S	Т	Naive	mSDA	NaiveNN	AuxNN	ADAN	MMD	FANN	DAS-EM	DAS-SE	DAS
D	В	75.20	78.50	81.10	80.80	81.70	81.05	80.30	82.00*	82.10 *	82.05*
Е	В	68.85	76.15	77.95	78.00	78.55	78.65	77.25	80.25*	77.75	80.00^{*}
Κ	В	70.00	75.65	77.75	77.85	79.25	79.70	79.20	79.95	79.60	80.05 *
В	D	77.15	80.60	80.80	81.75	82.30	82.00	81.65	82.65	82.35	82.75*
Е	D	69.50	76.30	77.00	80.65	79.70	80.10	79.55	81.40 *	79.75	80.15
Κ	D	71.40	76.05	79.35	78.90	80.45	79.35	76.90	81.65*	82.15*	81.40*
В	Е	72.15	75.55	76.20	76.40	77.60	76.45	76.75	80.25*	75.80	81.15*
D	E	71.65	76.00	76.60	77.55	79.70	80.20	79.25	81.40*	80.05	81.55*
Κ	Е	79.75	84.20	84.85	84.05	86.85	85.75	85.60	85.70	85.95	85.80
В	Κ	73.50	75.95	77.40	78.10	76.10	75.20	77.55	81.55*	79.45*	82.25*
D	Κ	72.00	76.30	78.55	80.05	77.35	79.70	78.00	80.80	79.50	81.50*
E	Κ	82.80	84.45	84.95	84.15	83.95	81.75	83.85	84.50	83.80	84.85
Ave	rage	73.66	77.98	79.38	79.85	80.29	80.00	79.65	81.84	80.68	81.96

Table 3: Accuracies on the Amazon benchmark. Average results over 5 runs with random initializations are reported for each neural method. * indicates that the proposed method (DAS-EM, DAS-SE, DAS) is significantly better than other baselines with p < 0.05 based on one-tailed unpaired t-test.

A Results on Amazon Benchmark

Most previous works (Blitzer et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2010; Glorot et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2016) carried out experiments on the Amazon benchmark released by Blitzer et al. (2007). The dataset contains 4 different domains: Book (B), DVDs (D), Electronics (E), and Kitchen (K). Following their experimental settings, we consider the binary classification task to predict whether a review is positive or negative on the target domain. Each domain consists of 1000 positive and 1000 negative reviews respectively. We also allow 4000 unlabeled reviews to be used for both the source and the target domains, of which the positive and negative reviews are balanced as well, following the settings in previous works. We construct 12 cross-domain sentiment classification tasks and split the labeled data in each domain into a training set of 1600 reviews and a test set of 400 reviews. The classifier is trained on the training set of the source domain and is evaluated on the test set of the target domain. The comparison results are shown in Table 3.

B Numerical Results of Figure 1

Due to space limitation, we only show results in figures in the paper. All numerical numbers used for plotting Figure 1 are presented in Table 4. We can observe that DAS-EM, DAS-SE, and DAS all achieve substantial improvements over baseline methods under different settings.

C CNN Filter Analysis Full Results

As mentioned in Section 4.8, we conduct CNN filter analysis on NaiveNN, FANN, and DAS. For each method, we identify the top 10 most related filters for positive, negative, neutral sentiment labels respectively, and then represent each selected filter as a ranked list of trigrams with the highest activation values on it. Table 5, 6, 7 in the following pages illustrate the trigrams from the target domain (beauty) captured by the selected filters learned on $E \rightarrow BT$ for each method.

We can observe that compared to NaiveNN and FANN, DAS is able to capture a more diverse set of relevant sentiment expressions on the target domain for each sentiment label. This observation is consistent with our motivation. Since NaiveNN, FANN and other baseline methods solely train the sentiment classifier on the source domain, the learned encoder is not able to produce discriminative features on the target domain. DAS addresses this problem by refining the classifier on the target domain with semi-supervised learning, and the overall objective forces the encoder to learn feature representations that are not only domaininvariant but also discriminative on both domains.

