# Exploring Semantic Properties of Sentence Embeddings

Tingfeng Li \* Northwestern Polytechnic University

Xunjie Zhu Rutgers University

\*Work conducted while visiting Rutgers University

Gerard de Melo Rutgers University

# Introduction

- Sentence Embeddings:
  - Encode a variable-length input sentence into a constant size vector
- Examples:
  - Based on Word Embeddings:
    - Glove Averaging (Wieting et al., 2015) (|)
    - (II) Concatenated P-Mean Embeddings (R<sup>--</sup>uckl'e et al. 2018)
    - (III) Sent2Vec (Pagliardini et al. 2018)
    - Based on RNNs:
      - (I) SkipThought Vectors (Kiros et al. 2015)
      - (II) InferSent (Conneau et al., 2017)







- Exploring what specific semantic properties are directly reflected by such embeddings.
- Focusing on a few select aspects of sentence semantics.
- Concurrent related work: Conneau et al. ACL 2018

instances

(ii) Our goal: Directly study the embeddings (via cosine similarity)



Zhu, Li & de Melo. Exploring Semantic Properties of Sentence Embeddings

(i) Their work studies what you can learn to predict using 100,000 training





Minor alterations of a sentence may lead to notable shifts in meaning. (i) A rabbit is jumping over the fence (S) (ii) A rabbit is hopping over the fence ( $S^{=}$ ) (iii) A rabbit is not jumping over the fence ( $S^*$ )





### **Sentence Modification Schemes**

- Not-Negation
- Quantifier-Negation
- Synonym Substitution
- Embedded Clause Extraction
- Passivization
- Argument Reordering
- Fixed Point Inversion







- Original Sentence:
  - A person is slicing an onion.
- Synonym Substitution:
  - A person is cutting an onion.
- Not Negation:
  - A person is not slicing an onion.



### **Negation Detection**





- Not Negation:
  - A man is not standing on his head under water.
- Quantifier Negation:
  - There is no man standing on his head under water.
- Original Sentence:
  - A man is standing on his head under water.







- Original Sentence:
  - Octel said the purchase was expected.
- Extracted Clause:
  - The purchase was expected.
- Not Negation:
  - Octel said the purchase was not expected



### Clause Relatedness





- Original Sentence:
  - Francesca teaches Adam to adjust the microphone on his stage
- Passivization:
  - Adam is taught to adjust the microphone on his stage
- Argument Reordering:
  - Adam teaches Francesca to adjust the microphone on his stage





- Original Sentence:
  - A black dog in the snow is jumping off the ground and catching a stick.
- Synonym Substitution:
  - A black dog in the snow is leaping off the ground and catching a stick.
- Fixed Point Inversion(Corrupted Sentence):
  - In the snow is jumping off the ground and catching a stick a black dog.





### Models and Dataset

	Dataset	Embedding Dim
Glove Avg	Common Crawl	300
P Means	Common Crawl	300
Sent2Vec	English Wiki	600
SkipThought	Book Corpus	600
InferSent	SNLI	4096



	# of Sentences	From
Negation Detection	674	SICK, SNLI
Negation Variant	516	SICK, SNLI
Clause Relatedness	567	Penn Treebanl MSR Paraphras
Argument Sensitivity	445	SICK, MS Paraph
Fixed Point Reordering	623	SICK



# **Negation Detection**

- Average of Word Embeddings is more easier misled by negation.
- Both InferSent and SkipThought succeed in distinguishing  $\bullet$ unnegated sentences from negated ones.







# Negation Variant

- Both averaging of word embeddings and SkipThought are dismal in terms of the accuracy.
- InferSent appears to have acquired a better understanding of negation quantifiers, as these are commonplace in many NLI datasets.





# Clause Relatedness

- sub clause is much shorter than original one.
- sentence from a negation of that sentence.



Both SkipThought vectors and InferSent works poorly when

Sent2vec best in distinguishing the embedded clause of a



# **Argument Sensitivity**

• None of the analyzed approaches prove adept at information in this case.



# distinguishing the semantic information from structural

15



# Fixed Point Reordering

- Methods based on word embeddings do not encode sufficient word order information into the sentence embeddings.
- SkipThought and InferSent did well when the original sentence and its semantically equivalence share similar structure







- RNN based sentence embeddings better at identifying negation compared with word embedding based models
- Both SkipThought and InferSent distinguish negation of a sentence from synonymy.
- InferSent better at identifying semantic equivalence regardless of the order of words and copes better with quantifiers.
- SkipThoughts is more suitable for tasks in which the semantics of the sentence corresponds to its structure





# Thank you!

Questions? Contact us at <u>xunjie.zhu@rutgers.edu</u> and gdm@demelo.org