Type-Sensitive Knowledge Base Inference Without Explicit Type Supervision

Prachi Jain*¹, Pankaj Kumar*¹, Mausam¹ and Soumen Chakrabarti²

¹Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi and ²Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay





Conventional Knowledge Base Completion Models

- A knowledge base consists of triplets $T \subseteq E \times R \times E$
- *E* is the set of entities and *R* is the set of relations
- Models learn embeddings for each element in *E* and *R*
- A scoring function $f(s, r, o): T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined in terms of the above embeddings, representing it's confidence

Model	Embeddings	Scoring Function
Ε	$a_e, b_r, c_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$	$b_r \cdot a_s + c_r \cdot a_o$
DistMult	$a_e, b_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$	$\langle a_s b_r a_o \rangle$
Complex	a_e , $b_r \in \mathbb{C}^n$	$\Re(\langle a_s b_r a_o \rangle)$

Problems with conventional Models

Subject	Relation	Gold Object	Prediction 1	Prediction 2
Howard Leslie Shore	follows-religion	Jewism(religion)	Walk Hard (film)	21 Jump Street (film)
Spyglass Entertainment	headquarterd-in	El lay(location)	The Real World (tv)	Contraband (film)
Les Franklin	born-in-location	New York(location)	Federico Fellini(person)	Louie De palma (person)
Eugene Alden Hackman	studied	Rural Journalism(education)	L Snowden Wainwright III(person)	The Bourne Legacy (film)
Chief Phillips (film)	released-in-region	Yankee land(location)	Akira Isida (person)	Presidential Medal of Freedom (award)

Samples of top two DistMult predictions (having *inconsistent types*) on FB15K

TypeDM and TypeComplex

- Typed Models extend a conventional model (base model)
- Compatibility functions $C_v(s,r)$ and $C_w(o,r)$ represent subject and object type compatibility between entity and relations
- u_e denotes the type embedding of entity e
- v_r and w_r denote the type of head and tail entity of relation r
- Trained without any additional type catalogue or other information
- Final Score of a typed model, in terms of base model score f'

$$f'(s,r,o) = f'(s,r,o)C_v(s,r)C_w(o,r)$$
$$C_v(s,r) = \sigma(v_r \cdot u_s)$$
$$C_w(o,r) = \sigma(w_r \cdot u_o)$$

	FB15K			FB15K237			YAGO3-10		
Model	MRR	HITS@1	HITS@10	MRR	HITS@1	HITS@10	MRR	HITS@1	HITS@10
E	23.40	17.39	35.29	21.30	14.51	36.38	7.87	6.22	10.00
DM+E	60.84	49.53	79.70	38.15	28.06	58.02	52.48	38.72	77.40
DistMult	67.47	56.52	84.86	37.21	27.43	56.12	55.31	46.80	70.76
TypeDM	75.01	66.07	87.92	38.70	29.30	57.36	58.16	51.36	70.08
Complex	70.50	61.00	86.09	37.58	26.97	55.98	54.86	46.90	69.08
TypeComplex	75.44	66.32	88.51	38.93	29.57	57.50	58.65	51.62	70.42



Comparing Conventional Models and Typed Models of equivalent sizes for Knowledge Base Completion

Analysis of Trained Embedding

Method	Embedding	Size Hor	nogeneity Com	pleteness Pred	iction F1
TypeDM	u _e	19	66.72	66.29	81.77
TypeDM	a _e	180	57.89	59.67	75.96
TypeDM	Both	199	66.75	66.29	82.57
DM	a _e	200	51.40	48.12	81.34
TypeComplex	u _e	19	65.90	62.97	82.70
TypeComplex	ae	360	50.76	48.57	74.75
TypeComplex	Both	379	66.03	63.09	84.14
Complex	a _e	400	51.56	47.20	81.58
DM+E	u _e	19	0.48	2.05	74.66
DM+E	a _e	180	49.62	47.24	82.72
DM+E	Both	199	49.66	47.26	82.68
E	a _e	200	39.83	37.62	74.23



Interpreting Embeddings with respect to Supervised Type Classification (7 clusters of people, location, organisation, film, sports and others for Homogeneity and Completeness) on FB15K

TypeDM ueTypeDM ueEntities plotted by the PCA Projection of the embeddingslearnt for Knowledge base completion, colored by their typesin FB15K (People, Location, Organisation, Film, Sports)

Conclusion

- Without supervision from a type catalogue, typed models outperform base models for knowledge base completion across datasets
- u_e embeddings learnt by typed models are better correlated with the entity types

Code: <u>http://github.com/dair-iitd/kbi</u> *Equal contribution