S	Т	Naive	mSDA	NaiveNN	AuxNN	ADAN	MMD	FANN	DAS-EM	DAS-SE	DAS
E	BK	49.07	55.13	58.26	60.62	63.32	60.38	59.59	66.48*	62.37	67.12*
BT	BK	48.17	53.53	58.48	59.86	65.62	59.66	59.28	66.78*	61.17	66.53
Μ	BK	45.20	49.22	57.10	60.43	62.87	60.20	57.65	69.63*	65.24^{*}	70.31 *
BK	Е	46.43	48.22	47.15	48.45	47.42	53.32	51.27	58.59*	55.15*	58.73 *
BT	Е	53.63	57.32	58.77	60.98	63.13	60.53	60.62	65.71*	61.78	66.14*
Μ	Е	37.93	38.13	47.28	49.60	46.57	51.55	47.23	55.88*	53.22*	55.78*
BK	BT	45.57	50.77	48.35	48.67	46.14	49.48	50.24	49.49	54.23 *	51.30*
Е	BT	48.43	53.13	54.07	55.58	50.98	54.83	56.78	61.53*	59.52*	60.76*
Μ	BT	39.42	39.37	47.23	48.65	44.26	48.35	48.89	47.65	50.67 *	50.66*
BK	Μ	43.32	47.88	47.67	48.87	51.10	53.04	52.35	55.47*	55.13*	55.98 *
Е	М	41.83	47.88	50.21	51.19	50.23	51.81	52.14	58.28*	55.60^{*}	59.06 *
BT	М	43.55	49.62	50.27	53.11	55.35	54.43	53.84	60.95*	56.90*	60.5^{*}
Ave	rage	45.21	49.18	52.07	53.84	53.92	54.80	54.15	59.74	57.58	60.24

(a) Accuracy on the small-scale dataset under setting 1

S	Т	Naive	mSDA	NaiveNN	AuxNN	ADAN	MMD	FANN	DAS-EM	DAS-SE	DAS
E	BK	49.07	52.88	58.26	57.72	57.07	57.43	56.43	57.78	58.93	55.20
BT	BK	48.17	47.65	58.48	58.46	59.78	56.17	57.98	61.17*	60.17^{*}	63.32*
Μ	BK	45.20	48.33	57.10	58.15	58.67	57.08	57.75	58.62	58.25	60.77 *
BK	Е	46.43	47.07	47.15	48.22	49.48	45.42	51.95	54.51*	52.47*	53.92*
BT	Е	53.63	55.12	58.77	59.08	59.45	60.24	58.67	61.27	61.42	59.83
Μ	Е	37.93	37.40	47.28	49.43	47.00	48.72	48.92	51.28*	51.18*	52.88 *
BK	BT	45.57	49.63	48.35	47.80	47.52	45.43	49.83	53.72*	51.23*	54.67 *
Е	BT	48.43	51.98	54.07	54.37	51.28	54.92	55.42	53.10	56.43 *	56.05*
Μ	BT	39.43	37.73	47.23	46.92	45.73	46.68	48.48	47.18	51.57*	49.73*
BK	М	43.32	45.97	47.67	48.79	50.20	48.76	49.47	52.37*	52.68*	53.52*
Е	Μ	41.83	45.12	50.21	52.31	52.57	51.50	48.18	53.63*	52.25	55.38*
BT	Μ	43.55	45.78	50.27	53.55	54.68	54.55	53.41	56.24*	56.23*	56.02*
Ave	rage	45.21	47.06	52.07	52.98	52.79	52.23	53.04	55.07	55.23	55.94

(b) Accuracy on the small-scale dataset under setting $2\,$

S	Т	NaiveNN	ADAN	MMD	FANN	DAS-EM	DAS-SE	DAS
Y	Ι	53.01	55.52	54.16	54.46	55.04	56.66*	58.54*
С	Ι	51.84	55.07	53.35	53.07	57.27*	55.18	57.28 *
В	Ι	45.85	54.64	51.40	52.39	57.31*	54.30	58.02*
Ι	Y	55.46	52.57	56.52	56.30	57.92*	58.72^{*}	58.92 *
С	Y	61.22	60.70	60.81	56.02	61.17	59.14	61.39
В	Y	56.86	58.42	58.77	55.72	59.94*	58.43	61.87 *
Ι	С	50.38	47.27	50.49	51.04	53.46*	51.97*	53.38*
Y	С	53.87	52.53	53.12	51.86	53.48	54.67 *	55.4 4*
В	С	59.48	59.91	61.23	60.19	59.84	59.98	59.76
Ι	В	50.05	46.34	47.35	48.17	48.84	50.81	48.84
Y	В	54.73	50.82	54.43	53.54	52.87	52.95	52.91
С	В	60.47	59.99	60.52	55.56	57.74	58.12	59.75
Ave	erage	54.43	54.48	55.18	54.02	56.24	55.91	57.18

