk = U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U

• Bag-of-words of a word = all its possible translations or nyms, jointly given by ontology DBNary [1] and by word embeddings with the MultiVec toolkit [2];

• **Bag-of-words of a sentence** = merge of the bag-of-words of its words ;

• **Syntactically** [3] and **frequentially** (*idf*) weighted augmentation of the Jaccard distance between the two built sentences bags.



^ahttps://github.com/FerreroJeremy/ monolingual-word-aligner

SOLUTION FOR PLAGIARISM DETECTION

Iethods	SNLI (4a)	WMT (4b)	Mean
TS	0.7684	0.1464	0.4574
verage	0.7910	0.1494	0.4702
15'	0.8302	0.1550	0.4926

strangely low for all participating teams (see Discussion part).

Metho CL-CT Averag M5′ CL-CT Averag M5′ (gold standard) annotated pairs. • Second annotator reference ;

notations on the SNLI corpus (4a); • Huge difference on WMT corpus (4b) between our annotations and SemEval gold standard. These results question the validity of the WMT corpus (4b).

- USA, June 2016.





université Grenoble Alpes

DISCUSSION

ods	SNLI (4a)	WMT (4b)	Mean			
Our Annotations						
ſS	0.7981	0.5248	0.6614			
ge	0.8105	0.4031	0.6068			
_	0.8622	0.5374	0.6998			
SemEval Gold Standard						
ſS	0.8123	0.1739	0.4931			
ge	0.8277	0.2209	0.5243			
_	0.8536	0.1706	0.5121			

Table 2: Results of our submitted systems scored on our 120 annotated pairs and on the same 120 SemEval

• Our methods behave the same way for both an-

REFERENCES

[1] Gilles Sérasset. DBnary: Wiktionary as a Lemon-Based Multilingual Lexical Resource in RDF. In Semantic Web Journal (special issue on Multilingual Linked Open Data), volume 6, pages 355–361, 2015.

Alexandre Berard, Christophe Servan, Olivier Pietquin, and Laurent Besacier. MultiVec: a Multilingual and Multilevel Representation Learning Toolkit for NLP. In Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'16), pages 4188–4192, Portoroz, Slovenia, May 2016. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

Jérémy Ferrero, Laurent Besacier, Didier Schwab, and Frédéric Agnès. Using Word Embedding for Cross-Language Plagiarism Detection. In *Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the European Chapter of* the Association for Computational Linguistics, (EACL 2017), volume 2, pages 415–421, Valencia, Spain, April 2017. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Tomas Brychcin and Lukas Svoboda. UWB at SemEval-2016 Task 1: Semantic textual similarity using lexical, syntactic, and semantic information. In Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval 2016), pages 588–594, San Diego, CA,

Yong Wang and Ian H. Witten. Induction of model trees for predicting continuous classes. In Proceedings of the poster papers of the European Conference on Machine Learning, pages 128-137, Prague, Czech Republic, October 1997.