(c) Macro-F1 scores on the large-scale dataset

Table 4: Performance comparison. Average results over 5 runs with random initializations are reported for each neural method. * indicates that the proposed method (DAS, DAS-EM, DAS-SE) is significantly better than other baselines with p < 0.05 based on one-tailed unpaired t-test.

	1	2	3	4	5	
	best-value-at	highly-recommend-!	nars-are-amazing	beauty-store-suggester		
	good-value-at	highly-advise-!	ulta-are-fantastic	durable-machine-and	years-i-love	
	perfect-product-	for gogeous-absolutely-p	erfect length-are-so	perfect-length-and	bonus-i-love	
great-product-at		love-love-love	expected-in-perfec	t great-store-on	appearance-i-love	
	amazing-produc	t-* highly-recommend-fo	or setting-works-perf	ect beauty-store-for	relaxing-i-love	
	6	7	8	9	10	
	store-and-am	office-setting-thanks	car-washes-!	speed-is-perfect	!-i-recommend	
	cleanser-and-am	locks-shimmering-co	lor price-in-stores	buttons-are-perfect	!-i-highly	
	olay-and-am	dirty-blonde-color	products-are-price	d unit-is-superb	shower-i-slather	
	daily-and-need	victoria-secrets-gorge	e	spray-is-perfect	spots-i-needed	
	shower-and-noti	iced dirty-pinkish-color	from-our-store	coverage-is-excellent	best-i-use	
			(a) NaiveNN			
-	1	2	3	4	5	
	prices-my-favorite	so-nicely-!	purchase-thanks-!	feel-wonderfully-clean	are-really-cleaning	
	brands-my-favorite			on-nicely-builds	washing-and-cleaning	
	very-great-stores	shampoo-a-perfect	without-hesitation-!	polish-easy-and	really-good-shampoo	
	great-bottle-also	an-excellent-value	buy-this-!	felt-cleanser-than	deeply-cleans-my	
	scent-pleasantly-flo	oral really-enjoy-it	discount-too-!	honestly-perfect-it	totally-moisturize-our	
	6	7	8	9	10	
	shower-or-cleaning	g definitely-purchase-ag	gain more-affordable-pric	e absolutely-wonderful-!	felt-cleaner-than	
	water-onto-my	definitely-buy-again	a-perfect-length	perfect-for-running	flat-iron-through	
	bleach-your-towels	s perfect-for-my	an-exceptional-value	e concealer-for-my	rubbed-grease-on	
	pump-onto-my	definitely-order-again	've-enjoyed-it	moisturizing-for-my	deeply-cleans-my	
-	water-great-for	super-happy-to	pretty-decent-layer	super-glue-even	being-cleaner-after	
			(b) FANN			
		2	3	4	5	
th-'s-v	vonderful	love-fruity-sweet	feeling-smooth-radiant	cleans-thoroughly-	* excellent-everyday-lotion	
-pretty	y-affordable	absorb-really-nicely	love-lavender-scented	loving-this-soap	affordable-cleans-nicely	
delive	rs-fabulous	shower-lather-wonderfully	am-very-grateful	bed-of-love	fantastic-base-coat	
nd-blen	ids-nicely	*-smells-fantastic	love-fruity-fragrances	shower-!-*	nice-gentle-scrub	
eats-qu	ickly-love	and-clean-excellent	perfect-beautiful-shimm	er radiant-daily-moist	urizer surprisingly-safe-on	
		7	8	9	10	
ower-l	ather-wonderfully	highly-recommend-!	excellent-fragrance-and	its-unique-smoothin	ng forgeous-gragrance-mist	
fordab	le-cleans-nicely	definitely-recommend-!	fantastic-for-daytime	smooth-luxurious-t	exture wonderful-bedtime-scent	
eels-gra	eat-price	love-love-!	wonderfully-moisturizin	g-and 's-extremely-gentle	love-essie-polish	
0 1		Literation and the state	lethers great aloons	's-affordable-comb	ination perfect-beautiful-shimme	
-	r-loves-this	highly-advise-!	lathers-great-cleans	s-anoruable-comb	mation perfect beautiful similia	

(c) DAS

Table 5: Top 5 trigrams from the target domain (beauty) captured by the top 10 most positive-sentiment**related** CNN filters learned on $E \rightarrow BT$. * denotes a padding.

	2	3	4	5	
ads-ruined-my	simply-threw-out	hours-after-trying	junk-drawer-*	contacted-manufacturer-abo	
ighly-disappointed-and	reviewer-pointed-out	minutes-after-rinsing	refund-time-!	minutes-not-worth	
ryers-blew-my	extracts-broke-into	disappointed-after-trying	total-fake-wen	've-owned-this	
ompletely-worthless-didn't	actually-threw-out	lips-after-trying	waste-your-time	hour-unless-it	
m-disappointed-and	clips-barely-keep	dry-after-shampooing	total-fail-!	results-they-claim	
in alsuppointed and	enps surery neep	ary area shampoonig		results they enable	
	7	8	9	10	
vere-awful-garbage	two-failed-attempts	auto-ship-sent	refund-and-dispose	broke-don't-fix	
vhat-awful-garbage	a-mistake-save	am-returning-to	refund-spend-your	sent-me-expired	
nd-utter-waste	a-definite-return	am-unable-to	wouldn't-recommend-!	wearing-false-eyelashes	
re-absolute-garbage	a-pathetic-limp	am-pale-ghost	not-buy-dunhill	a-temporary-fix	
iece-of-junk	a-total-disappointment	got-returned-and	not-worth-returning	a-disappointment-cheap	
3		-	0	11 1	
		(a) NaiveNN			
1	2	3	4	5	
nasty-sunburn-lol	the-worse-mascaras	stale-very-unhappy	actually-hurts-your	a-return-label	
bother-returning-them	it-caused-patchy	were-horrible-failures	didn't-bother-returning	stay-away-completely	
fails-miserably-at	lifeless-disaster-enter	send-this-crap	it-hurts-your	like-bug-quit	
minutes-auric-needs	it-fails-miserably	were-awful-garbage	didn't-exist-in	a-defective-brown	
severely-burned-me	feel-worse-leaving	were-horribly-red	skin-horribly-after	'd-refund-the	
6	7	8	9	10	
worse-with-exercise	not-stink-your	it-fails-miserably	got-progressively-worse	stopped-working-for	
worse-and-after	mistake-save-your	is-ineffective-apart	gave-opposite-result	uncomfortable-i-returned	
unable-to-return	nothing-!-by	but-horribly-unhealthy	another-epic-fail	i-am-returning	
worse-my-face	nothing-happened-!	a-pathetic-limp	got-horribly-painful	stopped-working-shortly	
poorly-in-step	nothing-save-your	a-worse-job	was-downright-painful	not-waterproof-makeup	
		(b) FANN			
1	2	2	4	5	
1 noorly designed product	2 a rafund anand	3 completely wests of	4 amalla discusting l	5 hurming rubbar stand	
poorly-designed-product	a-refund-spend	completely-waste-of	smells-disgusting-!	burning-rubber-stench	
defective-dryer-promising	a-refund-save	of-junk-*	smells-horribly-like	began-smelling-vomit	
disgusting-smelling-thing	i-regret-spending	were-awful-garbage	does-not-straighten	reaction-and-wasted	
hurts-your-scalp	just-wouldn't-spend	worthless-waste-of	's-false-advertising	control-and-smelled	
hurts-your-hair	looked-washed-out	throwing-money-away	a-disgusting-cheap	using-this-disgusting	
6	7	8	9	10	
	got-promptly-broke	sore-and-painful	it-caused-patchy	painful-it-hurt	
super-irritating-!		-		ols unnecessary-health-risk	
super-irritating-! strong-reaction-and	after-ive-washed	is-simply-irritating	layer-hydrogenated-alcoh	ois unnecessary-nearm-risk	
	• • • •	is-simply-irritating tight-and-uncomfortable	the-harmful-uva	uncomfortable-to-wear	
strong-reaction-and	after-ive-washed	1, 6		•	

(c) DAS

Table 6: Top 5 trigrams from the target domain (beauty) captured by the top 10 most **negative-sentiment-related** CNN filters learned on $E \rightarrow BT$. * denotes a padding.

1	2	3		4	5
urpose-cologne-splash	okay-cord-was	5 hands-feet-elbows		aggressive-in-general	5 but-its-okay
other-hanae-mori	cocamide-dea-is	been-sealed-tight		pimples-in-general	it-moisturizes-okay
he-mavala-peeled	coily-conditioner-is	stainless-steel-black	head	biotin-in-general	but-moisturizes-kee
woid-hair-pulling	flaky-dandruff-is	severely-tight-chest	neau	dimethicone-is-terribl	
cause-rashes-stinging	quickly-cord-is	thick-nasty-callouse	c	but-in-general	it-lathers-ok
ause-rashes-stilliging	quickly-cold-is	unex-nasty-canouse	3	but-in-general	It-famers-ok
5	7	8		9	10
pretty-damaged-from	darker-olive-complexion	doesn't-mind-pushir	ıg	producto-por-los	feeling-didn't-last
laughter-suffers-from	stronger-healthier-or	kinda-doesn't-its		unstuck-frownies-*	curls-didn't-last
eenager-suffers-from	natural-ingredient-however	r kinda-kinky-coily		they-push-*	extra-uv-protection
issue-damage-during	vitamin-enriched-color	okay-job-of		uva-rays-uva	garnier-fructis-curl
he-damage-on	natural-ingredients-*	intended-purpose-th	at	tend-to-slip	the-mavala-after
		(a) NaiveNN			
1	2	3		4	5
worse-and-after	maybe-a-refund	very-disappointing-wa	ste	my-ears-are	pretty-neutral-neith
worse-before-improving	ok-mask-i	ok-but-clean		my-neck-line	ok-so-if
unable-to-return	ok-pining-it	ok-but-will		cause-unsightly-bead	s ok-during-pregnanc
unless-your-entire	ok-try-i	ok-but-didn't		my-sporadic-line	kinda-annoying-if
horrible-in-execution	ok-tho-i	ok-nothing-special		your-ear-is	ok-this-seems
6	7	8		9	10
uncomfortable-i-returned	2	some-fading-when		are-very-painful	its-also-convenient
weak-they-bend	quickly-deep-cleans	real-disappointment-th		are-less-painful	that-also-my
claimed-faulty-*	but-elegant-bottle	especially-noticeable-a		are-a-pain	that-may-make
suffers-from-wind	beat-the-price	progressively-worse-d	e	about-sum-damage	that-allows-your
as-defective-*	and-reasonably-priced	style-unfortunately-the	2	offered-no-pain	its-helpful-to
		(b) FANN			
1	2	3	4		5
'm-kinda-pale	darker-but-nope	ok-but-horrible	notic	eable-i-avoid	same-result-mediocre
a-terrible-headache	gray-didn't-cover	ok-but-didn't	howe	ever-i-lean	it-caused-patchy
but-kinda-annoying	makeup-doesn't-sweat	okay-but-doesn't	but-o	otherwise-ok	doesn't-cause-flare
'm-kinda-mad dark-spots-around okay-however-it but-im-deciding		m-deciding	the-harmful-uva		
i-kinda-stopped	moist-but-thats	unfortunately-straight	traight however-i-prefer		rather-unpleasant-sme
6	7	8	9		10
kinda-annoying-if	, brutal-winter-however	higher-rating-because	-	ing-for-odor	but-darker-*
pretty-bad-breakage	summer-color-however	slight-burnt-rubber		a-recommend-this	slightly-darker-shade
my-slight-discoloration	beige-shade-however	noticeable-tan-since		recommend-if	somewhat-pale-affect
smells-kinda-bad	is-okay-however	somewhat-pale-affect		eable-but-non	but-somewhat-heavy
look-kinda-crappy	bit-greasy-however	kinda-pale-so		ing-special-moderate	bit-dull-heavy

Table 7: Top 5 trigrams from the target domain (beauty) captured by the top 10 most **neutral-sentiment-related** CNN filters learned on $E \rightarrow BT$. * denotes a padding.